

# Market Participant Minimum Capitalization

Ryan Jones Senior Manager Underwriting Risk Management Committee April 22, 2025

www.pjm.com | Public PJM © 2025



# Tangible Net Worth (PJM and GAAP) Definitions

## **PJM**

				/ 100			
Tangible Not	Total assets less goodwill and other intangible assets, minus total liabilities						
Tangible Net Worth*	*Tangible Net Worth shall <b>exclude:</b> assets that PJM reasonably believes to be restricted, highly risky or potentially unavailable to						
GAAP	settle a claim in the even of default.						
Tangible Net	Not explicitly defined term, but derived from GAAP-defined elements						
Worth	Total assets, less total liabilities, less intangible assets (defined below)						
Intonaible	Non monetary asset lacking physical substance, identifiable and						
		d to provide fut Construction in Progress	ure economic b		Restricted Assets (excluded funds posted to PJM)		

Intangible Assets	PJM	GAAP
Goodwill	X	Х
Patents	Χ	Χ
Trademarks	Χ	X
Lease agreements	X	X
Brands	Χ	Χ
Licensing, royalty and standstill agreements	X	X
Copyrights	Χ	Χ
Right of use	Χ	Χ

<sup>\*</sup>Note: Demonstration of minimum capitalization must be presented in the form of audited financial statements for the participant's most

recent fiscal ye<mark>ar.</mark>



# High-Level Comparison of Minimum Capitalization Proposals

## **Market Participants:**

	Status Quo TNW \$1 M or TA \$10 M – FTR TNW \$500,000 or TA \$5 M – Other	Proposed Solution #1  TNW \$2 M or \$10 M TA (FTR)  TNW \$1.5 M or \$5 M  (Other TNW > 0)	Proposed Solution #2  TNW \$2 M + \$1 M Per MKT & TA \$10 M – FTR  TNW \$1 M per MKt & TA \$5 M  (Other TNW > 0)	
Meets	734	660	670	
Does not meet	*50	119	114	
%	6%	15%	15%	

<sup>\*</sup>Updated from previously presented status quo numbers to reflect receipt of additional FY 2023 financial statements and to utilize consistent data set across all proposals

- Large increase in MPs not meeting requirements from status quo to proposed solution 1 & 2 due to stipulation that TNW must be > 0.
- Proposed Solution 1 & 2 result in virtually same number of MP's not meeting requirement.

www.pjm.com | Public PJM © 2025



# Comparison of Minimum Capitalization Proposals

## **Market Participants:**

	Status Quo TNW \$1 M or TA \$10 M – FTR TNW \$500,000 or TA \$5 M – Other		Proposed Solution #1  TNW \$2 M or \$10 M TA (FTR)  TNW \$1.5 M or \$5 M  (Other TNW > 0)		Proposed Solution #2  TNW \$2 M + \$1 M Per MKT & TA \$10 M – FTR  TNW \$1 M per MKt & TA \$5 M  (Other TNW > 0)	
	Meets	Doesn't Meet	Meets	Doesn't Meet	Meets	Doesn't Meet
Generation Owner/Transmission Owner	336	22	325	33	320	38
Load Serving Entity	90	11	75	26	76	25
Curtailment Service Provider	19	9	11	17	12	16
Financial Trader	120	5	104	21	112	13
Power Marketer	123	3	108	18	109	17
Other	46	0	42	4	41	5
Total	734	50	665	119	670	114



Increase in market participants not meeting minimum capitalization requirements from status quo primarily due to requirement of TNW > 0.

# Primary Reasons Market Participants Not Meeting Requirements:

### **Generators:**

- Negative equity position
- Large intangible assets reporting on balance sheet

### LSEs:

- Negative equity position
- Large restricted/security accounts held outside of PJM

## **Financial Traders:**

Small balance sheets (Equity position of \$1 M-\$2 M)

### **Power Marketers:**

- Negative equity position
- Restricted assets