February 16, 2021

Honorable Kimberly D. Bose, Secretary
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
888 First Street, N.E., Room 1A
Washington, D.C. 20426

Re:   *PJM Interconnection, L.L.C., Docket No. ER19-2722-00_  
       Compliance Filing*

Dear Secretary Bose:

In compliance with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission’s (“Commission”) December 17, 2020 Order\(^1\) in the above referenced proceedings, PJM Interconnection, L.L.C. (“PJM”) hereby submits modifications to the PJM Open Access Transmission Tariff (“Tariff”) and Amended and Restated Operating Agreement of PJM Interconnection, L.L.C. (“Operating Agreement”).\(^2\)

As discussed in section III below, PJM requests an effective date for the enclosed compliance revisions and those accepted in the December 17 Order of the later of May 1, 2021, or the first day of the first month following a Commission order accepting the enclosed revisions, pending system conditions. Because PJM requires at least 15 days’ lead time from the date of the order to ensure the software changes are in place, for a May 1, 2021 effective date, PJM requests that the Commission issue an order no later than April 16, 2021, which is 59 days from the date of filing.

\(^1\) *PJM Interconnection, L.L.C., 173 FERC ¶ 61,244 (2020) (“December 17 Order”).*

\(^2\) For the purpose of this filing, capitalized terms not defined herein shall have the meaning as contained in the Tariff and Operating Agreement. All references to the Operating Agreement, Schedule 1 herein shall also be meant to reference the identical provisions in Tariff, Attachment K-Appendix.
I. BACKGROUND

On April 18, 2019, the Commission issued an Order on Paper Hearing regarding fast-start pricing practices in PJM.\(^3\) Based on the record, including PJM’s initial and reply briefs, the Commission found that PJM’s fast-start pricing practices are unjust and unreasonable because the practices do not allow prices to reflect the marginal cost of serving load. The Commission directed PJM to make a number of changes to its Tariff. PJM submitted its compliance filing on August 30, 2019.

In the December 17 Order, the Commission accepted PJM’s compliance filing in large part, but directed PJM to make additional Tariff changes on two topics and to remove proposed revisions that the Commission determined to be outside the scope of the compliance directives. Specifically, the Commission directed PJM to add clarity in the Tariff regarding which resources are physically eligible to be Fast-Start Resources and to revise its proposed offer verification rules to be consistent with Order No. 831.\(^4\)

By this filing, PJM addresses the Commission’s compliance directives and has incorporated all these revisions into the market rules in the Tariff and the parallel provisions of its Operating Agreement.

\(^3\) *PJM Interconnection, L.L.C.*, 167 FERC ¶ 61,058 (2019).

II. SATISFACTION OF THE COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS OF THE DECEMBER 17 ORDER

A. PJM Is Specifying in the Tariff Which Resource Types Are Categorically Fast-Start Resources and the Process for Other Resource Types to Be Fast-Start Resources.

1. Commission directive

In the December 17 Order, the Commission directed PJM to update its Fast-Start eligibility rules to: (1) “more clearly define[] in the Tariff . . . which fast-start resources are physically eligible;” and (2) “provide the standards and process by which [PJM] will deem a resource capable of meeting [the fast-start] eligibility criteria including, for example, which operational characteristics may be considered as well as the conditions under which PJM may change a resource’s status as a fast-start resource.”

2. PJM response

To comply with this directive, PJM is establishing a new Operating Agreement, Schedule 1, section 2.2A to contain the provisions described by the Commission in the December 17 Order. In brief, this new section 2.2A consists of: section 2.2A(a), defining which resources are Fast-Start Resources; section 2.2A(b), describing the process for resources that do not meet the eligibility requirements to be a Fast-Start Resource to become a Fast-Start Resource; section 2.2A(c), describing the process by which a resource may lose its Fast-Start Resource status; and section 2.2A(d), the previously-accepted conditions under which Fast-Start Resources are considered Eligible Fast-Start Resources and thus eligible to set price. Each of these components of new section 2.2A is described below.

---

5 December 17 Order at P 26.
New section 2.2A(a) sets forth the basic qualifications for Fast-Start Resources. First, PJM is retaining the description of physical operating characteristics—i.e., that a Fast-Start Resource must be “a resource capable of operating with a notification time plus startup time of one hour or less and a Minimum Run Time of one hour or less or Minimum Down Time of one hour or less based on its operating characteristics.”\(^6\) To “more clearly define” those resource types that qualify as Fast-Start Resources, PJM is proposing a default rule that Fast-Start Resources include “Economic Load Response Participant resources and the following types of generation resources: fuel cell, combustion turbine, diesel, hydropower, battery, solar, landfill, and wind.”\(^7\)

However, because other types of resources may be similarly capable of performing consistent with the Fast-Start operating conditions, PJM is proposing a process, in new section 2.2A(b), by which other resources may obtain approval (in writing) from PJM to be designated as Fast-Start Resources. Specifically, the Market Seller must submit to PJM and the Market Monitor “a written request for approval and provide documentation to support the resource’s capability of operating with a notification time plus startup time of one hour or less and a Minimum Run Time of one hour or less or Minimum Down Time of one hour or less based on its operating characteristics, such as historical operating data showing the ability to provide energy upon an hour’s notice.”\(^8\) Such capability and behavior lends confidence to a determination that the resource will be able to perform as committed, consistent with its Fast-Start offer parameters.

---

\(^6\) Proposed Operating Agreement, Schedule 1, section 2.2A(a).

\(^7\) Proposed Operating Agreement, Schedule 1, section 2.2A(a).

\(^8\) Proposed Operating Agreement, Schedule 1, section 2.2A(b).
Requests will be evaluated once a year, between April 15 and May 30. Any request submitted before April 15 of a given year, even submitted the prior year on or after April 16, will be evaluated at that time. This annual review process is consistent with PJM’s once-a-year review process for Market Seller requests to change a resource’s unit-specific parameter limited schedules. To strengthen the review process, PJM is proposing to involve the Market Monitor in the review process. Similar to the Commission-approved process for allowing certain resources to provide Synchronized Reserves, the Market Seller will submit the request and supporting materials to both PJM and the Market Monitor, and the review will be “open and transparent” as between the Market Monitor, the Market Seller, and PJM. This will allow PJM and the Market Monitor to be apprised of each other’s review, and will maximize the sharing of information, analysis, and dialogue between PJM, the Market Monitor, and the Market Seller.

Following this review process, PJM, with the advice and input of the Market Monitor, will determine whether the subject resource will be considered a Fast-Start Resource. PJM will provide written notification to the Market Seller no later than May 30 following receipt of the request and supporting documentation, and if approved, the resource will be considered a Fast-Start Resource effective June 1 of that year and shall be considered a Fast-Start Resource going forward. To the extent that a request is denied, PJM “shall include in the notice a written explanation for the denial.”

---

9 See Operating Agreement, Schedule 1, section 6.6(i)(ii).
11 Proposed Operating Agreement, Schedule 1, section 2.2A(b).
12 Proposed Operating Agreement, Schedule 1, section 2.2A(b).
PJM is also adding, in new section 2.2A(c), “the conditions under which PJM may change a resource’s status as a fast-start resource.” In particular, those resources that persistently fail to perform in accordance with offer parameters that qualify such resources as Fast-Start Resources—i.e., a notification time plus startup time of one hour or less and a Minimum Run Time of one hour—when committed on an offer with such parameters may lose their status as Fast-Start Resources. PJM will make such determination “in consultation” with the Market Monitor, and will provide the Market Seller of such a resource with a written notification, that includes a written explanation. A resource that loses its Fast-Start Resource status may regain it by requesting approval through new section 2.2A(b).

Under these proposed revisions, PJM will not have the discretion previously proposed to unilaterally deem which resources may be Fast-Start Resources; rather, all resources within the identified classes are Fast-Start Resources, and any other resource that can demonstrate its capability to operate as a Fast-Start Resource can also be a Fast-Start Resource.

PJM is not revising the rule that in order to be able to set price, a Fast-Start Resource must actually submit an offer with parameters allowing it to provide energy within an hour and have a Minimum Run Time of an hour or less—i.e., an offer that would make it an Eligible Fast-Start Resource. The rules for when a Fast-Start Resource qualifies as an Eligible Fast-Start Resource are unchanged, but have been moved from Operating

---

13 December 17 Order at P 26.
14 Proposed Operating Agreement, Schedule 1, section 2.2A(c).
15 Compare PJM Interconnection, L.L.C., Compliance Filing Concerning the Pricing of Fast-Start Resources, at Attachment C (Revisions to the Operating Agreement), section 2.2 (Aug. 30, 2019) (“Initial Filing”), with
Agreement, Schedule 1, section 2.2 to proposed Operating Agreement, Schedule 1, section 2.2A(d), so that the rules concerning Fast-Start Resource eligibility are consolidated in a single section.

B. **PJM Is Updating Its Offer Verification and Adjustment Rules to Cap Fast-Start Resources’ Composite Energy Offers at the Higher of $1,000/MWh or the Fast-Start Resource’s Verified Composite Energy Offer.**

1. **Commission directive**

In the December 17 Order, the Commission found PJM’s proposed offer verification and adjustment rules to be inconsistent with Order No. 831’s requirement “that such offers must be capped at the higher of $1,000/MWh or a resource’s verified cost-based incremental energy offer (or, in the case of PJM’s fast-start resources, the Composite Energy Offer).”\(^\text{16}\) Accordingly, the Commission directed PJM to “(1) cap Composite Energy Offers at the higher of $1,000/MWh or a resource’s verified Composite Energy Offer; and (2) cap Composite Energy Offers at $2,000/MWh for purposes of setting LMP.”\(^\text{17}\) In addition, the Commission also directed PJM “to remove its proposed revisions relating to market-based offers.”\(^\text{18}\)

\(^{16}\) December 17 Order at P 69.

