

(Revised as of 8/8/2016)

Interest Identification

| # | Interest | Charge/ Mission/ Charter | Attributes | Party | Notes |
|---|---|--|---|--|---|
| 1 | Outline the state of Transparency as it exists today via the RTEP, TEAC, Sub-regional planning efforts | ☑ Transparency☐ Consistency | ☐ Coordination ☐ Openness ☐ Information Exchange ☐ Comparability ☐ Dispute Resolution ☐ Reproducibility ☐ Market Efficiency | ☐ Transmission Owner ☐ Transmission Customer ☐ PJM | Completed through education. |
| 2 | What are the stakeholders needs regarding transparency? (Timing, reporting needs, level of reporting/transparency, asset management decisions and for expansion planning decisions, other?) TO Perspective regarding transparency of process. | ☑ Transparency☐ Consistency | □ Coordination □ Openness □ Information Exchange □ Comparability □ Dispute Resolution □ Reproducibility □ Market Efficiency | ☐ Transmission Owner ☐ Transmission Customer ☐ PJM | Will combine. Drop level and cleanup. |
| 3 | Develop a common understanding of the nature and level of consistency that is being sought by PJM and stakeholders Is the consistency that is being sought across project types or across transmission zones? How should geographic, environmental, business, locational, and other regional differences be taken into account? | ☐ Transparency ☑ Consistency | □ Coordination □ Openness □ Information Exchange □ Comparability □ Dispute Resolution □ Reproducibility □ Market Efficiency | □ Transmission Owner □ Transmission Customer □ PJM | Pjm to complete its action item. Pjm needs to understand how decisions are made. Pjm worked to align better local planning processes to regionals. Consistency and communications. Equality in communications. |
| 4 | Gain insight into the drivers listed for Supplemental projects | ☑ Transparency☑ Consistency | ☑ Coordination ☑ Openness ☒ Information Exchange ☐ Comparability ☐ Dispute Resolution ☒ Reproducibility ☐ Market Efficiency | ☐ Transmission Owner ☐ Transmission Customer ☐ PJM | |
| 5 | An interest to generate the ability to independently replicate and/or reconcile results and provide a solid knowledge basis for engineering judgement. | ☑ Transparency☐ Consistency | ☐ Coordination ☐ Openness ☐ Information Exchange ☐ Comparability ☐ Dispute Resolution | □Transmission Owner ⊠Transmission Customer □PJM | Data and information to reproduce results assuming having models and consulting. |

PJM © 2016 1 | P a g e



(Revised as of 8/8/2016)

| | | | | (/// | 11360 83 01 0/0/2010 |
|----|--|--|---|--|---|
| | Ability to reproduce TO analysis based on Form 715 end of life/replacement criteria | | ☑ Reproducibility☐ Market Efficiency | | |
| 6 | A holistic transmission replacement process that adequately addresses DG, micro-grids, DR, State public policies, etc. as well as all of the needs of affected LSEs. Robust transmission system that includes cost effective solutions for the long term, all the alternatives were examined in anticipation of the investments | ☐ Transparency☐ Consistency | □ Coordination □ Openness □ Information Exchange □ Comparability □ Dispute Resolution □ Reproducibility □ Market Efficiency | □ Transmission Owner □ Transmission Customer □ PJM | Beyond scope of task force. Pjm will continue to look into this. Non-transmission alternatives. |
| 7 | Enhance transparency associated with transmission rate implications through Form 715 or TO path – understand cost implications of future transmission rates Some sort of look ahead / forecast for supplemental project decisions. | ☑ Transparency☐ Consistency | □ Coordination □ Openness □ Information Exchange □ Comparability □ Dispute Resolution □ Reproducibility □ Market Efficiency | □ Transmission Owner □ Transmission Customer □ PJM | Cost subgroup to address 2 nd part. Note form 715 is limited to atc calculation. |
| 8 | Full and complete understanding of the requirements of Form 715 | ☑ Transparency☑ Consistency | □ Coordination □ Openness □ Information Exchange □ Comparability □ Dispute Resolution □ Reproducibility □ Market Efficiency | □ Transmission Owner □ Transmission Customer □ PJM | Complete. |
| 9 | Transparent replacement criteria and good methodology to identify and build the "right" projects (Concern – for example, projects that meet criteria and may not be built as well as projects that may not meet criteria and yet may be built) | ☑ Transparency☐ Consistency | □ Coordination □ Openness □ Information Exchange □ Comparability □ Dispute Resolution □ Reproducibility □ Market Efficiency | □ Transmission Owner □ Transmission Customer □ PJM | |
| 10 | Develop and document common "themes" employed by Transmission Owners. Identify best practices to assess, quantify, and prioritize end of life projects noting that qualitative assessments play a key role in decision-making to pursue a project | ☑ Transparency☑ Consistency | □ Coordination □ Openness □ Information Exchange □ Comparability □ Dispute Resolution □ Reproducibility □ Market Efficiency | □ Transmission Owner □ Transmission Customer □ PJM | Completed through education. |
| 11 | Understand benefit/cost analyses/methodologies that | | ☐ Coordination | ☐ Transmission Owner | 1 st part, communicated through education. (Note: |

