



PJM Interconnection, L.L.C.  
2750 Monroe Blvd.  
Audubon, PA 19403

Pauline Foley  
Associate General Counsel  
T: (610) 666-8248 | F: (610) 666-8211  
[pauline.foley@pjm.com](mailto:pauline.foley@pjm.com)

July 30, 2018

Kimberly D. Bose, Secretary  
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission  
888 First Street, NE  
Washington, D.C. 20426

*Re: PJM Interconnection, L.L.C., Docket No. EL05-121-009 and ER18-2102-001  
eTariff Compliance Filing for Schedule 12 and Schedule 12-Appendices*

Dear Secretary Bose:

On June 15, 2016, the Settling Parties<sup>1</sup> filed Settlement Agreement and Offer of Settlement (“Settlement”)<sup>2</sup> in the captioned matter for rates to become effective January 1, 2016. In the Order on Contested Settlement,<sup>3</sup> the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (“Commission”) approved the Settlement and directed PJM Interconnection, L.L.C. (“PJM”) to

---

<sup>1</sup> The “Settling Parties” are: American Electric Power Service Corporation, on behalf of its operating companies; Baltimore Gas and Electric Company, an Exelon Company; Blue Ridge Power Agency, Inc.; The Dayton Power and Light Company; Delaware Municipal Electric Corporation, Inc.; Duke Energy Business Services, LLC on behalf of Duke Energy Ohio, Inc. and Duke Energy Kentucky, Inc.; Duquesne Light Company; East Kentucky Power Cooperative, Inc.; Exelon Corporation as agent for Commonwealth Edison Company and PECO Energy Company; FirstEnergy Utilities On behalf of affiliates American Transmission Systems, Incorporated, The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company, Jersey Central Power & Light Company, Metropolitan Edison Company, Ohio Edison Company, Monongahela Power Company, Pennsylvania Electric Company, Pennsylvania Power Company, The Potomac Edison Company, Toledo Edison Company, and West Penn Power Company; Illinois Commerce Commission; Indiana Utility Regulatory Commission; Michigan Public Service Commission; Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission; Pepco Holdings, LLC, an Exelon Company, and Potomac Electric Power Company, Delmarva Power & Light Company and Atlantic City Electric Company; PJM Interconnection, L.L.C.; PPL Electric Utilities Corporation; Public Service Commission of West Virginia; Public Utilities Commission of Ohio; and UGI Utilities, Inc. Additionally, the following parties have agreed to be listed in the Settlement as “NonOpposing Parties”: Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.; Delaware Public Service Commission; Maryland Public Service Commission; New Jersey Board of Public Utilities; Old Dominion Electric Cooperative; PSEG Energy Resources & Trade LLC; Public Power Association of New Jersey; Public Service Electric and Gas Company; Public Service Commission of the District of Columbia; Rockland Electric Company; Virginia Electric and Power Company, DBA Dominion Virginia Power; and the Virginia State Corporation Commission.

<sup>2</sup> *PJM Interconnection, L.L.C.*, Offer of Settlement, Docket No. EL05-121-009 (June 15, 2016) (“Settlement”).

<sup>3</sup> *PJM Interconnection, L.L.C.*, 163 FERC ¶ 61,168 (May 31, 2018) (“May 31 Order”).

submit the associated Tariff amendments by way of compliance eTariff records consistent with the *pro forma* tariff records included with the Settlement.<sup>4</sup>

Accordingly, in compliance with the May 31 Order, and pursuant to section 205 of the Federal Power Act<sup>5</sup> and Part 35 of the Commission's rules and regulations,<sup>6</sup> PJM submits amendments to the PJM Open Access Transmission Tariff ("Tariff") to add in eTariff format the *pro forma* tariff records to include a new Schedule 12-C, including Appendices A through C, as approved under the Settlement.<sup>7</sup> In addition, consistent with section 2.2(c) of the Settlement, PJM submits amendments to Tariff, Schedule 12-Appendix to amend cost responsibility assignments for Covered Transmission Enhancements as described in detail below. PJM requests that these proposed amendments become effective January 1, 2016, as directed by the Commission in its May 31 Order.

## **I. DESCRIPTION OF FILING**

### ***A. Background***

This filing follows years of litigation before the Commission under multiple dockets,<sup>8</sup> two 7<sup>th</sup> Circuit Remand Orders<sup>9</sup> and an established FERC hearing and settlement judge

---

<sup>4</sup> In the May 31 Order, the Commission directed PJM to submit a compliance filing within 30 days of the Order or June 30, 2018. Pursuant to a motion for extension of time filed by PJM, the Commission extended the date to comply an additional 30 days to July 30, 2018. See *PJM Interconnection, L.L.C.*, Notice Granting Request for Extension of Time, Docket No. EL05-121-009 (June 13, 2018).

<sup>5</sup> 16 U.S.C. § 824d.

<sup>6</sup> 18 C.F.R. Part 35 (2018).

