Education for PJM's Current External Capacity Performance (CP) Construct and Challenges

External Capacity Enhancement & Underperformance Risk Management Task Force 6/2/2016



Types of transactions into PJM

Type of transaction	Tag?	Granularity	Similar to an internal generator?	Subject to tag curtailments?
Interchange (Block Schedules)	Yes	Block	No	Yes
Dynamic Schedules	Yes	Dynamic	No	Yes
Pseudo Ties	No	Dynamic	Yes	No

pjm



External CP – Pseudo Ties

- > PJM only allows pseudo ties in its external CP construct because:
 - > They are unit specific

> They do not require tags

They are dispatched by PJM







Current Challenges

- Network Model Expansions EMS and Markets Modeling Challenges adhering to NERC and FERC compliance standards
- 2. Congestion Management Local and Regional external system Congestion Management challenges
- 3. Planning Analysis External entity planning analysis lacking unit specific delivery studies
- 4. Neighboring impacts External entities concerns surrounding lack of operational control and tagging





Challenge 1

Network Model Expansions – Reliability Requirements and Risk Assessment



Challenge 1: Overview

1) FERC Requirements & NERC Standards

2) EMS Modeling Obligations

3) Market Modeling Obligations



FERC Requirements & NERC Standards Compliance Summary

- PJM's pseudo ties cannot be tagged (RAA)
- PJM's pseudo ties must be tagged unless recognized by a congestion management procedure (NERC INT)
- PJM's pseudo ties and any impacted Flowgates must be modeled in EMS (JOA)
- PJM's EMS must perform real-time assessments on a continuous basis (NERC IRO)





FERC & NERC Compliance Takeaways

- When modeling pseudo ties in PJM's EMS, PJM shall minimize any risks to EMS solution failures to avoid:
 - 1) NERC IRO standard non compliance (Operational Readiness)
 - 2) NERC INT standard non compliance (Transparency)
 - 3) RAA CP standard non compliance (Subject to NERC tagging)



PJM experiences State Estimator failures when

- PJM's model of the Bulk Electric System (BES) does not match the actual, current configuration of the BES
- PJM does not receive a significant amount of real-time telemetry (i.e. telemetry link failures)
- PJM receives a significant amount of bad real-time telemetry (i.e. link is available, but a large portion of data becomes corrupted)

PJM EMS Model & Real-Time Telemetry

As the electrical distance from the PJM footprint *increases*

pim

1

- Level of detail in the PJM EMS model decreases
- Number of telemetry links/amount of telemetry *decreases*
- Requirements and frequency for communication of BES configuration changes *decrease*





- The implementation of external capacity resources increases the risk for State Estimator (SE) failures.
- The risk of failures is much greater for resources located farther away from the PJM footprint.
 - Requires significant model expansion which increases the probability of a mismatch between the BES configuration and the PJM EMS model.
 - Requires increase in the number of telemetry links to support the model which increases the probability of a link outage or failure.
 - Requires significant increase in the amount of real-time telemetry to support model expansion which increases the probability of receiving a significant amount of bad telemetry.



- PJM Markets (Commercial) model must capture all internal and external generator impacts for qualifying external Flowgates:
 - Day Ahead
 - Real-time (Security Constrained Economic Dispatch)
 - Real-time (Market Flow Calculator)



Markets external system modeling matrix

ltem	Internal Generators	External Generators
DA Performance (within 3 hours)	Okay - limited congestion	At risk - significant external congestion
RT SCED Performance (Every 5 min)	Okay - limited congestion	At risk - significant external congestion
RT Market Flow Performance (Every 5 min)	Okay - limited congestion	At risk - significant external congestion
NERC and FERC compliance risks	Okay – solution performance	At risk - solution performance



Challenge 1: Summary

- PJM has NERC and FERC mandated requirements to model external system impacts in its EMS and Markets models
- PJM is concerned that PJM (and members) may be exposed to operational, compliance and performance risks as a result of model expansions to facilitate external capacity participation
- PJM needs to evaluate solutions to ensure robust external capacity participation while minimizing risk to its EMS and Markets models



