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• FERC final rule on October 17, 2013 approved NERC’s TPL-001-4

• Enforcement dates:
  – Requirements R1 and R7 – January 1, 2015
  – Requirements R2 through R6 and R8 – January 1, 2016
TPL-001-4 Implementation Work

- **June 2012**
  - TPL-001-4 discussed at the PJM PC as a future issue that will impact PJM Planning

- **July 2012 – January 2013**
  - PJM PC began work to draft PJM Manual 14B – PJM Region Transmission Planning to comply with TPL-001-4
  - PJM PC forms a “M14B/TPL” group to develop PJM implementation of specific areas of the new TPL standard related to system stability

- **February 2013**
  - PJM PC endorses Manual 14B changes required to implement TPL-001-4

- **October 17, 2013**
  - FERC final rule approves TPL-001-4

- **November 4, 2014 PC**
  - Review M14B changes to support TPL-001-4 R1 and R7 in advance of enforcement date: 1/1/2015

- **November 20, 2014 MRC**
  - MRC Endorsed M14B changes to support TPL-001-4 R1 and R7 in advance of enforcement date: 1/1/2015

- **Today (August 13, 2015) PC**
  - Review previously endorsed M14B language in preparation for MRC first read

- **September 10, 2015 PC**
  - PC review of M14B language in advance of MRC first read

- **October 8, 2015 PC**
  - Final PC review of M14B language ahead of MRC first read

- **October 22, 2015 MRC**
  - First read of M14B changes to support TPL-001-4

- **November 19, 2015 MRC**
  - Request MRC approval of M14B
Purpose of additional PC Review

• Manual language developed in 2013 and 2014

• Revisit this language to apply any lessons learned in past two years

• Refresh understanding of upcoming new requirements
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Requirement</th>
<th>Abbreviated Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>R1</td>
<td>Maintain System models</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R2</td>
<td>Prepare an annual assessment (see Table 1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R3</td>
<td>Study the near-term and longer-term</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R4</td>
<td>Perform the stability criteria in Table 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R5</td>
<td>Criteria - Voltage, including transient voltage response</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R6</td>
<td>Criteria - cascading, voltage instability, uncontrolled islanding</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R7</td>
<td>The TPs and PCs shall determine study responsibility for the assessment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R8</td>
<td>Distribute results of annual assessment</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Overall Technical Requirements

- Event simulation (Table 1)
  - Thermal, voltage limit, voltage stability
- Short Circuit analysis
- Dynamic Simulation
  - Dynamic load modeling
  - Transient voltage recovery
New Processes Required by TPL-001-4

- Interpretation of Footnote 12 regarding non-consequential load loss
- Known outages with duration greater than 6 months
- Transient voltage recovery
- Dynamic Load modeling
New process's required by TPL-001-4

- 75 MW Load Drop
- For P1, P2 and P3 (Single and Multiple Contingencies) footnote 12. stating that Non-Consequential load loss should net exceed 75MW applies
- Footnote 12 Stakeholder Process review (Attachment 1)

12. An objective of the planning process is to minimize the likelihood and magnitude of Non-Consequential Load Loss following planning events. In limited circumstances, Non-Consequential Load Loss may be needed throughout the planning horizon to ensure that BES performance requirements are met. However, when Non-Consequential Load Loss is utilized under footnote 12 within the Near-Term Transmission Planning Horizon to address BES performance requirements, such interruption is limited to circumstances where the Non-Consequential Load Loss meets the conditions shown in Attachment 1. In no case can the planned Non-Consequential Load Loss under footnote 12 exceed 75 MW for US registered entities. The amount of planned Non-Consequential Load Loss for a non-US Registered Entity should be implemented in a manner that is consistent with, or under the direction of, the applicable governmental authority or its agency in the non-US jurisdiction.
New process's required by TPL-001-4

- Known Outage(s) of generation of Transmission Facilities with a duration of at least six months (R1.1.2)
- Already applicable, as R1 effective 1/1/2015
- PJM performing analysis in 2015 Calendar year that incudes modeling of these facilities as per eDart outage tickets
New process's required by TPL-001-4

- Transient Voltage Response (R5)
- Criteria for Transient Voltage Response developed by TPL Standards task force in 2013/2014 through a 6+ month stakeholder process
- Manual language included in M14B redline, and already PC endorsed

R5. Each Transmission Planner and Planning Coordinator shall have criteria for acceptable System steady state voltage limits, post-Contingency voltage deviations, and the transient voltage response for its System. For transient voltage response, the criteria shall at a minimum, specify a low voltage level and a maximum length of time that transient voltages may remain below that level. [Violation Risk Factor: Medium] [Time Horizon: Long-term Planning]
New process's required by TPL-001-4

- Dynamic Load modeling (R2.4.1 and R2.4.3)
- Recent coordination and progress with the PJM TOs

2.4.1. System peak Load for one of the five years. System peak Load levels shall include a Load model which represents the expected dynamic behavior of Loads that could impact the study area, considering the behavior of induction motor Loads. An aggregate System Load model which represents the overall dynamic behavior of the Load is acceptable.

2.4.3. For each of the studies described in Requirement R2, Parts 2.4.1 and 2.4.2, sensitivity case(s) shall be utilized to demonstrate the impact of changes to the basic assumptions used in the model. To accomplish this, the sensitivity analysis in the Planning Assessment must vary one or more of the following conditions by a sufficient amount to stress the System within a range of credible conditions that demonstrate a measurable change in performance:

- Load level, Load forecast, or dynamic Load model assumptions.
- Expected transfers
- Expected in service dates of new or modified Transmission Facilities.
- Reactive resource capability.
- Generation additions, retirements, or other dispatch scenarios.
Dynamic Load Modeling Requirement

• TPL-001-4 R2.4.1:
  System peak Load levels shall include a Load model which represents the expected dynamic behavior of Loads that could impact the study area, considering the behavior of induction motor Loads. An aggregate System Load model which represents the overall dynamic behavior of the Load is acceptable.

• Enforceable – January 1, 2016
PJM received TOs’ feedback on the recommended dynamic load models.

Most of TOs want to have a discussion with other TOs and PJM regarding dynamic load modeling.

PJM will schedule a conference call in late August or early September.

Please send your comments to MOD-032@pjm.com
Next Steps

• Planning Committee
  – Review – 9/10/2015
  – Final Review – 10/8/2015

• Markets and Reliability Committee
  – First Read – 10/22/2015
  – Request Endorsement – 11/19/2015
Questions, Comments, Suggestions: RTEP@pjm.com
• V1: Posted 8/10/2015
• V2: Added slides 12 and 13 – 8/11/2015