

TRPSTF - QUESTIONS ON AMP'S DESIGN ELEMENTS

1. Are AMP's proposed detailed changes in timelines (AMP No 1) to be applied only to EOL/aging infrastructure projects, all supplemental projects, or all projects considered under the RTEP process, including baseline projects?
2. Has AMP adapted its proposed timeline from timelines used in other RTOs or regional planning processes? If so please identify them.
3. Under AMP's proposal to fully incorporate the EOL/aging infrastructure decision making process into the PJM planning protocols, with PJM responsible for reviewing and approving criteria, assumptions, etc., (AMP No 2), what would the TOs' role be?
4. What standards would PJM apply to review TOs' criteria, assumptions, guidelines, and models for EOL/aging infrastructure projects?
5. What responsibility would PJM have if, under PJM-reviewed TO criteria, assumptions, guidelines, and models, a replacement facility was not constructed for a transmission facility that failed or required emergency replacement?
6. Does AMP's proposal that PJM make a determination on any Local Plan project if there is a disagreement between a stakeholder and the TO (AMP No 6) apply whenever the stakeholder disagrees that a TO's proposed project is needed?
7. Does this proposal give stakeholders or PJM the authority to override a TO's planning for Local Plan projects?
8. What responsibility would PJM have if, based on stakeholder opposition, it does not select a project a TO thinks is needed?
9. Regarding AMP's proposal that TOs treat non-TO facilities as comparable to the TOs facilities (AMP No. 5), please describe any circumstance in which a TO failed to include the transmission needs of non-TOs for load growth, access to PJM or other markets, or any other non-TO transmission need in its planning for EOL/aging infrastructure projects or other Supplemental Projects?