

# Stakeholder Feedback Poll Results

Stu Bresler

EVP Markets and Strategy

Members Committee

July 23, 2025

Updated and reposted on Aug. 15, 2025  
without Affiliate votes

PJM has multiple stakeholder efforts underway, being contemplated and/or that have been raised during prior discussions related to resource adequacy, large load additions and the capacity market.

- Quadrennial Review
- Effective Load Carrying Capability Methodology Enhancements
- Sub-annual Capacity Market Construct
- Prompt Auction Schedules
- Reforms to Further Enable Demand Response and Load Flexibility
- More Balanced Schedules by LSEs

PJM sought/is seeking stakeholder feedback before potentially developing and executing on a plan for the prioritization, subsequent timing and sequencing of these efforts.

## **Assumptions:**


- Quadrennial review is a catalyst for additional discussion.
- At a minimum, we want to move forward with an expedited stakeholder processes associated with further integration of large loads.

## **Poll Window:**

June 19 – July 2


PJM also expects to seek/receive oral feedback during the July Members Committee meeting.

**Which of the following additional issues would your organization like to have considered along with the Quadrennial Review with the same targeted timeline to be applied to the 2028/2029 Delivery Year Base Residual Auction?\***



*\*Responders were able to select all that applied*


Which of the following additional issues would your organization like to have considered along with the large load addition issue?\*



\*Responders were able to select all that applied


# Stakeholder Feedback Poll Results

**For any issues that are not considered along with the Quadrennial Review or large load additions, which issues would your organization like to see addressed in the stakeholder process?\***




*\*Responders were able to select all that applied*

Please rank your organization's prioritization of these issues: 1 Ranking (Highest Priority)



Please rank your organization's prioritization of these issues: 5 Ranking (Lowest Priority)



| 1                                                                                                                                           | 2                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                             | 3                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No strong stakeholder indication to delay the 28/29 BRA to pursue capacity market changes</li> </ul> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Consistent stakeholder sentiment to pursue load flexibility (perhaps beyond large load additions), desire for ongoing enhancements to ELCC, and recognition of the interactions between capacity market components, such as ELCC and a sub-annual structure</li> </ul> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Consistent stakeholder sentiment that significant changes such as sub-annual, prompt and balanced LSE schedules should be evaluated and pursued via thorough stakeholder process</li> </ul> |

| <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Discuss and confirm stakeholder feedback at today's MC meeting</li></ul> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• PJM to incorporate input and publish an updated Markets Roadmap</li></ul> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Discuss the updated Roadmap and solicit stakeholder feedback at the August 6 MIC and August 20 MRC meetings</li></ul> |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|

SME/Presenter:  
Stu Bresler, [Stu.Bresler@pjm.com](mailto:Stu.Bresler@pjm.com)



### Member Hotline

(610) 666 – 8980

(866) 400 – 8980

[custsvc@pjm.com](mailto:custsvc@pjm.com)


## Stakeholder Feedback Poll Results

**PROTECT THE  
POWER GRID**

**THINK BEFORE  
YOU CLICK!**



**BE ALERT TO  
MALICIOUS PHISHING  
EMAILS**



**Report suspicious email activity to PJM.**  
Call (610) 666-2244 or email [it\\_ops\\_ctr\\_shift@pjm.com](mailto:it_ops_ctr_shift@pjm.com)