<sup>7</sup> Due to e-Tariff restrictions, the proposed revisions to the PJM Tariff for Schedule 12-C Appendix B and Schedule 12-C Appendix C will be filed under separate cover using the same transmittal letter with the specified attachments corresponding to each filing because the version effective January 1, 2018 could not be submitted in the same filing in which the tariff record was initial created.

<sup>8</sup> May 31 Order, PP 3 - 7.

<sup>9</sup> See *Illinois Commerce Comm'n, et al. v. FERC*, 756 F.3d 556 (7<sup>th</sup> Cir. 2014); see also *Illinois Commerce Comm'n, et al. v. FERC*, 576 F.3d 470 (7<sup>th</sup> Cir. 2009), *reh'g and reh'g en banc denied* (Oct. 20, 2009).

proceeding<sup>10</sup> to determine the appropriate cost allocation for new transmission facilities that operate at or above 500 kV (“Regional Facilities”)<sup>11</sup> and Necessary Lower Voltage Facilities<sup>12</sup> that PJM planned and approved before February 1, 2013, whose costs were allocated in accordance with the 100 percent load-ratio share method established in Opinion No. 494.<sup>13</sup> Following seven settlement conferences convened by settlement judge Steven L. Sterner and attended by interested parties both in person and via teleconference, the Settling Parties submitted the Settlement on June 15, 2016 in Docket No. EL05-121-009 to take effect on the date the Commission approved the Settlement, i.e., May 31, 2018.

***B. Description of New Schedule 12-C and Appendices to Implement the Settlement***

The May 31 Order approved the *pro forma* tariff records included in the Settlement to add a new Schedule 12-C and three (3) appendices: (i) Appendix A (List of Covered Transmission Enhancements), (ii) Appendix B (Allocations for Canceled Projects) and (iii) Appendix C (Transmission Enhancement Charge (TEC) Adjustments – Monthly). Schedule 12-C sets forth the assignment of cost responsibility for Required Transmission Enhancements<sup>14</sup> listed in Schedule 12-C Appendix A, as of January 1, 2016. Each Required Transmission Enhancement listed in Schedule 12-C Appendix A, is referred to as a “Covered Transmission

---

<sup>10</sup> *PJM Interconnection, L.L.C.*, 149 FERC ¶ 61,233 (2014).

<sup>11</sup> Prior to 2013, Regional Facilities were defined to mean new transmission enhancements and expansions that will operate at or above 500 kV and are included in the upgrade to the RTEP approved by the PJM Board of Managers (“PJM Board”). PJM Tariff, Schedule 12 § (b)(i) (2010).

<sup>12</sup> Necessary Lower Voltage Facilities are defined as Required Transmission Enhancements included in the Regional Transmission Expansion Plan (“RTEP”) that are lower voltage facilities that must be constructed or reinforced to support new Regional Facilities.

<sup>13</sup> *PJM Interconnection, L.L.C.*, Opinion No. 494, 119 FERC ¶ 61,063 (2007), *order on reh’g*, Opinion No. 494-A, 122 FERC ¶61,082 (2008).

<sup>14</sup> “Required Transmission Enhancements” is defined in the Tariff in pertinent part to mean “enhancements and expansions of the transmission system that an [RTEP] developed pursuant to Schedule 6 of the Operating Agreement . . . .” See PJM Tariff, OATT Definitions – R-S.

Enhancement.” Covered Transmission Enhancements included in this Settlement that were canceled or abandoned before entering service are identified in Schedule 12-C Appendix A as a “Canceled Project.”<sup>15</sup> Schedule 12-C contains different methods for recovery of costs incurred for Covered Transmission Enhancements.

*1. Description of Proposed Amendments to Schedule 12-Appendix for the Going Forward Period Commencing January 1, 2016*

In the May 31 Order, the Commission accepted under Schedule 12-C for the going-forward period (the period commencing January 1, 2016 onward) modifications to the cost allocation methodology for Covered Transmission Enhancements included in Tariff, Schedule 12-Appendix. Therefore, pursuant to the Settlement, section 2.2(c) (Current Recovery Charge), PJM is required to modify Schedule 12-Appendix to assign cost responsibility to Responsible Customers<sup>16</sup> for each Covered Transmission Enhancement listed in Schedule 12-C Appendix A, based on the agreed-upon hybrid methodology in which: (i) 50 percent of the cost responsibility shall be assigned to Responsible Customers using the annual load-ratio share method;<sup>17</sup> and (ii) 50 percent of the cost responsibility shall be assigned to Responsible Customers using: (A) for MAPP and PATH projects identified as Canceled Projects Schedule 12-C Appendix A, the cost assignments are set forth in Schedule 12-C Appendix B;<sup>18</sup>

---

<sup>15</sup> The Allocations for those Canceled Projects are detailed in Schedule 12-C Appendix B. In addition, Schedule 12-Appendix contains allocations for Regional Facilities that are not listed in Schedule 12-C Appendix A and not revised in this filing as revenues were not collected for those canceled projects and those baseline upgrades will be removed from Schedule 12-Appendix in a subsequent clean-up filing.