\(^{17}\) December 17 Order at P 70.

\(^{18}\) December 17 Order at P 71.
2. **PJM response**

To comply with these requirements, PJM is revising its proposed offer verification and adjustment rules for Composite Energy Offers in proposed Operating Agreement, Schedule 1, section 6.4.3A and in its proposed offer capping rules in Operating Agreement, Schedule 1, sections 2.4, for the determination of Real-time Prices, and section 2.4A, for the determination of Day-ahead Prices. The revised rules adhere to the following principles:

- Fast-Start offer adjustment in the pricing run is only triggered when a Fast-Start Resource is selected in the dispatch run and that resource’s submitted Composite Energy Offer (i.e., the sum of its submitted Incremental Energy Offer, Start-Up Cost, and No-load Cost) exceeds $1,000/MWh;

- PJM will continue to independently verify the Incremental Energy Offer, Start-Up Cost, and No-load Cost;

- Because only verified costs can set price over $1,000/MWh, PJM will exclude unverified Start-Up Cost and No-load Cost values, but only to the extent the Composite Energy Offer does not fall below the higher of $1,000/MWh or the resource’s verified costs; and

- To ensure verified Composite Energy Offers do not exceed $2,000/MWh, PJM will adjust the portion of verified Start-Up Cost and No-load Cost sufficient to reduce the Composite Energy Offer to equal $2,000/MWh.

In other words, PJM is modifying its proposed offer verification approach to be consistent with Order No. 831’s requirements to: (1) cap offers at the “higher of $1,000/MWh or that resource’s verified cost-based [] energy offer;”\(^\text{19}\) (2) ensure all “offers above $1,000/MWh [are] verified before they are used to calculate LMPs;”\(^\text{20}\) and (3) “cap verified cost-based [] energy offers at $2,000/MWh when calculating LMPs.”\(^\text{21}\)

---

\(^\text{19}\) Order No. 831 at P 220.

\(^\text{20}\) Order No. 831 at P 77.

\(^\text{21}\) Order No. 831 at P 77.
As PJM explained in its Initial Filing, to implement fast-start pricing, PJM will first perform the dispatch run, and then perform the pricing run, where the Integer Relaxation is to be applied.22 Under this approach, a Composite Energy Offer is not modelled in the market clearing optimization but rather each component (i.e., Incremental Energy Offer, Start-Up Cost, and No-load Cost) is separately modelled. The cleared MW and the verified Composite Energy Offer value at that cleared MW amount are determined simultaneously as part of the pricing run solution. As a result, simply applying a $1,000/MWh offer cap (or $2,000/MWh cap) on a resource’s Composite Energy Offer, similar to what is done for an Incremental Energy Offer, is not straightforward,23 and, as the Commission recognized, “require[s] an administrative solution.”24

The most fundamental change from PJM’s prior approach is that PJM is no longer proposing to completely exclude Start-Up Cost or No-load Cost if the submitted value exceeds the reasonability tests set forth in Operating Agreement, Schedule 1, section 6.4.3A. Rather, if the submitted costs fail a reasonability test, PJM will adjust the submitted Start-Up Cost or No-load Cost such that the Composite Energy Offer does not fall below $1,000/MWh.

While explained in detail below, the mechanics of this approach broadly are as follows. First, Composite Energy Offers used for price determination purposes will be composed for any Eligible Fast-Start Resources in the pricing run.

---

22 Initial Filing at 29-31. Integer Relaxation allows Eligible Fast-Start Resources to be fully dispatchable between zero and their Economic Maximum in the pricing run.

23 Pursuant to PJM’s Commission-approved Order No. 831 offer verification rules, a resource’s Incremental Energy Offer is verified before the dispatch run.

24 December 17 Order at P 70.
Composite Energy Offers that exceed $1,000/MWh at the Economic Maximum offer parameter, PJM will evaluate the reasonableness of the submitted Start-Up Cost and No-load Cost values, i.e., PJM will evaluate whether the submitted cost value exceeds the reasonably expected Start-Up Cost or No-load Cost for that resource pursuant to the reasonableness tests in Operating Agreement, Schedule 1, section 6.4.3A.\textsuperscript{25} Under PJM’s existing rules, the Incremental Energy Offer is verified before the dispatch run and therefore will not be re-evaluated at this time.\textsuperscript{26}

Second, if the submitted Start-Up Cost or No-load Cost value fails the reasonability test, then it may be adjusted in the pricing run, as described in the revised rules for the determination of energy offers used in calculating Day-ahead Prices\textsuperscript{27} and Real-time Prices.\textsuperscript{28} Similarly (but conversely), to the extent a Composite Energy Offer with verified components totals more than $2,000/MWh, PJM will adjust down submitted Start-Up Cost and/or No-load Cost such that the Composite Energy Offer at Economic Maximum equals $2,000/MWh. Any adjustments made to the Start-Up Cost and No-load Cost values to ensure that the resource’s offer at Economic Maximum complies with Order No. 831’s capping requirements will apply at each megawatt point on the offer curve, as required to implement Integer Relaxation. Specifics on how adjustments will be made are explained below.

\textsuperscript{25} Proposed Operating Agreement, Schedule 1, section 6.4.3A.
\textsuperscript{26} Operating Agreement, Schedule 1, section 6.4.3.
\textsuperscript{27} See proposed Operating Agreement, Schedule 1, section 2.4A.
\textsuperscript{28} See proposed Operating Agreement, Schedule 1, section 2.4.
To aid in understanding the offer verification and adjustment rules described below, PJM is appending to this letter a table setting forth each scenario in which a Composite Energy Offer will undergo offer verification and may be adjusted in accordance with PJM’s proposed rules. See Appendix A – Fast-Start Offer Verification and Adjustment Scenarios. For each scenario, the table states how the Composite Energy Offer will be comprised for price determination purposes, and the associated Operating Agreement provision requiring such outcome. Below, PJM includes in the footnotes references to each offer scenario set forth in the table to further aid understanding.

a. Adjusting Submitted Start-Up Cost and No-load Cost values to cap Composite Energy Offers for generation resources at the higher of $1,000/MWh or the verified cost value

Because PJM is proposing to retain a portion of the Start-Up Cost or No-load Cost that fails the reasonability test to ensure Composite Energy Offers do not fall below $1,000/MWh due to offer verification, PJM is proposing rules for how to adjust such unverified commitment cost values to ensure the Composite Energy Offer does not fall below $1,000/MWh. Thus, for unverified Composite Energy Offers that exceed $1,000/MWh but are less than $2,000/MWh, PJM proposes to determine verified Composite Energy Offers for price setting purposes in the following manner.

First, if the submitted Start-Up Cost and No-load Cost pass their respective reasonability tests, “no adjustments shall be made to the submitted Composite Energy Offer.”29 To reiterate PJM’s approach, which PJM does not propose to change from its initial compliance filing, for determining a Composite Energy Offer for each settlement

---

29 Proposed Operating Agreement, Schedule 1, sections 2.4(b)(iii)(1) & 2.4A(b)(iii)(1); see Appendix A, Offer Adjustment Scenarios 2 and 6.
interval, an amortized Start-Up Cost value will be included over the resource’s Minimum Run Time, and an amortized No-load Cost will be included in each settlement interval in which the resource is pool-scheduled. Thus, after a resource runs for its Minimum Run Time, Start-Up Cost will drop out and the Composite Energy Offer will be composed of only the Incremental Energy Offer and amortized No-load Cost will continue to be included for the remaining intervals for which the resource is pool-scheduled.

Second, “if the submitted Start-Up Cost does not exceed the resource’s reasonably expected Start-Up Cost but the submitted No-load Cost does exceed the reasonably expected No-load Cost, then the Composite Energy Offer shall equal the Incremental Energy Offer plus the amortized submitted Start-Up Cost.” However, because this could result in the Composite Energy Offer falling below $1,000/MWh, PJM will administratively include in the Composite Energy Offer “an amortized No-load Cost value sufficient to make the Composite Energy Offer equal to $1,000/megawatt-hour at Economic Maximum.” This same rule applies when the submitted Start-Up Cost fails the reasonability test, and the No-load Cost passes—the Start-Up Cost is excluded but only to the extent the Composite Energy Offer does not fall below $1,000/MWh.

