

## **District of Columbia Report**

www.pjm.com PJM©2016



#### 1. Planning

- Generation Portfolio Analysis
- Transmission Analysis
- Load Forecast
- Gas Pipeline Information



#### **Executive Summary**


(July 2016)

- Existing Capacity: Natural gas represents approximately 27 percent of the total installed capacity in Maryland while coal represents approximately 39 percent. This differs from PJM where natural gas and coal are relatively even at 34 and 35 percent respectively. The District of Columbia does not have installed capacity.
- Interconnection Requests: Natural gas represents nearly 85 percent of new interconnection requests in Maryland.
- Deactivations: There were no deactivations announced in Maryland in 2015.
- **Load Forecast:** Maryland and DC load growth is nearly flat, averaging less than 1 percent per year over the next 10 years. This aligns with PJM RTO load growth projections.
- **Natural Gas:** About 74 percent (2,600 MW) of Maryland's natural gas generation is behind a local distribution company.



PJM Service Area – Maryland & DC

(December 31, 2015)



PJM operates the bulk electric system facilities (and others monitored at lower voltages), in Maryland and the District of Columbia. This map shows those facilities including those of Allegheny Power (AP), Baltimore Gas and Electric Company (BGE), Delmarva Power & Light (DPL) and Potomac Electric Power Company (PEPCO).



# **Planning**Generation Portfolio Analysis



### Maryland - Existing Installed Capacity


(Capacity Rights, December 31, 2015)

#### **Summary:**

Natural gas represents approximately 27 percent of the total installed capacity in Maryland while coal represents approximately 39 percent.

Overall in PJM, natural gas and coal are relatively even at 34 percent and 35 percent respectively.

* Gas Contains						
Natural Gas 3,243 MW						
Other Gas	13 MW					



**±** DC does not have wholesale generation




#### PJM - Existing Installed Capacity

(Capacity Rights, December 31, 2015)

In PJM, natural gas and coal make up nearly 70 percent total installed capacity.

* Gas Contains						
Natural Gas	57,735 MW					
Other Gas	399 MW					






#### Maryland - Interconnection Requests

(Requested Capacity Rights, December 31, 2015)


Natural gas represents nearly 85 percent of new interconnection requests in Maryland.

#### Total MW Capacity by Fuel Type



**± DC** does not have interconnection requests

- APTARONN			
		MW	# of Projects
Active		537.5	50
<b>Under Construction</b>		3,492.4	51
Suspended		12.2	3
	Total	4,042.0	104





# Maryland & DC - Interconnection Requests (Requested Capacity Rights, December 31, 2015)

	Active		Active		In Se	rvice	Suspe	ended	Under Cor	nstruction	Withd	rawn	Total	Sum
	MW	# of Projects	MW	# of Projects	MW	# of Projects	MW	# of Projects	MW	# of Projects	MW	# of Projects		
Biomass	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	188.8	8	188.8	8		
Coal	0	0	10	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	10	3		
Diesel	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	5	1	5	2		
Hydro	0	0	60	2	0	0	0	1	73.4	3	133.4	6		
Methane	2	1	16.5	8	0	1	4	1	4	2	26.5	13		
Natural Gas	208	2	507.2	24	4.375	1	3,189	9	33,241.1	62	37,149.68	98		
Nuclear	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	4955	4	4955	5		
Oil	0	0	5	2	0	0	0	0	2	1	7	3		
Solar	215.1	42	14.2	4	0	0	95.85	15	398.076	70	723.226	131		
Storage	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	20	60	5	60	28		
Wind	112.4	2	40.3	5	7.8	1	46.5	3	176.6	7	383.6	18		
Wood	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	132	1	0	0	132	1		
Total	537.5	50	653.2	49	12.175	3	3,467.35	50	39,103.98	164	43,774.2	316		



#### Maryland & DC -

#### Progression History Interconnection Requests

(Requested Capacity Rights, 1999 - 2015)




Following agreement (ISA/WMPA) execution, 4,456 MW of capacity withdrew from PJM's interconnection process. Another 3,505 MW have executed agreements but were no in service as of December 31, 2015. Overall, 2% of requested capacity MW reaches commercial operation.