<sup>16</sup> “Responsible Customers” are defined to mean “customers using Point-to-Point Transmission Service and/or Network Integration Transmission Service and Merchant Transmission Facility owners that will be subject to each such Transmission Enhancement Charge. See Tariff, Schedule 12, § (b)(viii).

<sup>17</sup> Tariff, Schedule 12 § (b)(i)(A)(1).

<sup>18</sup> The Branchburg to Roseland to Hudson (“BRH”) project was not included in Schedule 12-C Appendix B because there were no abandonment costs after January 1, 2016.

or (B) for all other Covered Transmission Enhancements listed in Schedule 12-C Appendix A, the current effective solution-based DFAX method.<sup>19</sup>

In addition, the Tariff sheets reflect additional changes to address: (i) the 2017 and 2018 annual updates provided for under the Tariff for load-ratio share<sup>20</sup> and solution-based DFAX, where applicable;<sup>21</sup> (ii) changes in cost allocations to Responsible Customers in 2017 due to the integration of MAIT,<sup>22</sup> effective February 1, 2017; (iii) the elimination of cost responsibility to Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. (“Con Edison”) due to termination of its long-term firm point-to-point transmission service agreements, effective May 1, 2017;<sup>23</sup> and (iv) changes in cost allocations to remaining Responsible Customers in 2018 due to termination of allocations to two Merchant Transmission Facilities, Linden VFT, LLC (“Linden”) and Hudson Transmission Partners, LLC (“HTP”), as a result of relinquishment of their Firm Transmission Withdrawal Rights, effective January 1, 2018.<sup>24</sup>

---

<sup>19</sup> Tariff, Schedule 12 § (b)(i)(A)(a).

<sup>20</sup> Tariff, Schedule 12 § (b)(i)(A).

<sup>21</sup> Tariff, Schedule 12 § (b)(iii)(H)(2).

<sup>22</sup> *PJM Interconnection, L.L.C.*, Amendments to PJM agreements and tariffs for integration of MAIT, Docket No. ER17-214-000 (Oct. 28, 2016) (this filing affected the Metropolitan Edison Company’s and Pennsylvania Electric Company’s eTariff records only).

<sup>23</sup> *PJM Interconnection, L.L.C.*, 159 FERC ¶ 62,310 (June 20, 2017).

<sup>24</sup> *PJM Interconnection, L.L.C.*, 162 FERC ¶ 61,197 (Mar. 5, 2018) (accepting annual updates including elimination of cost allocations to Linden and HTP, effective January 1, 2018); *see also PJM Interconnection, L.L.C.*, Compliance Filing, Docket No. ER18-680-000 (Jan. 19, 2018) (filing in compliance with the December 15, 2017 orders issued in Docket Nos. EL17-84-000 and EL17-90-000 to eliminate cost responsibility to Linden and HTP as a result of relinquishing their Firm Transmission Withdrawal Rights effective January 1, 2018). Based on requests for rehearing granted by the Commission in Docket Nos. ER18-579-000 and the outstanding issues in Docket No. ER18-680, the Commission issued an order on July 19, 2018 setting for settlement proceedings all Commission dockets specific to eliminating cost allocations to Hudson and Linden effective January 1, 2018 as a result of their relinquishment of their Firm Transmission Withdrawal Rights. *See Linden VFT, LLC v. PJM Interconnection, L.L.C.*, 164 FERC ¶ 61,034 (July 19, 2018).

2. *Description of Covered Transmission Enhancement Charge Adjustments for the Historical Period Prior to January 1, 2016*

For the historical period (the period prior to January 1, 2016) during which the costs of the Covered Transmission Enhancements were recovered using the 100 percent load-ratio share method approved in Opinion No. 494,<sup>25</sup> Schedule 12-C Appendix C provides for Covered Transmission Enhancement Charge Adjustments to the billing for Covered Transmission Enhancements through a schedule of credits or payments from Responsible Customers based on a negotiated schedule. Specifically, effective as of January 1, 2016 and continuing through December 31, 2025, in addition to the Current Recovery Charge detailed in B(1) above, PJM shall collect from or credit to Responsible Customers the Transmission Enhancement Charge Adjustments set forth in Appendix 12-C for each Zone and each Merchant Transmission Facility.

**C. *Adjustments to Transmission Enhancement Charge Adjustments***

The Settlement provides that the Transmission Enhancement Charge Adjustments set forth in Schedule 12-C Appendix C may be adjusted only under two circumstances as detailed in section 2.2(e) of the Settlement. Consistent with that provision, PJM proposes to make the following adjustments to the Transmission Enhancement Charge Adjustments.

