9.3 **Coordinated System Planning.**

The primary purpose of coordinated transmission planning and development of the Coordinated System Plan is to ensure that coordinated analyses are performed to identify expansions or enhancements to transmission system capability needed to maintain reliability, improve operational performance, enhance the competitiveness of electricity markets, or promote public policy. The Parties will conduct such coordinated planning as set forth in this Section 9.3 and subsections thereof.

9.3.1 **Single Party Planning.**

Each Party shall engage in such transmission planning activities, including expansion plans, system impact studies, and generator interconnection studies, as are necessary to fulfill its obligations under its OATT or as it otherwise shall deem appropriate. Such planning shall conform to applicable reliability requirements of the Party, NERC, applicable regional reliability councils, or any successor organizations, and any and all applicable requirements of federal, state, or provincial laws or regulatory authorities. Each Party agrees to prepare a regional transmission planning report that documents its annual regional plan prepared according to the procedures, methodologies, and business rules documented by the region. The Parties further agree to share, on an ongoing basis, information that arises in the performance of such single party planning activities as is necessary or appropriate for effective coordination between the Parties, including, in addition to the information sharing requirements of Sections 9.2 and 9.3, information on requests received from generation resources that plan on permanently retiring or suspending operation consistent with the timelines of each Party’s OATT for such studies, and the identification of proposed transmission system enhancements that may affect the Parties’ respective systems.

9.3.2 **Coordinated System Plan.**

The Coordinated System Plan is the result of the coordination of the regional planning that is conducted under this Agreement. The Parties will coordinate any studies required to assure the reliable, efficient, and effective operation of the transmission system. Results of such coordinated studies will be included in the Coordinated System Plan as further described in Section 9.3.5. The Coordinated System Plan shall also include the results of ongoing analyses of requests for interconnection and ongoing analyses of requests for long-term firm transmission service. The Parties shall coordinate in the analyses of these ongoing service requests in accordance with Sections 9.3.3 and 9.3.4. The Coordinated System Plan shall be an integral part of the expansion plans of each Party. To the extent that the JRPC agrees to combine with or participate in similarly established joint planning committees amongst multiple planning entities engaging in coordinated planning studies as provided for under Section 9.1.1.2, the coordinated planning analyses of this Protocol may be integrated into any joint coordinated planning analyses engaged in by the multiple parties, provided that the requirements of the Coordinated System Plan are integrated into the scope of such joint coordinated planning analyses.
9.3.3 **Analysis of Interconnection Requests.**

In accordance with the procedures under which the Parties provide interconnection service, each Party will coordinate with the other the conduct of any studies required in determining the impact of a request for generator or merchant transmission interconnection. Results of such coordinated studies will be included in the impacts reported to the interconnection customers as appropriate. The process for the coordination of studies and Network Upgrades shall be documented in the respective Party’s business practices manuals that are publicly available on each Party’s website. Both Parties’ manual language shall be coordinated so as to ensure the communication of requirements is consistent and includes the following:

(a) Consistent with the data exchange provisions of the manuals, the Parties will exchange current power flow modeling data annually and as necessary for the study and coordination of interconnection requests. This will include the associated update of the other Party’s relevant queue requests, contingency elements, monitoring elements data, and other data as may be required.

(b) The coordination of the study results, pursuant to each Party’s business practices manuals, will determine the potential impact on the direct connect system and on the impacted Party. The direct connect system will be responsible for communicating coordinated interconnection study results to the direct connect interconnection customer.

(c) After reviewing the results, if the potentially impacted Party determines that its system may be materially impacted by the interconnection, that Party will contact the direct connect system and request participation in the applicable interconnection studies. The Parties will coordinate and mutually agree on the nature of studies to be performed to test the impacts of the interconnection on the potentially impacted Party. If the Parties cannot mutually agree on the nature of the studies to be performed they can resolve the differences through the dispute resolution procedures documented in Article XIV. The Parties will strive to minimize the costs associated with the coordinated study process.

(d) Any coordinated studies will be performed in accordance with the study scope and timeline mutually agreed to in 9.3.3 (c) above utilizing the responsibility options outlined in 9.3.3 (e) below.

(e) If the coordinated interconnection study identifies constraints that require infrastructure additions on the impacted system to mitigate them, then the potentially impacted Party may perform its own analysis, in conjunction with the direct connect Party’s Interconnection Studies. The interconnection customer whose project requires mitigation of constraint(s) found on an impacted Party’s system shall enter into the appropriate Facilities Study agreement as required under the impacted Party’s OATT.
The direct connect system will collect from the interconnection customer the costs incurred by the potentially impacted Party associated with the performance of such studies and forward collected amounts to the potentially impacted Party.