31 Proposed Operating Agreement, Schedule 1, sections 2.4(b)(i) & 2.4A(b)(i).
32 Proposed Operating Agreement, Schedule 1, sections 2.4(b)(iii)(2) & 2.4A(b)(iii)(2); see Appendix A, Offer Adjustment Scenarios 3 and 7. Consistent with PJM’s energy market rules, any commitment cost not recovered through energy market prices will be provided through make-whole or uplift payments.
33 Proposed Operating Agreement, Schedule 1, sections 2.4(b)(iii)(2) & 2.4A(b)(iii)(2).
34 Proposed Operating Agreement, Schedule 1, sections 2.4(b)(iii)(3) & 2.4A(b)(iii)(3); see Appendix A, Offer Adjustment Scenarios 4 and 8.
Third, if both the submitted Start-Up Cost and No-load Cost fail their respective reasonability tests, then they both will be removed from the Composite Energy Offer. But, if the Incremental Energy Offer is below $1,000/MWh, some commitment costs will need to be added to get the Composite Energy Offer to equal $1,000/MWh. To do so, PJM will first add back No-load Cost up to “the lesser of the resource’s amortized submitted No-load Cost or a value sufficient to make the Composite Energy Offer at Economic Maximum equal to $1,000/megawatt-hour.”35 If the submitted No-load Cost value is insufficient to bring the Composite Energy Offer up to $1,000/MWh, then PJM will add back “amortized Start-Up Cost value sufficient to make the Composite Energy Offer equal to $1,000/megawatt-hour at Economic Maximum.”36

PJM’s approach of adding back No-load Cost first then Start-Up Cost is reasonable, as it recognizes the different periods over which Start-Up Cost and No-load Cost are amortized and recovered, or stated another way, the different periods for which Start-Up Cost and No-load Cost are included in the Composite Energy Offer. That is, the Start-Up Cost is amortized and recoverable over only during the resource’s Minimum Run Time,37 while No-load Cost is recoverable in each settlement interval in which the resource is pool-scheduled.38 Thus, if PJM were to first add back Start-Up Cost to get the Composite Energy Offer up to $1,000/MWh, then, after the Minimum Run Time, PJM would need to again administratively adjust the Composite Energy Offer to add back sufficient No-load Cost to

35 Proposed Operating Agreement, Schedule 1, sections 2.4(b)(iii)(4) & 2.4A(b)(iii)(4); see Appendix A, Offer Adjustment Scenario 5.
36 Proposed Operating Agreement, Schedule 1, sections 2.4(b)(iii)(4) & 2.4A(b)(iii)(4).
37 See proposed Operating Agreement, Schedule 1, sections 2.4(b)(i) & 2.4A(b)(i).
38 See proposed Operating Agreement, Schedule 1, sections 2.4(b)(ii) & 2.4A(b)(ii).
ensure the Composite Energy Offer stays at $1,000/MWh. This is because, as noted, following the resource’s Minimum Run Time, Start-Up Costs are no longer included in the Composite Energy Offer. In other words, by adding back No-load Cost first, the Composite Energy Offer will not need to be re-visited after the resource has performed for its Minimum Run Time; the offer will either remain at $1,000/MWh, or fall below that level consistent with the sum of the submitted Incremental Energy Offer and No-load Cost on which the resource was committed.

b. **Rules for adjusting market-based offers for Eligible Fast-Start Resources to be consistent with reasonably expected costs**

The December 17 Order directed PJM to remove its proposed market-based offer verification rules because “it appears that these rules are only necessary because PJM’s current proposal does not allow a Composite Energy Offer to be capped as a whole.”\(^{39}\)

However, as demonstrated above, PJM has developed an approach that is compliant with both Order No. 831 and with Integer Relaxation. Further, offer verification rules for market-based offers are necessary to determine the appropriate Composite Energy Offer for price determination. PJM’s energy market rules allow Market Sellers to submit market-based Incremental Energy Offers greater than $1,000/MWh, so long as they are less than or equal to an associated cost-based offer.\(^{40}\) Market Sellers also are allowed to submit separate Start-Up Cost and No-load Cost values.\(^{41}\) The apparent problem with PJM’s

\(^{39}\) December 17 Order at P 71.

\(^{40}\) See Operating Agreement, Schedule 1, section 1.10.1A(viii). Market Sellers are permitted to submit market-based offers, but must associate them with a cost-based offer. *Id.*

\(^{41}\) Market-based Start-Up Cost and market-based No-load Cost are allowed to be changed only in six month intervals.
initially-proposed rules for market-based offers is the same problem as for cost-based offers—PJM proposed to exclude unverified cost components, resulting in a structure inconsistent with Order No. 831’s rule that offers should be capped at the “higher of” $1,000/MWh or the resource’s verified costs. Accordingly, consistent with Order No. 831, PJM’s approach for adjusting cost-based Composite Energy Offers, and PJM’s existing energy market rules, PJM is proposing to evaluate whether the separate Start-Up Cost and No-load Cost specified on market-based offers pass the reasonability tests and adjust the offer components.

To do so, PJM proposes the following two general rules for a market-based Composite Energy Offers that exceed $1,000/MWh. One, if the market-based Incremental Energy Offer on which the resource was committed in the dispatch run exceeds the associated cost-based Incremental Energy Offer, PJM will deem both the Start-Up Cost and No-load Cost as having failed the reasonability tests and set the Composite Energy Offer at $1,000/MWh. To get the Composite Energy Offer to $1,000/MWh, PJM will first add back “No-load Cost value equal to the lesser of the resource’s amortized submitted No-load Cost or a value sufficient to make the Composite Energy Offer at Economic Maximum equal to $1,000/megawatt-hour.” And, just as for cost-based offers, if such

---

42 This can occur only when market-based Incremental Energy Offer is less than or equal to $1,000/MWh. See Operating Agreement, Schedule 1, section 1.10.1A(d)(viii) (an energy market offer “[s]hall not exceed an energy offer price of $1,000/megawatt-hour for all generation resources, except (1) when a Market Seller’s cost-based offer is above $1,000/megawatt-hour and less than or equal to $2,000/megawatt-hour, then its market-based offer must be less than or equal to the cost-based offer; and (2) when a Market Seller’s cost-based offer is greater than $2,000/megawatt-hour, then its market-based offer must be less than or equal to $2,000/megawatt-hour.”).

43 Proposed Operating Agreement, Schedule 1, sections 2.4(c)(i) & 2.4A(c)(i); see Appendix A, Offer Adjustment Scenario 5.

44 Proposed Operating Agreement, Schedule 1, sections 2.4(c)(i) & 2.4A(c)(i).
No-load Cost is insufficient, then PJM will also add the level of Start-Up Cost necessary so that the Composite Energy Offer equals $1,000/MWh at Economic Maximum.\(^{45}\) Two, for market-based Composite Energy Offers with an Incremental Energy Offer that is less than or equal to its cost-based counterpart, PJM will evaluate the submitted Start-Up Cost and No-load Cost on the market-based offer against both their counterparts on the associated cost-based offer and the value produced by the respective reasonability tests in proposed Operating Agreement, Schedule 1, section 6.4.3A.\(^{46}\) If the market-based offer’s commitment costs exceeds either value then they will be adjusted in the same manner as if they were cost-based and failed the reasonability tests.\(^{47}\) In other words, to the extent the No-load Cost and Start-Up Cost submitted on the market-based offer exceed either value, they will be excluded unless doing so would result in a Composite Energy Offer less than $1,000/MWh. To prevent the Composite Energy Offer from falling below $1,000/MWh, PJM would administratively include a Start-Up Cost or No-load Cost value sufficient to make the Composite Energy Offer equal to $1,000/ MWh at Economic Maximum.\(^{48}\)

Such a rule is reasonable. It is consistent with Order No. 831’s requirement that offers greater than $1,000/MWh must be cost-based to set price.\(^{49}\) Also, practically

\(^{45}\) Proposed Operating Agreement, Schedule 1, sections 2.4(c)(i) & 2.4A(c)(i).

\(^{46}\) Proposed Operating Agreement, Schedule 1, sections 2.4(c)(ii) & 2.4A(c)(ii).

\(^{47}\) Proposed Operating Agreement, Schedule 1, sections 2.4(c)(ii)(1), (2) & 2.4A(c)(ii)(1), (2); see Appendix A, Offer Adjustment Scenarios 3 and 4.

\(^{48}\) Proposed Operating Agreement, Schedule 1, sections 2.4(c)(ii)(1), (2) & 2.4A(c)(ii)(1), (2).

\(^{49}\) See Order No. 831 at P 83 (“We also find that the offer cap structure will mitigate market power associated with incremental energy offers above $1,000/MWh, as some commenters suggest. The requirement that incremental energy offers above $1,000/MWh be cost-based retains the backstop mitigation function that current offer caps play in existing RTO/ISO market power mitigation because incremental energy offers that are not cost-based may not exceed $1,000/MWh.”).
speaking the reasonability tests set the upper bound on permissible Start-Up Cost and No-load Cost; thus, if the values submitted on the market-based offer are greater than the reasonability test value, then it should be excluded—but only to the level necessary to cap the Composite Energy Offer at the higher of $1,000/MWh or its verified costs. Also, such approach is consistent with the general rule that, when over $1,000/MWh, a market-based offer must be equal to or less than the associated cost-based offer. Thus, PJM’s proposed approach ensures that the verified Composite Energy Offer uses the lesser of the resource’s cost-based offer component or its reasonably expected cost. With these offer verification and adjustment rules, Market Sellers can continue to submit market-based offers for Fast-Start Resources, with Composite Energy Offers greater than $1,000/MWh, and PJM can ensure that market prices will reflect only verified costs.

c. Adjusting Submitted Start-Up Cost and No-load Cost values to cap Composite Energy Offers for generation resources at the lower of $2,000/MWh or the verified cost value

Application of a hard cap of $2,000/MWh on verified Composite Energy Offers requires a separate set of rules to adjust Composite Energy Offer components. Corresponding to the rules to apply a lower-bound cap on Composite Energy Offers at the higher of $1,000/MWh or the resource’s verified costs, PJM is proposing upper-bound rules to cap verified Composite Energy Offers at the lesser of $2,000/MWh or the resource’s verified costs.\(^{50}\) Thus, if the submitted Start-Up Cost and No-load Cost both pass the reasonability test and the resulting Composite Energy Offer would exceed $2,000/MWh, then PJM will administratively set the Composite Energy Offer equal to

---

\(^{50}\) See proposed Operating Agreement, Schedule 1, sections 2.4(e) & 2.4A(e).
$2,000/MWh. In such case, the Composite Energy Offer will be composed of: the resource’s Incremental Energy Offer, which can by itself equal $2,000/MWh, and “to the extent the Incremental Energy Offer is less than $2,000/megawatt-hour, a No-load Cost value equal to the lesser of the resource’s amortized submitted No-load Cost or a value sufficient to make the Composite Energy Offer at Economic Maximum equal to $2,000/megawatt-hour.” And, if the resulting value is less than $2,000/MWh, then PJM would add “an amortized Start-Up Cost value sufficient to make the Composite Energy Offer at Economic Maximum equal to $2,000/megawatt-hour.” For the same reasons discussed above, PJM proposes to add back first No-load Cost and then Start-Up Cost.