1. *Consistent with Section 2.2(e)(2) of the Settlement, PJM has Adjusted the Transmission Enhancement Charge Adjustments in Schedule 12-C Appendix C as a Result of Linden's and HTP's Relinquishment of their Firm Transmission Withdrawal Rights, Effective January 1, 2018.*

Section 2.2(e)(2) of the Settlement provides, *inter alia*, that if a Merchant Transmission Facility is no longer subject to Transmission Enhancements Charges under the Tariff during the period in which Transmission Enhancement Charge Adjustments are collected, the Responsible Customer shall not be subject to such Transmission Enhancement Charges during the portion of

---

<sup>25</sup> See *supra*, at 3, n. 12.

that period and payment from or credits to such Responsible Customer(s) shall cease. Section 2.2(e)(2) of the Settlement further provides that PJM shall adjust the Transmission Enhancement Charge Adjustments payable by and credited to other Responsible Customers on a *pro rata* basis so that if, for example, the Responsible Customers were required to make payments, then the payment obligation associated with such Responsible Customers will be allocated *pro rata* among all remaining Zones and Merchant Transmission Facilities in which Responsible Customers remain subject to Transmission Enhancement Charges and have payment obligations under this Schedule 12-C Appendix C.

Merchant Transmission Facilities, Linden (identified as East Coast Power) and HTP, were assigned cost responsibility for Transmission Enhancement Charge Adjustments under Schedule 12-C Appendix C. Given that Linden and HTP relinquished their Firm Transmission Withdrawal Rights, effective January 1, 2018, PJM adjusted, on a *pro rata* basis, allocations, commencing January 1, 2018, to all remaining Zones and Merchant Transmission having payment obligations under Schedule 12-C Appendix C.

2. *No Adjustments to Transmission Enhancement Charge Adjustments are Required at this time for the Canceled PATH Project.*

PJM has determined that no adjustment to the Transmission Enhancement Charge Adjustments is required under section 2.2(e)(1) of the Settlement, as implemented by section 4(c)(i)(1) of Schedule 12-C. That provision provides that if the Commission issues a final decision in Docket No. ER12-2708-003 “that is no longer subject to judicial review,” relating to the recovery of costs by the owners of the canceled Potomac Appalachian Transmission Highline (“PATH”) project, PJM must make the necessary adjustments to the Transmission Enhancement Charge Adjustments to ensure that the amounts recovered by Transmission Enhancement Charge

Adjustments with respect to that project “reflect only the amounts the Commission authorizes the owner(s) to recover prior to January 1, 2016.” On January 19, 2017, the Commission issued Opinion No. 554 in Docket No. ER12-2708-003, addressing the PATH project owners’ cost recovery.<sup>26</sup> Opinion No. 554 is pending on rehearing. Moreover, under Opinion No. 554, the Commission did not require the owners of the PATH project to adjust their collections for the period prior to January 1, 2016, but instead directed them to issue refunds with interest associated with the decision in Opinion No. 554 as prospective credits against charges recovered after the decision pursuant to the annual update process described in the project owners’ formula rate protocols.<sup>27</sup> The PATH project owners began providing those credits through the annual update mechanism in 2018.<sup>28</sup> Because Opinion No. 554 is not final and because the issuance of refunds as credits against future charges, in accordance that decision by the owners of the PATH project ensures that the Transmission Enhancement Adjustments reflect only the amounts the Commission authorizes them to recover prior to January 1, 2016, no adjustments are required under the Settlement, section 2.2(e)(1).

## II. DOCUMENTS ENCLOSED

1. This transmittal letter;
2. Attachment A – Redlines of Schedule 12-C and Appendices and Schedule 12-Appendix, effective January 1, 2016 and forward; and
3. Attachment B – Clean Versions of Schedule 12-C and Appendices and Schedule 12-Appendix, effective January 1, 2016 and forward.

---

<sup>26</sup> *Potomac-Appalachian Transmission Highline, LLC*, Opinion No. 554, 158 FERC ¶ 61,050 (2017).

<sup>27</sup> *Id.* at PP 85-86.

<sup>28</sup> *See* Compliance Filing, Docket Nos. ER12-2708-005, *et al.* (filed March 20, 2017).



### III. COMMUNICATIONS

The following individuals are designated for receipt of any communications regarding this filing:

Craig Glazer  
Vice President – Federal Government Policy  
PJM Interconnection, L.L.C. 1200  
G Street, N.W. Suite 600  
Washington, DC 20005  
Ph: (202) 423-4743  
Fax: (202) 393-7741  
[craig.glazer@pjm.com](mailto:craig.glazer@pjm.com)

Pauline Foley  
Associate General Counsel  
PJM Interconnection, L.L.C.  
2750 Monroe Blvd.  
Audubon, PA 19403  
Ph: (610) 666-8248  
Fax: (610) 666-8211  
[pauline.foley@pjm.com](mailto:pauline.foley@pjm.com)

### IV. SERVICE

PJM has served a copy of this filing on all PJM Members and on all state utility regulatory commissions in the PJM Region by posting this filing electronically. In accordance with the Commission's regulations,<sup>29</sup> PJM will post a copy of this filing to the FERC filings section of its internet site, located at the following link: <http://www.pjm.com/documents/ferc-manuals/ferc-filings.aspx> with a specific link to the newly-filed document, and will send an e-mail on the same date as this filing to all PJM Members and all state utility regulatory commissions in the PJM Region<sup>30</sup> alerting them that this filing has been made by PJM and is available by following such link. If the document is not immediately available by using the referenced link, the document will be available through the referenced link within 24 hours of the filing. Also, a copy of this filing will be available on the FERC's eLibrary website located at the

---


<sup>29</sup> See 18C.F.R §§ 35.2(e) and 385.2010(f)(3) (2018).

