

LAS Stakeholder Feedback Poll

Question 2

Based on the information provided at the June 5 LAS meeting, what additional questions do you have?

1. Break forecast error into drivers (timing delays, downsizing, cancellations, low utilization after energization), not just forecast vs. actual.
2. How does PJM de-duplicate large load across zones and interconnection requests so the same project isn't double-counted?
3. Publish real accuracy metrics (MAPE, MW error, bias) by zone and forecast vintage.
4. Track each forecast vintage against actuals over time, since the post-2027 ramp has no actuals to check against yet.
5. Clarify how firm vs. non-firm load is handled at peak.

Has PJM reached out to states regarding the opportunity to review and provide input into large load adjustment requests this summer? And if so, what kind of responses or feedback has PJM received in response?

- Will PJM fully accept contracted load reviewed or supported by RERRA?
- What is the criteria PJM will use for discounting load not contracted but supported by RERRA?
- Is PJM expecting evidence of providing or reviewing Large Load Request with RERRA?
- If a customer has only provided total capacity and ISD how does PJM want the load ramped (equally over 3 years)?
- What does PJM mean by "Project Configuration"?
- What response is PJM expecting for the question of "Probability of Materializing" Percentage / our scoring value / High / Medium / Low etc.?
- Does PJM give additional weighting to a contracted load with a Needs #?

Does the Consultant/PJM NDA cover the communications between the EDCs/LSEs and the Consultant, or are the EDCs/LSEs required to have separate NDAs with the Consultant?

See our answer to question 1.

To what extent will the outside, independent consultant look into the issues raised in our answer to question 1. Also, we restate the questions it posed in the memorandum, dated June 3, 2026

Will the upcoming round of the large load adjustment process conform to PJM's definition of a "large load" as established in the RBP-C&M CIFP? In PJM's current package the definition of a large load is "end-use customer load that has a cumulative peak load quantity ≥ 50 MWs at the Point of Interconnection".

If yes, what revisions to M19 and/or new forecasting processes will need to be established? The LLA process has historically been focused on large load *shifts* not well captured in typical econometric models. However, if PJM is now planning to develop a forecast for *all* large loads as envisioned in PJM's current RBP-CAM package, the scope of the LLA process presumably needs to expand to cover every end-use customer with peak load ≥ 50 MWs.

If not, what new process will PJM establish to develop a forecast of "large loads" and, critically, "new large loads"? In PJM's current RBP-C&M package, the quantity of "new large load" is a key input for determining if C&M is triggered for the delivery year and assigning area allocations for C&M MWs.

