

Process Issues for Alternate Proposals from Non-Incumbant Transmission Owners

RPPWG

8/6/10

- What type of project would be eligible?
 - Reliability
 - Market Efficiency
 - Upgrades to existing facilities
- What constitutes an original project?
- How are projects submitted?
- Who is qualified to build, own and operate a facility?
- Others?

What type of project should be eligible?

- Reliability?
 - Risk / impact if not completed
- Market Efficiency?
- Upgrades to existing facilities?
 - Access to facilities
 - Interface Agreements (who is responsible for what?)

- Physical attributes – what makes one proposal different from another?
- Does changing a terminal of a line for example constitute a new project?
- How should we handle combining portions of proposals into some different solution?
- Is there a time window when a proposal is no longer a proposal?

- Do we need to establish some sort of timing for submittal?
 - Should we have a window that all submittals need to be included?
 - Should we have a queue?
 - Needs to be aligned with RTEP timing.
- Do all proposals need to be made in writing?
- Does a suggestion at a TEAC meeting constitute a proposal?

- Should there be some sort of pre-approved list of entities?
- What are things that would need to be considered?
 - Ability to site a line in a state?
 - Operational issues (i.e. who do you call when it breaks)
 - Ability to get financing?
 - ?