

(DRAFT)

Interest Identification

#	Interest	Charge/ Mission/ Charter	Attributes	Party	AMP Recommendation	Notes
1	Outline the state of Transparency as it exists today via the RTEP, TEAC, Sub-regional planning efforts	☑ Transparency☐ Consistency	□ Coordination □ Openness □ Information Exchange □ Comparability □ Dispute Resolution □ Reproducibility □ Market Efficiency	□Transmission Owner □Transmission Customer □PJM	Completed through education	
2	Discuss and build common understanding of a desired threshold/definition for "depth" of transparency	☑ Transparency☐ Consistency	□ Coordination □ Openness □ Information Exchange □ Comparability □ Dispute Resolution □ Reproducibility □ Market Efficiency	□Transmission Owner □Transmission Customer □PJM	Delete as unclear	
3	What are the stakeholders needs regarding transparency? (Timing, reporting needs, level of reporting/transparency, other?)	☑ Transparency☐ Consistency	□ Coordination □ Openness □ Information Exchange □ Comparability □ Dispute Resolution □ Reproducibility □ Market Efficiency	□Transmission Owner □Transmission Customer □PJM	Combine 3, 4 & 5 as TOs are stakeholders	
4	What level of transparency would stakeholders consider adequate for asset management decisions and for expansion planning decisions?	☑ Transparency☐ Consistency	□ Coordination □ Openness □ Information Exchange □ Comparability □ Dispute Resolution □ Reproducibility □ Market Efficiency	□Transmission Owner □Transmission Customer □PJM	Combine 3, 4 & 5 as TOs are stakeholders	
5	TO Perspective regarding transparency of process	☑ Transparency☐ Consistency	□ Coordination □ Openness □ Information Exchange □ Comparability □ Dispute Resolution □ Reproducibility □ Market Efficiency	□Transmission Owner □Transmission Customer □PJM	Combine 3, 4 & 5 as TOs are stakeholders	
6	Develop a common understanding of the nature and level of consistency that is being	☐ Transparency	☐ Coordination☐ Openness	☐Transmission Owner ☐Transmission Customer	Combine 6, 7 & 8	

PJM © 2016 1 | P a g e



(DRAFT)

					(5)	V
	sought by PJM and stakeholders	⊠ Consistency	☐ Information Exchange ☐ Comparability ☐ Dispute Resolution ☐ Reproducibility ☐ Market Efficiency	□PJM		
7	Is the consistency that is being sought across project types or across transmission zones?	☐ Transparency ☑ Consistency	☐ Coordination ☐ Openness ☐ Information Exchange ☐ Comparability ☐ Dispute Resolution ☐ Reproducibility ☐ Market Efficiency	□Transmission Owner □Transmission Customer □PJM	Combine 6, 7 & 8	
8	How should geographic, environmental, business, locational, and other regional differences be taken into account?	☐ Transparency ☑ Consistency	□ Coordination □ Openness □ Information Exchange □ Comparability □ Dispute Resolution □ Reproducibility □ Market Efficiency	□Transmission Owner □Transmission Customer □PJM	Combine 6, 7 & 8	
9	Gain insight into the tasks listed for Supplemental projects	☐ Transparency ☐ Consistency	□ Coordination □ Openness □ Information Exchange □ Comparability □ Dispute Resolution □ Reproducibility □ Market Efficiency	□Transmission Owner □Transmission Customer □PJM		
10	An interest to generate the ability to independently replicate results	☐ Transparency ☐ Consistency	 □ Coordination □ Openness □ Information Exchange □ Comparability □ Dispute Resolution ⋈ Reproducibility □ Market Efficiency 	□Transmission Owner □Transmission Customer □PJM	Combine 10 & 18	
11	A holistic (2-step) transmission replacement process that adequately addresses DG, micro-grids, DR, State public policies, etc. as well as all of the needs of affected LSEs	☐ Transparency ☐ Consistency	□ Coordination □ Openness □ Information Exchange □ Comparability □ Dispute Resolution □ Reproducibility □ Market Efficiency	□Transmission Owner □Transmission Customer □PJM		
12	Robust transmission system that includes cost effective solutions for the long term, all the	☐ Transparency☐ Consistency	☐ Coordination☐ Openness☐ Information Exchange	□Transmission Owner □Transmission Customer □PJM		

PJM © 2016 2 | P a g e



(DRAFT)

