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Compensation: Do you support the Minor Compensation Changes proposal?

- **Yes**: 93%
- **No**: 7%

n = 106
Backstop: Do you support the Tier 1 Consensus proposal?

- Yes: 91%
- No: 9%

n = 106
• Compensation
  - We would still like to see resolution of the MMU's concerns regarding pumped storage hydro, or exclude the resource from fuel storage compensation through this mechanism.
  - On the "Compensation" issue, we would like to note that if PJM did not designate ALR facilities as blackstart under NERC standards, then there would be no need to compensate them for compliance with NERC standards as a blackstart unit.
  - Subject to the resolution of the details.
  - Compensation - Although voting yes, the vote is really for 3 out of 4 changes. The fuel storage proposal causes concerns and if the survey were built to address each proposal separately, a no vote would have been registered for the fuel storage given concerns as to potential mis-accounting (including accounting for fuel storage as a variable rather than a fixed cost).

• Backstop
  - The TO proposal in the third RFP should be optional to the TO. A TO should not be obligated to own build or generation.
  - On the "Backstop" issue, we believe that the tariff revisions that will be proposed must also include schedule 12 revisions made by the TOs that clarify that cost allocation will be zonal when a transmission asset is built for purposes of blackstart. Currently, we believe Schedule 12 does not and should not apply to generation built and owned by the TO for blackstart.
  - Tier 1 - is supported as the best solution for PJM, however, there should be no misunderstanding that once the filing is made at FERC, issues could be taken in opposition to any aspect of the section 205 filing.