IPSAC Feedback

• **Strong Support**
  – Proceed with ‘quick hits’
  – Upfront details on developer/builder selection and project submittal
  – Formal model review, controls
  – Alignment of interregional and regional approval timelines
IPSAC Feedback (Cont.)

• Some Support
  – Reduce differences between interregional and regional tests
  – Eliminate regional tests
  – Revisit Assumptions
    • Modeling (generation siting, RPS, how futures used)
    • Benefit calculation (reduce hedging assumptions, include congestion relief)
    • Qualifying projects (lower $20M, 345 kV thresholds)
  – Regional process differences unimportant
Upcoming Work Plan

• **Near term**
  – Continue work on ‘quick hits’ scope and identifying candidate projects

• **Longer term**
  – We have 3 MISO/PJM staff meetings scheduled in the next month
  – Don’t see eye-to-eye on priorities, will use these meetings to decide on the next steps

• **IPSAC webinar tentatively scheduled for December 8th from 9-11 am**
  – Share outcome of MISO/PJM staff discussions, prioritization

• **Working on January in-person IPSAC meeting**
  – More info on ‘quick hits’
  – Follow-up on other priorities