If the results of the coordinated study process indicate that Network Upgrades are required in accordance with procedures, guidelines, criteria, or standards applicable to the potentially impacted system, the direct connect system will identify the need for such Network Upgrades in the appropriate study report prepared for the interconnection customer.

Requirements for construction of such Network Upgrades will be under the terms of the applicable OATT, agreement among owners of transmission facilities subject to the control of the potentially impacted Party and consistent with applicable federal, state or provincial regulatory policy.

In the event that Network Upgrades are required on the potentially impacted Party’s system, then interconnection service will commence on a schedule mutually agreed upon among the Parties. This schedule will include milestones with respect to the Network Upgrade construction and the amount of service that can commence after each milestone.

Each Party will maintain a separate interconnection queue. The Parties will maintain a composite listing of interconnection requests for all interconnection projects that have been identified as potentially impacting the systems of both Parties. These lists will be presented annually to the IPSAC.

9.3.4 **Analysis of Long-Term Firm Transmission Service Requests.**

In accordance with applicable procedures under which the Parties provide long-term firm transmission service, the Parties will coordinate the conduct of any studies required to determine the impact of a request for such service. Results of such coordinated studies will be included in the impacts reported to the transmission service customers as appropriate. The process for the coordination of studies and Network Upgrades shall be documented in the respective Party’s business practices manuals that are publicly available on each Party’s website. Both Parties’ manual language shall be coordinated so as to ensure the communication of requirements is consistent and includes the following:

(a) The Parties will coordinate the calculation of AFC values associated with the service, based on contingencies on the systems of each Party that may be impacted by the granting of the service.

(b) Upon the posting to the OASIS of a request for service, the Party receiving the request will coordinate the study of the request, pursuant to each Party’s business practices manuals, which will determine the potential
impact on each Party’s system. The Party receiving the request will be responsible for communicating coordinated study results to the customer requesting such service.

(c) If the potentially impacted Party determines that its system may be materially impacted by the service, and the nature of the service is such that a request on the potentially impacted Party’s OASIS is unnecessary (i.e., the potentially impacted Party is “off the path”), then the potentially impacted Party will contact the Party receiving the request and request participation in the applicable transmission service studies. The Parties will coordinate with respect to the nature of studies to be performed to test the impacts of the requested service on the potentially impacted Party, who will perform the studies. The Parties will strive to minimize the costs associated with the coordinated study process. The JRPC will develop screening procedures to assist in the identification of service requests that may impact systems of parties other than the system receiving the request.

(d) Any coordinated studies will be performed in accordance with the mutually agreed upon study scope and timeline requirements developed by the Parties. If the Parties cannot mutually agree on the nature and timeline of the studies to be performed they can resolve the differences through the dispute resolution procedures documented in Article XIV of this Agreement.

(e) If constraints are identified during the coordinated study on the impacted system, then the potentially impacted Party may perform its own analysis in conjunction with the studies performed by the Party that has received the request for service. The customer whose request for service requires mitigation of constraint(s) found on an impacted Party’s system shall enter into the appropriate facilities study agreement as required under the impacted Party’s OATT. During the Facilities Study, the potentially impacted Party will conduct its own Facilities Study as a part of the Party receiving the request’s Facilities Study. The study cost estimates indicated in the study agreement between the Party receiving the request and the transmission service customer will reflect the costs and the associated roles of the study participants. The Party receiving the request will review the cost estimates submitted by all participants for reasonableness, based on expected level of participation and responsibilities in the study.

(f) The Party receiving the request will collect from the transmission service customer and forward to the potentially impacted system the costs incurred by the potentially impacted systems associated with the performance of such studies.

(g) If the results of a coordinated study indicate that Network Upgrades are required in accordance with procedures, guidelines, criteria, or standards
applicable to the potentially impacted system, the Party receiving the request will identify the need for such Network Upgrades in the system impact study prepared for the transmission service customer.

(h) Requirements for the construction of such Network Upgrades will be under the terms of the OATTs, agreement among owners of transmission facilities subject to the control of the potentially impacted Party and consistent with applicable federal, state, or provincial regulatory policy.

(i) In the event that Network Upgrades are required on the potentially impacted Party’s system, then transmission service will commence on a schedule mutually agreed upon among the Parties. This schedule will include milestones with respect to the Network Upgrade construction and the amount of service that can commence after each milestone.

