Changes proposed by Planning for a new section in the Tariff (redlines represent changes from the proposed language in the Order):

**Part IV, Subpart B**

3.5.2[X.1] Transmission Provider will maintain on its OASIS or its website summary statistics related to processing Interconnection Studies pursuant to Interconnection Requests, updated **quarterly**, **every six months**. If Transmission Provider posts this information on its website, a link to the information must be provided on Transmission Provider’s OASIS site. For each **calendar six month reporting period**, Transmission Providers must calculate and post the information detailed in sections [3.5.2.1X.1.1] through [3.5.2.4X.1.4].

3.5.2.4[X.1.1] Interconnection Feasibility Studies processing time.

(A) Number of Interconnection Feasibility Studies completed within Transmission Provider’s coordinated region during the **reporting six month reporting period**.

(B) Number of Interconnection Feasibility Studies completed within Transmission Provider’s coordinated region during the **reporting quarter six month reporting period** that were completed **more than [timeline as listed in Transmission Provider’s LGIP] after receipt by Transmission Provider of the Interconnection Customer’s executed Interconnection Feasibility Study after the Interconnection Feasibility Study deadline specified in Section 36.2**.

(C) At the end of the **reporting quarter six month reporting period**, the number of active valid Interconnection Requests with ongoing incomplete Interconnection Feasibility Studies where such Interconnection Requests had **executed Interconnection Feasibility Study Agreements received by Transmission Provider more than [timeline as listed in Transmission Provider’s LGIP] exceeded the Interconnection Feasibility Study deadline in Section 36.2** before the **reporting quarter end**, end of the **six month reporting period**.

(D) Mean time (in days), Interconnection Feasibility Studies completed within Transmission Provider’s coordinated region during the **reporting quarter six month reporting period**, from the date when Transmission Provider received the executed the Interconnection Feasibility Study Agreement initiated performance of the Interconnection Feasibility Studies to the date when Transmission Provider provided the completed Interconnection Feasibility Study to the Interconnection Customer.
Percentage of Interconnection Feasibility Studies exceeding \([\text{timeline as listed in Transmission Provider’s LGIP}]\) the Interconnection Feasibility Study deadline in Section 36.2 to complete this reporting quarter \(\text{six month reporting period}\), calculated as the sum of \(3.5.2.1(\text{B})X.1.1(\text{B})\) plus \(3.5.2.1(\text{C})X.1.1(\text{C})\) divided by the sum of \(3.5.2.1(\text{A})X.1.1(\text{A})\) plus \(3.5.2.1(\text{C})X.1.1(\text{C})\).

3.5.2.2 X.1.2

Interconnection System Impact Studies processing time.

(A) Number of Interconnection Requests that had Interconnection System Impact Studies completed within Transmission Provider’s coordinated region during the reporting quarter \(\text{six month reporting period}\),

(B) Number of Interconnection Requests that had Interconnection System Impact Studies completed within Transmission Provider’s coordinated region during the reporting quarter \(\text{six month reporting period}\) that were completed more than \([\text{timeline as listed in Transmission Provider’s LGIP}]\) after receipt by Transmission Provider of the Interconnection Customer’s executed Interconnection System Impact Study Agreement after the deadline specified in the System Impact Study Agreement.

(C) At the end of the reporting quarter \(\text{six month reporting period}\), the number of active valid Interconnection Requests with ongoing incomplete System Impact Studies where such Interconnection Requests had executed Interconnection System Impact Study Agreements received by Transmission Provider more than \([\text{timeline as listed in Transmission Provider’s LGIP}]\) exceeded the deadline specified in the System Impact Study Agreement before the reporting quarter end end of the six month reporting period.

(D) Mean time (in days), Interconnection System Impact Studies completed within Transmission Provider’s coordinated region during the reporting quarter \(\text{six month reporting period}\), from the date when Transmission Provider received the executed Interconnection System Impact Study Agreement initiated the performance of the System Impact Studies to the date when Transmission Provider provided the completed Interconnection System Impact Study to the Interconnection Customer,

(E) Percentage of Interconnection System Impact Studies exceeding \([\text{timeline as listed in Transmission Provider’s LGIP}]\) deadline specified in the System Impact Study Agreement to complete this reporting quarter \(\text{six month reporting period}\), calculated as the sum of \(3.5.2.2(\text{B})X.1.2(\text{B})\) plus \(3.5.2.2(\text{C})X.1.2(\text{C})\) divided by the sum of \(3.5.2.2(\text{A})X.1.2(\text{A})\) plus \(3.5.2.2(\text{C})X.1.2(\text{C})\).
3.5.2.3X.1.3 Interconnection Facilities Studies processing time.

(A) Number of Interconnection Requests that had Interconnection Facilities Studies that are completed within Transmission Provider’s coordinated region during the reporting quarter six month reporting period.

(B) Number of Interconnection Requests that had Interconnection Facilities Studies that are completed within Transmission Provider’s coordinated region during the reporting quarter six month reporting period that were completed more than [timeline as listed in Transmission Provider’s LGIP] after receipt by Transmission Provider of the Interconnection Customer’s executed Interconnection Facilities Study Agreement after the deadline specified in the Facilities Study Agreement.