<sup>30</sup> PJM already maintains, updates and regularly uses e-mail lists for all PJM Members and affected state commissions.

following link: <http://www.ferc.gov/docs-filing/elibrary.asp> in accordance with the  
Commission's regulations and Order No. 714.

Craig Glazer  
Vice President – Federal Government Policy  
PJM Interconnection, L.L.C.  
1200 G Street, N.W., Suite 600  
Washington, D.C. 20005  
Ph: (202) 423-4743  
Fax: (202) 393-7741  
[craig.glazer@pjm.com](mailto:craig.glazer@pjm.com)

Respectfully submitted,

By:   
Pauline Foley  
Associate General Counsel  
PJM Interconnection, L.L.C.  
2750 Monroe Blvd.  
Audubon, PA 19403  
Ph: (610) 666-8248  
Fax: (610) 666-8211  
[pauline.foley@pjm.com](mailto:pauline.foley@pjm.com)


*On behalf of PJM Interconnection, L.L.C.*

Dated: July 30, 2018

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I HEREBY CERTIFY that I have this day caused to be served the foregoing document upon each person designated on the official service list compiled by the Secretary in this proceeding.

Dated at Audubon, PA, this 30<sup>th</sup> day of July, 2018.

By:   
\_\_\_\_\_  
Pauline Foley  
Associate General Counsel  
PJM Interconnection, L.L.C.  
2750 Monroe Blvd.  
Audubon, PA 19403  
Ph: (610) 666-8248  
Fax: (610) 666-8211  
[pauline.foley@pjm.com](mailto:pauline.foley@pjm.com)

*On behalf of PJM Interconnection, L.L.C.*

# **Attachment A**

Revisions to Schedule 12-C Appendices B and C  
of the PJM Open Access Transmission Tariff

(Marked / Redline Format)

**SCHEDULE 12-C APPENDIX B**

***Allocations for Canceled Projects***

	<u>PATH</u>	<u>MAPP</u>
AEC	<del>4.99</del> <u>5.01</u> %	3.94%
AEP	<del>4.37</del> <u>4.39</u> %	0.00%
APS	<del>9.22</del> <u>9.26</u> %	0.33%
ATSI	0.00%	0.00%
BGE	<del>4.41</del> <u>4.43</u> %	<del>34.52</del> <u>34.54</u> %
ComEd	0.00%	0.00%
Coned	0.00%	0.00%
Dayton	0.00%	0.00%
DEOK	0.00%	0.00%
DL	0.02%	0.00%
DPL	<del>6.88</del> <u>6.91</u> %	<del>14.68</del> <u>14.69</u> %
Dominion	<del>10.77</del> <u>10.82</u> %	0.30%
EKPC	0.00%	0.00%
HTP	0.00%	0.00%
JCPL	<del>11.59</del> <u>11.64</u> %	9.43%
ME	<del>2.93</del> <u>2.94</u> %	2.16%
Neptune	<del>1.11</del> <u>1.12</u> %	0.90%
PECO	<del>14.45</del> <u>14.51</u> %	<del>10.51</del> <u>10.52</u> %
PENELEC	0.00%	0.00%
PEPCO	<del>6.08</del> <u>6.11</u> %	2.44%
PPL	<del>6.36</del> <u>6.39</u> %	5.50%
PSEG	<del>15.79</del> <u>15.86</u> %	<del>14.37</del> <u>14.71</u> %
RE	0.59%	0.54%
UGI	0.00%	0.00%
ECP	<del>0.44</del> <u>0.00</u> %	<del>0.38</del> <u>0.00</u> %
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>100.00%</b>	<b>100.00%</b>

*Note: The above percentages apply to 50% of the responsibility to pay the Transmission Enhancement Charges for the identified Canceled Projects in accordance with section 3.b.ii.(2) of Schedule 12-C.*

**SCHEDULE 12-C APPENDIX C**  
**TRANSMISSION ENHANCEMENT CHARGE ADJUSTMENTS**

(Effective January 1, ~~2016~~2018)