9.3.5 Development of the Coordinated System Plan.

9.3.5.1 Each Party agrees to assist in the preparation of a Coordinated System Plan applicable to the Parties’ systems. Each Party’s annual transmission planning reports will be incorporated into the Coordinated System Plan, however, neither Party shall have the right to veto any planning of the other Party nor shall either Party have the right, under this Section, to obtain financial compensation due to the impact of another Party’s plans or additions. The Coordinated System Plan will be finalized only after the IPSAC has had an opportunity to review it and respond. The Coordinated System Plan shall:

(a) Integrate the Parties’ respective transmission expansion plans, including any market-based additions to system infrastructure (such as generation, market participant funded, or merchant transmission projects) and Network Upgrades identified jointly by the Parties, together with alternatives to Network Upgrades that were considered;

(b) Set forth actions to resolve any impacts that may result across the seams between the Parties’ systems due to the integration described in the preceding part (a); and

(c) Describe results of the joint transmission analysis for the combined transmission systems, as well as explanations, as may be necessary, of the procedures, methodologies, and business rules utilized in preparing and completing the analysis.

9.3.5.2 Coordination of studies required for the development of the Coordinated System Plan will include the following: 1) annual issues review to determine the need for
a Coordinated System Plan study described in Section 9.3.5.2.a; and 2)
Coordinated System Plan study described in Section 9.3.5.2.b.

(a) Determine the Need for a Coordinated System Plan Study.

(i) On an annual basis, the Parties shall perform an annual evaluation of transmission issues identified by each Party including issues from the respective Party’s market operations and annual planning processes, or Third-Parties. This annual review of transmission issues will be administered by the JRPC on a mutually agreed to schedule taking into consideration each Party’s regional planning cycles. The JRPC through each Party’s respective electronic distribution lists shall provide a minimum of 60 calendar days advance notice of the IPSAC meeting to review identified transmission issues. Stakeholders may identify and submit transmission issues and supporting analysis no later than 30 calendar days in advance of the meeting for consideration by the IPSAC and JRPC.

(ii) Following the annual issues evaluation meeting with IPSAC, the JRPC will determine, taking into consideration input provided by the IPSAC, the need to perform a Coordinated System Plan study. A Coordinated System Plan study shall be initiated by either of the following (1) each Party in the JRPC votes in favor of performing the Coordinated System Plan study; or (ii) if after two consecutive years in which a Coordinated System Plan study has not been performed, and one Party votes in favor of performing a Coordinated System Plan study. The JRPC shall inform the IPSAC of the decision whether or not to initiate a Coordinated System Plan study.

(iii) When a Coordinated System Plan study is determined to be necessary, the JRPC shall agree to the start date of the study, which shall not exceed 180 calendar days from the date of the JRPC’s determination to perform the study, unless the Parties agree to an alternative start date taking into consideration each Party’s regional planning cycles.

(b) Coordinated System Plan Study Process

(i) Each Party will be responsible for providing the technical support required to complete the analysis for the study. The responsibility for the coordinated study and the compilation of the coordinated study report will alternate between the Parties.
(ii) The JRPC will develop a scope and procedure for the coordinated planning analysis. The scope of the studies will include evaluations of issues resulting from the annual coordinated review and analysis of the Parties transmission issues. The scope and schedule for the Coordinated System Plan study will include the schedule of IPSAC review and input at all stages of the study. Study scope and assumptions will be documented and provided to the IPSAC for review and comment.

(iii) Ad hoc study groups may be formed as needed to address localized seams issues or to perform targeted studies of particular areas, needs, or potential expansions and to ensure the coordinated reliability and efficiency of the systems. Under the direction of the Parties, study groups will formalize how activities will be implemented.

(iv) The Coordinated System Plan study will consider the identified issues reviewed by the JRPC and IPSAC for further evaluation of potential remedies consistent with the criteria of this Protocol and each Party’s criteria. Stakeholder input will be solicited for potential remedies to identified issues, which includes stakeholder and transmission developer proposals for Interregional Projects. The study scope developed under Section 9.3.5.2(b)(ii) will include the schedule for acceptance of such stakeholder Interregional Project proposals including supporting analyses that address issues identified in the JRPC solicitation.

(v) The Parties will document the scope and assumptions including the process and schedule for the conduct of the study. The scope design will include, as appropriate, evaluation of the transmission system against the reliability criteria, operational performance criteria, economic performance criteria, and public policy needs applicable to each Party.

(vi) The Parties will use planning models that are developed in accordance with the procedures to be established by the JRPC. The JRPC will develop joint study models consistent with the models and assumptions used for the regional planning cycle most recently completed, or underway, as appropriate. If the Coordinated System Plan study requires transmission evaluations driven by different regional needs (for example transmission that addresses...
any combination of needs including regional reliability, economics and public policy), then the coordination of studies, models, and assumptions will include the analyses appropriate to each region. The Parties will develop compromises on assumptions when feasible and will incorporate study sensitivities as appropriate when different regional assumptions must be accommodated. Known updates and revisions to models will be incorporated in a comprehensive fashion when new base planning models are available. Prior to the availability of a new comprehensive base model, known updates will be factored in, as necessary, into the review of results. Models will be available for stakeholder review subject to confidentiality and Critical Energy Infrastructure Information (CEII) processes of the Parties. The IPSAC will have the opportunity to provide feedback to the JRPC regarding the study models.