However, when the submitted Start-Up Cost or No-load Cost fail their respective reasonability test, PJM proposes to exclude such components from the Composite Energy Offer. Accordingly, for example, if the Start-Up Cost fails the reasonability test, but the No-load Cost passes, then the Start-Up Cost will be excluded and the Composite Energy Offer will be composed of the Incremental Energy Offer and the No-load Cost. While this likely would result in a verified Composite Energy Offer less than $2,000/MWh, there exists the possibility that the resulting Composite Energy Offer could still exceed $2,000/MWh. In such case, PJM would need to reduce the verified No-load Cost down to a “value sufficient to make the Composite Energy Offer equal to $2,000/megawatt-hour.”

---

51 Proposed Operating Agreement, Schedule 1, sections 2.4(e)(i) & 2.4A(e)(i); see Appendix A, Offer Adjustment Scenario 13.

52 Proposed Operating Agreement, Schedule 1, sections 2.4(e)(i) & 2.4A(e)(i).

53 Proposed Operating Agreement, Schedule 1, sections 2.4(e)(ii), (iii) & sections 2.4A(e)(ii), (iii); see Appendix A, Offer Adjustment Scenarios 10, 11, 14, and 15.
The same rules would apply for the case where the Start-Up Cost passes the reasonability test, but the No-load Cost fails.

If both Start-Up Cost and No-load Cost fail their reasonability tests, then “the Composite Energy Offer shall equal the lesser of the resource’s Incremental Energy Offer or $2,000/megawatt-hour.” It is important to keep in mind that the $1,000/MWh lower bound continues to apply. For example, assume a resource is committed in the dispatch run, has a cost-based unverified Composite Energy Offer of $2,100/MWh with a verified Incremental Energy Offer of $800/MWh, and both Start-Up Cost and No-load Cost fail their reasonability tests. Next, to ensure the Composite Energy Offer is capped at the higher of $1,000/MWh or its verified costs (here, the Incremental Energy Offer of $800/MWh), PJM would add back first No-load Cost then Start-Up Cost until the Composite Energy Offer equals to $1,000/MWh, as directed by proposed sections 2.4(b)(iii)(4) and 2.4A(b)(iii)(4).

d. **Adjusting Submitted Start-Up Cost and No-load Cost values to apply lower and upper bounds on Composite Energy Offers for Economic Load Response Participant resources**

PJM will apply a similar set of rules to ensure that Composite Energy Offers for Economic Load Response Participant resources are similarly bounded as generation resource offers (i.e., a lower bound at the higher of $1,000/MWh or the resource’s verified Composite Energy Offer, and an upper bound of the lesser of $2,000/MWh or the resource’s verified costs). The rules for Economic Load Response Participant resources

---

54 Proposed Operating Agreement, Schedule 1, sections 2.4(e)(iv) & 2.4A(e)(iv); see Appendix A, Offer Adjustment Scenario 16.

55 Proposed Operating Agreement, Schedule 1, sections 2.4(e)(v) & 2.4A(e)(v); see Appendix A, Offer Adjustment Scenario 12.
are somewhat less complex because there is only one commitment cost—shutdown cost—to evaluate.

First, if the shutdown cost passes the reasonability test and the resulting verified Composite Energy Offer is between $1,000/MWh and $2,000/MWh, then no adjustment is necessary.\(^{56}\)

Second, if the shutdown cost fails the reasonability test, then such costs will be excluded from the Composite Energy Offer, meaning that the Composite Energy Offer will consist of only the Incremental Energy Offer. However, to the extent the Incremental Energy Offer is less than $1,000/MWh, PJM will administratively add back “a shutdown value equal to the lesser of the resource’s amortized submitted shutdown cost or a value sufficient to make the Composite Energy Offer at Economic Maximum equal to $1,000/megawatt-hour.”\(^{57}\) This ensures that the offer is capped at the higher of $1,000/MWh or the resource’s verified Composite Energy Offer.

Finally, if the shutdown costs pass the reasonability test and the verified Composite Energy Offer would exceed $2,000/MWh, then PJM will set the Composite Energy Offer to $2,000/MWh, and it will be composed of the Incremental Energy Offer, and to the extent necessary, “a shutdown value sufficient to make the Composite Energy Offer equal to $2,000/megawatt-hour.”\(^{58}\)

\(^{56}\) See proposed Operating Agreement, Schedule 1, sections 2.4(f)(i) & 2.4A(f)(i); see Appendix A, Offer Adjustment Scenarios 18 and 20.

\(^{57}\) Proposed Operating Agreement, Schedule 1, sections 2.4(f)(ii) & 2.4A(f)(ii); see Appendix A, Offer Adjustment Scenarios 19 and 21.

\(^{58}\) Proposed Operating Agreement, Schedule 1, sections 2.4(f)(iii) & 2.4A(f)(iii); see Appendix A, Offer Adjustment Scenarios 22 and 24.
C. **PJM Is Removing Proposed Revisions the Commission Found to Be Beyond the Scope of the Fast-Start Compliance Directive or Unnecessary.**

1. **Commission directive**

In the December 17 Order, the Commission rejected, as beyond the scope of the compliance directive, PJM’s proposal to include certain uplift and make-whole payments, “including: make-whole payments for following dispatch instructions; uplift payments for virtual transactions, price sensitive demand, and dispatchable exports; and lost opportunity cost payments to day-ahead scheduling reserve resources,” and therefore directed PJM to remove these provisions.\(^{59}\) The Commission also directed PJM to remove proposed language “stating that PJM’s mitigation process does not apply to the pricing run,” finding that it may cause confusion because mitigation occurs at the time of commitment.\(^{60}\)

2. **PJM response**

To comply with these directives, PJM is removing the following provisions:

- the proposed make whole payments for Virtual Transactions, price sensitive demand, and dispatchable exports that clear in the Day-ahead Energy Market dispatch run from proposed Operating Agreement, Schedule 1, section 3.2.3(b);

- the proposed make whole payment for following dispatch instructions in proposed Operating Agreement, Schedule 1, section 3.2.3(e-1);

- the lost opportunity cost payment for Day-ahead Scheduling Reserves in proposed Operating Agreement, Schedule 1, section 3.2.3A.01(b); and

- the statement that the “three pivotal supplier test is not executed in the pricing run” in proposed Operating Agreement, Schedule 1, section 6.4.1(f)(v).

---

\(^{59}\) December 17 Order at P 50.

\(^{60}\) December 17 Order at P 87.
D. PJM is Correcting an Error in the Formula for Preventing Double Recovery of Commitment Costs in the Day-ahead and Real-time Markets.

In reviewing Operating Agreement, Schedule 1, section 3.2.3 to comply with the December 17 Order’s directives, PJM discovered an error in the formula for determining the Balancing Operating Reserve Target, which is part of the offset designed to prevent double recovery of a resource’s commitment costs in the Day-Ahead and Real-time Energy Markets.61 Specifically, the Balancing Operating Reserve Target is intended to represent all offer costs less all revenues associated with a resource’s performance in a given Operating Day; that is, it is intended to account for all day-ahead and real-time offer costs and all day-ahead and real-time revenues. By contrast, the Day-ahead Operating Reserve Target is intended to represent only the resource’s the day-ahead total offer costs less its day-ahead revenues. The difference between a resource’s Balancing Operating Reserve Target value and a Day-Ahead Operating Reserve Target value will be used to determine whether (and by how much) the resource has recovered commitment costs in the real-time market and reduce the resource’s day-ahead uplift payment by that amount.62 Specifically, a resource’s Day-Ahead Operating Reserve Credit shall be reduced by the difference between the resource’s Day-ahead and Balancing Operating Reserve Targets.

