

This roadmap corresponds to redlines posted on Oct 13 at this link: <https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mc/2026/20261016-governance/item-01---governance-and-stakeholder-process-oa-and-tariff-revisions---redline.pdf>

TERM 1 | 205 Filing Rights – Energy & Ancillary Services and Transmission Planning

Page Turn pp.	TERM 1 205 Filing Rights & Jump Ball Schedule 1 & 2	REVISIONS
2	Sched. 1 and 2 205 Rights: In exchange for PJM agreeing to and implementing the changes set forth below in Section 6 subject to FERC acceptance/approval, and addition to the Membership's current 205 rights, PJM also have Section 205 of the Federal Power Act (FPA) rights over changes to the following schedules of the PJM Operating Agreement (these rights will be set forth in Section 10.4 of the OA): ○ Schedule No. 1 ("PJM Interchange Energy Market") ○ Schedule No. 2 ("Components of Cost")	OA, section 10.5(i) [NEW]
4		OA, section 8.8 [REV] (conforming changes)
2-3	Sched 1 and 2 Jump Ball: Any separate Member and PJM 205 filings pertaining to similar issues associated with Schedule 1 or Schedule 2 presented within a 10 business day period shall be considered to be a jump ball filings.	OA, section 10.5(ii)(B) [NEW]
2-3	Sched 1 and 2 Jump Ball: The implication of a jump ball filing is that: both proposals would have equal footing under Section 205, the Commission will not be required to consider whether the then-existing filed rate is unlawful, and the Commission may adopt either proposal that it finds to be just and reasonable.	OA, section 10.5(ii)(B) [NEW]
Page Turn pp.	TERM 1 205 Notice Provisions Schedules 1 and 2	REVISIONS
2	PJM would be required to provide 30 days' notice to the membership of any proposed 205 filings with respect to energy and ancillary service market design changes, except in the case of imminent harm to system reliability or imminent severe economic harm to electric consumers, in which case PJM would provide at least 24 hours' notice.	OA, section 10.5(ii)(A) [NEW] [Sched 1 and 2]

Page Turn pp.	TERM 1 PJM Independence	REVISIONS
2 102	PJM Independence: PJM shall not provide a preference to any individual, company, member, or state or district, or group thereof, in the exercise of its Section 205 authority. Adherence to the jump ball provisions of Section 6 is not a prohibited preference.	OA, section 10.5(i) [NEW] Tariff, section 9.2(h) [NEW]
Page Turn pp.	TERM 1 TEAC	REVISIONS
5-7, 15 (adds MC)	New language would be added to section 8.6 of the Operating Agreement to include the TEAC as a permanent committee established in the Operating Agreement.	OA 8.6, [REV] Tariff Sched. 19 1.3 [Moved, REV]

[illegible]

MANUALS:

Stakeholder Process: With respect to these schedules **[Schedules 1, 2, 6, 6-A, 6-B, 6-C]**, PJM will endeavor to present its proposals in the stakeholder process by developing a problem statement/issue charge

TEAC/Transmission Planning: The language would further guarantee a planning process open to all interested persons consistent with law and FERC regulations, including an adequate opportunity for PJM and TOs to consider and comment on criteria, needs, and proposals. It would also address confidentiality.

TERM 2 | Revise Manual 34 To Improve Stakeholder Process Efficiency and To Include Additional Expedited Stakeholder Processes

[Changes not in Governing Docs]

TERM 3 | Revise PJM Committee Structure

Page Turn pp.	TERM 3	REVISIONS
5-7 (moved in part to OA 8.8 on pp 83-84) 79-93, (OA: 79-81, 83) (Tariff: 82-87) (RAA: 88-93)	PJM proposes to eliminate the Markets and Reliability Committee as duplicative and refine the lower standing committees to make them more effective, subject to appropriate Committee approval. Work is duplicated in lower committees and their corresponding standing committees, especially when proposal development and votes are required at both levels.	OA Definitions [REV] OA sections 8.6, 8.1.1, 8.8 [REV] OA section (vi-xv) 8.8 [NEW] OA Sched. 7, section 1.2 [REV] Tariff Att. DD, section 13 [REV] Tariff Att. K., sections 8.9, 1.5A.11 [REV] RAA Definitions [REV] RAA Arts. 1.D, 6, 7.7, 8.2 [REV] RAA Sch. 1.D Sch 11 [REV]

Manuals: PJM proposes to refine the voting structure at the lower committees (that require a vote) to align with the Members Committee sector-weighted voting framework. For transparency purposes, PJM will publish voting reports of affiliate voting results.

