

Critical Infrastructure Stakeholder Oversight Next Steps

CISO Special PC

April 3, 2020

Erik Heinle
Office of the People's Counsel for the District
of Columbia
fheinle@opc-dc.gov
703-798-8150

Greg Poulos
Consumer Advocates of the PJM States, Inc.
poulos@pjm-advocates.org
614-507-7377

What did FERC say?

- ❖ “We recognize the potential benefit of ongoing stakeholder discussions pertaining to critical infrastructure.” ¶ 70
- ❖ “[T]here are no PJM planning criteria in the Operating Agreement that would allow PJM to plan CIP-014 Mitigation Projects through its RTEP process...” ¶ 58

What does this mean?

- ❖ PJM, and stakeholders, have an opportunity to develop regional planning criteria to prevent and mitigate CIP-014-2 facilities.
- ❖ This activity is consistent with both the PS/IC and the FERC M-4 Order.
- ❖ Consistent with Commissioner Glick's comments, this regional planning criteria could be an improvement to the status quo.

What's next?

- ❖ Until new critical infrastructure planning criteria is developed, M-4 is the FERC-approved process for addressing CIP-014-2 facilities.
- ❖ Once appropriate planning criteria has been developed by stakeholders and approved by FERC, it will provide OA direction for the prevention and mitigation of CIP-014-2 facilities.