



MISO-PJM IPSAC Update to JCM

11/10/2014

IPSAC Feedback

- **Strong Support**
 - Proceed with ‘quick hits’
 - Upfront details on developer/builder selection and project submittal
 - Formal model review, controls
 - Alignment of interregional and regional approval timelines

IPSAC Feedback (Cont.)

- **Some Support**
 - Reduce differences between interregional and regional tests
 - Eliminate regional tests
 - Revisit Assumptions
 - Modeling (generation siting, RPS, how futures used)
 - Benefit calculation (reduce hedging assumptions, include congestion relief)
 - Qualifying projects (lower \$20M, 345 kV thresholds)
 - Regional process differences unimportant

Upcoming Work Plan

- **Near term**
 - Continue work on ‘quick hits’ scope and identifying candidate projects
- **Longer term**
 - We have 3 MISO/PJM staff meetings scheduled in the next month
 - Don’t see eye-to-eye on priorities, will use these meetings to decide on the next steps
- **IPSAC webinar tentatively scheduled for December 8th from 9-11 am**
 - Share outcome of MISO/PJM staff discussions, prioritization
- **Working on January in-person IPSAC meeting**
 - More info on ‘quick hits’
 - Follow-up on other priorities