However, in the formula for determining the Balancing Operating Reserve Target, PJM improperly proposed to include in determination of revenues from the Real-time Energy Market, megawatts and revenues at the real-time LMP for Settlement Intervals in

---

61 See Initial Filing at 26-29.
62 If the difference is negative (that is, more uplift was owed to the resource in the real-time market than in the day-ahead market), then the credit should not be reduced.
which the resource was committed in the Day-ahead Energy Market, and for which the resource would be paid at the day-ahead LMP. Instead, to properly reflect all Day-head and Real-time Energy Market revenues, the formula should determine the revenues for intervals in which the resource provided energy in real-time exclusive of any day-ahead commitment and add that to the Day-ahead Energy Market revenues determined for the Day-ahead Operating Reserve Target. PJM proposes to revise the energy market revenue determination in the Balancing Operating Reserve Target formula as shown in redline:

\[
E = \text{the product of } [(\text{the megawatt amount of energy provided in the Real-time Energy Market minus the megawatt amount of energy scheduled in the Day-ahead Energy Market}) \text{ multiplied by the Real-time Price at the applicable pricing point for the resource}) \text{ plus the sum of the day-ahead revenues as determined in part C of the formula for determining the Day-ahead Operating Reserve Target, summed over the applicable Real-time Settlement Intervals}; \text{ and}^{64}
\]

With these revisions, the Balancing Operating Reserve Target will accurately account for all energy market revenues and PJM will be better able to prevent double recovery of a resource’s commitment costs in the Day-Ahead and Real-time Energy Markets.

III. EFFECTIVE DATE

As directed by the December 17 Order, PJM requests that the Commission accept the compliance revisions included in this filing, and the fast-start pricing revisions the Commission accepted in the December 17 Order, to become effective on the later of May 1, 2021 or the first day of the first month following a Commission order accepting the enclosed revisions, pending system conditions. Because PJM requires at least 15 days’

---

63 See proposed Operating Agreement, Schedule 1, section 3.2.3(b). Real-time reserve market revenues are separately determined.

64 See proposed Operating Agreement, Schedule 1, section 3.2.3(b).
lead time from the date of the order to ensure the software changes necessary to implement fast-start pricing are in place, **PJM requests that the Commission issue an order no later than April 16, 2021 for a May 1, 2021 effective date.**

PJM and its vendor estimate that by May 1, 2021, the development, testing, and implementation of the software system changes necessary to implement both sets of fast-start compliance changes should be completed. However, because this is only an estimate, the necessary software changes may not be ready until after May 1, 2021. In such an event, PJM will notify the Commission of the newly estimated date by which the changes should be complete.

If a Commission order on this compliance filing is not issued by April 16, 2021, PJM requests that the effective date be the next first day of a month that is at least 15 days from the date of the Commission order. However, given the breadth of the changes and the impact on the energy market clearing processes, there may be some unanticipated issues encountered upon implementation during peak times of the year. Accordingly, if the Commission does not provide an order by April 16, 2021, PJM requests a new effective date to be determined by PJM at the time when FERC does provide the order.

To effectuate the same effective date for the enclosed compliance revisions and those the Commission accepted in the December 17 Order, PJM has coded the revised Operating Agreement and Tariff sections included in this filing with a December 31, 9998 effective date, and requests that the Commission set the same effective date for the entire set of compliance revisions upon review of this compliance filing.

---

65 PJM notes that April 16, 2021 is 59 days from the date of this filing.
IV. DOCUMENTS ENCLOSED

PJM encloses the following:

1. This transmittal letter;
2. Appendix A – Fast-Start Offer Verification and Adjustment Scenarios;
3. Attachment A – Revised sections of the Tariff (redlined version);
4. Attachment B – Revised sections of the Tariff (clean version);
5. Attachment C – Revised sections of the Operating Agreement (redlined version); and
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VI. SERVICE

PJM has served a copy of this filing on all PJM Members and on the affected state
utility regulatory commissions in the PJM Region by posting this filing electronically. In accordance with the Commission’s regulations, PJM will post a copy of this filing to the FERC filings section of its internet site, located at the following link: http://www.pjm.com/documents/ferc-manuals/ferc-filings.aspx with a specific link to the newly-filed document, and will send an email on the same date as this filing to all PJM Members and all state utility regulatory commissions in the PJM Region alerting them that this filing has been made by PJM and is available by following such link. If the document is not immediately available by using the referenced link, the document will be available through the referenced link within twenty-four hours of the filing.

Also, a copy of this filing will be available on the Commission’s eLibrary website located at the following link: http://www.ferc.gov/docs-filing/elibrary.aspx in accordance with the Commission’s regulations and Order No. 714.

---

66 See 18 C.F.R. §§ 35.2(e) and 385.2010(f)(3).

67 PJM already maintains, updates, and regularly uses email lists for all PJM Members and affected state commissions.

VII. CONCLUSION

PJM respectfully requests that the Commission accept this compliance filing, effective May 1, 2021, and provide an order by April 16, 2021 to allow such effective date.
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/s/ Ryan J. Collins
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February 16, 2021
CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that I have this day served the foregoing document upon each person designated on the official service list compiled by the Secretary in this proceeding.

Dated at Washington, D.C., this 16th day of February 2021.

/s/ Ryan J. Collins
Fast-Start Offer Verification and Adjustment Scenarios
# FAST-START OFFER VERIFICATION AND ADJUSTMENT SCENARIOS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scenario</th>
<th>Submitted Composite Energy Offer at EcoMax ($/MWh)</th>
<th>Submitted Incremental Energy Offer (&quot;IEO&quot;)</th>
<th>Submitted Start-Up Cost or Shutdown Cost</th>
<th>Submitted No-load Cost</th>
<th>Reasonability Test Results</th>
<th>Composition of Composite Energy Offer*</th>
<th>Adjustment and/or Offer Capping</th>
<th>Operating Agreement, Schedule 1, section</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>≤ $1,000</td>
<td>≤ $1,000</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>IEO + ASU + ANL</td>
<td>No Offer Verification Trigger</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.4(b)(iii)(1); 2.4A(b)(iii)(1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>$1,000 &lt; Offer ≤ $2,000</td>
<td>≤ $1,000</td>
<td>Pass</td>
<td>Pass</td>
<td>IEO + ASU + ANL</td>
<td>None</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.4(b)(iii)(2); 2.4A(b)(iii)(2); 2.4(c)(ii)(1); 2.4A(c)(ii)(1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>$1,000 &lt; Offer ≤ $2,000</td>
<td>≤ $1,000</td>
<td>Pass</td>
<td>Fail</td>
<td>IEO + ASU + adjustment (If needed)</td>
<td>Cap at the higher of $1000 or IEO + ASU; No-load Cost may be included to cap offer at $1,000</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.4(b)(iii)(3); 2.4A(b)(iii)(3); 2.4(c)(ii)(2); 2.4A(c)(ii)(2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>$1,000 &lt; Offer ≤ $2,000</td>
<td>≤ $1,000</td>
<td>Fail</td>
<td>Pass</td>
<td>IEO + ANL + adjustment (If needed)</td>
<td>Cap at the higher of $1000 or IEO + ANL; Start-Up Cost may be included to cap offer at $1,000</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.4(b)(iii)(4); 2.4A(b)(iii)(4) 2.4(c)(i); 2.4A(c)(i)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>$1,000 &lt; Offer ≤ $2,000</td>
<td>≤ $1,000</td>
<td>Fail</td>
<td>Fail</td>
<td>IEO + Adjustment (If needed)</td>
<td>IEO Offer plus No-load Cost, up to submitted value to cap Composite Energy Offer to $1,000; Use Start-Up Cost, if additional cost are needed.</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.4(b)(iii)(5); 2.4A(b)(iii)(5) 2.4(c)(i); 2.4A(c)(i)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>$1,000 &lt; Offer ≤ $2,000</td>
<td>$1,000 &lt; Offer ≤ $2,000</td>
<td>Pass</td>
<td>Pass</td>
<td>IEO +ASU +ANL</td>
<td>None</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.4(b)(iii)(1); 2.4A(b)(iii)(1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>$1,000 &lt; Offer ≤ $2,000</td>
<td>$1,000 &lt; Offer ≤ $2,000</td>
<td>Pass</td>
<td>Fail</td>
<td>IEO +ASU</td>
<td>None</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.4(b)(iii)(2); 2.4A(b)(iii)(2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>$1,000 &lt; Offer ≤ $2,000</td>
<td>$1,000 &lt; Offer ≤ $2,000</td>
<td>Fail</td>
<td>Pass</td>
<td>IEO +ANL</td>
<td>None</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.4(b)(iii)(3); 2.4A(b)(iii)(3)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* The Start-Up Cost and No-load Cost included in a Composite Energy Offer will be at their amortized value. In this chart, "ASU" represents amortized Start-Up Cost, "ANL" represents amortized No-load Cost, and ASD represents amortized Shutdown Cost.
## FAST-START OFFER VERIFICATION AND ADJUSTMENT SCENARIOS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scenario</th>
<th>Submitted Composite Energy Offer at EcoMax ($/MWh)</th>
<th>Submitted Incremental Energy Offer (&quot;IEO&quot;)</th>
<th>Reasonability Test Results</th>
<th>Composition of Composite Energy Offer*</th>
<th>Adjustment and/or Offer Capping</th>
<th>Operating Agreement, Schedule 1, section</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>$1,000 &lt; Offer ≤ $2,000</td>
<td>$1,000 &lt; Offer ≤ $2,000</td>
<td>Fail</td>
<td>IEO</td>
<td>Incremental is verified above $1000, no additional cost are added</td>
<td>2.4(b)(iii)(3); 2.4A(b)(iii)(3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>$1,000 &lt; Offer ≤ $2,000</td>
<td>≤ $1,000</td>
<td>Fail</td>
<td>IEO + Adjustment (If needed)</td>
<td>IEO Offer + ANL, up to submitted value to cap Composite Energy Offer to $1,000; plus ASU, if additional cost are needed.</td>
<td>2.4(e)(ii); 2.4A(e)(ii); 2.4(e)(v); 2.4A(e)(v)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>&gt; $2,000</td>
<td>≤ $1,000</td>
<td>Fail</td>
<td>IEO + ANL + adjustment (If needed)</td>
<td>1) IEO + ANL or 2) If Incremental + ANL ≤ $1,000, cap at $1,000 or 3) If Incremental + ANL &gt; $2,000, cap at $2,000</td>
<td>2.4(e)(iii); 2.4A(e)(iii); 2.4(e)(v); 2.4A(e)(v)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>&gt; $2,000</td>
<td>≤ $1,000</td>
<td>Fail</td>
<td>IEO + adjustment (If needed)</td>
<td>Cap Composite Energy Offer at $1,000; first include ANL up to submitted No-load Cost and if needed, include ASU until Composite Energy Offer = $1,000</td>
<td>2.4(e)(v); 2.4A(e)(v)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>&gt; $2,000</td>
<td>≤ $1,000</td>
<td>Pass</td>
<td>IEO + ASU + ANL</td>
<td>Cap Composite Energy Offer at $2,000; adjust down ASU first to zero, ANL, until Composite Energy Offer equals $2,000.</td>
<td>2.4(e)(ii); 2.4A(e)(i)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>&gt; $2,000</td>
<td>&gt; $1,000</td>
<td>Pass</td>
<td>IEO + ASU</td>
<td>Exclude ANL from Composite Energy Offer, if resultant Composite Energy Offer &gt; $2,000 then cap Composite Energy Offer at $2,000 by adjusting Start-Up Cost</td>
<td>2.4(c)(ii)(3); 2.4A(c)(ii)(3); 2.4(e)(ii); 2.4A(e)(ii)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* The Start-Up Cost and No-load Cost included in a Composite Energy Offer will be at their amortized value. In this chart, "ASU" represents amortized Start-Up Cost, "ANL" represents amortized No-load Cost, and ASD represents amortized Shutdown Cost.
# FAST-START OFFER VERIFICATION AND ADJUSTMENT SCENARIOS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scenario</th>
<th>Submitted Composite Energy Offer at EcoMax ($/MWh)</th>
<th>Submitted Incremental Energy Offer (&quot;IEO&quot;)</th>
<th>Reasonability Test Results</th>
<th>Composition of Composite Energy Offer*</th>
<th>Adjustment and/or Offer Capping</th>
<th>Operating Agreement, Schedule 1, section</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Submitted Start-Up Cost or Shutdown Cost</td>
<td>Submitted No-load Cost</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>&gt; $2,000</td>
<td>&gt; $1,000</td>
<td>Fail</td>
<td>IEO + ANL</td>
<td>Exclude ASU from Composite Energy Offer, if resultant Composite Energy Offer &gt; $2,000 then cap Composite Energy Offer at $2,000 by adjusting No-load Cost</td>
<td>2.4(c)(ii)(3); 2.4A(c)(ii)(3); 2.4(e)(iii); 2.4A(e)(iii)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>&gt; $2,000</td>
<td>&gt; $1,000</td>
<td>Fail</td>
<td>IEO</td>
<td>Exclude ASU and ANL from Offer, Composite Energy Offer = IEO, since IEO above $1,000</td>
<td>2.4(c)(ii)(3); 2.4A(c)(ii)(3); 2.4(e)(iv); 2.4A(e)(iv);</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17 (ELR)*</td>
<td>≤ $1,000</td>
<td>≤ $1,000</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>IEO + ASD</td>
<td>No Offer Verification Trigger</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18 (ELR)</td>
<td>$1,000 &lt; Offer ≤ $2,000</td>
<td>≤ $1,000</td>
<td>Pass</td>
<td>IEO + ASD</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>2.4(f)(i); 2.4A(f)(i)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19 (ELR)</td>
<td>$1,000 &lt; Offer ≤ $2,000</td>
<td>≤ $1,000</td>
<td>Fail</td>
<td>IEO + Adjustment (If needed)</td>
<td>Cap offer at $1000; ASD may be included to cap offer at $1,000</td>
<td>2.4(f)(ii); 2.4A(f)(ii)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