					(0	11/21 1 <i>)</i>
	alternatives were examined in anticipation of the investments		☐ Comparability☐ Dispute Resolution☐ Reproducibility☐ Market Efficiency			
1:	Enhance transparency associated with transmission rate implications through Form 715 or TO path – understand cost implications of future transmission rates	☑ Transparency☐ Consistency	 □ Coordination □ Openness □ Information Exchange □ Comparability □ Dispute Resolution □ Reproducibility □ Market Efficiency 	☐ Transmission Owner ☐ Transmission Customer ☐ PJM	Combine 13 & 23	
1.	Full and complete understanding of the requirements of Form 715	☑ Transparency☑ Consistency	☐ Coordination ☐ Openness ☐ Information Exchange ☐ Comparability ☐ Dispute Resolution ☐ Reproducibility ☐ Market Efficiency	☐Transmission Owner ☐Transmission Customer ☐PJM	Completed through education	
1:	Transparent replacement criteria and good methodology to identify and build the "right" projects (Concern – for example, projects that meet criteria and may not be built as well as projects that may not meet criteria and yet may be built)	☑ Transparency☐ Consistency	 □ Coordination □ Openness □ Information Exchange □ Comparability □ Dispute Resolution □ Reproducibility □ Market Efficiency 	☐ Transmission Owner ☐ Transmission Customer ☐ PJM		
1	Develop and document common "themes" employed by Transmission Owners. Identify best practices to assess, quantify, and prioritize end of life projects noting that qualitative assessments play key a role in decision-making to pursue a project	☑ Transparency☑ Consistency	 □ Coordination □ Openness □ Information Exchange □ Comparability □ Dispute Resolution □ Reproducibility □ Market Efficiency 	☐Transmission Owner ☐Transmission Customer ☐PJM		
1	Understand benefit/cost analyses/methodologies that drive a project "go" decision (Discuss and understand possibilities to analyze projects on an apples-to-apples basis using present value [PV] analytical techniques)	☑ Transparency☑ Consistency	 □ Coordination □ Openness □ Information Exchange □ Comparability □ Dispute Resolution □ Reproducibility □ Market Efficiency 	□Transmission Owner □Transmission Customer □PJM		
1	Ability to reproduce TO analysis based on Form 715 end of life/replacement criteria	☐ Transparency☐ Consistency	☐ Coordination☐ Openness☐ Information Exchange☐ Comparability	□Transmission Owner □Transmission Customer □PJM	Combine 10 & 18	

PJM © 2016



(DRAFT)

					(L	'NAFI)
			☐ Dispute Resolution☒ Reproducibility☐ Market Efficiency			
19	In regards to Dominion replacement projects, interest in learning the difference between a replacement and an upgrade project. Are there state-by-state differences or regulations that dictate the definition of a replacement or upgrade project?	☐ Transparency☐ Consistency	□ Coordination □ Openness □ Information Exchange □ Comparability □ Dispute Resolution □ Reproducibility □ Market Efficiency	□ Transmission Owner □ Transmission Customer □ PJM		
20	Additional data for sources of congestion because it is unclear and seems to be missing from education	☐ Transparency☐ Consistency	 □ Coordination □ Openness □ Information Exchange □ Comparability □ Dispute Resolution □ Reproducibility □ Market Efficiency 	☐Transmission Owner ☐Transmission Customer ☐PJM	Completed	
21	Understand how the data such as congestion is built and correlations that can be made	☐ Transparency☐ Consistency	 ☐ Coordination ☐ Openness ☐ Information Exchange ☐ Comparability ☐ Dispute Resolution ☐ Reproducibility ☐ Market Efficiency 	☐Transmission Owner ☐Transmission Customer ☐PJM	Completed through education	
22	Are there sources of exiting data that may be helpful to build transparency around end of life and supplemental projects? How can we use FERC Form 1 exiting data as feedstock?	☑ Transparency☐ Consistency	□ Coordination □ Openness □ Information Exchange □ Comparability □ Dispute Resolution □ Reproducibility □ Market Efficiency	□ Transmission Owner □ Transmission Customer □ PJM		
23	Some sort of look ahead / forecast for supplemental project decisions	☑ Transparency☐ Consistency	□ Coordination □ Openness □ Information Exchange □ Comparability □ Dispute Resolution □ Reproducibility □ Market Efficiency	☐ Transmission Owner ☐ Transmission Customer ☐ PJM	Combine 13 & 23	
24	Create a process and timeline that includes customer review and input for developing and sharing TO supplement project plans.	☑ Transparency☐ Consistency	 □ Coordination □ Openness □ Information Exchange □ Comparability □ Dispute Resolution 	☐ Transmission Owner ☐ Transmission Customer ☐ PJM		

