I. Stakeholder Feedback on MISO/PJM Regional Processes and Metrics

MISO and PJM reviewed their regional planning processes and metrics at the October 2nd IPSAC meeting. To facilitate a productive stakeholder discussion at the October 24th IPSAC meeting, MISO and PJM are soliciting feedback on what issues are priorities and potential steps to further the discussion.

- Please designate the following areas as “important” or “unimportant” to resolve for interregional coordination
  - Should joint metrics continue to be calculated – **IMPORTANT FOR CROSS BORDER PROJECTS**
  - If joint metrics are needed, how many and what is their use – **IMPORTANT FOR CROSS BORDER PROJECTS**
  - How JOA metrics are calculated – **IMPORTANT FOR CROSS BORDER PROJECTS**
  - Data assumptions issues in coordinated planning – **IMPORTANT FOR CROSS BORDER PROJECTS**
  - Regional planning difference issues – **IMPORTANT FOR CROSS BORDER PROJECTS**
  - Other:
    - MISO and PJM should focus on meaningful projects along the seams of both RTO’s – **IMPORTANT FOR CROSS BORDER PROJECTS**
  - For each “important” item:
    - rank their criticality and priority to coordinated planning – The top priority for MISO and PJM, consistent with Order 1000 compliance, should be solving seams issues with Cross Border candidate projects
    - briefly describe an approach to advance the discussion – See Stakeholder feedback below
  - For reference:
    - Metrics: currently MTEP/RTEP/JOA combinations of Production Cost and Load Cost and Adjustments
    - Process: MTEP/RTEP reliability coordination
    - Process: MTEP/RTEP MEP coordination
    - Process: Interregional JOA coordination

Submit feedback to: 
Adam Solomon, asolomon@misoenergy.org
Chuck Liebold, chuck.liebold@pjm.com

Submit feedback by: October 16, 2014

Stakeholder feedback:

1. Hunt Power encourages PJM and MISO to focus on meaningful Cross Border Projects along seams of PJM/MISO and not preclude either large or small projects.

2. Hunt Power proposes that PJM and MISO promptly establish discrete protocols and metrics for Cross Border Projects only, allowing for the evaluation and timely approval of Cross Border Projects during the JOA review period - Limiting initial interregional coordination and cooperation to high impact projects for both RTO's along the seams.

3. Hunt Power suggests that PJM and MISO should design comprehensive metrics, evaluation techniques, and protocols for a full JOA modification only after critical seams congestion issues have been addressed (See comment #2). A comprehensive JOA revision will likely take an extended period of time to complete, while needed interregional transmission adequacy along the seams is an immediate need.

4. Hunt Power encourages PJM and MISO to jointly examine West to East transfer capacity at the largest incremental values possible to promote economic efficiency, reduced congestion, improved interregional reliability and lower costs to retail customers of both RTO's.

5. Hunt Power is encouraged by the recent actions of the Illinois Legislature and the recent analyses request sent to PJM by the Illinois Commerce Commission to determine transmission adequacy and reliability under possible future market scenarios. This PJM analyses to determine import and export capability of the bulk transmission system to and from the Com Ed zone, and western MISO zones, should provide fresh insights to Cross Border Project deliberations and actions.
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