<b>Zone or MTF</b>	<b>TEC Adjustment Years 1-4 Without PATH</b>	<b>TEC Adjustment Years 1-4 PATH Only</b>	<b>Total TEC Adjustment Years 1 through 4</b>	<b>TEC Adjustment Years 5-10 Without PATH</b>	<b>TEC Adjustment Years 5-10 PATH Only</b>	<b>Total TEC Adjustment Years 5 through 10</b>
<b>AE</b>	<del>-\$24,860.09</del> <del>-\$25,237.09</del>	<del>\$47,899.66</del> <del>\$48,626.05</del>	<del>\$23,039.57</del> <del>\$23,388.96</del>	<del>-\$10,418.79</del> <del>-\$10,576.79</del>	<del>\$20,074.61</del> <del>\$20,379.04</del>	<del>\$9,655.82</del> <del>\$9,802.25</del>
<b>AEP</b>	-\$2,444,812.18	-\$174,489.11	-\$2,619,301.30	-\$1,024,614.00	-\$73,127.90	-\$1,097,741.90
<b>APS</b>	<del>\$954,922.88</del> <del>\$969,404.16</del>	<del>\$52,440.01</del> <del>\$53,235.26</del>	<del>\$1,007,362.89</del> <del>\$1,022,639.42</del>	<del>\$400,205.53</del> <del>\$406,274.59</del>	<del>\$21,977.46</del> <del>\$22,310.75</del>	<del>\$422,182.99</del> <del>\$428,585.34</del>
<b>ATSI</b>	-\$1,093,902.38	-\$72,438.56	-\$1,166,340.94	-\$458,451.45	-\$30,358.80	-\$488,810.25
<b>BGE</b>	<del>\$1,281,971.91</del> <del>\$1,301,412.84</del>	<del>-\$2,640.98</del> <del>-\$2,681.03</del>	<del>\$1,279,330.93</del> <del>\$1,298,731.81</del>	<del>\$537,270.87</del> <del>\$545,418.51</del>	<del>-\$1,106.83</del> <del>-\$1,123.61</del>	<del>\$536,164.04</del> <del>\$544,294.90</del>
<b>ComEd</b>	-\$2,608,103.66	-\$221,693.57	-\$2,829,797.23	-\$1,093,049.01	-\$92,911.16	-\$1,185,960.17
<b>ConEd</b>	-\$70,904.37	-\$4,688.81	-\$75,593.18	-\$29,715.83	-\$1,965.07	-\$31,680.89
<b>Dayton</b>	-\$375,384.08	-\$34,767.87	-\$410,151.95	-\$157,322.42	-\$14,571.12	-\$171,893.54
<b>Duke OH/KY</b>	-\$302,715.79	-\$20,247.63	-\$322,963.42	-\$126,867.35	-\$8,485.73	-\$135,353.07
<b>Duquesne</b>	-\$318,588.72	-\$28,822.02	-\$347,410.74	-\$133,519.65	-\$12,079.23	-\$145,598.88
<b>Delmarva DE</b>	-\$157,754.97	\$37,622.55	-\$120,132.43	-\$66,114.67	\$15,767.50	-\$50,347.17
<b>Delmarva MD</b>	-\$97,639.85	\$22,956.13	-\$74,683.72	-\$40,920.59	\$9,620.85	-\$31,299.74
<b>Delmarva VA</b>	-\$13,369.07	\$3,188.35	-\$10,180.71	-\$5,602.94	\$1,336.23	-\$4,266.71
<b>Dominion</b>	<del>\$2,548,417.01</del> <del>\$2,587,063.40</del>	<del>-\$29,708.12</del> <del>\$30,158.64</del>	<del>\$2,518,708.88</del> <del>\$2,556,904.76</del>	<del>\$1,068,034.50</del> <del>\$1,084,231.09</del>	<del>-\$12,450.59</del> <del>-\$12,639.40</del>	<del>\$1,055,583.90</del> <del>\$1,071,591.69</del>
<b>EKPC</b>	-\$88,156.35	-\$3,920.00	-\$92,076.35	-\$36,946.08	-\$1,642.86	-\$38,588.94
<b>HTP</b>	<del>\$67,459.71</del> <del>\$0.00</del>	<del>-\$392.30</del> <del>\$0.00</del>	<del>\$67,067.41</del> <del>\$0.00</del>	<del>\$28,272.18</del> <del>\$0.00</del>	<del>-\$164.41</del> <del>\$0.00</del>	<del>\$28,107.76</del> <del>\$0.00</del>
<b>JCPL</b>	<del>\$684,836.11</del> <del>\$695,221.56</del>	<del>\$113,570.16</del> <del>\$115,292.43</del>	<del>\$798,406.27</del> <del>\$810,513.99</del>	<del>\$287,012.91</del> <del>\$291,365.43</del>	<del>\$47,596.94</del> <del>\$48,318.74</del>	<del>\$334,609.85</del> <del>\$339,684.16</del>
<b>MedEd</b>	-\$290,626.73	\$14,498.19	-\$276,128.54	-\$121,800.86	\$6,076.15	-\$115,724.70
<b>Neptune</b>	<del>\$63,553.63</del> <del>\$64,517.41</del>	<del>\$10,067.97</del> <del>\$10,220.65</del>	<del>\$73,621.60</del> <del>\$74,738.06</del>	<del>\$26,635.15</del> <del>\$27,039.07</del>	<del>\$4,219.46</del> <del>\$4,283.45</del>	<del>\$30,854.61</del> <del>\$31,322.51</del>
<b>PECO</b>	-\$766,990.16	\$132,927.71	-\$634,062.44	-\$321,443.45	\$55,709.64	-\$265,733.81
<b>Penelec</b>	-\$224,425.28	-\$30,009.25	-\$254,434.53	-\$94,056.01	-\$12,576.79	-\$106,632.80
<b>PEPCO DC</b>	<del>\$787,856.55</del> <del>\$799,804.28</del>	<del>\$9,072.91</del> <del>\$9,210.50</del>	<del>\$796,929.46</del> <del>\$809,014.78</del>	<del>\$330,188.49</del> <del>\$335,195.76</del>	<del>\$3,802.43</del> <del>\$3,860.10</del>	<del>\$333,990.92</del> <del>\$339,055.85</del>
<b>PEPCO MD</b>	<del>\$1,145,526.02</del> <del>\$1,162,897.77</del>	<del>\$13,215.00</del> <del>\$13,415.41</del>	<del>\$1,158,741.03</del> <del>\$1,176,313.18</del>	<del>\$480,086.78</del> <del>\$487,367.23</del>	<del>\$5,538.37</del> <del>\$5,622.36</del>	<del>\$485,625.15</del> <del>\$492,989.59</del>
<b>PEPCO SMECO</b>	<del>\$273,479.45</del> <del>\$277,626.73</del>	<del>\$3,154.91</del> <del>\$3,202.75</del>	<del>\$276,634.36</del> <del>\$280,829.48</del>	<del>\$114,614.48</del> <del>\$116,352.59</del>	<del>\$1,322.21</del> <del>\$1,342.27</del>	<del>\$115,936.69</del> <del>\$117,694.86</del>
<b>PPL EU</b>	-\$786,877.08	\$20,174.85	-\$766,702.23	-\$329,778.00	\$8,455.23	-\$321,322.78
<b>PPL UGI</b>	-\$40.31	\$0.00	-\$40.31	-\$16.89	\$0.00	-\$16.89
<b>PSEG</b>	<del>\$1,713,725.35</del> <del>\$1,739,713.76</del>	<del>\$135,477.48</del> <del>\$137,531.98</del>	<del>\$1,849,202.83</del> <del>\$1,877,245.74</del>	<del>\$718,217.54</del> <del>\$729,109.21</del>	<del>\$56,778.24</del> <del>\$57,639.27</del>	<del>\$774,995.77</del> <del>\$786,748.48</del>
<b>Rockland</b>	<del>\$63,940.65</del> <del>\$64,910.31</del>	<del>\$4,698.27</del> <del>\$4,769.52</del>	<del>\$68,638.92</del> <del>\$69,679.82</del>	<del>\$26,797.35</del> <del>\$27,203.73</del>	<del>\$1,969.03</del> <del>\$1,998.89</del>	<del>\$28,766.38</del> <del>\$29,202.62</del>
<b>East Coast Power</b>	<del>\$79,461.78</del> <del>\$0.00</del>	<del>\$2,854.08</del> <del>\$0.00</del>	<del>\$82,315.86</del> <del>\$0.00</del>	<del>\$33,302.21</del> <del>\$0.00</del>	<del>\$1,196.14</del> <del>\$0.00</del>	<del>\$34,498.35</del> <del>\$0.00</del>

