

RPM Cost Allocation Education

Patrick Bruno, Principal Market Design Specialist

DOE 202c Cost Allocation Senior Task Force July 22, 2025





 Provide an overview of the cost allocation structure used in the Capacity Market



RPM Locational Reliability Charge

- LSEs are charged for their Daily Unforced Capacity Obligation in a zone priced at applicable Final Zonal Capacity Price for the Delivery Year
- Charges are calculated daily and billed weekly

Daily Zonal UCAP Obligation MW

 Each zone with RPM load receives a share of the RTO-procured UCAP based on its share of forecasted peak load



 LSEs receive share of Zonal UCAP based on EDC allocation

Final Zonal Capacity Price

Weighted average price of capacity in zone (weighted by UCAP cleared in auctions):

- Marginal value of system capacity
- Locational Price Adders, if any, for zones in constrained LDAs
- Any required adjustments (e.g. make-whole payments)



Determining UCAP Obligations in RPM

Zonal UCAP Obligation MW

Total RTO-procured UCAP MW across all RPM auctions for the Delivery Year is allocated to each zone based on its share of forecasted peak load

Example (for RPM load):

- RTO procured UCAP MW in RPM Auctions = 140,000 MW
- RTO forecasted peak load for Delivery Year = 150,000 MW
- Zone A forecasted peak load = 15,000 MW (10% of total)
- Zone A UCAP Obligation = 14,000 MW (140,000 MW * 10%)

LSE Share of Zonal UCAP Obligations

Zonal UCAP Obligation MW are allocated to customers and LSEs in the zone based on EDC-defined methodologies

- EDCs are responsible for allocating prior summer weather normalized peak load of zone to customers and determining obligation peak loads (typically based on 5 CPs)
 - <u>THEO, PLC & NSPL Methodology Inventory</u>
- EDCs are also responsible for uploading obligation peak load data into system for each LSE serving load in their zone
- Obligation peak loads are multiplied by zonal scaling factors and FPR to determine each LSE's Daily Zonal UCAP Obligation MW



CTR Credit: Capacity Transfer Rights (CTRs) are used to allocate the economic value of transmission import capability in constrained LDAs, and help provide an offset to RPM Locational Reliability Charges for LSEs in zones of a constrained LDA

CTR MW: Set to the difference between the Final UCAP Obligation for an LDA and the amount of internal cleared capacity from RPM auctions for such LDA (i.e. imported MW to meet UCAP obligation)

- CTR MW are first allocated to holders of ICTRs or cleared QTUs, as applicable
- Remaining CTR MW allocated to LSEs based on Daily UCAP Obligation MW share

CTR Credit to LSEs: The payment received by LSEs is equal to their allocated CTR MW times the weighted average locational price adder of the LDA



Numerical Example of Capacity Payments for LSEs in Constrained LDA

Locational Reliability Charges for Zone A (constrained LDA):

- Total RTO Procured UCAP = 140,000 MW
- RTO Forecasted Peak Load = 150,000 MW
- Zone A Forecasted Peak Load = 15,000 MW (10% of total)
- Zone A UCAP Obligation = 14,000 MW
- Zone A Final Zonal Capacity Price = \$200/MW-day (constrained in RPM auctions)

Locational Reliability Charges for Zone A (\$/day) = \$2.8 million (14,000 MW * \$200/MW-day)

CTR Credits for Zone A:

- Zone A Internal Cleared MW = 10,000 MW
- Zone A CTR MW = 4,000 MW (14,000 MW 4,000 MW); Assume all CTR MW allocated to LSEs in zone
- CTR Credit Rate = \$50 (RTO clearing price of \$150, locational price adder for LDA of \$50)

Offsetting CTR Credits for Zone A (\$/day) = \$200,000 (4,000 MW * \$50/MW-day)

Net Load Capacity Payments (\$/day) = \$2.6 million (Locational Reliability Charges net of CTR Credits)

• Effectively paying for internal cleared UCAP (10,000 MW) * constrained price (\$200) + imported UCAP (4,000) * RTO price (\$150)

Key Takeaway: Zonal capacity charges in constrained regions are based on Locational Reliability Charges and offsetting CTR Credits, representing the economic value of imported capacity to meet the zone's UCAP obligation.



