

Review of Stakeholder Feedback and Updates to PJM's Compliance Approach to Order 1920

Emmanuele Bobbio Principal Economist

TEAC special session – Order 1920 September 5, 2025



- Review stakeholder feedback from July 18, 2025
- Review PARSEC feedback
- PJM's considerations:
 - PJM 1920 whitepaper (posted with this special TEAC materials)
 - All-in One Plan to address Core and Additional Needs holistically with PARSEC-developed automatic selection criterion
 - Age-based retirements
 - Alternative Transmission Technologies
 - Facilitating the interconnection of future resources



Review of Stakeholder Feedback from 7/18 Special TEAC and PARSEC Feedback



Stakeholders Who Provided Feedback at 7/18 special TEAC

- Vistra (Arnie Quinn)
 - Voluntary Funding Opportunities
- RMI (Claire Wayner)
 - Core and Additional LT Needs distinction
 - Age-based deactivations
 - Alternative Transmission Technologies



- The PJM Area Relevant State Entity Committee (PARSEC) provided unanimous support for PJM compliance approach that distinguishes between Core and Additional Needs subject to PJM's adoption of the following PARSEC-requested selection criteria
 - Backstop Plan, which addresses Core Needs, i.e. the minimum set of LT Needs that PJM should address to maintain system reliability: adoption of a 1:1 benefit-to-cost minimum ratio threshold selection criterion calculated on a portfolio basis
 - All-in One Plan which addresses all types of LT Needs (Core <u>and</u> Additional) *holistically*: adoption of a 1.25:1 benefit-to-cost minimum ratio threshold for the incremental scope (relative to the Backstop Plan) that address Additional Needs



PJM Consideration on: All-in One Plan for Core and Additional LT Needs, and PARSEC-Developed Selection Criteria



All-in One Plan for Core and Additional LT Needs, and PARSEC-Developed Selection Criteria

PJM adopts PARSEC and stakeholder recommendations

- PJM has issued a whitepaper outlining the main features of PJM's compliance approach as requested by the states and other stakeholders in the 5/19 special TEAC
- The whitepaper introduces two main enhancements to the conceptual approach:
 - All-in One Plan which holistically addresses LT Needs (Core & Additional) combined
 - Adoption of PARSEC-requested selection criteria for the Backstop Plan and for the All-in-One Plan to complement those already discussed with stakeholders in Phase III.A of the stakeholder engagement plan*

^{*} Required vs projected in-service date; robustness; constructability; expandability; operational performance/flexibility; benefits and costs (see 4/10 special TEAC <u>materials</u>)



LTRTP Process with PARSEC-Developed Selection Criteria

* PJM will identify the more efficient or cost effective solutions addressing Core and Additional LT Needs holistically (combined) subject to the PJM selection criteria, including the PARSEC developed criteria

** States' decision to select, through Voluntary Funding Opportunities, other projects not selected by PJM in the All-in One Plan – also for interconnection customers, per Order 1920 requirement Reliability and Economic Analysis on Low, Base, High Scenarios

LT Needs Identification using Low, Base, High Scenarios

PJM identifies through consultation with states and the broader stakeholder community a minimum subset of Core LT Needs to maintain system reliability

Single Competitive Window for all LT Needs (tagging of Core LT Needs and Additional LT Needs)

PJM develops **Backstop Plan** for Core LT Needs informed by a 1:1 B-to-C ratio threshold on a portfolio basis and other PJM selection criteria (e.g. robustness, constructability, etc.)

PJM develops **All-in One Plan** for Core LT Needs and Additional LT Needs holistically and combined applying PARSEC-developed 1.25:1 B-to-C ratio threshold for the incremental scope addressing Additional Needs*



Final LTRTP Plan: plan of transmission solutions addressing Core and Additional LT Needs and voluntary commitments holistically developed using selection criteria, including PARSEC-developed criteria



PJM Consideration on Age-Based Retirements, ATT, Voluntary Funding Opportunities Feedback



- Scenario development assumptions, including anticipated deactivation assumptions, will be determined on implementation through consultation with the states and other stakeholders
- PJM can consider on implementation to adopt for the Base Scenario an aged-based approach



- PJM will not propose or force specific solutions nor technology specific uses but will evaluate all submitted proposals and encourage use of Alternative Transmission Technologies where appropriate
- Proposing entities and project sponsors need to be willing and ready to adopt the proposed solution (if proposed by nonincumbents)
- PJM selects projects based on merit and will review selections with stakeholders through the TEAC prior to submission to the PJM Board of Managers for review and approval



Voluntary Funding Opportunities for Interconnection Customers

- Interconnection Process (3 years): the reformed, cluster-based, interconnection process allows to collectively fund large transmission upgrades
- Order 1000 (5 years): coordination with Interconnection Processes required by Order 1920 creates another opportunity for PJM to facilitate the interconnection of new resources with near term actions
- Order 1920 (+6 years):
 - PJM will account for commercial interest in the capacity expansion by using existing and withdrawn projects from the queue (reflecting developer interest, area/zone development potential and resource/fuel availability)
 - PJM will solicit stakeholder feedback (also from interconnection customers) at the scenario development stage
 - Order 1920 benefits quantify the benefits from the interconnection of new resources
 - Voluntary Funding Opportunities creates yet an additional venue