



# RPPTF Meeting

PJM Conference & Training Center – Tesla Conference Room

Toll-free call-in number (866) 398-2885, Passcode: 332134

## **WebEx Details:**

<https://pjm.webex.com>

Password: : rpp1120pjm

November 20, 2013

9:30 am, Eastern Standard Time

- RPPTF stakeholders have raised concerns over new costs that will occur in response to compliance with FERC Order No. 1000 and asked PJM to review and consider these costs, their appropriateness and whether any cost shifts have or may occur due to compliance.
- PJM has held internal discussions and noted that PJM, Members and stakeholders may be exposed to some new costs in order to comply with FERC Order No. 1000. PJM is proposing the following discussion topic and methods to align costs with causation when considering the new efforts associated with processing proposals.
- PJM proposes the creation of a proposal submission fee/deposit to offset the additional costs to assess and analyze stakeholder proposals.
  - The proposed submission fee/deposit would apply to all “greenfield” projects
- Until sufficient experience can be gained from the new process, PJM proposes a fee/deposit structure that will be utilized for 2 successive “test” years
  - After 2 test years, the fee/deposit structure will be re-evaluated based on actual experience
- The concept of a fee/deposit is offered to recognize a two step process necessary to technically review and validate proposals
  - A proposal will be submitted with a lump sum fee/deposit for each individual proposal
  - Upon selection of the proposals proceeding to the independent constructability phase analysis, the proposals not selected for the short list will receive a return of a portion of the submission fee/deposit
- Based on estimates from a limited PJM data set, PJM is proposing the following fee/deposit structure
  - \$50,000 fee/deposit per proposal at time of submission
  - \$30,000 refund of fee/deposit per proposal not advancing to the constructability analysis