PJM © 2016 2 | P a g e



(Revised as of 8/8/2016)

| | drive a project "go" decision (Discuss and understand possibilities to analyze projects on an apples-to-apples basis using present value [PV] analytical techniques) | ☑ Transparency☑ Consistency | □ Openness □ Information Exchange □ Comparability □ Dispute Resolution □ Reproducibility □ Market Efficiency | ☑ Transmission Customer ☐ PJM | aim towards consistency.) Desire for more transparency in decision making process. Quantitative and qualitative. |
|----|---|--|---|--|--|
| 12 | In regards to Dominion replacement projects, interest in learning the difference between a replacement and an upgrade project. Are there state-by-state differences or regulations that dictate the definition of a replacement or upgrade project? | ☐ Transparency☐ Consistency | □ Coordination □ Openness □ Information Exchange □ Comparability □ Dispute Resolution □ Reproducibility □ Market Efficiency | ☐ Transmission Owner ☐ Transmission Customer ☐ PJM | |
| 13 | Additional data for sources of congestion because it is unclear and seems to be missing from education | ☐ Transparency ☐ Consistency | □ Coordination □ Openness □ Information Exchange □ Comparability □ Dispute Resolution □ Reproducibility □ Market Efficiency | ☐ Transmission Owner ☐ Transmission Customer ☐ PJM | Completed. |
| 14 | Understand how the data such as congestion is built and correlations that can be made | ☐ Transparency ☐ Consistency | □ Coordination □ Openness □ Information Exchange □ Comparability □ Dispute Resolution □ Reproducibility □ Market Efficiency | ☐ Transmission Owner ☐ Transmission Customer ☐ PJM | Completed through education. |
| 15 | Are there sources of existing data that may be helpful to build transparency around end of life and supplemental projects? How can we use FERC Form 1 existing data as feedstock? | ☑ Transparency☐ Consistency | □ Coordination □ Openness □ Information Exchange □ Comparability □ Dispute Resolution □ Reproducibility □ Market Efficiency | ☐ Transmission Owner ☐ Transmission Customer ☐ PJM | |
| 16 | Create a process and timeline that includes customer review and input for developing and sharing TO supplement project plans. | ☑ Transparency☐ Consistency | □ Coordination □ Openness □ Information Exchange □ Comparability □ Dispute Resolution □ Reproducibility □ Market Efficiency | □ Transmission Owner □ Transmission Customer □ PJM | Process exists, opportunity for enhancements. |
| 17 | Understand who provides the documentation required under | | ☐ Coordination☐ Openness | ☐Transmission Owner ☑Transmission Customer | Completed by pjm and/or transmission owners. |

