

Accreditation Reforms: Sensitivity Analyses with Performance Weighting

ELCCSTF May 30, 2025

www.pjm.com | Public PJM © 2025



Reflect improved performance in accreditation and risk model as it happens, and quicker than status quo

- Under status quo, all historical days in a temperature-performance bin are weighted equally when making Monte Carlo draws
- By using a weighting approach, more recent historical days in a temperature-performance bin can receive a higher weight, making such days to be more likely to be drawn by the Monte Carlo (and therefore, older historical days in a bin, less likely to be drawn)
- This increases investment incentives given more recent observations of performance will
 now hold greater weight when determining the capacity value of resources and the
 capacity compensation they receive going forward



Review: Performance Weighting (cont'd)

- A review of the performance weighting methodology using exponential smoothing and prior sensitivity analyses against the old 26/27 BRA case run back in June 2024 can be found below:
 - 20250219-item-04---continued-discussion-on-accreditation-reforms---weighting-approach---pjm-presentation.pdf
- At a previous ELCCSTF meeting, an initial set of sensitivity results against the following case
 were provided (see https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/task-forces/elccstf/2025/20250422/20250422-item-03---accreditation-reforms---sensitivity-analyses-of-weighting-approach----pjm-presentation.pdf):
 - The 26/27 BRA case using preliminary 24/25 weather, load and resource performance data
 - The above case using performance weighting alpha values of: 0.1, 0.2 and 0.3

www.pjm.com | Public 9JM © 2025



Review: Performance Weighting (cont'd)

- Today, we provide additional sensitivities using the following case
 - The 26/27 BRA case +
 - DR changes DR changes recently accepted by FERC in Docket No. ER25-1525 +
 - "WICAP" sensitivity + (as described in slides 15-18 at https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/task-forces/elccstf/2025/20250522-item-02---elcc-accreditation-methodology-update-on-sensitivity-analyses---pjm-presentation.pdf)
 - "Align" sensitivity + (as described in slides 11-15 at https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/task-forces/elccstf/2025/20250522/20250522-item-02---elcc-accreditation-methodology-update-on-sensitivity-analyses---pjm-presentation.pdf)
 - Preliminary 24/25 weather, load and resource performance data (repeated once and twice)
 - Performance weighting alpha values of: 0.2 and 0.3

www.pjm.com | Public PJM © 2025



Preliminary 24/25 weather, load and resource performance data

- Actual unrestricted loads between the 2024-06-01 and 2025-02-28 were used, adjusted for applicable growth rate for DY 26/27 per 2025 PJM Load Forecast
 - For the period between 2025-03-01 and 2025-05-31, load data from DY 24/25 was used
- For the period between 2024-11-01 and 2025-05-31, an hourly forced outage value of 10% was used
 - This value was selected as proxy for the performance observed during the most recent cold weather event during 2025-01-21 and 2025-01-22
 - For the rest of the months in DY 24/25, forced outage rates from DY 23/24 were used

www.pjm.com | Public 5



Preliminary 24/25 weather, load and resource performance data

- The preliminary RTO-wide Temperature Humidity Index (THI) values for 2025-01-21 and 2025-01-22 are 6.03 and 5.47, respectively
- For the sensitivities shown today, PJM merged the two coldest bins
- Rolling in THI data from 2024-06-01 through 2025-01-28 **once** (x1) results in the above two dates falling in the coldest temperature bin
 - The coldest bin has 22 days post 2012-06-01
- Rolling in THI data from 2024-06-01 through 2025-01-28 *twice (x2)* results in the above two dates falling in the coldest temperature bin
 - The coldest bin has 24 days post 2012-06-01

www.pjm.com | Public PJM © 2025



Results of New Sensitivity Analyses

Results	24/25 Data* x1 No Alpha	24/25 Data* x1 Alpha=0.2	24/25 Data* x1 Alpha=0.3	24/25 Data* x2 No Alpha	24/25 Data* x2 Alpha=0.2	24/25 Data* x2 Alpha=0.3
Solved Load	160,476	160,759	160,242	160,560	160,682	161,087
IRM	19.2%	19.0%	19.4%	19.2%	19.1%	18.8%
Overall Winter LOLH Share	69%	68%	77%	64%	68%	59%
LOLH Risk Contribution of Jan 7 2014 Performance Pattern	32%	16 %	12%	27%	14%	10%
LOLH Risk Contribution of Dec 24 2022 Performance Pattern	34%	49%	63%	35%	51%	46%
LOLH Risk Contribution of Winter 2013/14 Performance Pattern	34%	17%	12%	28%	15%	11%
LOLH Risk Contribution of Winter 2022/23 Performance Pattern	35%	52%	65%	36%	53%	48%
Conditional Probability of Drawing PV1 or WSE Performance	9.1%	9.9%	10.6%	8.3%	8.1%	7.9%
Weight in Perf. Adj Calculation of 24/25 winter performance (x1 or x2)	6.1%	11.9%	18.7%	10.5%	21.8%	25.5%

^{*} The 24/25 data to calculate loads, temperature bins and resource performance is preliminary. For some hours, estimated values have been used.

www.pjm.com | Public 7 PJM © 2025



Facilitator:
Michele Greening,
Michele.Greening@pjm.com

Secretary:
Matthew Connolly,
Matthew.Connolly@pjm.com

SME/Presenter:
Patricio Rocha Garrido
Patricio.Rocha-Garrido@pjm.com

Accreditation Reforms: Sensitivity Analyses with Performance Weighting



Member Hotline

(610) 666-8980

(866) 400-8980

custsvc@pjm.com



BE ALERT TO
MALICIOUS PHISHING
EMAILS

Report suspicious email activity to PJM.

Call (610) 666-2244 or email it_ops_ctr_shift@pjm.com