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Data for 2015 and 2016.
Average RTO load forecast error performance for January was 2.43%, within the goal of 3%.
Peak Load Average Forecast Error by Zone
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Graph shows the forecast error by zone for different quarters from 2014 to 2016.
# Peak Load Average Forecast Error by Zone

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Quarter</th>
<th>RTO</th>
<th>MIDATL</th>
<th>AP</th>
<th>CE</th>
<th>AEP</th>
<th>DAY</th>
<th>DUQ</th>
<th>DOM</th>
<th>ATSI</th>
<th>DEOK</th>
<th>EKPC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2014 Q1</td>
<td>1.4%</td>
<td>1.8%</td>
<td>2.5%</td>
<td>1.6%</td>
<td>2.2%</td>
<td>2.5%</td>
<td>2.0%</td>
<td>3.0%</td>
<td>2.1%</td>
<td>2.7%</td>
<td>4.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014 Q2</td>
<td>1.6%</td>
<td>2.1%</td>
<td>2.6%</td>
<td>2.8%</td>
<td>2.4%</td>
<td>3.1%</td>
<td>3.5%</td>
<td>2.6%</td>
<td>2.2%</td>
<td>3.2%</td>
<td>3.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014 Q3</td>
<td>1.6%</td>
<td>2.2%</td>
<td>2.1%</td>
<td>2.9%</td>
<td>2.0%</td>
<td>3.2%</td>
<td>2.9%</td>
<td>2.7%</td>
<td>2.5%</td>
<td>3.1%</td>
<td>4.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014 Q4</td>
<td>1.2%</td>
<td>1.5%</td>
<td>1.9%</td>
<td>1.6%</td>
<td>2.3%</td>
<td>1.7%</td>
<td>1.9%</td>
<td>2.4%</td>
<td>1.5%</td>
<td>2.7%</td>
<td>4.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015 Q1</td>
<td>1.5%</td>
<td>1.9%</td>
<td>2.9%</td>
<td>1.7%</td>
<td>2.6%</td>
<td>2.7%</td>
<td>2.5%</td>
<td>3.8%</td>
<td>2.4%</td>
<td>2.6%</td>
<td>5.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015 Q2</td>
<td>1.3%</td>
<td>1.7%</td>
<td>2.5%</td>
<td>2.7%</td>
<td>2.1%</td>
<td>3.0%</td>
<td>3.5%</td>
<td>2.2%</td>
<td>2.4%</td>
<td>2.8%</td>
<td>3.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015 Q3</td>
<td>1.7%</td>
<td>2.4%</td>
<td>2.4%</td>
<td>3.4%</td>
<td>2.4%</td>
<td>3.6%</td>
<td>3.2%</td>
<td>2.5%</td>
<td>2.3%</td>
<td>3.4%</td>
<td>3.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015 Q4</td>
<td>1.3%</td>
<td>1.5%</td>
<td>2.7%</td>
<td>2.4%</td>
<td>2.5%</td>
<td>2.1%</td>
<td>1.9%</td>
<td>2.0%</td>
<td>1.7%</td>
<td>2.0%</td>
<td>4.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016 Q1</td>
<td>2.3%</td>
<td>2.3%</td>
<td>2.6%</td>
<td>1.7%</td>
<td>3.8%</td>
<td>3.0%</td>
<td>2.0%</td>
<td>3.2%</td>
<td>2.1%</td>
<td>2.3%</td>
<td>5.8%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
PJM's BAAL performance has exceeded the goal of 99% for January in 2016.
• There was one spinning event in the month of January.
• There were four reserve sharing events with NPCC.
• The following Emergency Procedures occurred in January:
  – 24 Post-Contingency Local Load Relief Warnings (PCLLRW)
  – 1 High System Voltages
  – 3 Minimum Generation Alerts
  – 2 Cold Weather Alerts
  – 2 TLR Level 3A
The average forced outage rate YTD is 4.03% or 7,207 MW.
The average total outage rate YTD is 8.04% or 14,404 MW.
The average forced outage rate YTD is 4.03% or 7,207 MW.
The average total outage rate YTD is 8.04% or 14,404 MW.
2016 Planned Emergency & Unplanned Transmission Outage Summary

Note: “Unplanned Outages” include tripped facilities. One tripping event may involve multiple facilities.
PCLLRW Count Vs. Average Load – 24 Months
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Event</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Start Time</th>
<th>End Time</th>
<th>Duration</th>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Tier 1 Estimate (MW)</th>
<th>Tier 1 Response (MW)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>01/18/2016</td>
<td>17:58</td>
<td>18:10</td>
<td>00:12</td>
<td>RTO</td>
<td>861.0</td>
<td>733.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Event</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Start Time</th>
<th>End Time</th>
<th>Duration</th>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Tier 2 Assigned (MW)</th>
<th>Tier 2 Response (MW)</th>
<th>Tier 2 Penalty (MW)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>01/18/2016</td>
<td>17:58</td>
<td>18:10</td>
<td>00:12</td>
<td>RTO</td>
<td>616.7</td>
<td>508.8</td>
<td>107.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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### Perfect Dispatch Estimated Production Cost Savings Through January 2016

- **Monthly Production Cost Savings**
- **Cumulative Production Cost Savings**

Month/Year:
- 2008 to 2016

Cumulative Production Cost Savings ($ in Millions):
- $0 to $1,200

Monthly Production Cost Savings ($ in Millions):
- $0 to $32
The year-to-date Perfect Dispatch performance score through January is 80.45%.

The estimated cumulative production cost savings through January 2016 is over $1,182 million with over $12 million in savings thus far in 2016.
Appendix
Goal Measurement: Balancing Authority ACE Limit (BAAL)

- The purpose of the new BAAL standard is to maintain interconnection frequency within a predefined frequency profile under all conditions (normal and abnormal), to prevent frequency-related instability, unplanned tripping of load or generation, or uncontrolled separation or cascading outages that adversely impact the reliability of the interconnection. NERC requires each balancing authority demonstrate real-time monitoring of ACE and interconnection frequency against associated limits and shall balance its resources and demands in real time so that its ACE does not exceed the BAAL (BAALLOW or BAALHIGH) for a continuous time period greater than 30 minutes for each event.

- PJM directly measures the total number of BAAL excursions in minutes compared to the total number of minutes within a month. PJM has set a target value for this performance goal at 99% on a daily and monthly basis. In addition, current NERC rules limit the recovery period to no more than 30 minutes for a single event.
Perfect Dispatch refers to the hypothetical least production cost commitment and Dispatch, achievable only if all system conditions (load forecast, unit availability / performance, interchange, transmission outages, etc.) were known and controllable in advance. While being hypothetical and not achievable in reality, this is useful as a baseline for performance measurement.

The Perfect Dispatch performance goal is designed to measure how well PJM commits combustion turbines (CTs) in real time operations compared to a calculated optimal CT commitment profile.

The Perfect Dispatch performance measure is calculated as 100% x (The accumulative year-to-date optimal CT production cost in Perfect Dispatch / The accumulative year-to-date actual real-time CT production cost).

The Perfect Dispatch performance goal was removed as a goal beginning in 2015. Currently Perfect Dispatch does not have a performance goal, but the metric will continue to be tracked.

The cumulative Estimated Production Cost Savings helps to demonstrate the savings that result from PJM’s process changes since the inception of the Perfect Dispatch analysis in 2008. This estimate is determined by comparing the Perfect Dispatch performance for all resources to benchmarks set at the beginning of the Perfect Dispatch analysis. A benchmark of 98.18% is used for comparison of the 2016 metric which is 99.27% through the end of January.