Challenge 2: Congestion Management





Regional Congestion Management

- When PJM is dispatching pseudo ties the generation transfer is no longer visible to the host Balancing Authority
- Any significant pseudo tie impacts (greater than 5%) causing congestion on host BA facilities will be recognized as regional congestion management (M2M and TLR Flowgates)
- PJM is observing the need to add a significant amount of M2M facilities to effectuate its 2016/17 MISO pseudo ties



2016/17 Flowgate Statistics

External Coordinated Entity	# of Pseudo Ties	# of Flowgates before Pseudo Ties	Additional Flowgates after Pseudo Ties	% Increase from total Flowgates
MISO	7	220	114	41%
All non Markets	3	59	25	9%
Total	10	279	139	50%

Additional Flowgates are concerning since it impacts solution performance, congestion charges and unit deliveries



- PJM is concerned that PJM pseudo ties will be subject to curtailments based on external system bottlenecks that were not addressed when the pseudo ties were evaluated by external systems
- PJM is concerned that although the pseudo ties are granted Firm Transmission Service that the current congestion management constructs will recognize the delivery as non firm and as a result PJM will be exposed to M2M payments and TLR market flow curtailments when external bottlenecks are constrained



Local Congestion Management

- During Joint and Common Markets updates, MISO discussed its local reliability concerns associated with PJM pseudo ties
- Certain pseudo ties can impact local reliability limitations that are not recognized in the regional congestion management process
- Such local limitations may require pseudo tie dispatch commitments outside of PJM dispatch commitments





PJM Concerns with Local Congestion

- PJM is concerned that PJM pseudo ties will be subject to curtailments and out of merit dispatch commitments to satisfy local reliability conditions based on such conditions that were not evaluated by external systems
- PJM is concerned that PJM may incur uplift payments to accommodate such commitments and those payments might not be recuperated by external parties

PJM©2016



Challenge 2: Congestion Management - Summary

- PJM has addressed reliability concerns associated with regional and local congestion management requirements for pseudo ties through operating guides, but these are temporary solutions
- PJM and its members needs to develop a long term solution to address both regional and local congestion management challenges that were not observed during external entity pseudo tie evaluation process
- PJM will work with its CMP members to address pseudo tie firm priority recognition gap



Challenge 3: Planning Analysis



- Unit Specific into PJM Network External Designation Study
 - PJM studies external capacity resources from its physical location delivering energy to the PJM footprint
 - PJM monitors all PJM BES facilities in the deliverability study
 - PJM studies base (PTDF), N-1 (OTDF), N-1-1, and common mode
 - PJM monitors external facilities significantly impacted by the transfer





PJM Analysis

Unit specific out of PJM

- PJM studies internal capacity resources from its physical location delivering energy to external system footprint
- PJM simultaneously studies surrounding generator bottlenecks that may introduce while the internal capacity resource is transferred
- PJM monitors all PJM BES facilities in the deliverability study
- PJM studies base (PTDF), N-1 (OTDF), N-1-1, and common mode
- PJM monitors external facilities significantly impacted by the transfer
- This analysis is consistent with PJM's internal capacity generator deliverability study process





- PJM is concerned that the external systems are not evaluating PJM's pseudo ties in a manner consistent with how PJM evaluates internal generation in PJM footprint
 - Granularity
 - Deliverability Criteria Differences
- If external systems are not evaluating PJM's pseudo ties in a manner consistent with PJM's planning process then, although external systems grant Firm Point to Point service, PJM pseudo ties are exposed to external unplanned congestion



- PJM pseudo ties need be treated comparably to an internal CP resource when it receives CP status
- PJM is concerned that the external system studies are not granular enough to recognize external system impacts
- PJM and its members need to develop a solution to address the external CP planning analysis inconsistencies



Challenge 4: Neighboring Impacts





Operational Control

- MISO's concerns related to operational control :
 - Concerned with the volume of pseudo ties and with the distant pseudo ties because the physical limitations will remain as is
 - Because the attaining RTO will be dispatching/controlling the resource, attaining RTO's model needs to be detailed
 - Any local reliability issues have to be managed utilizing operating guides
 - M2M Congestion management may not be rapid as internal native BA's congestion management process

32



- INT 004 requires Pseudo-Tie units to be tagged unless it is included in a congestion management procedure
- When PJM pseudo ties impact external entities where PJM does not have a formal congestion management procedure, pseudo tie will be required to be tagged by default
- However, PJM external CP cannot be tagged because then those transactions could be curtailed via NERC TLR process and PJM therefore is no longer in control of such resources



