

Analysis of ODEC ELCCSTF Package

Informational Posting

July 29, 2025

www.pjm.com | Public PJM © 2025



- This analysis is in response to stakeholder request to analyze the ODEC solution package (presented at the July 25, 2025 ELCCSTF)
- The ODEC proposal is to "supplement PJM's proposal by reducing the probability of drawing the PV1 and WSE performance data by 33%."
 - In order to run this sensitivity analysis, PJM assigned sampling weights to each date utilizing alpha = 0.2 (as it does under the PJM proposal), then reduced the sampling weight of 1/6/2024, 1/7/2014, 1/8/2014, 12/23/2022, 12/24/2022, 12/25/2022 and 12/26/2022 by 33%.
- The following slides contain assumptions putting this analysis into context with the previous ELCCSTF analysis, and results relative to the PJM proposal.



Assumptions: Analysis #1

- Consistent with presentation at https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/task-forces/elccstf/2025/20250530/20250530-item-02---sensitivity-analyses-of-weighting-approach----pjm-presentation.pdf
 - The 26/27 BRA case +
 - DR changes DR changes recently accepted by FERC in Docket No. ER25-1525 +
 - "WICAP" sensitivity + (as described in slides 15-18 at https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/task-forces/elccstf/2025/20250522/20250522-item-02---elcc-accreditation-methodology-update-on-sensitivity-analyses---pjm-presentation.pdf)

 - Preliminary 24/25 weather, load and resource performance data
 - Performance weighting alpha value equal to 0.2

www.pjm.com | Public 9JM © 2025



Analysis #1: PJM Proposal vs ODEC Proposal (including preliminary winter 24/25 data)

| Results | 24/25 Data* x1 Alpha=0.2 (PJM Proposal) | 24/25 Data* x1 Alpha=0.2 (ODEC Proposal) | Difference (ODEC - PJM) |
|--|---|--|----------------------------|
| Solved Load | 160,759 | 161,577 | +818 |
| IRM | 19.0% | 18.4% | -0.6% |
| Overall Winter LOLH Share | 68% | 57% | -11% |
| LOLH Risk Contribution of Jan 7 2014 Performance Pattern | 16 % | 14% | -2% |
| LOLH Risk Contribution of Dec 24 2022 Performance Pattern | 49% | 39% | -10% |
| LOLH Risk Contribution of Winter 2013/14 Performance Pattern | 17% | 15% | -2% |
| LOLH Risk Contribution of Winter 2022/23 Performance Pattern | 52% | 42% | -10% |
| Conditional Probability of Drawing PV1 or WSE Performance (PV1 + WSE) | 9.9% (2.9% + 7.0%) | 7.1% (2.1% + 5.0%) | -2.8% |
| Weight in Perf. Adj Calculation of 24/25 winter performance (x1 or x2) | 11.9% | 10.6% | -1.3% |

^{*} The 24/25 data to calculate loads, temperature bins and resource performance is preliminary. For some hours, estimated values have been used.

Assumptions: Analysis #2

- PJM presented the results of several sensitivities requested by stakeholders at the May 22nd ELCCSTF meeting (https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/task-forces/elccstf/2025/20250522/20250522-item-02---elcc-accreditation-methodology-update-on-sensitivity-analyses---pjm-presentation.pdf)
- The set of assumptions for Analysis #2 is almost identical to the assumptions for Analysis #1 with the
 only exception that preliminary 24/25 weather, load and resource performance data is not
 included in Analysis #2 (it was also not included for all the other sensitivities presented at the
 May 22nd ELCCSTF meeting)
- In the next slide, we provide a comparison of the PJM and ODEC proposals for several metrics



Analysis #2: PJM Proposal vs ODEC Proposal

| Metric | PJM Proposal | ODEC Proposal | Diff |
|-------------------|-----------------|------------------|--------|
| FPR | 0.9595 | 0.9655 | 0.006 |
| IRM (%) | 19.7 | 18.9 | -0.8 |
| LOLH Winter % | 79.6 | 68.5 | -11.1 |
| Avg. AUCAP Factor | 0.8016 | 0.812 | 0.0104 |

 In addition, under the ODEC proposal the system becomes less tight by about 1,000 MW UCAP, consistent with the decrease in IRM.