



Load Management Task Force (LMTF) Minutes from 3/11/10 meeting

Charter

PJM outlined the Charter and some miscellaneous changes were suggested which will be included in the next version. Bruce Campbell requested to add an additional item for consideration as part of the Charter instead of waiting until some of the tasks already identified are complete. PJM clarified that members should be prepared to discuss any additional items they would like to be considered as part of the LMTF Charter at the next LMTF meeting. The LMTF will need to agree that these items should be part of the Charter and therefore sent to MIC for approval. To the extent any items are added to the Charter they will be considered at the end of the work plan. It is expected that a Charter will be provided at the 4/13 MIC for approval.

Work plan

No changes and minimal discussion.

Meter malfunction

Significant discussion where several suggestions were made that will be incorporated in the current draft proposal. Those suggestions include: more clarification on “good utility practice”, allow CSP to retest the specific site that reduced load but did not have interval meter reads due to meter malfunction, ensure CSP uses EDC interval meter data if customer owned meter failed and expand to include provisions for both test and emergency event.

M&V for Guaranteed Load Drop

PJM outlined two different approaches: 1) continue with current options available and determine additional detail required to make objective or 2) adopt the methods currently available under economic program since those were developed to be the most accurate representation of load and already provide significant flexibility for different loads. There was support and concern with number 2 and this will be discussed in more detail at the next meeting so the LMTF can focus on the appropriate detail. PJM outlined recommendation to enhance existing GLD rules and reviewed existing economic CBL methods. Stakeholders recommended the method be determined at the point of registration and then simply applied for all test and events during the delivery year. There was also a brief discussion regarding the inclusion of the PLC within the compliance calculation.