PJM © 2016 4 | P a g e



(DRAFT)

					<u> D</u>	<u> </u>
			☐ Reproducibility ☐ Market Efficiency			
25	NEW: Understand who provides the documentation required under Part 6 of Form 715 and where it is	☑ Transparency☑ Consistency	□ Coordination □ Openness □ Information Exchange □ Comparability □ Dispute Resolution □ Reproducibility □ Market Efficiency	□Transmission Owner ⊠Transmission Customer □PJM		
26	NEW: Be able to track project costs over time from initial estimates to final costs	⊠ Transparency □ Consistency	 □ Coordination □ Openness □ Information Exchange □ Comparability □ Dispute Resolution □ Reproducibility □ Market Efficiency 	□Transmission Owner ⊠Transmission Customer □PJM		User friendly dashbo ard for transmi ssion custom ers request ed
27	NEW: Make transparent and consistent (to the extent possible) the process for deciding whether to replace or retire transmission facilities	☑ Transparency☑ Consistency	□ Coordination □ Openness □ Information Exchange □ Comparability □ Dispute Resolution □ Reproducibility □ Market Efficiency	□ Transmission Owner □ Transmission Customer □ PJM		
28	Establish a date certain by which TO supplemental projects must have been fully vetted through the supplemental project plan process (see above) in order to be included in the PJM model build (ex. after date certain, supplemental projects not already vetted may not be included in the PJM model build. They must be fully vetted and included in the next model build/planning cycle.)	☑ Transparency☑ Consistency	□ Coordination □ Openness □ Information Exchange □ Comparability □ Dispute Resolution □ Reproducibility □ Market Efficiency	□ Transmission Owner □ Transmission Customer □ PJM		
29	Except for but for emergency instances, for aging infrastructure and supplemental projects, included in the baseline RTEP only after having fully completed the local planning process as set forth by 890.	☑ Transparency☐ Consistency	□ Coordination □ Openness □ Information Exchange □ Comparability □ Dispute Resolution □ Reproducibility □ Market Efficiency	□ Transmission Owner □ Transmission Customer □ PJM		
30	Minimum time frames for posting		☐ Coordination	☐Transmission Owner		

PJM © 2016 5 | P a g e



(DRAFT)

					(12)	<u> </u>
		☑ Transparency☐ Consistency	 □ Openness □ Information Exchange □ Comparability □ Dispute Resolution □ Reproducibility □ Market Efficiency 	⊠Transmission Customer □PJM		
31	Improved transparency	☑ Transparency☐ Consistency	 □ Coordination □ Openness □ Information Exchange □ Comparability □ Dispute Resolution □ Reproducibility □ Market Efficiency 	□ Transmission Owner □ Transmission Customer ☑ PJM		
32	Consistency	☐ Transparency ☑ Consistency	□ Coordination □ Openness □ Information Exchange □ Comparability □ Dispute Resolution □ Reproducibility □ Market Efficiency	□Transmission Owner □Transmission Customer ☑PJM		
33	Some form of repeatable methodology/guidelines/approac h for projects related to aging infrastructure and to supplemental projects	☐ Transparency ☐ Consistency	 □ Coordination □ Openness □ Information Exchange □ Comparability □ Dispute Resolution □ Reproducibility □ Market Efficiency 	□Transmission Owner □Transmission Customer ☑PJM		
34	Verification/Justification	☐ Transparency ☐ Consistency	 □ Coordination □ Openness □ Information Exchange □ Comparability □ Dispute Resolution □ Reproducibility □ Market Efficiency 	□Transmission Owner □Transmission Customer ☑PJM		
35	Method to evaluate alternatives to replacement-in-kind (Are there better more efficient configurations? Is such an evaluation worthwhile?)	☐ Transparency☐ Consistency	 □ Coordination □ Openness □ Information Exchange □ Comparability □ Dispute Resolution □ Reproducibility □ Market Efficiency 	□Transmission Owner □Transmission Customer ⊠PJM		
36	There are rights and obligations that we have a legal	☐ Transparency	☐ Coordination☐ Openness	⊠Transmission Owner □Transmission Customer		

