

System Restoration Strategy Sr. Task Force Final Proposal Report

January 30, 2014

System Restoration Strategy – Black Start Generation Compensation

1. Main Proposal – Minimum Incentive Compensation Proposal

This proposal received 65.85% support from the SRSTF. This significant change in this proposal would be to replace the incentive factor in the Black Start Base Formula Rate from 10% to the greater of 10% or \$25,000. The existing Capital Recovery Rate and NERC CIP Capital Recovery Rates would not change. Other more minor changes included in this proposal include:

- The MW amount would be based on the offered Black Start MW for energy only units and the ICAP for capacity units
- ALR units would be permitted to recover NERC Compliance costs as documented to the IMM
- Would allow for compensation for fuel storage for fuels other than oil
- Would provide for a 5 year PJM internal review of formula

2. Alternate Proposal – Proxy for Formula Replacement

This proposal received 63.41% support from the SRSTF. The significant change in this proposal would be to replace the Black Start Base Formula Rate and it's components with a Proxy formulation. This proxy was developed based on the average of the responses received from the RTO-wide and Incremental RFP submittals. The Proxy rate would replace the Base Formula Rate, Variable O&M Costs, Fuel Storage and Training Costs. The existing Capital Recovery Rate and NERC CIP Capital Recovery Rates would not change. The Proxy rates are shown in the table below:

| | Initial Capital Payment to add | Additional Black Start Resource Capital Payment | Start Capital Payment | Additional Resource Annual Black | Annual Black Start O&M | Annual Black Start Fuel Storage | Unit Total Annual Black Start Payment |
|----------------------|--------------------------------|---|--------------------------|--|---------------------------|---------------------------------|---|
| Black Start Resource | Black Start (from | (From RFP | (using 0.125 | Start Capital | Payment (from | Payment (from | (including |
| Size | RFP Responses) | Responses) | CRF) | Payment | RFP Responses) | RFP Responses) | Training) |
| MW <= 10* | \$275,798 | \$105,871 | \$34,475 | \$13,234 | \$3,351 | \$6,280 | \$47,855 |
| 10 > MW <= 60 | \$1,930,588 | \$741,097 | \$241,323 | \$92,637 | \$23,456 | \$43,957 | \$312,486 |
| 60 > MW <= 90 | \$5,069,227 | \$1,258,927 | \$633,653 | \$157,366 | \$37,572 | \$64,152 | \$739,127 |
| 90 > MW <=300 Small | | | | | | | |
| Starting requirement | \$6,861,848 | \$1,953,800 | \$857,731 | \$244,225 | \$182,896 | \$87,700 | \$1,132,077 |
| 90 > MW <=300 Medium | | | | | | | |
| Starting Requirement | \$16,918,852 | \$1,953,800 | \$2,114,856 | \$244,225 | \$182,896 | \$87,700 | \$2,389,202 |
| 90 > MW <=300 Large | | | | | | | |
| Starting Requirement | \$24,552,399 | \$1,953,800 | \$3,069,050 | \$244,225 | \$182,896 | \$87,700 | \$3,343,395 |
| | | | | | | | |



The proposal would also provide for a 5 year PJM internal review of this formulation.

3. Comparative Summary

The objective of both proposals is to provide more incentive for the existing Black Start resources (which are currently on the Base Formula Rate) to continue to provide this service. This provides for continuity and flexibility in Restoration Planning and provides more assurance of an adequate supply of Black Start generation to meet critical load needs.

Neither proposal changes the Capital Recovery Rates which are used for new capital investments for Black Start units as there was general agreement on the task force that the Capital Recovery Rate provides sufficient incentive to attract new Black Start resources.

Both proposals would increase the cost of Black Start Service in the RTO. The Proxy for Formula Replacement would increase costs more significantly than the Minimum Incentive proposal. Estimated cost impact for each proposal over existing rates is shown below:

