

CSTF: Replacement/Prospective Capacity Non-Binding Poll - January 2014

CSTF: Replacement/Prospective Capacity Poll - January, 2014

The following is a non-binding poll of the Capacity Senior Task Force. All voting members, affiliates, and participating state and government agencies are eligible to respond. The results will not be detailed or weighted by sector.

*** Full name**

*** Email address:**

*** Phone number:**

*** Enter the company you are polling for:**

Enter the company you are polling for:

CSTF: Replacement/Prospective Capacity Non-Binding Poll - January 2014

Enter the company you are polling for:

Solution Timing

***POLL: Should the CSTF recommend a solution to the MRC that aims to eliminate or measurably reduce speculative offers in the BRA?**

- Yes, this issue needs to be addressed prior to the 2014 BRA.
- Yes, but the Task Force should take more time to vet a proposal even if it means not having a solution in place for the 2014 BRA.
- This issue does not need to be addressed at this time.

IA Settlement Adjustment

***POLL: Do you believe that a solution addressing speculative offers in the BRA should introduce a measure to remove profit from certain cleared buy bids in an incremental auction (IA Settlement Adjustment)?**

- Yes, I can support the IA Settlement Adjustment described in Package 2.
- Yes, I can support the IA Settlement Adjustment described in Package 5.
- Yes, I can support the IA Settlement Adjustment described in Package 6.
- Yes, I can support the IA Settlement Adjustment described in Package 8.
- I can not support any IA Settlement Adjustment.

Addressing Speculative Offers

***POLL: Do you believe that a solution to addressing speculative offers in the BRA should include stronger measures than what exist today to ensure that commitments made in the BRA intend to provide physical capacity in the Delivery Year?**

Yes

No

***Could you support stricter development milestones that, if met, reduce a resource's post-auction credit obligation?**

Yes

No

***Could you support stricter development milestones that, if met, reduce a resource's post-auction credit obligation AND their Capacity Resource Deficiency Charge?**

Yes

No

***Could you support additional tariff language indicating that a resource offering into the BRA is making a physical commitment to deliver that specific resource which was offered?**

Yes

No

Officer Certification

***POLL: Could you support a new requirement requiring each resource cleared in the BRA to submit an officer certification prior to an IA indicating they either plan to physically deliver or replace their BRA commitment. And, if replacing, enter a bid at the maximum price at which that auction can clear?**

- Yes
- No

Proposal Support

***POLL: If you had to support one proposal at this time, which proposal could you support? (see Matrix attached to poll email for full detail).**


- Package 2
- Package 3
- Package 4
- Package 5
- Package 6
- Package 7
- Package 8
- Package 9
- Package 10
- Cannot support any proposals at this time

CSTF: Replacement/Prospective Capacity Non-Binding Poll - January 2014

***POLL: Would you be willing to support another proposal if a certain component was changed?**

- Package 2
- Package 3
- Package 4
- Package 5
- Package 6
- Package 7
- Package 8
- Package 9
- Package 10
- No

What component would need to change, and what change(s) would you make?



CSTF: Replacement/Prospective Capacity Non-Binding Poll - January 2014

***POLL: Please rank the 3 proposals you could support, or that you could live with if they were changed slightly. Rank in order, with #1 being the one you most prefer, and #3, the least.**

	1	2	3
Package 2	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Package 3	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Package 4	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Package 5	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Package 6	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Package 7	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Package 8	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Package 9	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Package 10	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

End

***Do you have another company to respond for, that will be polling differently?**

Yes

No