Tagging

- When PJM discovers that its pseudo ties are impacting its neighbors without formal congestion management procedures, PJM has to work with its neighbors to execute procedures
- So far PJM has been successful establishing agreements with such neighbors on a case by case basis
- PJM is concerned that certain pseudo ties may not be approved by its neighbors through congestion management procedures, and therefore required to be tagged



- PJM has to work with its external neighbors to address their concerns in the pseudo tie process
- If an external impacted entity is not amenable to waive the tagging requirement and generate a congestion management agreement, then the pseudo tie may not be implemented
- Despite the current processes, some entities have expressed reliability concerns related to loss of dispatchability and operational flexibility, etc.



APPENDIX



Appendix - Existing Capacity Resource Requirements

Item	Internal Generators	External Generators	
NERC Tagging	No	No	
Pseudo Tie	N/A	Yes	
Capacity Import Limit Exception	N/A Yes (Till 2020/21)		
Transmission Service	N/A	Firm Point to Point/Network External Designated	
Must Offer Requirements	Yes	Yes	
Unit Specific	Yes	Yes	
Performance Assessment	Yes	Yes	

⊅∕pjm

- JOA Congestion Management Process (CMP):
 - CMP Section 1.4 The Market-Based Operating Entity's Energy Management System (EMS) has the capability to monitor and respond to real-time and projected flows created by its real time dispatch.
 - CMP Section 5.4 Operating Entities' real-time EMS's have very detailed state estimator and security analysis packages that are able to monitor both thermal and voltage contingencies every few minutes. State estimation models will be at least as detailed as the IDC model for all the Coordinated and Reciprocal Coordinated Flowgates. Additionally, Reciprocal Entities will be continually working to ensure the models used in their calculation of Market Flow are kept up to date.



- JOA Interregional Coordination Process
 - ICP Section 8 Under normal operating conditions, the Midwest ISO and PJM operators will model all Reciprocal Coordinated Flowgates (RCFs) in their respective EMSs. A subset of these Flowgates, impacted by Market Flows from the two RTOs' energy markets, will be subject to the market-to market process and called M2M Flowgates.
 - ICP Section 8.2.1 The Midwest ISO and PJM operators will model all M2M Flowgates facilities with actual limits in their respective EMSs.

APPENDIX : FERC Requirements - RAA

- Reliability Assurance Agreement (RAA)
 - Section 1.7A Capacity Market Seller may offer an external Generation Capacity Resource to the extent that such resource: (i) is reasonably expected, by the relevant Delivery Year, to meet all applicable requirements to be treated as equivalent to PJM Region internal generation that is not subject to NERC tagging as an interchange transaction; (ii) has long-term firm transmission service confirmed on the complete transmission path from such resource into PJM; and (iii) is, by written commitment of the Capacity Market Seller, subject to the same obligations imposed on Generation Capacity Resources located in the PJM Region by section 6.6 of Attachment DD of the PJM Tariff to offer their capacity into RPM Auctions.



- NERC Standards require Pseudo-Tie units to be tagged unless it is included in a congestion management procedure:
 - INT-004, R1: Each Purchasing-Selling Entity that secures energy to serve Load via a Dynamic Schedule or Pseudo-Tie shall ensure that a Request for Interchange is submitted as an on-time1 Arranged Interchange to the Sink Balancing Authority for that Dynamic Schedule or Pseudo-Tie, unless the information about the Pseudo-Tie is included in congestion management procedure(s) via an alternate method. <u>http://www.nerc.com/pa/Stand/Reliability%20Standards/INT-004-3.pdf</u>
- NERC Standards require reliable State Estimator and Contingency analysis solutions:
 - IRO-008-2, R4: Each Reliability Coordinator (RC) shall ensure that a Real-time Assessment is performed at least once every 30 minutes http://www.nerc.com/pa/Stand/Reliability%20Standards/IRO-008-2.pdf
 - TOP-001-3, R13: Each Transmission Operator (TOP) shall ensure that a Real-time Assessment is performed at least once every 30 minutes.

http://www.nerc.com/pa/Stand/Reliability%20Standards/TOP-001-3.pdf