# **Attachment B**

Revisions to Schedule 12-C Appendices B and C  
of the PJM Open Access Transmission Tariff

(Clean Format)



**SCHEDULE 12-C APPENDIX B**

***Allocations for Canceled Projects***

	<u>PATH</u>	<u>MAPP</u>
AEC	5.01%	3.94%
AEP	4.39%	0.00%
APS	9.26%	0.33%
ATSI	0.00%	0.00%
BGE	4.43%	34.54%
ComEd	0.00%	0.00%
Coned	0.00%	0.00%
Dayton	0.00%	0.00%
DEOK	0.00%	0.00%
DL	0.02%	0.00%
DPL	6.91%	14.69%
Dominion	10.82%	0.30%
EKPC	0.00%	0.00%
HTP	0.00%	0.00%
JCPL	11.64%	9.43%
ME	2.94%	2.16%
Neptune	1.12%	0.90%
PECO	14.51%	10.52%
PENELEC	0.00%	0.00%
PEPCO	6.11%	2.44%
PPL	6.39%	5.50%
PSEG	15.86%	14.71%
RE	0.59%	0.54%
UGI	0.00%	0.00%
ECP	0.00%	0.00%
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>100.00%</b>	<b>100.00%</b>

*Note: The above percentages apply to 50% of the responsibility to pay the Transmission Enhancement Charges for the identified Canceled Projects in accordance with section 3.b.ii.(2) of Schedule 12-C.*