TERM 4 | Extend Board Terms

Page Turn pp.	TERM 4 Board Term, cooling off period, preference for candidate in PJM region, Board state assignment	REVISIONS
94	A preference will be given to qualified candidates that reside within the PJM region.	OA section 7.2 [REV]
94-95	PJM would extend the term of Board service to four years, with the opportunity for two renominations (for a total of three terms, with an additional allowance for Board members who served a partial term). Members will develop and approve a transition mechanism. PJM also proposes to reduce the “cooling off” period to one year for Board appointments to allow for additional qualified candidates to be considered in the nomination process (note that restrictions on financial interests would remain).	OA section 7.3(a) [DELETE, Reserved] OA section 7.3(b) [REV] OA section 7.3(d) [NEW] OA section 7.3(e) [NEW]

Board Governance: “Each state has a Board member assigned for periodic conversations on state priorities and other pressing PJM matters.”

TERM 5 | Advance Board Transparency

[Changes not in Governing Docs]

TERM 6 I “Jump Ball” 205 Filing Rights Over Resource Adequacy

Page Turn pp.	TERM 6 ATTACH. DD JUMP BALL	REVISIONS
100-103	Jump Ball Attach DD, Members: PJM would include, as an alternative to its own FERC filing, any proposal supported by a 2/3 sector-weighted supermajority of the Members Committee that pertains to the Reliability Assurance Agreement and Attachment DD of the Tariff.	OA Tariff, section 9.2(f)(i)(a) [NEW] 9.2(a) [REV] RAA Art. 6 [REV]
100-101	Jump Ball Attach DD, OPSI: To the extent that OPSI makes a proposal pertaining to the Reliability Assurance Agreement and Attachment DD that satisfies the following conditions: (i) the matter has an approved problem statement/issue charge; (ii) the states execute a Memorandum of Understanding memorializing the shared responsibilities and roles between the states and PJM over reliability and resource adequacy (to be filed at FERC), and (iii) the proposal receives a supermajority 2/3 sector-weighted vote by the Members Committee, PJM would include such proposal as an alternative to its own FERC filing. The Members must support their jump ball proposal.	Tariff, section 9.2(f)(i)(b) [NEW] section 9.2(a) [REV]
100-102	Safety/Reliability ATT. DD: If the PJM Board determines that either the OPSI proposal or the Member proposal would compromise the safety or reliability of the bulk electric system of the PJM region, it would not be required to make the filing with FERC. In that case, PJM shall append its analysis and the OPSI or Member proposal to the PJM filing, and PJM’s determination to not file such proposal shall be subject to FERC review.	Tariff, section 9.2(f)(ii) [NEW] section 9.2(a) [REV] RAA Art. 6 [REV]

TERM 7 | State Participation in PJM Process

Page Turn pp.	TERM 7 OPSI Increase in Funding	REVISIONS
104-105	PJM would support increased funding for a reconstituted OPSI, recognizing not only the addition of the executive branch, but also the need for greater engagement in the stakeholder process.	Tariff Schedule 9-OPSI [REV]

Manuals:

PJM proposes to increase state participation in PJM in two ways. First, PJM will work with the states to enhance OPSI to include a structure such that each state speaks with one voice through OPSI.

Second, PJM proposes to specify that OPSI can make a proposal on equal footing with any Member proposal or any PJM proposal.

TERM 8 I State Participation in PJM Process

Page Turn pp.	TERM 8 Nominating Committee	REVISIONS
106 (was also include d on page 97)	PJM supports the addition of a state appointee to the Nominating Committee with the understanding that precedent in this area suggests that the Members Committee should approve this change. The Nominating Committee participants must be able to abide by the Master Charter without violating any applicable law.	OA section 7.1 [REV]

Master Charter: Conforming revisions

TERM 9 | Annual Prioritization Process and Work Plan

[Changes not in Governing Docs]

TERM 10 I State Participation in PJM Process

Page Turn pp.	TERM 8 Nominating Committee	REVISIONS
107	PJM supports the addition of a public interest mandate to Section 7.7 of the Operating Agreement – “operate in the public interest consistent with the FPA”	OA section 7.7 [REV]

Master Charter/Board Gov Docs/Manuals:

On matters of significance that could necessitate independent action by the Board of Managers related to changes to governing documents on Markets and Planning, PJM will develop for the Board of Managers an “Impact Statement” of proposals for the Board’s review. This document will be made available to stakeholders prior to submittal to the Board

of Managers. Conceptually, this document will endeavor to include the following sections:

- o Key drivers of costs and anticipated benefits that consider the observable impacts of major decisions on Markets and Planning (including any stakeholder analyses);
- o Assessment of the extent of how the proposal serves the public interest – both short term and long-term; and
- o Information related to how decisions can affect the mechanics of competitive retail electricity markets, such as timing of processes or established arrangements (PJM will seek stakeholder input to incorporate into impact statement).