#### Maryland & DC – 2015 Generation Deactivations

(MW Capacity, December 31, 2015)



Blue circles represent Generation already Deactivated in the region




### **Planning**

Transmission Infrastructure Analysis

pjm

Maryland & DC - RTEP Network Projects

(Approved in 2015, greater than \$10 million)



Network Projects are transmission upgrades identified as part of the interconnection process System Impact Studies. Network upgrades are necessary to interconnect new generation and merchant transmission facilities to the existing transmission grid or to provide new long-term firm transmission service.



### Maryland & DC - RTEP Network Projects

(Approved in 2015, greater than \$10 million)


## DC MD Network Project Drivers

_	lap D	Project ID	Project	<b>Generation</b> Interconnection	Merchant Transmission Interconnection	Long-term Firm Transmission Service	Date	Cost (M)	TO Zone(s)	2015 TEAC Review
	1	n4303	Attachment facilities (switchyard) – To be built along Pepco right-of-way. Final location to be determined by the developer.	X3- 087			6/1/2016	\$20.30	PEPCO	9/10/2015
	2	n4309	Construct underground portion of the transmission line from tower number N1085NA to the Burches Hill substation.	X3- 087			6/1/2016	\$16.20	PEPCO	9/10/2015



Maryland & DC - TO Supplemental Projects

(Notification in 2015, greater than \$10 million)





#### Maryland & DC - TO Supplemental Projects

(Notification in 2015, greater than \$10 million)


#### **DC MD Supplemental Projects**

I	_	Project ID	Project	Date	Cost (\$M)	TO Zone(s)	2015 TEAC Review
		s0982.1	Build a new 230/34 kV substation (Crest substation) and loop the Cecil-Colora 230 kV circuit into the new substation.	12/31/2018		DPL	7/29/2015
	1	s0982.2	Install four 230 kV breaker ring bus configuration at Crest substation.	12/31/2018	\$17.33	DPL	7/29/2015
		s0982.3	Install six 34 kV breaker – breaker and half configuration at Crest substation.	12/31/2018		DPL	7/29/2015
		s0982.4	Install two 230/34 kV transformers at Crest substation.	12/31/2018		DPL	7/29/2015
	2	s1003	Expand Cooper substation with additional 230/34 kV transformer.	12/1/2016	\$10.40	PECO	7/29/2015



Maryland & DC - Merchant Transmission Project Requests

(December 31, 2015)





# **Planning**Load Forecast



#### Maryland & DC - 2016 Load Forecast Report

(December 31, 2015)

	Area	Sun	nmer Peak	(MW)	Winter Peak (MW)		
Transmission Owner		2016	2026	Growth Rate (%)	2015/16	2025/26	Growth Rate (%)
Potomac Electric Power Company	District of Columbia	2,200	2,313	0.5%	2,205	2,168	0.4%
Allegheny Power	Maryland	1,340	1,453	0.8%	1,296	1,494	1.1%
Baltimore Gas and Electric Company	Maryland	6,945	7,220	0.4%	5,941	6,199	0.4%
Delmarva Power and Light	Maryland	1,191	1,234	0.4%	1,019	1,238	0.7%
Potomac Electric Power Company	Maryland	4,993	5,247	0.5%	5,005	5,373	0.4%
PJM RTO		130.243	140.912	0.8%	152.131	161.891	0.6%

<sup>\*</sup> PJM notes that Allegheny Power and Delmarva Power serve load other than in Maryland. The Summer peak and Winter Peak MW values in this table each reflect the estimated amount of forecasted load to be served by each of those transmission owners solely in Maryland. Estimated amounts were calculated based on the average share of each transmission owner's real-time summer and winter peak load located in Maryland over the past five years.



# **Operations**Gas Pipeline Information

## Maryland – Natural Gas Statistics

(March 31, 2016)

Gas Generators	Dual Fuel Capable (MW)	Total Generator (MW)			
Connected to Interstate Pipelines	300	900 (26%)			
Behind the Local Distribution Company	2,200	2,600 (74%)			
<b>Total Gas Fired Generators</b>	2,500	3,500			
Interstate Pipelines	Local Distribution Companies				
Columbia Gas Transmission (COL)	Baltimore Gas & Electric				
Dominion Transmission (DTI)	Washington Gas & Light				