Cost Impact of Retaining Resources in Constrained LDA

Impact Type	Impact to Load in Constrained LDA	Impact to Load in Unconstrained Region			
Final Zonal Capacity Price	Typically results in a lower constrained LDA clearing price and Final Zonal Capacity Price	Also typically results in a lower unconstrained clearing price and Final Zonal Capacity Price for zones in unconstrained regions			
UCAP Obligation	Typically increases the UCAP Obligations of load in the zone proportional to the zonal share of forecasted peak load	Same impact (proportional to zonal share of forecasted peak load for zones in unconstrained region)			
CTR Credits	Typically results in Iower CTR Credits (Increase in UCAP obligation is more than offset by increase in internal cleared UCAP from retained resource, and may see a reduction in the CTR Credit Rate as well)	Not applicable			
Key Takeaway: The cost impact of retaining a resource in a constrained LDA is typically not limited to just the load in zones within the constrained LDA. It can also impact the clearing prices of parent regions or the RTO, and an					

increase in total procured capacity for the RTO would be shared across all zones.

Cost Impact of Retained Resources in Unconstrained Region

Impact Type	Impact to Load in Unconstrained Region	Impact to Load in a Constrained LDA			
Final Zonal Capacity Price	Typically results in a lower unconstrained clearing price and Final Zonal Capacity Price	Likely no impact			
UCAP Obligation	Typically increases the UCAP Obligations of load in unconstrained zones proportional to the zonal share of forecasted peak load	Same impact (proportional to zonal share of forecasted peak load for zone(s) in constrained LDA)			
CTR Credits	Not applicable	Typically results in higher CTR Credits (increase in UCAP obligation would increase the CTR MW all else equal, and the CTR Credit Rate based on the locational price adder would also likely increase with a lower unconstrained clearing price)			
Key Takeaway: The cost impact of retaining a resource in the unconstrained region is likely to have less of an impact on load in zones within constrained LDAs. An increase in the UCAP Obligation for load in a constrained LDA would likely be offset by an increase of the CTR MW. However, load in the zones of the constrained LDA can still					

benefit from the lower unconstrained clearing price by receiving a higher CTR Credit Rate and CTR Credits.



Appendix



Peak Load Contribution (PLC)

Capacity-related parameter

- Calculated and submitted to PJM by Electric Distribution Company (EDC) using established procedures
 - Each EDC's methodology is presented on PJM website at <u>THEO, PLC & NSPL Methodology</u> <u>Inventory</u>
- Represents LSE's share of PJM's weather normalized peak load from previous summer (June through September)
- Used by PJM in capacity market to determine LSE's Locational Reliability charge, which is based on daily Unforced Capacity Obligation (UCAP)