1 Scenarios 17 through 25 concern offers submitted for Economic Load Response Participant resources ("ELR"), which include a shutdown cost offer parameter.

* The Start-Up Cost and No-load Cost included in a Composite Energy Offer will be at their amortized value. In this chart, "ASU" represents amortized Start-Up Cost, "ANL" represents amortized No-load Cost, and ASD represents amortized Shutdown Cost.
**FAST-START OFFER VERIFICATION AND ADJUSTMENT SCENARIOS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scenario</th>
<th>Submitted Composite Energy Offer at EcoMax ($/MWh)</th>
<th>Submitted Incremental Energy Offer (&quot;IEO&quot;)</th>
<th>Reasonability Test Results</th>
<th>Composition of Composite Energy Offer</th>
<th>Adjustment and/or Offer Capping</th>
<th>Operating Agreement, Schedule 1, section</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>20 (ELR)</td>
<td>$1,000 &lt; Offer ≤ $2,000</td>
<td>&gt; $1,000</td>
<td>Pass</td>
<td>IEO + ASD</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>2.4(f)(i); 2.4A(f)(i)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21 (ELR)</td>
<td>$1,000 &lt; Offer ≤ $2,000</td>
<td>&gt; $1,000</td>
<td>Fail</td>
<td>IEO</td>
<td>Incremental is verified above $1000, no additional cost are added</td>
<td>2.4(f)(ii); 2.4A(f)(ii)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22 (ELR)</td>
<td>&gt; $2,000</td>
<td>≤ $1,000</td>
<td>Pass</td>
<td>IEO + ASD</td>
<td>Cap Composite Energy Offer at $2,000, adjust ASD down, until the Composite Energy Offer equals $2,000.</td>
<td>2.4(f)(iii); 2.4A(f)(iii)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23 (ELR)</td>
<td>&gt; $2,000</td>
<td>≤ $1,000</td>
<td>Fail</td>
<td>IEO + adjustment (If needed)</td>
<td>Cap Composite Energy Offer at $1,000; include ASD up to submitted Shutdown Cost, until Composite Energy Offer equals $1,000</td>
<td>2.4(f)(ii); 2.4A(f)(ii)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24 (ELR)</td>
<td>&gt; $2,000</td>
<td>$1,000 &lt; Offer ≤ $2,000</td>
<td>Pass</td>
<td>IEO + ASD</td>
<td>Cap Composite Energy Offer at $2,000, adjust ASD down, until the Composite Energy Offer equals $2,000.</td>
<td>2.4(f)(iii); 2.4A(f)(iii)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25 (ELR)</td>
<td>&gt; $2,000</td>
<td>$1,000 &lt; Offer ≤ $2,000</td>
<td>Fail</td>
<td>IEO</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>2.4(f)(ii); 2.4A(f)(ii)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* The Start-Up Cost and No-load Cost included in a Composite Energy Offer will be at their amortized value. In this chart, "ASU" represents amortized Start-Up Cost, "ANL" represents amortized No-load Cost, and ASD represents amortized Shutdown Cost.
Attachment A

Revisions to the
PJM Open Access Transmission Tariff

(Marked/Redline Format)
Definitions – E - F

Economic-based Enhancement or Expansion:

“Economic-based Enhancement or Expansion” shall have the same meaning provided in the Operating Agreement.

Economic Load Response Participant:

“Economic Load Response Participant” shall mean a Member or Special Member that qualifies under Operating Agreement, Schedule 1, section 1.5A, and the parallel provisions of Tariff, Attachment K-Appendix, section 1.5A, to participate in the PJM Interchange Energy Market and/or Ancillary Services markets through reductions in demand.

Economic Maximum:

“Economic Maximum” shall mean the highest incremental MW output level, submitted to PJM market systems by a Market Participant, that a unit can achieve while following economic dispatch.

Economic Minimum:

“Economic Minimum” shall mean the lowest incremental MW output level, submitted to PJM market systems by a Market Participant, that a unit can achieve while following economic dispatch.

Effective FTR Holder:

“Effective FTR Holder” shall mean:

(i) For an FTR Holder that is either a (a) privately held company, or (b) a municipality or electric cooperative, as defined in the Federal Power Act, such FTR Holder, together with any Affiliate, subsidiary or parent of the FTR Holder, any other entity that is under common ownership, wholly or partly, directly or indirectly, or has the ability to influence, directly or indirectly, the management or policies of the FTR Holder; or

(ii) For an FTR Holder that is a publicly traded company including a wholly owned subsidiary of a publicly traded company, such FTR Holder, together with any Affiliate, subsidiary or parent of the FTR Holder, any other PJM Member has over 10% common ownership with the FTR Holder, wholly or partly, directly or indirectly, or has the ability to influence, directly or indirectly, the management or policies of the FTR Holder; or

(iii) an FTR Holder together with any other PJM Member, including also any Affiliate, subsidiary or parent of such other PJM Member, with which it shares common ownership, wholly or partly, directly or indirectly, in any third entity which is a PJM Member (e.g., a joint venture).
**EFORd:**

“EFORd” shall have the meaning specified in the PJM Reliability Assurance Agreement.

**Electrical Distance:**

“Electrical Distance” shall mean, for a Generation Capacity Resource geographically located outside the metered boundaries of the PJM Region, the measure of distance, based on impedance and in accordance with the PJM Manuals, from the Generation Capacity Resource to the PJM Region.