| | Revenue | Revenue | Revenue | | RTO & |
|-------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| | Requirement | Requirement | Requirement | Minimum | Incremental RFP |
| Transmission Zone | 9/1/2011 | 9/1/2012 | 9/1/2013 | Incentive | Proxy Cost |
| | | | | | |
| AECO | \$587,375.76 | \$612,749.80 | \$659,039.18 | \$849,126.54 | \$2,210,244.00 |
| AEP | \$641,304.41 | \$1,065,072.31 | \$713,841.68 | \$1,100,196.98 | \$1,955,964.00 |
| APS | \$163,108.11 | \$263,640.01 | \$293,618.98 | \$391,926.34 | \$885,337.00 |
| ATSI | \$110,933.66 | \$170,352.21 | \$121,530.86 | \$160,482.60 | \$624,972.00 |
| BGE | \$3,258,715.57 | \$8,220,357.01 | \$5,212,388.17 | \$5,299,327.26 | \$6,894,242.76 |
| COMED | \$3,607,130.48 | \$5,175,988.79 | \$4,394,846.18 | \$4,558,736.61 | \$5,233,355.84 |
| DAY | \$166,374.93 | \$245,123.31 | \$259,735.15 | \$436,122.86 | \$1,061,523.00 |
| DEOK | | \$331,699.42 | \$1,211,017.72 | \$1,216,925.45 | \$1,674,002.69 |
| DOM | | | \$1,069,397.17 | \$1,069,397.17 | \$1,069,397.17 |
| DPL | \$534,124.05 | \$543,207.62 | \$587,724.57 | \$1,009,295.07 | \$2,938,570.00 |
| DUQ | \$40,729.08 | \$53,404.09 | \$61,788.81 | \$61,788.81 | \$61,788.81 |
| EKPC | | | \$387,247.88 | \$402,043.52 | \$869,913.00 |
| JCPL | \$541,191.23 | \$328,467.96 | \$608,508.56 | \$626,403.28 | \$1,726,848.68 |
| METED | \$541,937.33 | \$478,493.70 | \$897,429.93 | \$897,617.32 | \$897,617.32 |
| PECO | \$1,266,963.40 | \$1,379,460.78 | \$1,548,942.76 | \$2,108,129.78 | \$7,316,155.00 |
| PENELEC | \$367,061.09 | \$573,457.48 | \$525,051.98 | \$535,152.14 | \$1,557,651.75 |
| PEPCO | \$462,700.00 | \$212,074.47 | \$325,972.27 | \$325,972.27 | \$325,972.27 |
| PPL | \$157,515.64 | \$152,847.12 | \$251,989.60 | \$569,078.44 | \$1,814,081.00 |
| PSEG | \$3,858,641.94 | \$2,673,261.66 | \$1,867,588.19 | \$2,806,728.73 | \$3,533,143.00 |
| PJM TOTAL | \$16,305,806.68 | \$22,479,657.74 | \$20,997,659.64 | \$24,424,451.18 | \$42,650,779.31 |
| | | | | | |

Note – Values in the table above applied the 2 proposals to the existing Black Start costs as of 9/1/2013. These costs will vary in the future as some existing Black Start units retire and new Black Start units are selected through the RTO-wide Black Start RFP process.

4. Appendix I: Supplemental Documents

• 2013 Fixed Black Start Service Cost - All Rates



- 2013 Fixed Black Start Service Cost Base Formula Rate
- Charter
- Compensation Matrix
- Compensation Vote Results
- Minimum Incentive Proposal
- Preliminary Interests Compensation
- Proxy Cost Data
- Proxy for Formula Replacement Proposal
- SRSTF Compensation Milestones and Schedule

5. Appendix II: Stakeholder Participation

| Last Name | First Name | Company Name | Sector |
|-----------|------------|---------------------------------------|----------------------|
| Anders | David | PJM Interconnection, LLC | Not Applicable |
| Baznik | Ed | FirstEnergy Solutions Corp. | Transmission Owner |
| Benchek | James | FirstEnergy Solutions Corp. | Transmission Owner |
| Boggs | Kenneth | AEP | Transmission Owner |
| Bolan | Martin | FirstEnergy Solutions Corp. | Transmission Owner |
| Boltz | Jeff | FirstEnergy Solutions Corp. | Transmission Owner |
| Boyle | Glen | PJM Interconnection, LLC | Not Applicable |
| Brodbeck | John | Potomac Electric Power Company | Electric Distributor |
| Bryson | Mike | PJM Interconnection, LLC | Not Applicable |
| Calore | James | PSEG Energy Resources and Trade LLC | Transmission Owner |
| Campbell | Gregory | Commonwealth Edison Company | Transmission Owner |
| Carmean | Gregory | OPSI | Not Applicable |
| Carretta | Ken | PSEG Energy Resources and Trade LLC | Transmission Owner |
| Citrolo | John | PSEG Energy Resources and Trade LLC | Transmission Owner |
| Currier | Jeff | Dominion Virginia Power | Not Applicable |
| De Geeter | Ralph | Maryland Public Service Commission | Not Applicable |
| Dean | Kevin | McNees Wallace & Nurick LLC | Not Applicable |
| Dugan | Bill | Customized Energy Solutions, Ltd.* | Not Applicable |
| Dugan | Chuck | East Kentucky Power Cooperative, Inc. | Transmission Owner |
| Egan | Amanda | PJM Interconnection, LLC | Not Applicable |
| Fabiano | Janell | PJM Interconnection, LLC | Not Applicable |
| Farber | John | Delaware Public Service Commission | Not Applicable |



