6.3 Redaction Requirements

Each proposal must include a redacted version for public posting. The purpose of submitting redacted and un-redacted versions is to enable PJM and transmission asset owners to protect Critical Electrical Infrastructure Information (CEII) and/or proprietary information from disclosure as defined in Attachment A.

The un-redacted version must highlight all sections that have been redacted from the public version. “Confidential” and “CEII” markings must be removed from the redacted version. Confidential and CEII materials may be submitted as an attachment to the un-redacted version of a proposal, in which case removing those attachments would create the public version. PJM reserves the right to review the proposing entity’s proposed redactions to ensure the appropriate level of transparency while protecting CEII, confidential and proprietary information.

Items that should not be redacted:

- Description and scope of project including substation and line detail, and general breaker configuration, e.g. ring bus, breaker-and-a-half etc.
- Violations/issues solved by the proposal
- General route of project
- High level cost and timeframe
- Technical specifications and parameters (ratings, impedances etc.)
- High level cost cap information
- General assumptions needed to evaluate projects
- General plans for operations and maintenance
- Environmental, land, and permitting information (except specific routes and landmarks)
- Construction responsibilities by other entities
- Items that can be redacted from proposals:
  - Detailed breaker descriptions (i.e.: failure of this breaker will result of loss of)
  - Single-line diagrams that include breakers
  - Geographic maps that identify specific location of project
  - Descriptions of specific landmarks in the area (names of rivers, trails, highways, etc.)
  - Proprietary information (such as detailed estimates, commercially sensitive practices, agreements with vendors/suppliers and intellectual property)

The above are suggested guidelines for proposing entities to use as they submit their proposals. PJM may require additional redactions to protect CEII or require the disclosure of redacted information as necessary.

The Terms and Conditions relative to a cost cap commitment will be treated as public information and will be included with the proposal on PJM’s website. To aid in transparency, to the maximum
extent possible, supporting information provided by the project proposer concerning the cost cap will be treated as public information and posted on the PJM website along with the proposal. Specific supporting information that could adversely impact the project proposer’s ability to negotiate with vendors may be eligible for confidential treatment based on the particular stage of project consideration and construction. Any documents, data or other information submitted with a project proposal for which confidential treatment is requested must be submitted in writing and designated as confidential pursuant to the procedures adopted by the Office of the Interconnection and include supporting justification. PJM shall consult with the entity concerning such designation. After consultation with the project proposer, information that PJM considers inappropriately labeled as confidential will not be given consideration in the evaluation of the proposed cost cap commitment.