



David Mills  
Interim President & CEO

PJM Interconnection  
2750 Monroe Blvd.  
Audubon, PA 19403

March 27, 2026

***Via Electronic Delivery***

Dear Governors Pritzker and Sherrill,

Thank you for your correspondence received on February 12, 2026, wherein you express certain concerns about PJM's proposed Expedited Interconnection Track (EIT). As your offices are aware, PJM's generation interconnection queue has been reformed and is "open for business." A developer that submits an interconnection request today will have their project studied and fully processed in 1 to 2 years. That process may get shortened based upon work that we are currently conducting with Google's Tapestry team and internally to utilize artificial intelligence to potentially further streamline our processes. Since 2020, PJM has processed over 300 GW worth of projects, and we look forward to processing more through our reformed queue framework.

PJM has proposed the EIT as a "fast lane" that would exist alongside our reformed queue. The EIT would serve to allow a very limited set of projects (10) to effectively jump the queue to be studied. As we do not want to hinder the study of projects currently in the queue, or prospective projects that will submit to be studied in the queue, the requirements to be part of the EIT are intentionally very stringent.

As you referenced, the minimum UCAP threshold that is in our filing at FERC is 250 MW. Aggregation of, for instance, solar and battery storage at the same point of interconnection is a possibility to meet this threshold. Further, the definition of "primary siting authority" in our filing was revised so as to not confuse siting and permitting, and to focus on the siting authority for the specific EIT project itself. This does not need to be a state-level authority and can be a governmental subdivision of the state. The siting authority would not circumvent its due process by agreeing to site the project in its letter of support to PJM. Rather, the siting authority would simply need to agree to review the project by a certain date so that the prospective project could meet its projected delivery date if sited. To date, PJM has ~54 GW of projects that have agreements from PJM and are ready to construct, but obstacles outside of PJM's control, including siting, are impeding their development. This is why the siting requirement has been included in our EIT filing. To note, there is also an opportunity for the chief executive of a state to submit the requisite letter of support if a program has been established to expedite the siting of priority projects via executive order or other binding authority.

We greatly appreciate your attention to this matter and look forward to continued collaboration as we seek to meet this challenge of maintaining reliability amid historic demand growth.

Sincerely,

David E. Mills  
Chair, PJM Board of Managers  
Interim President & CEO, PJM Interconnection, LLC