∌∕ pjm' i	abo	out pjm training o	committees & g	roups planning markets & operations libra	ry			
Operational Data		Home > Markets & Operations > Billing, Settlements & Credit > THEO, PLC & NSPL Methodology Inventory						
Data Directory								
nterregional Data Map		THEO, PLC & NSPL Methodology Inventory						
JM Tools	0	Total Houriy Energy Obligation (THEO), Peak Load Contribution (PLC) and Network Service Peak Load (NSPL) parameters are						
nergy Market	۵	calculated values used for a variety of purposes by FJM. Inese values are calculated by the electric distribution companies within PJM's territory. Provided below is an inventory of the procedures for calculation of THEO, PLC and NSPL for each of the electric distribution companies. The THEO, PLC and NSPL methodology inventory process [79] identifies the process for updating the inventory as well as the locations on the PJM website where the inventory may be found.						
apacity Market (RPM)								
inancial Transmission ights	D							
ncillary Services					Last Revised			
emand Response		Utility	Methodology	Additional Information	Date			
illing, Settlements & redit	-	Allegheny Power Systems (Including the EDCs of West Pennsylvania Power,	PJM Open Access Transmission Tariff (OATT) Attachments:	First Energy Zones Attachments: M-1 - Procedures for Determining a Load Serving Entity's Hourly Energy Obligations	9.27.2013			
Minute Settlements		Monongahela Power and Potomac Edison)		M-2 - Procedures for Determining a Load Serving Entity's Peak Load				
illing Contact Change		rotomac calobily	M-2 PDF					
RR - LSE Capacity Rates		Allegheny Electric Cooperative, Inc.		Not applicable				
iuide to Billing ISRS Reports ocumentation	0	American Electric Power Company, Inc. (AEP)	Company website	The web page includes AEP's process descriptions for transmission, capacity, hourly energy and unaccounted for energy calculations.	February 2015			
reliminary Billing Reports Ancillary Services Market Vata HEO, PLC & NSPL Vethodology Inventory		American Transmission Systems, Inc. (ATSI) (Including the EDCs of Ohio Edison, Pennsylvania Power, Toledo Edison and Cleveland Electric Illuminating)	PJM OATT Attachments: M-1 PDF M-2 PDF	First Energy Zones Attachments: M-1 - Procedures for Determining a Load Serving Entity's Hourty Energy Obligations M-2 - Procedures for Determining a Load Serving Entity's Peak Load Contribution and Network Service Peak Load	9.27.2013			
ystem Operations	0	Atlantic City Electric	PJM OATT	Attachment:	7.14.2011			
dvanced Technology ilot Program	D	Company	Attachment: M-2 PDF	M-2 - Procedures for Determination of Peak Load Contributions and Hourly Load Obligations for Retail Customers				
		Baltimore Gas and Electric Company (BGE)	PJM OATT Attachment: M-2 PDF	Attachment: M-2 - Methodologies used to determine Capacity Peak Load Contributions, Network Service Peak Load Contributions, Daily Peak Load Obligation, Daily Network Service Peak Load Obligation, and Hourly Load Obligations	9.1.2014			
		Commonwealth Edison (ComEd)	PJM OATT Attachment: M-2 PDF	Attachment: M-2 - Methodologies used to determine Capacity Peak Load Contributions, Network Service Peak Load Contributions, Daily Peak Load Obligation, Daily Network Service Peak Load Obligation, and Hourly Load Obligations	1.4.2016			
		Dayton Power and Light Company	Company website	Available upon request	9.3.2014			
		Delmarva Power and Light Company	PJM OATT, Attachments: M-2 PDF M-2 PDF	Attachment: M-2 - Procedures for Determination of Peak Load Contributions and Hourly Load Obligations for Retail Customers	7.14.2011			
		Dominion Virginia Power	Company website	The Capacity Peak Load Contribution and Network Service Peak Load Manual and Hourly Energy Obligation Manual are located under Operational Information within the Supplier Information Center.	9.3.2014			



Determining PLC

PJM determines <u>historical</u> zonal Weather Normalized Coincident Peak Loads, published in Oct EDC allocates previous summer's Weather Normalized Coincident peak to customers in zone, using established methodology EDC sums customer Weather Normalized Coincident peaks by LSE, using established methodology, and uploads to PJM via Capacity Exchange in Dec



- PJM performs load studies on summer and winter loads, for both coincident and non-coincident peaks
- Weather normalized (W/N) non-coincident peaks (1CP) are provided by PJM for use by stakeholders in reviewing PJM load forecast
 - Long-term trend of each zone's seasonal coincident and noncoincident peak loads
 - Reasonable portrayal of anticipated growth in each zone's first year forecast
- W/N coincident peaks are used by EDCs to determine capacity peak load shares for wholesale and retail customers
 - Used in RPM to set total load EDCs allocate to customers as Peak Load Contributions (5CP)
 - Capacity obligations are ultimately set by coincident peak load forecast



Peak Load Allocation (5CP)

- Zonal W/N RTO-coincident summer peak loads are allocated to wholesale and retail customers in zones using EDC-specific methodologies that typically employ customer's shares of RTO actual peaks
- For each summer...
 - Hourly metered load and load drop estimate data are gathered for period June 1 through September 30
 - RTO unrestricted loads are created by adding load drop estimates to metered load
 - From unrestricted values, 5 highest non-holiday weekday RTO unrestricted daily peaks (5CP) are identified
- 5CP data are typically released in <u>mid-October</u>

Apjm

5CPs & Weather Normalized Zonal Peaks

committees & groups planning markets & operat about pjm training Service Requests -Home > Planning > Resource Adequacy Planning > Load Forecast Development v Process Project Status & Cost Allocation Load Forecast Development Process Competitive Planning × Process The processes for development and implementation of the PJM forecasts are maintained in this section along with Manual 19: Load Forecasting and Analysis WEB | [PDF]. The normalized peak **RTEP Development** and allocations for the past several planning periods are also maintained here along with the current Load Forecast Report, PJM Entity Forecast and Load Management historical data. Resource Adequacy Planning Forecasts & Reports Effective Load Carrying Date Capability (ELCC) 5 Coincident Peaks & Weather Normalized Zonal Peaks - Summer 2020 | 2021 | 2022 | 2023 | 2024 [PDF] Reserve Requirement Development Process Long-Term Load Forecast 2025: Report PDF | Tables XLS | Data XLS 1.24.2025 Load Forecast Previous Reports ZIP 1.24.2025 Development Process PJM Model Review - Final Report from Itron (PDF) 12.29.2022 Resource Reports & 2024 Mid-Year Update - Informational Only XLS 7.25.2024 Information Load Adjustment Breakdown for Capacity Obligations 2.11.2025 **Planning Criteria** ×

