Per your request at the February 10th TEAC, PHI would like to make the following suggestions for sensitivity analysis:

1. In the base case PHI assumes even though PJM has not received official notification that PJM would treat Indian River #3, all of the Valero generation (Delaware City #1, Delaware City #2, Delaware City #3, Delaware City #5 and Delaware City #6) as retired for the purposes of the 2010 RTEP analysis.

2. For generator sensitivity analysis we know that PJM is developing a list of "At-Risk" generation that would be analyzed, PHI suggests that list of generators include Indian River unit #4, Vienna unit # 8, all of the Potomac River generation and Oyster Creek. For the generator sensitivity runs PHI suggests that PJM do CETO/CETL analysis for the following regions: Mid-Atlantic, Eastern Mid-Atlantic, SouthWest Mid-Atlantic , Delmarva zone, and Delmarva South zone.

3. Given the interest in integrating off-shore wind as indicated in the MOU signed by the four Mid-Atlantic governors PHI suggests that PJM analyze a scenario with 1,000 to 2,000 MW of off-shore wind located off the Delaware coast near Rehoboth.

4. PHI also feels that before PJM makes any final recommendation on projects that it is important that PJM perform a detailed 2016 system analysis including voltage and P-V analysis.

5. PHI suggests that PJM run an analysis with a load forecast sensitivity which represents a faster economic recovery than is shown in the present PJM official load forecast.

6. PHI suggests a sensitivity analyzing the Eastern Mid-Atlantic region using the zonal CETO/CETL rather than the global CETO/CETL. Also a sensitivity of the South West Mid-Atlantic Region using the zonal CETO/CETL rather than the global CETO/CETL.

Thanks for your consideration of these additional analyzes.

Bill Mitchell, P.E.
Manager, PHI Transmission Services
NCRO Phone- (DE): (302)-454-5188 (Primary Phone Number), Fax: (302)-454-4161
Edison Place (Washington): Phone: (202)-872-4292
e-mail: bill.mitchell@pepcoholdings.com