PJM © 2016 6 | P a g e



(DRAFT)

					(DIV	<u> </u>
	responsibility to defend and execute	☐ Consistency	 ☐ Information Exchange ☐ Comparability ☐ Dispute Resolution ☐ Reproducibility ☐ Market Efficiency 	□PJM		
37	Transmission owner responsibility to make asset management decisions in a timely, efficient and cost effective manner.	☐ Transparency ☐ Consistency	 □ Coordination □ Openness □ Information Exchange □ Comparability □ Dispute Resolution □ Reproducibility □ Market Efficiency 	⊠Transmission Owner □Transmission Customer □PJM		
38	Recognition that the TO right to maintain all transmission facilities, includes the right to replace those transmission facilities.	☐ Transparency ☐ Consistency	 □ Coordination □ Openness □ Information Exchange □ Comparability □ Dispute Resolution □ Reproducibility □ Market Efficiency 	⊠Transmission Owner □Transmission Customer □PJM		
39	Support no undue discrimination in the provision of transmission service, including improvements to SRRTEP and TEAC meetings	☐ Transparency ☐ Consistency	□ Coordination □ Openness □ Information Exchange □ Comparability □ Dispute Resolution □ Reproducibility □ Market Efficiency	⊠Transmission Owner □Transmission Customer □PJM		
40	Support introduction of a separate Problem Statement outside of this Task Force, to address stakeholder interests regarding information on projections of transmission costs.	☐ Transparency ☐ Consistency	 □ Coordination □ Openness □ Information Exchange □ Comparability □ Dispute Resolution □ Reproducibility □ Market Efficiency 	⊠Transmission Owner □Transmission Customer □PJM		
41	Ensure that the Senior Task Force does not address issues outside of its Charter.	☐ Transparency ☐ Consistency	□ Coordination □ Openness □ Information Exchange □ Comparability □ Dispute Resolution □ Reproducibility □ Market Efficiency	⊠Transmission Owner □Transmission Customer □PJM		
42	Planning the projects is not formulaic and do involve the exercise of engineering	☐ Transparency	☐ Coordination☐ Openness☐ Information Exchange	⊠Transmission Owner □Transmission Customer □PJM		

PJM © 2016 7 | P a g e



(DRAFT)

					(1)	KAFI)
	judgment. We do not want the judgment of our engineers to be supplanted by any other parties' judgement.		 ☐ Comparability ☐ Dispute Resolution ☐ Reproducibility ☐ Market Efficiency 			
43	There are differences in how the various transmission zones have been designed and planned over many years. Those differences have in turn resulted in differences in how systems have been planned. We have an interest in continuing to have our system planned consistent with its design.	☐ Transparency☐ Consistency	☐ Coordination ☐ Openness ☐ Information Exchange ☐ Comparability ☐ Dispute Resolution ☐ Reproducibility ☐ Market Efficiency	⊠Transmission Owner □Transmission Customer □PJM		
44	Input process should be constructive and project focused and not one that is used as a platform for obstructing orderly and timely planning and/or for argument of litigation positions.	☐ Transparency ☐ Consistency	□ Coordination □ Openness □ Information Exchange □ Comparability □ Dispute Resolution □ Reproducibility □ Market Efficiency	⊠Transmission Owner □Transmission Customer □PJM		
45	Input process and transparency requirements should not unreasonably burden right of transmission owner to plan its system to address local needs.	☐ Transparency ☐ Consistency	 ☐ Coordination ☐ Openness ☐ Information Exchange ☐ Comparability ☐ Dispute Resolution ☐ Reproducibility ☐ Market Efficiency 	⊠Transmission Owner □Transmission Customer □PJM		
46		☐ Transparency ☐ Consistency	☐ Coordination ☐ Openness ☐ Information Exchange ☐ Comparability ☐ Dispute Resolution ☐ Reproducibility ☐ Market Efficiency	□Transmission Owner □Transmission Customer □PJM		
47		☐ Transparency☐ Consistency	 □ Coordination □ Openness □ Information Exchange □ Comparability □ Dispute Resolution □ Reproducibility □ Market Efficiency 	□Transmission Owner □Transmission Customer □PJM		

PJM © 2016 8 | P a g e



(DRAFT)

PJM © 2016 9 | P a g e