

## Markets and Reliability Committee

Webex Only

September 17, 2020

9:00 a.m. – 11:50 a.m.

### Administration

Stu Bresler and Dave Anders welcomed stakeholders and provided the Anti-trust and Code of Conduct, and Meeting Participation announcements.

### Consent Agenda

Item A was approved by acclamation with two objections and one abstention:

A. Minutes of the August 20, 2020 meeting of the Markets and Reliability Committee (MRC).

### Endorsements/Approvals

#### 1. Cost Development Subcommittee

Glen Boyle reviewed proposed revisions to the Cost Development Subcommittee charter. Following discussion, the committee **approved** the proposed charter revisions by acclamation with no objections or abstentions.

#### 2. ~~Critical Infrastructure Stakeholder Oversight Senior Task Force~~

This item was removed from the agenda.

#### 3. PMU Placement in RTEP Planning Process

Shaun Murphy reviewed proposed revisions to Manual 01: Control Center and Data Exchange Requirements and Manual 14B: PJM Region Transmission Planning Process addressing PMU Placement in RTEP Planning Process. Alex Stern, PSEG proposed a friendly amendment (styled FA1) to the proposed Manual 01 section 3.6 revisions to clarify the purpose and type of RTEP projects for which the PMUs would be required. Mike Gahimer, Indiana Office of Utility Consumer Counselor, objected to this proposed amendment being considered friendly, and therefore it was not incorporated into the main motion. Mr. Steve Lieberman, AMP, proposed a further friendly amendment (styled FA2) to the proposed Manual 01 section 3.6 revisions related to the facility types that would be required to include PMUs (note that AMPs proposed amendment incorporates FA1). Mike Gahimer objected to this proposed amendment being considered friendly as well, and therefore it was not incorporated into the main motion. Both proposed friendly amendments were included in the posted materials for this meeting (included in the main presentation for this agenda item). The main motion **failed** in a sector-weighted vote with 1.24 in favor. Steve Lieberman moved, and Adrien Ford, ODEC, seconded, FA2 (including FA1) as the first alternate motion. Following discussion, the alternative motion **passed** in a sector-weighted vote with 3.47 in favor.

Mike Gahimer and Greg Poulos, CAPS, discussed a posted proposed Issue Charge related to a broader review of PMU implementation, and requested that this presentation be considered a first read of the proposed Issue Charge. There was no objection to this being considered a first read, provided that questions regarding the group assignment are resolved, and that there is a discussion at the appropriate Standing Committee prior to requesting a vote at the MRC. A presentation will be scheduled for the next meeting of the Operating Committee, and vote on the proposed Issue Charge may be requested at the committee's next meeting.

[Issue Tracking: RTEP Phasor Measurement Unit \(PMU\) Placement](#)

4. Capacity Capability Senior Task Force Proposed Solutions

Melissa Pilog provided an update of the work completed at the Capacity Capability Senior Task Force (CCSTF).

Andrew Levitt reviewed the main motion endorsed by the CCSTF, Package A. David Scarpignato, Calpine, proposed a friendly amendment to Package A to incorporate the first part of the posted Alternate Motion Amendment to Joint Stakeholder Package as modified below:

**Agreement to Further Evaluate the Operations of Limited Duration Resources**

Following FERC approval of an ELCC-related filing that includes a 4-hour Limited Duration Class, PJM will initiate a stakeholder process to further evaluate the coordination of the operation of all Limited Duration Capacity Resources with system needs and evaluate the modelling of Limited Duration Resources within ELCC. The proceeding will consider rules to sufficiently ensure that the operational behavior of Limited Duration Capacity Resources is appropriately aligned with the resource adequacy construct and system reliability by examining issues including but not limited to ELCC modelling, bidding, operations, emergency procedures, and energy market offer requirements.

John Horstmann, Dayton Power & Light, objected to this being considered friendly and therefore it was not incorporated into Package A. Following discussion, Package A **failed** in a sector-weighted vote with 1.29 in favor.

Betty Watson, Modern Energy, reviewed the alternative solution endorsed by the CCSTF, Package D. Susan Bruce offered the content of the posted Alternate Motion Amendment to Joint Stakeholder Package as a friendly amendment to Package D. David Scarpignato objected to this being considered friendly, and therefore it was not incorporated into Package D. Following discussion, Package D **failed** in a sector-weighted vote with 2.56 in favor.

Susan Bruce, PJM ICC, moved and Erik Heinle, Office of the People's Counsel for the District of Columbia, seconded an alternate motion comprising Package D with the posted Alternate Motion Amendment to Joint Stakeholder Package. David Scarpignato proposed a friendly amendment to

incorporate into the first part of the posted Alternate Motion Amendment to Joint Stakeholder Package edits as below:

## **Agreement to Further Evaluate the Operations of Limited Duration Resources**

Following FERC approval of an ELCC-related filing that includes a 4-hour Limited Duration Class, PJM will initiate a stakeholder process to further evaluate the coordination of the operation of all Limited Duration Capacity Resources with system needs and evaluate the modelling of Limited Duration Resources within ELCC. The proceeding will consider rules to sufficiently ensure that the operational behavior of Limited Duration Capacity Resources is appropriately aligned with the resource adequacy construct and system reliability by examining issues including but not limited to ELCC modelling, bidding, operations, emergency procedures, and energy market offer requirements.

Susan Bruce objected to this being considered friendly and therefore it was not incorporated into the proposed alternate motion. Following discussion, this alternate motion **passed** in a sector-weighted vote with 3.98 in favor.

Stu Bresler noted that the members Committee will be asked to vote on the MRC endorsed proposal at its meeting on September 17, 2020 (same day voting).

[Issue Tracking: Effective Load Carrying Capability for Limited Duration Resources and Intermittent Resources](#)

## **First Readings**

### 5. 2020 Installed Reserve Margin Study Results

Tom Falin reviewed the 2020 Reserve Requirement Study results (IRM, FPR). The committee will be asked to endorse the study results at its next meeting.

### 6. Liquidation Process

Anita Patel addressed a proposed path forward for PJM's default management process. Nigeria Bloczynski reviewed related proposed Operating Agreement and Tariff revisions. The committee will be asked to approve/endorse the proposed revisions at its next meeting.

[Issue Tracking: Financial Risk Mitigation](#)

### 7. PJM Manuals

A. Gabrielle Genuario and Roger Cao reviewed proposed revisions to Manual 15: Cost Development Guidelines as part of the Biennial Review. The committee will be asked to endorse the proposed revisions at its next meeting.

## Informational Reports

### 8. Remedial Action Scheme Retirement (11:40-11:50)

Chris Szmodis, PPL, reviewed the retirement of the Susquehanna Catty Corner Remedial Action Scheme (RAS).

## Informational Posting Only

### 9. PJM Manual 03: Transmission Operations, Attachment E: Automatic Sectionalizing Schemes

Automatic Sectionalizing Schemes Pending Approval and Recent Changes were posted with the meeting materials.

## Future Agenda Items (11:50)

No new future agenda items were identified.

## Future Meeting Dates

October 29, 2020	9:00 a.m.	PJM Conference & Training Center/ WebEx
November 19, 2020	9:00 a.m.	PJM Conference & Training Center/ WebEx
December 17, 2020	9:00 a.m.	PJM Conference & Training Center/ WebEx

Author: D. Anders