PJM © 2016 3 | P a g e



(Revised as of 8/8/2016)

| | | | | (710) | 71000 00 01 0/0/E01 |
|----|---|--|---|--|--|
| | Part 6 of Form 715 and where it is for supplemental projects. | ⊠ Consistency | ☐ Information Exchange ☐ Comparability ☐ Dispute Resolution ☐ Reproducibility ☐ Market Efficiency | □PJM | Expand clarity around supplemental projects. |
| 18 | Be able to track project costs and in-service dates over time from initial estimates to final costs and time to complete. | ☑ Transparency☐ Consistency | □ Coordination □ Openness □ Information Exchange □ Comparability □ Dispute Resolution □ Reproducibility □ Market Efficiency | □ Transmission Owner ☑ Transmission Customer □ PJM | User friendly dashboard for transmission customers requested. Potential subgroup deliverable. Enhance current process. |
| 19 | Make transparent and consistent (to the extent possible) the process for deciding whether to replace or retire transmission facilities | ☑ Transparency☑ Consistency | □ Coordination □ Openness □ Information Exchange □ Comparability □ Dispute Resolution □ Reproducibility □ Market Efficiency | □ Transmission Owner ☑ Transmission Customer □ PJM | |
| 20 | Establish a date certain by which TO supplemental projects must have been fully vetted through the supplemental project plan process (see above) in order to be included in the PJM model build (ex. after date certain, supplemental projects not already vetted may not be included in the PJM model build. They must be fully vetted and included in the next model build/planning cycle.) | ☑ Transparency☑ Consistency | ☐ Coordination ☐ Openness ☐ Information Exchange ☐ Comparability ☐ Dispute Resolution ☐ Reproducibility ☐ Market Efficiency | □ Transmission Owner ☑ Transmission Customer □ PJM | |
| 21 | Except for emergency instances, for aging infrastructure and supplemental projects, included in the baseline RTEP only after having fully completed the local planning process as set forth by 890. | ☑ Transparency☐ Consistency | □ Coordination □ Openness □ Information Exchange □ Comparability □ Dispute Resolution □ Reproducibility □ Market Efficiency | ☐ Transmission Owner ☐ Transmission Customer ☐ PJM | |
| 22 | Minimum time frames for posting | ☑ Transparency☐ Consistency | □ Coordination □ Openness □ Information Exchange □ Comparability □ Dispute Resolution □ Reproducibility □ Market Efficiency | □ Transmission Owner ☑ Transmission Customer □ PJM | |

PJM © 2016 4 | P a g e



(Revised as of 8/8/2016)

| 23 | Improved transparency | ☑ Transparency☐ Consistency | □ Coordination □ Openness □ Information Exchange □ Comparability □ Dispute Resolution □ Reproducibility □ Market Efficiency | ☐ Transmission Owner ☐ Transmission Customer ☑ PJM | |
|----|--|--|---|--|--|
| 24 | Some form of repeatable methodology/guidelines/approac h for projects related to aging infrastructure and to supplemental projects | ☐ Transparency☐ Consistency | ☐ Coordination ☐ Openness ☐ Information Exchange ☐ Comparability ☐ Dispute Resolution ☐ Reproducibility ☐ Market Efficiency | ☐ Transmission Owner ☐ Transmission Customer ☑ PJM | |
| 25 | Verification/Justification | ☐ Transparency ☐ Consistency | ☐ Coordination ☐ Openness ☐ Information Exchange ☐ Comparability ☐ Dispute Resolution ☐ Reproducibility ☐ Market Efficiency | □ Transmission Owner □ Transmission Customer ☑ PJM | |
| 26 | Method to evaluate alternatives to replacement-in-kind (Are there better more efficient configurations? Is such an evaluation worthwhile?) | ☐ Transparency☐ Consistency | □ Coordination □ Openness □ Information Exchange □ Comparability □ Dispute Resolution □ Reproducibility □ Market Efficiency | ☐ Transmission Owner ☐ Transmission Customer ☑ PJM | Link to other "alternative" interests emphasize engineering judgement/discourse. |
| 27 | There are rights and obligations that asset owners have a legal responsibility to defend and execute | ☐ Transparency ☐ Consistency | □ Coordination □ Openness □ Information Exchange □ Comparability □ Dispute Resolution □ Reproducibility □ Market Efficiency | ☑ Transmission Owner☐ Transmission Customer☐ PJM | |
| 28 | Transmission owner responsibility to make asset management decisions in a timely, efficient and cost effective manner. | ☐ Transparency ☐ Consistency | ☐ Coordination ☐ Openness ☐ Information Exchange ☐ Comparability ☐ Dispute Resolution ☐ Reproducibility ☐ Market Efficiency | ☑ Transmission Owner☐ Transmission Customer☐ PJM | |