(C) At the end of the reporting quarter six month reporting period, the number of active valid Interconnection Service requests with ongoing incomplete Interconnection Facilities Studies where such Interconnection Requests had executed Interconnection Facilities Studies Agreement received by Transmission Provider more than [timeline as listed in Transmission Provider’s LGIP] exceeded the deadline specified in the Facilities Study Agreement before the reporting quarter end of the six month reporting period.

(D) Mean time (in days), for Interconnection Facilities Studies completed within Transmission Provider’s coordinated region during the reporting quarter six month reporting period, calculated from the date when Transmission Provider received the executed Interconnection Facilities Study Agreement to the date when Transmission Provider provided the completed Interconnection Facilities Study to the Interconnection Customer.

(E) Percentage of delayed Interconnection Facilities Studies this reporting quarter six month reporting period, calculated as the sum of 3.5.2.3(B)X.1.3(B) plus 3.5.2.3(C)X.1.3(C) divided by the sum of 3.5.2.3(A)X.1.3(A) plus 3.5.2.3(C)X.1.3(C)).

3.5.2.4X.1.4 Interconnection Service requests withdrawn from interconnection queue.

(A) Number of Interconnection Service requests New Service Requests valid Interconnection Requests that withdrew from Transmission Provider’s interconnection queue during the reporting quarter six month reporting period this eliminates all new
Interconnection Requests that were found to be invalid][this total number],

(B) Number of New Service Interconnection Requests deemed not to be valid requests during the six month reporting period,

(BC) Number of Interconnection Service requests New Service Interconnection Requests withdrawn from Transmission Provider’s interconnection queue during the reporting quarter six month reporting period before completion of any interconnection studies or execution of any interconnection study agreements,

(GD) Number of Interconnection Service requests New Service valid Interconnection Requests withdrawn from Transmission Provider’s interconnection queue during the reporting quarter six month reporting period before completion of an Interconnection System Impact Study,

(DE) Number of Interconnection Service requests New Service valid Interconnection Service Requests withdrawn from Transmission Provider’s interconnection queue during the reporting quarter six month reporting period before completion of an Interconnection Facility Study,

(F) Number of New Service Requests valid Interconnection Requests withdrawn from the Transmission Provider’s interconnection queue during the six month reporting period after the completion of all studies and prior to the execution of an Interconnection Service Agreement or Upgrade Construction Service Agreement,

(EG) Number of Interconnection Service requests New Service Requests withdrawn from Transmission Provider’s interconnection queue during the six month reporting period after execution of a generator interconnection agreement an Interconnection Service Agreement or Upgrade Construction Service Agreement or Interconnection Customer’s request the to filing of an unexecuted, new interconnection agreement|ISA unexecuted,

(FH) Mean time (in days), for all withdrawn Interconnection Service Requests Requests withdrawn during the six month reporting period, from the date when the request was determined to be valid to when Transmission Provider received the request to withdraw from the queue.

3.5.3X.2 Transmission Provider is required to post on OASIS or its website the measures in paragraph 3.5.2.1(A)X.1.1(A) through paragraph 3.5.2.4(F)X.1.4(H) for each
calendar quarter\textsuperscript{6} six month reporting period within 30 days of the end of the calendar quarter reporting period. Transmission Provider will keep the quarterly measures posted on OASIS or its website for three calendar years with the first required reporting year to be 2017. If Transmission Provider retains this information on its website, a link to the information must be provided on Transmission Provider’s OASIS site.

3.5.4.X.3 In the event that any of the values calculated in paragraphs 3.5.2.1(E)X.1.1(E), 3.5.2.2(E)X.1.2(E) or 3.5.2.3(E)X.1.3(E) exceeds 25 percent for two consecutive calendar quarters\textsuperscript{6} six month reporting periods, Transmission Provider will have to comply with the measures below for the next four two consecutive calendar quarters\textsuperscript{6} six month reporting periods and must continue reporting this information until Transmission Provider reports four two consecutive calendar quarters\textsuperscript{6} six month reporting periods without the values calculated in 3.5.2.1(E)X.1.1(E), 3.5.2.2(E)X.1.2(E) or 3.5.2.3(E)X.1.3(E) exceeding 25 percent for two consecutive calendar quarters\textsuperscript{6} six month reporting periods:

(i) Transmission Provider must submit a report to the Commission describing the reason for each study or group of clustered studies pursuant to an Interconnection Request that exceeded its deadline (i.e., 45, 90 or 180 days) for completion (excluding any allowance for Reasonable Efforts). Transmission Provider must describe the reasons for each study delay and any steps taken to remedy these specific issues and, if applicable, prevent such delays in the future. The report must be filed at the Commission within 45 days of the end of the calendar quarter reporting period.

(ii) Transmission Provider shall aggregate the total number of employee-hours and third party consultant hours expended towards interconnection studies within its coordinated region that quarter during that six-month reporting period and post on OASIS or its website. If Transmission Provider posts this information on its website, a link to the information must be provided on Transmission Provider’s OASIS site. This information is to be posted within 30 days of the end of the calendar quarter\textsuperscript{6} six-month reporting period.