**Eligible Customer:**

“Eligible Customer” shall mean:

(i) Any electric utility (including any Transmission Owner and any power marketer), Federal power marketing agency, or any person generating electric energy for sale for resale is an Eligible Customer under the Tariff. Electric energy sold or produced by such entity may be electric energy produced in the United States, Canada or Mexico. However, with respect to transmission service that the Commission is prohibited from ordering by Section 212(h) of the Federal Power Act, such entity is eligible only if the service is provided pursuant to a state requirement that the Transmission Provider or Transmission Owner offer the unbundled transmission service, or pursuant to a voluntary offer of such service by a Transmission Owner.

(ii) Any retail customer taking unbundled transmission service pursuant to a state requirement that the Transmission Provider or a Transmission Owner offer the transmission service, or pursuant to a voluntary offer of such service by a Transmission Owner, is an Eligible Customer under the Tariff. As used in Tariff, Part VI, Eligible Customer shall mean only those Eligible Customers that have submitted a Completed Application.

**Eligible Fast-Start Resource:**

“Eligible Fast-Start Resource” shall mean a Fast-Start Resource that is eligible for the application of Integer Relaxation during the calculation of Locational Marginal Prices as set forth in Tariff, Attachment K-Appendix, section 2.2.

**Emergency Action:**

“Emergency Action” shall mean any emergency action for locational or system-wide capacity shortages that either utilizes pre-emergency mandatory load management reductions or other emergency capacity, or initiates a more severe action including, but not limited to, a Voltage Reduction Warning, Voltage Reduction Action, Manual Load Dump Warning, or Manual Load Dump Action.
**Emergency Condition:**

“Emergency Condition” shall mean a condition or situation (i) that in the judgment of any Interconnection Party is imminently likely to endanger life or property; or (ii) that in the judgment of the Interconnected Transmission Owner or Transmission Provider is imminently likely (as determined in a non-discriminatory manner) to cause a material adverse effect on the security of, or damage to, the Transmission System, the Interconnection Facilities, or the transmission systems or distribution systems to which the Transmission System is directly or indirectly connected; or (iii) that in the judgment of Interconnection Customer is imminently likely (as determined in a non-discriminatory manner) to cause damage to the Customer Facility or to the Customer Interconnection Facilities. System restoration and black start shall be considered Emergency Conditions, provided that a Generation Interconnection Customer is not obligated by an Interconnection Service Agreement to possess black start capability. Any condition or situation that results from lack of sufficient generating capacity to meet load requirements or that results solely from economic conditions shall not constitute an Emergency Condition, unless one or more of the enumerated conditions or situations identified in this definition also exists.

**Emergency Load Response Program:**

“Emergency Load Response Program” shall mean the program by which Curtailment Service Providers may be compensated by PJM for Demand Resources that will reduce load when dispatched by PJM during emergency conditions, and is described in Operating Agreement, Schedule 1, section 8 and the parallel provisions of Tariff, Attachment K-Appendix, section 8.

**Energy Efficiency Resource:**

“Energy Efficiency Resource” shall have the meaning specified in the PJM Reliability Assurance Agreement.

**Energy Market Opportunity Cost:**

“Energy Market Opportunity Cost” shall mean the difference between (a) the forecasted cost to operate a specific generating unit when the unit only has a limited number of available run hours due to limitations imposed on the unit by Applicable Laws and Regulations, and (b) the forecasted future Locational Marginal Price at which the generating unit could run while not violating such limitations. Energy Market Opportunity Cost therefore is the value associated with a specific generating unit’s lost opportunity to produce energy during a higher valued period of time occurring within the same compliance period, which compliance period is determined by the applicable regulatory authority and is reflected in the rules set forth in PJM Manual 15. Energy Market Opportunity Costs shall be limited to those resources which are specifically delineated in Operating Agreement, Schedule 2.

**Energy Resource:**

“Energy Resource” shall mean a generating facility that is not a Capacity Resource.

**Energy Settlement Area:**
“Energy Settlement Area” shall mean the bus or distribution of busses that represents the physical location of Network Load and by which the obligations of the Network Customer to PJM are settled.

**Energy Storage Resource:**

“Energy Storage Resource” shall mean a resource capable of receiving electric energy from the grid and storing it for later injection to the grid that participates in the PJM Energy, Capacity and/or Ancillary Services markets as a Market Participant.

**Energy Transmission Injection Rights:**

“Energy Transmission Injection Rights” shall mean the rights to schedule energy deliveries at a specified point on the Transmission System. Energy Transmission Injection Rights may be awarded only to a Merchant D.C. Transmission Facility that connects the Transmission System to another control area. Deliveries scheduled using Energy Transmission Injection Rights have rights similar to those under Non-Firm Point-to-Point Transmission Service.

**Environmental Laws:**

“Environmental Laws” shall mean applicable Laws or Regulations relating to pollution or protection of the environment, natural resources or human health and safety.

**Environmentally-Limited Resource:**

“Environmentally-Limited Resource” shall mean a resource which has a limit on its run hours imposed by a federal, state, or other governmental agency that will significantly limit its availability, on either a temporary or long-term basis. This includes a resource that is limited by a governmental authority to operating only during declared PJM capacity emergencies.

**Equivalent Load:**

“Equivalent Load” shall mean the sum of a Market Participant’s net system requirements to serve its customer load in the PJM Region, if any, plus its net bilateral transactions.

**Existing Generation Capacity Resource:**

“Existing Generation Capacity Resource” shall have the meaning specified in the Reliability Assurance Agreement.

**Export Credit Exposure:**

“Export Credit Exposure” is determined for each Market Participant for a given Operating Day, and shall mean the sum of credit exposures for the Market Participant’s Export Transactions for that Operating Day and for the preceding Operating Day.
Export Nodal Reference Price:

“Export Nodal Reference Price” at each location is the 97th percentile, shall be, the real-time hourly integrated price experienced over the corresponding two-month period in the preceding calendar year, calculated separately for peak and off-peak time periods. The two-month time periods used in this calculation shall be January and February, March and April, May and June, July and August, September and October, and November and December.

Export Transaction:

“Export Transaction” shall be a transaction by a Market Participant that results in the transfer of energy from within the PJM Control Area to outside the PJM Control Area. Coordinated External Transactions that result in the transfer of energy from the PJM Control Area to an adjacent Control Area are one form of Export Transaction.

Export Transaction Price Factor:

“Export Transaction Price Factor” for a prospective time interval shall be the greater of (i) PJM’s forecast price for the time interval, if available, or (ii) the Export Nodal Reference Price, but shall not exceed the Export Transaction’s dispatch ceiling price cap, if any, for that time interval. The Export Transaction Price Factor for a past time interval shall be calculated in the same manner as for a prospective time interval, except that the Export Transaction Price Factor may use a tentative or final settlement price, as available. If an Export Nodal Reference Price is not available for a particular time interval, PJM may use an Export Transaction Price Factor for that time interval based on an appropriate alternate reference price.

Export Transaction Screening:

“Export Transaction Screening” shall be the process PJM uses to review the Export Credit Exposure of Export Transactions against the Credit Available for Export Transactions, and deny or curtail all or a portion of an Export Transaction, if the credit required for such transactions is greater than the credit available for the transactions.

Export Transactions Net Activity:

“Export Transactions Net Activity” shall mean the aggregate net total, resulting from Export Transactions, of (i) Spot Market Energy charges, (ii) Transmission Congestion Charges, and (iii) Transmission Loss Charges, calculated as set forth in Operating Agreement, Schedule 1 and the parallel provisions of Tariff, Attachment K-Appendix. Export Transactions Net Activity may be positive or negative.

Extended Primary Reserve Requirement:

“Extended Primary Reserve Requirement” shall equal the Primary Reserve Requirement in a Reserve Zone or Reserve Sub-zone, plus 190 MW, plus any additional reserves scheduled under
emergency conditions necessary to address operational uncertainty. The Extended Primary Reserve Requirement is calculated in accordance with the PJM Manuals.

**Extended Summer Demand Resource:**

“Extended Summer Demand Resource” shall have the meaning specified in the Reliability Assurance Agreement.

**Extended Summer Resource Price Adder:**

“Extended Summer Resource Price Adder” shall mean, for Delivery Years through May 31, 2018, an addition to the marginal value of Unforced Capacity as necessary to reflect the price of Annual Resources and Extended Summer Demand Resources required to meet the applicable Minimum Extended Summer Resource Requirement.

**Extended Synchronized Reserve Requirement:**

“Extended Synchronized Reserve Requirement” shall equal the Synchronized Reserve Requirement in a Reserve Zone or Reserve Sub-zone, plus 190 MW, plus any additional reserves scheduled under emergency conditions necessary to address operational uncertainty. The Extended Synchronized Reserve Requirement is calculated in accordance with the PJM Manuals.

**External Market Buyer:**

“External Market Buyer” shall mean a Market Buyer making purchases of energy from the PJM Interchange Energy Market for consumption by end-users outside the PJM Region, or for load in the PJM Region that is not served by Network Transmission Service.

**External Resource:**

“External Resource” shall mean a generation resource located outside the metered boundaries of the PJM Region.