**SCHEDULE 12-C APPENDIX C**

**TRANSMISSION ENHANCEMENT CHARGE ADJUSTMENTS**

**(Effective January 1, 2018)**

<b>Zone or MTF</b>	<b>TEC Adjustment Years 1-4 Without PATH</b>	<b>TEC Adjustment Years 1-4 PATH Only</b>	<b>Total TEC Adjustment Years 1 through 4</b>	<b>TEC Adjustment Years 5-10 Without PATH</b>	<b>TEC Adjustment Years 5-10 PATH Only</b>	<b>Total TEC Adjustment Years 5 through 10</b>
<b>AE</b>	-\$25,237.09	\$48,626.05	\$23,388.96	-\$10,576.79	\$20,379.04	\$9,802.25
<b>AEP</b>	-\$2,444,812.18	-\$174,489.11	-\$2,619,301.30	-\$1,024,614.00	-\$73,127.90	-\$1,097,741.90
<b>APS</b>	\$969,404.16	\$53,235.26	\$1,022,639.42	\$406,274.59	\$22,310.75	\$428,585.34
<b>ATSI</b>	-\$1,093,902.38	-\$72,438.56	-\$1,166,340.94	-\$458,451.45	-\$30,358.80	-\$488,810.25
<b>BGE</b>	\$1,301,412.84	-\$2,681.03	\$1,298,731.81	\$545,418.51	-\$1,123.61	\$544,294.90
<b>ComEd</b>	-\$2,608,103.66	-\$221,693.57	-\$2,829,797.23	-\$1,093,049.01	-\$92,911.16	-\$1,185,960.17
<b>ConEd</b>	-\$70,904.37	-\$4,688.81	-\$75,593.18	-\$29,715.83	-\$1,965.07	-\$31,680.89
<b>Dayton</b>	-\$375,384.08	-\$34,767.87	-\$410,151.95	-\$157,322.42	-\$14,571.12	-\$171,893.54
<b>Duke OH/KY</b>	-\$302,715.79	-\$20,247.63	-\$322,963.42	-\$126,867.35	-\$8,485.73	-\$135,353.07
<b>Duquesne</b>	-\$318,588.72	-\$28,822.02	-\$347,410.74	-\$133,519.65	-\$12,079.23	-\$145,598.88
<b>Delmarva DE</b>	-\$157,754.97	\$37,622.55	-\$120,132.43	-\$66,114.67	\$15,767.50	-\$50,347.17
<b>Delmarva MD</b>	-\$97,639.85	\$22,956.13	-\$74,683.72	-\$40,920.59	\$9,620.85	-\$31,299.74
<b>Delmarva VA</b>	-\$13,369.07	\$3,188.35	-\$10,180.71	-\$5,602.94	\$1,336.23	-\$4,266.71
<b>Dominion</b>	\$2,587,063.40	-\$30,158.64	\$2,556,904.76	\$1,084,231.09	-\$12,639.40	\$1,071,591.69
<b>EKPC</b>	-\$88,156.35	-\$3,920.00	-\$92,076.35	-\$36,946.08	-\$1,642.86	-\$38,588.94
<b>HTP</b>	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
<b>JCPL</b>	\$695,221.56	\$115,292.43	\$810,513.99	\$291,365.43	\$48,318.74	\$339,684.16
<b>MedEd</b>	-\$290,626.73	\$14,498.19	-\$276,128.54	-\$121,800.86	\$6,076.15	-\$115,724.70
<b>Neptune</b>	\$64,517.41	\$10,220.65	\$74,738.06	\$27,039.07	\$4,283.45	\$31,322.51
<b>PECO</b>	-\$766,990.16	\$132,927.71	-\$634,062.44	-\$321,443.45	\$55,709.64	-\$265,733.81
<b>Penelec</b>	-\$224,425.28	-\$30,009.25	-\$254,434.53	-\$94,056.01	-\$12,576.79	-\$106,632.80
<b>PEPCO DC</b>	\$799,804.28	\$9,210.50	\$809,014.78	\$335,195.76	\$3,860.10	\$339,055.85
<b>PEPCO MD</b>	\$1,162,897.77	\$13,415.41	\$1,176,313.18	\$487,367.23	\$5,622.36	\$492,989.59
<b>PEPCO SMECO</b>	\$277,626.73	\$3,202.75	\$280,829.48	\$116,352.59	\$1,342.27	\$117,694.86
<b>PPL EU</b>	-\$786,877.08	\$20,174.85	-\$766,702.23	-\$329,778.00	\$8,455.23	-\$321,322.78
<b>PPL UGI</b>	-\$40.31	\$0.00	-\$40.31	-\$16.89	\$0.00	-\$16.89
<b>PSEG</b>	\$1,739,713.76	\$137,531.98	\$1,877,245.74	\$729,109.21	\$57,639.27	\$786,748.48
<b>Rockland</b>	\$64,910.31	\$4,769.52	\$69,679.82	\$27,203.73	\$1,998.89	\$29,202.62
<b>East Coast Power</b>	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00