

PJM / IMM Proposal to Improve Unit-specific MOPR Review Process

CSTF July 31, 2013

Joseph Bowring Monitoring Analytics

Paul M. Sotkiewicz PJM

www.pjm.com



- Develop more standardized assumptions and modeling approach that is consistent with assumptions utilized to develop Net CONE parameters
- Reduce PJM / IMM discretion by defining more narrow and objective standards for review in the tariff
- Increase transparency of the process



- Basic assumptions to calculate alternative unitspecific minimum offer price include:
 - Levelization Technique Require use of Nominal Levelized Values
 - Asset Life Require use of 20 year asset life
 - Residual Value Utilize residual value of zero
 - Sunk costs No sunk costs are permitted
- Use of publicly available data sources for key parameter inputs
 - Cost of capital
 - Inflation
 - Future Revenues



- Documented cost advantages leading to lower capital or fixed are still permitted:
 - Transmission interconnection costs
 - Gas lateral costs
 - Fixed O&M
 - Power island
 - EPC
 - Tax exempt financing status
- Tax rates and lower taxes
 - Allow for lower taxes or payments such as PILOTtype incentives that are generally available



Economic asset life

- 20 years consistent with CONE modeling that goes into the VRR Curve parameters
- Business model differences should already be covered by the self-supply exemption

Inflation

- The difference between the yield on 20 year Treasury Bonds and 20 year Treasury Inflation Protected Bonds
- Over a specified time period prior to submission the unit specific exception
- Available through the Federal Reserve Bank of St. Louis Economic Database (FRED)



Levelization method

- Nominal levelization that provides the same yearly revenue stream in each year over the asset life
- Has the same NPV as real levelized method
- Matches desire of merchant developers to receive their cash flows a bit earlier over the life of the asset rather than on the back end
- Sunk Costs and Residual Value
 - No sunk costs as project as a whole, or in pieces can at any time be resold
 - No residual value to be consistent with CONE determination



Unit-specific Cost Modeling Assumptions: Cost of Capital

Cost of Equity

- CAPM using 20 year using 20 year Treasury Bond as risk free rate available through FRED
- Beta from studies of like companies
 - Beta = 1.23 in the last CONE study
- Equity risk premium based on historic differentials
 - This premium was 6.5% for the last CONE study
 - What is the equity portfolio to which we compare risk-free rate?
- Possibly validate against data from projects under development



Unit-specific Cost Modeling Assumptions: Cost of Capital

Debt/Equity ratio

- Consistent with CONE calculation for VRR Curve parameters
- 50/50 during the previous CONE study
- Validate new ratio against developed and developing projects

Cost of Debt

- Use B rated debt as a proxy for developer risk
- Cost of B rated debt from Bank of America/Merrill Lynch index available through FRED
- Contemplating validation through parties lending to actual projects



- Require use of standard forecast net revenues
- Power prices from forward curves such as NYMEX or publicly available forecasts.
 - Adjusted for basis as necessary especially if the forward prices are quoted at a liquid point like Western Hub
- Gas prices from forward curves such as NYMEX or publicly available forecasts.
 - Adjusted for basis as necessary especially if the forward prices are quoted at a liquid point like Henry Hub in LA.



- One possible avenue is 1st year revenues only
 - Would easily admit the use of NYMEX forward curves on both power and gas
- Possibly forecast revenues from first 3 years to match 3 year historic used in Net CONE today
 - Use of NYMEX power forward may be problematic due to lack of liquidity that far out
 - Gas forward prices are more liquid further out
 - Power prices forecasts may make more sense



- Forward prices in gas and power would be shaped to match hourly, daily, monthly changes for both peak and off-peak hours
 - We have the ability to do this already using historic data in the energy and environmentally limited opportunity cost application in eMkt

Dispatch

 Like we do today in Net CONE calculation to account for operational parameters

Emissions Prices

From forecasts or forward curves as available or relevant



- PJM and the IMM shall post at a specified time prior to the submission deadline for unit specific exceptions all standardized data that will be used in the unit specific process
- PJM will also post for reference the unit specific exception offer the most recent CONE value adjusted for the standardized parameters:
 - Cost of capital
 - Inflation rate
 - Forward looking revenues
 - This differs from the MOPR screen price which uses historic revenues