PJM © 2016 5 | P a g e



(Revised as of 8/8/2016)

| 29 | Recognition that the TO right to maintain all transmission facilities, includes the right to replace those transmission facilities. | ☐ Transparency☐ Consistency | □ Coordination □ Openness □ Information Exchange □ Comparability □ Dispute Resolution □ Reproducibility □ Market Efficiency | ☑ Transmission Owner ☐ Transmission Customer ☐ PJM | 1000 do 01 0/0/201 |
|----|--|---------------------------------|---|--|---|
| 30 | Support no undue discrimination in the provision of transmission service, including improvements to SRRTEP and TEAC meetings | ☐ Transparency☐ Consistency | ☐ Coordination ☐ Openness ☐ Information Exchange ☐ Comparability ☐ Dispute Resolution ☐ Reproducibility ☐ Market Efficiency | ☐ Transmission Owner ☐ Transmission Customer ☐ PJM | |
| 31 | Support introduction of a separate Problem Statement outside of this Task Force, to address stakeholder interests regarding information on projections of transmission costs. | ☐ Transparency ☐ Consistency | ☐ Coordination ☐ Openness ☐ Information Exchange ☐ Comparability ☐ Dispute Resolution ☐ Reproducibility ☐ Market Efficiency | ☑ Transmission Owner ☐ Transmission Customer ☐ PJM | Suspend for now. – Subgroup working through potential deliverables. |
| 32 | Ensure that the Senior Task Force does not address issues outside of its Charter. | ☐ Transparency ☐ Consistency | □ Coordination □ Openness □ Information Exchange □ Comparability □ Dispute Resolution □ Reproducibility □ Market Efficiency | ⊠ Transmission Owner □ Transmission Customer □ PJM | |
| 33 | Planning the projects is not formulaic and do involve the exercise of engineering judgment. We do not want the judgment of our engineers to be supplanted by any other parties' judgement. | ☐ Transparency☐ Consistency | □ Coordination □ Openness □ Information Exchange □ Comparability □ Dispute Resolution □ Reproducibility □ Market Efficiency | ⊠Transmission Owner □Transmission Customer □PJM | |
| 34 | There are differences in how the various transmission zones have been designed and planned over many years. We have an interest in continuing to have our system planned consistent with its design. | ☐ Transparency☐ Consistency | □ Coordination □ Openness □ Information Exchange □ Comparability □ Dispute Resolution □ Reproducibility □ Market Efficiency | ☑ Transmission Owner ☐ Transmission Customer ☐ PJM | |

PJM © 2016 6 | P a g e



(Revised as of 8/8/2016)

| 35 | Input process should be constructive and project focused and not one that is used as a platform for obstructing orderly and timely planning and/or for argument of litigation positions. | ☐ Transparency☐ Consistency | □ Coordination □ Openness □ Information Exchange □ Comparability □ Dispute Resolution □ Reproducibility □ Market Efficiency | ☑ Transmission Owner☐ Transmission Customer☐ PJM | AMP supported. |
|----|--|-----------------------------|---|--|----------------|
| 36 | Input process and transparency requirements should not unreasonably burden right of transmission owner to plan its system to address local needs. | ☐ Transparency☐ Consistency | ☐ Coordination ☐ Openness ☐ Information Exchange ☐ Comparability ☐ Dispute Resolution ☐ Reproducibility ☐ Market Efficiency | ☑ Transmission Owner☐ Transmission Customer☐ PJM | |

PJM © 2016 7 | P a g e