> 5 Coincident Peaks and Weather Normalized Zonal Peaks

			P IM datamiana	EDQ	allocates previous			
P.IM	Intercon	nection	Part Getermines	Normal	nmer's Weather lzed Coincident peak			
Summer 2022 We ath			dant Daaka (omers in zone, using lished methodology	PJM via eRPM in D		
Summer 2022 Weather Normalized RTO Coincident Peaks (MW)								
Zone		Peak						
AE		2,410						
AECO	2,263.6							
Vineland	146.4							
AEP		21,289.4						
APS		8,363						
ATSI		12,140						
BGE		6.210						
COMED		20,080						
DAYTON		3,120						
DEOK		4,930						
DLCo		2.630						
DOM		19,910						
DPI		3,790						
DBLCO				NNECTION				
EASTON		Summer 200	22 - Coincident	Peake By Zor	ne (MW)			
EKBC		Summer 202	22 - Comercent	reaks, by 201				
	Date	7/20/2022	7/21/2022	7/22/2022	8/8/2022	8/3/2022		
JCFL	Hour End (EPT)	18:00	17:00	18:00	16:00	18:00		
METED								
OVEC	AE	2,477.4	2,404.7	2,506.0	2,292.0	2,394.4		
PECO	AEP	21,476.1	20,521.0	20,753.2	20,870.7	20,970.4		
PENLC	APS	8,405.3	8,160.2	8,151.9	8,355.5	8,303.2		
PEPCO	ATSI	11,936.1	11,569.2	11,531.5	11,851.4	12,285.8		
PEP	BGE	5,995.5	6,102.0	6,084	5,940.4	5,883.9		
SMECO	COMED	17,807.7	19,092.2	17,964.0	14,952.5	17,043.4		
PL	DAYTON	3,292.0	3,031.0	3,039.0	3,223.0	3,225.0		
PS	DEOK	5,150.8	4,776.2	4,919.8	4,891.4	4,850.1		
RECO	DLCo	2,537.6	2,439.4	2,497.0	2,295.0	2,546.7		
UGI	DOM	20,174.1	19,422.8	20,022.6	20,377.5	19,701.7		
PJM RTO	DPL	3,839.4	3,882.5	3,792.9	3,817.2	3,740.5		
	EKPC	1,947.1	1,877.2	1,976.0	1,930.5	1,998.0		
Summer 202	JCPL	5,994.1	5,928.7	5,688.3	5,761.4	5,253.8		
Notes: 4	METED	2,935.6	2,895.5	2,821.2	2,957.3	2,757.2		
10103. 7	OVEC	51.0	67.0	59.0	54.0	67.0		
	PECO	7,988.7	8,119.1	7,887.7	8,084.8	7,405.6		
	PENLC	2,781.6	2,672.4	2,660.3	2,742.2	2,740.8		
Day		5,479.5	5,359.0	5,487.6	5,518.5	5,285.6		
Wednesday	PPL-EU	0,957.7	0,912.5	0,011.3	7,059.6	0,000.7		
Thursday	PS PECO	9,515.4	9,327.1	9,207.0	9,049.2	0,022.0		
Friday	KECO	201.2	100 5	173.0	100.0	180.0		
Monday		147 334 5	145 144 3	144 245 0	143 101 4	142 111 6		
wednesday	FJMIKIO	147,334.5	145,144.5	144,245.5	143,101.4	142,111.0		
	EASTON	52.7	47.2	52.7	54.9	50.4		
	SMECO	732.5	685.8	721.3	732.5	706.9		
	Vineland	146.3	153.3	144.5	144.1	144.4		

Annual Obligation Peak Load Allocation



Obligation Peak Load allocation for zone/area is constant and effective for entire DY



Facilitator: Dave Anders, david.anders@pjm.com

Secretary: Mollie Lacek, mollie.lacek@pjm.com

Potential 202c Cost Allocation Methods

Member Hotline (610) 666 – 8980 (866) 400 – 8980 custsvc@pjm.com