(vii) The IPSAC will have the opportunity to provide input into the development of potential solutions. The JRPC will be responsible for the screening and evaluation of potential solutions, including evaluating the proposed projects for designation as an Interregional Project pursuant to Section 9.4.3.1.

(viii) Transmission upgrades identified through the analyses conducted according to this Protocol and satisfying the applicable Protocol and regional planning requirements will be included in the Coordinated System Plan after the conclusion of the Coordinated System Plan study and applicable regional analyses. After the conclusion of the Coordinated System Plan study, any project included in the Coordinated System Plan and designated for interregional cost allocation, if not already engaged in the regional review process, will be submitted to the regional processes for review according to Section 9.3.5.2(x).

(ix) At the completion of the Coordinated System Plan study, the JRPC shall produce a report documenting the Coordinated System Plan study, including the transmission issues evaluated, studies performed, solutions considered, and, if applicable, recommended Interregional Projects with the associated cost allocation to the Parties pursuant to Section 9.4.3.1. In addition, explanations why proposed Interregional Projects did not move forward in the process will be provided in the final Coordinated System Plan study report. The JRPC shall provide the Coordinated System
Plan study report to the IPSAC for review. The IPSAC shall be provided the opportunity to provide input to the JRPC on the Coordinated System Plan study report. The final Coordinated System Plan study report shall be posted on each Party’s website.

The JRPC’s recommended Interregional Projects identified in the Coordinated System Plan study shall be reviewed by each Party through its respective regional processes. Transmission plans to resolve problems will be identified, included in the respective plans of the Parties and will be presented to the respective Parties Boards for approval and implementation using each Party’s procedures for approval. Critical upgrades for which the need to begin development is urgent will be reviewed by each Party in accordance with their procedures and presented to the Parties’ Boards for approval as soon as possible after identification through the coordinated planning process. Other projects identified will be reviewed by each Party in accordance with their procedures and presented to the Parties’ Boards for approval in the normal regional planning process cycle as long as this cycle does not delay the implementation of a necessary upgrade. The JRPC shall inform the IPSAC of the outcome of each Party’s review of the recommended Interregional Projects.

(c) Targeted Market Efficiency Study
At the discretion of the JRPC, the CSP may include a Targeted Market Efficiency Study as envisioned in section 9.3.5.2(b)(iii). This study will review, analyze and determine upgrades to remedy (i) identified historical Market to Market (M2M) congestion on the PJM-MISO border, and (ii) other identified current or historical market efficiency issues in addition to M2M issues. Identified issues under this section will be expected to persist and are not expected to be substantially alleviated by planned system changes in the 5 year planning horizon. Any targeted market efficiency study initiated by the JRPC under this section will generally be conducted under the process defined for a CSP study except as modified by this section and following subsections.

(i) Identified issues will be reviewed to determine the cause of the market issue including (a) the specific limiting elements, (b) verification of the ratings of the limiting elements, (c) whether approved, planned system changes may alleviate the issue, (iv) magnitude estimates of the cost of upgrading the limiting elements, and (iv) whether upgrades to limiting elements could supply substantial relief of the constraints

(ii) Using the results of the review of subsection (i) and the applicable criteria of section 9.4, the JRPC will post results of the analysis for input from the IPSAC and will solicit proposals for additional, potential Targeted Market Efficiency Projects (TMEP) that meet
the criteria of this Sections 9.3.5.2(c) and 9.4 applicable to a TMEP.

(iii) The JRPC will determine the list of limiting element upgrades and TMEP proposals to analyze for benefits to PJM and MISO for presentation to and input from the IPSAC.

(iv) Based on the analysis and stakeholder process conducted according to these Sections 9.3.5.2(c) and 9.4, the JRPC will determine any TMEP’s to recommend to their respective Boards for approval.

(v) Solely for the purposes of conducting the Targeted Market Efficiency Project analysis, the regional processes referred to in section 9.3.5.2(b)(viii) will be the JRPC analysis conducted for the TMEP study according to the scope and procedures developed in accordance with sections 9.3.5.2(b)(ii) and 9.3.5.2(c). The joint JRPC analysis together with the associated stakeholder process will be sufficient for any resulting JRPC recommended Interregional Transmission Projects to be presented for approval to the respective RTO Boards as described in 9.3.5.2(b)(x).