


# IPRTF Transition Options Poll Results


8.23.2021

- Poll responses are non-binding and intended to solicit feedback on potential support for key design components
- Total Unique Responders – 36
- Total Companies – 206

Do you support taking interim action on various issues raised in the IPRTF through an available expedited stakeholder process in addition to (running parallel to) utilizing the full CBIR process to comprehensively address the issues in IPRTF?




Do you support taking interim action on exploring a waiver request, or, if required, a Tariff change, to hold open the next queue window until there is timely completion of the current backlog (including AG1 & AG2) through an available expedited stakeholder process in addition to (running parallel to) utilizing the full CBIR process to comprehensively address the issues in IPRTF?




# Transition Options Rankings

Wtd. Avg.



Transition Proposal Rankings Bar



- An expedited process may harm momentum of full CBIR
- Transition mechanism discussions are premature
- Transfer backlog to new rules sooner rather than later
- Moving projects that were selected using current process could cause harm
- Transition or new process should not reduce the time for ISA to be executed


View the verbatim comments [here](#) **Note:** Company names have been removed.

A decorative graphic of thin, grey, wavy lines is positioned at the top of the slide, extending from the left edge towards the center.

# Appendix


# Potential Transition Option 1

All existing projects that have submitted a New Services Request (Attachment N or otherwise) pursuant to the present rules up to and including AG1 that have not otherwise entered a 3-party service agreement with PJM will be transitioned to Cycle 1, Phase 2 of the new process (as currently proposed); as these projects move into decision point number 2 of Cycle 1, they must meet deposit and readiness payment requirements. All existing projects that have submitted a New Service Request (Attachment N or otherwise) pursuant to the present rules from AG2 queue forward that have not otherwise entered a 3-party service agreement with PJM will be transitioned to Cycle 2, Phase 1 (with increased deposits and readiness payments due).




# Potential Transition Option 2

Under this scenario, all applications submitted up to and including AG2 queue will be processed under the old rules. PJM would continue accepting applications during the AH1/AH2 window, but all of these projects will be moved to the new Cycle process (Cycle 1, Phase 1) under the understanding that the new Cycle 1 process will not commence until all backlogged projects up to and including the AG2 queue submissions are cleared, i.e., get all projects up to and including AG2 through the study process culminating in either a three party service agreement or withdrawal.




# Potential Transition Option 3

Under this scenario, all applications submitted up to and including AG1 queue will be processed under the old rules. PJM would continue accepting applications during the AH1/AH2 window, but all of these projects – together with the AG2 projects - will be moved to the new Cycle process (Cycle 1, Phase 1) under the understanding that the new Cycle 1 process will not commence until all backlogged projects up to and including the AG1 queue submissions are cleared, i.e., get all projects up to and including AG1 through the study process culminating in either a three party service agreement or withdrawal.



# Potential Transition Option 4

All existing projects that submitted New Services Request (Attachment N or otherwise) pursuant to present rules up to and including AF1 that have not entered a 3-party service agreement with PJM will be processed under the present PJM rules. All projects that submitted New Service Request (Attachment N or otherwise) for the AF2 queue through and including AG1 queue will be transitioned to Cycle 1, Phase 2; as these projects move into decision point number 2 of Cycle 1, they must meet deposit and readiness payment requirements. All existing projects that submitted New Service Request (Attachment N or otherwise) pursuant to present rules from AG2 queue forward that have not entered a 3-party service agreement with PJM will be transitioned to Cycle 2, Phase 1 (with increased deposits and readiness payments due).



## Transitional Plan

Studies that are currently in “feasibility Study stage” should transition to “Phase 1” cluster process subject to the security deposit (\$/MW).

Studies that are currently in “Impact Study stage” should transition to “Phase 2” cluster process subject to the readiness deposit (RD).

Studies that are currently in “Facility Study stage” should transition to “Phase 3” cluster process subject to the readiness deposit (RD). Projects with Facility Study near completion – maintain under current process



Presenter: Jack Thomas  
 [Jack.Thomas@pjm.com]

## Transition Options Poll Results



### Member Hotline

(610) 666 – 8980

(866) 400 – 8980

custsvc@pjm.com