| Fecho | Thomas | Indiana Michigan Power Company | Transmission Owner |
|-----------|---------|--|----------------------|
| Feliks | Kent | American Electric Power | Transmission Owner |
| Filomena | Guy | Customized Energy Solutions, Ltd.* | Not Applicable |
| Fitch | Neal | NRG Power Marketing, LLC | Generation Owner |
| Forcum | David | Duke Energy Ohio, Inc. | Transmission Owner |
| Freeman | Al | Michigan Public Service Commission | Not Applicable |
| Fulmer | Marc | Monitoring Analytics, LLC | Not Applicable |
| Garbini | Marj | Potomac Electric Power Company | Electric Distributor |
| Gibellino | Dana | Allegheny Power | Transmission Owner |
| Gil | David | NextEra Energy Power Marketing, LLC | Generation Owner |
| Gooden | Mark | Appalachain Power Company | Transmission Owner |
| Hall II | Walter | Maryland Public Service Commission | Not Applicable |
| Hauske | Thomas | PJM Interconnection, LLC | Not Applicable |
| Heidorn | Richard | RTO Insider | Not Applicable |
| Heizer | Fred | Ohio PUC | Not Applicable |
| Hendrzak | Chantal | PJM Interconnection, LLC | Not Applicable |
| Hoatson | Tom | Riverside Generating, LLC | Other Supplier |
| Hopkins | Sandra | Dominion Virginia Power | Not Applicable |
| Horstmann | John | Dayton Power & Light Company (The) | Transmission Owner |
| Jennings | Kenneth | Duke Energy Business Services LLC | Generation Owner |
| Johnson | Carl | Customized Energy Solutions, Ltd.* | Not Applicable |
| Karcher | James | Duquesne Light Company | Transmission Owner |
| Kerecman | Joe | Calpine Energy Services, L.P. | Generation Owner |
| Kerr | Jack | Virginia Electric & Power Company | Transmission Owner |
| Kogut | George | New York Power Authority | Other Supplier |
| Koster | Joanne | FirstEnergy Solutions Corp. | Transmission Owner |
| Laios | Takis | American Electric Power | Transmission Owner |
| LaRocque | Matthew | PJM Interconnection, LLC | Not Applicable |
| Lavan | Ron | PJM Interconnection, LLC | Not Applicable |
| LeFevre | Donna | Virginia Electric & Power Company | Transmission Owner |
| Lieberman | Steven | Old Dominion Electric Cooperative | Electric Distributor |
| Manning | James | North Carolina Electric Membership Corporation | Electric Distributor |
| Marshall | Shona | Delaware Public Service Commission | Not Applicable |
| Marton | David | FirstEnergy Solutions Corp. | Transmission Owner |
| Maucher | Andrea | Division of the Public Advocate of the State of Delaware | End User Customer |
| McAlister | Lisa | American Municipal Power, Inc. | Electric Distributor |
| Miller | Don | FirstEnergy Solutions Corp. | Transmission Owner |
| Norton | Chris | American Municipal Power, Inc. | Electric Distributor |
| Ondayko | Brock | Appalachain Power Company | Transmission Owner |
| Pratzon | David | GT Power Group | Not Applicable |



| Riley | Tunisia | Commonwealth Edison Company | Transmission Owner |
|-------------|----------|--|----------------------|
| Roettker | Joe | Duke Energy Ohio, Inc. | Transmission Owner |
| Rohrbach | John | Southern Maryland Electric Cooperative | Electric Distributor |
| Schofield | William | Customized Energy Solutions, Ltd.* | Not Applicable |
| Schweizer | David | PJM Interconnection, LLC | Not Applicable |
| Slade Jr. | Louis | Virginia Electric & Power Company | Transmission Owner |
| Spidle | William | American Transmission Systems, Inc. | Transmission Owner |
| Stadelmeyer | Rebecca | Exelon Business Services Company, LLC | Transmission Owner |
| Sudhakara | Raghu | Rockland Electric Company | Transmission Owner |
| Summers | Ted | PSEG Energy Resources and Trade LLC | Transmission Owner |
| Taylor | Robert | Exelon Business Services Company, LLC | Transmission Owner |
| Tribulski | Jennifer | PJM Interconnection, LLC | Not Applicable |
| Walter | Laura | PJM Interconnection, LLC | Not Applicable |
| Weghorst | Brad | PPL Energy Plus, LLC | Transmission Owner |
| Wisersky | Megan | Madison Gas & Electric Co | Other Supplier |

6. Appendix III: Proposals Not Meeting The Threshold

Modified Status Quo with Revised Incentives Proposal

- Provided additional compensation to Black Start units meeting certain performance incentives

Cost Allocation Proposal

- Provided additional compensation to Black Start units meeting certain performance incentives and allocated Black Start charges to non-Black Start generation, transmission customers and load

7. Standing Committee Results

SRSTF Voting Results

Minimum Incentive proposal – 65.85% Favorable

Proxy for Formula Replacement proposal – 63.41% Favorable

Modified Status Quo with Revised Incentives proposal – 23.17% Favorable

Cost Allocation proposal – 20.12 % Favorable

DM# [773869]