**Facilities Study:**

“Facilities Study” shall be an engineering study conducted by the Transmission Provider (in coordination with the affected Transmission Owner(s)) to: (1) determine the required modifications to the Transmission Provider’s Transmission System necessary to implement the conclusions of the System Impact Study; and (2) complete any additional studies or analyses documented in the System Impact Study or required by PJM Manuals, and determine the required modifications to the Transmission Provider’s Transmission System based on the conclusions of such additional studies. The Facilities Study shall include the cost and scheduled completion date for such modifications, that will be required to provide the requested transmission service or to accommodate a New Service Request. As used in the Interconnection Service Agreement or Construction Service Agreement, Facilities Study shall mean that certain Facilities Study conducted by Transmission Provider (or at its direction) to determine the design
and specification of the Customer Funded Upgrades necessary to accommodate the New Service
Customer’s New Service Request in accordance with Tariff, Part VI, section 207.

Fast-Start Resource:

“Fast-Start Resource” shall have the meaning set forth in Tariff, Attachment K-Appendix,
section 2.2A mean a generation resource or Economic Load Response Participant resource that
the Office of the Interconnection deems capable of operating with a notification time plus startup
time of one hour or less and a Minimum Run Time of one hour or less or minimum down time of
one hour or less based on its operating characteristics.

Federal Power Act:


FERC or Commission:

“FERC” or “Commission” shall mean the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission or any
successor federal agency, commission or department exercising jurisdiction over the Tariff,
Operating Agreement and Reliability Assurance Agreement.

FERC Market Rules:

“FERC Market Rules” mean the market behavior rules and the prohibition against electric
energy market manipulation codified by the Commission in its Rules and Regulations at 18 CFR
§§ 1c.2 and 35.37, respectively; the Commission-approved PJM Market Rules and any related
proscriptions or any successor rules that the Commission from time to time may issue, approve
or otherwise establish.

Final Offer:

“Final Offer” shall mean the offer on which a resource was dispatched by the Office of the
Interconnection for a particular clock hour for the Operating Day.

Final RTO Unforced Capacity Obligation:

“Final RTO Unforced Capacity Obligation” shall mean the capacity obligation for the PJM
Region, determined in accordance with RAA, Schedule 8.

Financial Close:

“Financial Close” shall mean the Capacity Market Seller has demonstrated that the Capacity
Market Seller or its agent has completed the act of executing the material contracts and/or other
documents necessary to (1) authorize construction of the project and (2) establish the necessary
funding for the project under the control of an independent third-party entity. A sworn, notarized
certification of an independent engineer certifying to such facts, and that the engineer has
personal knowledge of, or has engaged in a diligent inquiry to determine, such facts, shall be sufficient to make such demonstration. For resources that do not have external financing, Financial Close shall mean the project has full funding available, and that the project has been duly authorized to proceed with full construction of the material portions of the project by the appropriate governing body of the company funding such project. A sworn, notarized certification by an officer of such company certifying to such facts, and that the officer has personal knowledge of, or has engaged in a diligent inquiry to determine, such facts, shall be sufficient to make such demonstration.

**Financial Transmission Right:**

“Financial Transmission Right” or “FTR” shall mean a right to receive Transmission Congestion Credits as specified in Operating Agreement, Schedule 1, section 5.2.2 and the parallel provisions of Tariff, Attachment K-Appendix, section 5.2.2.

**Financial Transmission Right Obligation:**

“Financial Transmission Right Obligation” shall mean a right to receive Transmission Congestion Credits as specified in Operating Agreement, Schedule 1, section 5.2.2(b), and the parallel provisions of Tariff, Attachment K-Appendix, section 5.2.2(b).

**Financial Transmission Right Option:**

“Financial Transmission Right Option” shall mean a right to receive Transmission Congestion Credits as specified in Operating Agreement, Schedule 1, section 5.2.2(c), and the parallel provisions of Tariff, Attachment K-Appendix, section 5.2.2(c).

**Firm Point-To-Point Transmission Service:**

“Firm Point-To-Point Transmission Service” shall mean Transmission Service under the Tariff that is reserved and/or scheduled between specified Points of Receipt and Delivery pursuant to Tariff, Part II.

**Firm Transmission Feasibility Study:**

“Firm Transmission Feasibility Study” shall mean a study conducted by the Transmission Provider in accordance with Tariff, Part II, section 19.3 and Tariff, Part III, section 32.3.

**Firm Transmission Withdrawal Rights:**

“Firm Transmission Withdrawal Rights” shall mean the rights to schedule energy and capacity withdrawals from a Point of Interconnection of a Merchant Transmission Facility with the Transmission System. Firm Transmission Withdrawal Rights may be awarded only to a Merchant D.C. Transmission Facility that connects the Transmission System with another control area. Withdrawals scheduled using Firm Transmission Withdrawal Rights have rights similar to those under Firm Point-to-Point Transmission Service.
**First Incremental Auction:**

“First Incremental Auction” shall mean an Incremental Auction conducted 20 months prior to the start of the Delivery Year to which it relates.

**Flexible Resource:**

“Flexible Resource” shall mean a generating resource that must have a combined Start-up Time and Notification Time of less than or equal to two hours; and a Minimum Run Time of less than or equal to two hours.

**Forecast Pool Requirement:**

“Forecast Pool Requirement” shall have the meaning specified in the Reliability Assurance Agreement.

**Foreign Guaranty:**

“Foreign Guaranty” shall mean a Corporate Guaranty provided by an Affiliate of a Participant that is domiciled in a foreign country, and meets all of the provisions of Tariff, Attachment Q.

**Form 715 Planning Criteria:**

“Form 715 Planning Criteria” shall have the same meaning provided in the Operating Agreement.

**FTR Credit Limit:**

“FTR Credit Limit” shall mean the amount of credit established with PJMSettlement that an FTR Participant has specifically designated to be used for FTR activity in a specific customer account. Any such credit so set aside shall not be considered available to satisfy any other credit requirement the FTR Participant may have with PJMSettlement.

**FTR Credit Requirement:**

“FTR Credit Requirement” shall mean the amount of credit that a Participant must provide in order to support the FTR positions that it holds and/or for which it is bidding. The FTR Credit Requirement shall not include months for which the invoicing has already been completed, provided that PJMSettlement shall have up to two Business Days following the date of the invoice completion to make such adjustments in its credit systems. FTR Credit Requirements are calculated and applied separately for each separate customer account.

**FTR Flow Undiversified:**

“FTR Flow Undiversified” shall have the meaning established in Tariff, Attachment Q, section V.G.
FTR Historical Value:

For each FTR for each month, “FTR Historical Value” shall mean the weighted average of historical values over three years for the FTR path using the following weightings: 50% - most recent year; 30% - second year; 20% - third year.

FTR Holder:

“FTR Holder” shall mean the PJM Member that has acquired and possesses an FTR.

FTR Monthly Credit Requirement Contribution:

For each FTR, for each month, ”FTR Monthly Credit Requirement Contribution” shall mean the total FTR cost for the month, prorated on a daily basis, less the FTR Historical Value for the month. For cleared FTRs, this contribution may be negative; prior to clearing, FTRs with negative contribution shall be deemed to have zero contribution.

FTR Net Activity:

“FTR Net Activity” shall mean the aggregate net value of the billing line items for auction revenue rights credits, FTR auction charges, FTR auction credits, and FTR congestion credits, and shall also include day-ahead and balancing/real-time congestion charges up to a maximum net value of the sum of the foregoing auction revenue rights credits, FTR auction charges, FTR auction credits and FTR congestion credits.

FTR Participant:

“FTR Participant” shall mean any Market Participant that provides or is required to provide Collateral in order to participate in PJM’s FTR auctions.

FTR Portfolio Auction Value:

“FTR Portfolio Auction Value” shall mean for each customer account of a Market Participant, the sum, calculated on a monthly basis, across all FTRs, of the FTR price times the FTR volume in MW.

Fuel Cost Policy:

“Fuel Cost Policy” shall mean the document provided by a Market Seller to PJM and the Market Monitoring Unit in accordance with PJM Manual 15 and Operating Agreement, Schedule 2, which documents the Market Seller’s method used to price fuel for calculation of the Market Seller’s cost-based offers for a generation resource.

Full Notice to Proceed:
“Full Notice to Proceed” shall mean that all material third party contractors have been given the notice to proceed with construction by the Capacity Market Seller or its agent, with a guaranteed completion date backed by liquidated damages.
2.2 General.

The Office of the Interconnection calculates Locational Marginal Prices separately from and subsequent to the security-constrained unit commitment and security-constrained economic dispatch of the system, the latter of which is referred to as the dispatch run. The calculation of Locational Marginal Prices, which occurs in a process referred to as the pricing run, is based on the same optimization problem as the security-constrained economic dispatch. The objective of both the dispatch run and the pricing run is to serve load and meet reserve requirements at the least cost while respecting transmission constraints. However, Integer Relaxation is applied only to Eligible Fast-Start Resources committed in the pricing run to provide energy.

In the dispatch run a commitment state of 1 represents a resource is committed and 0 represents a resource is not committed. In the pricing run Integer Relaxation allows the commitment state of a committed Eligible Fast-Start Resource to be lowered to any value between 0 and 1, inclusive of 0 and 1. This in turn allows the optimization problem in the pricing run to use any fraction of a committed Eligible Fast-Start Resource’s output, including an amount less than the resource’s offered Economic Minimum output, in the determination of Locational Marginal Prices.

A Fast Start Resource shall be an Eligible Fast Start Resource when the following apply:

(i) A generation resource is committed on an offer with a notification time plus startup time of one hour or less and a Minimum Run Time of one hour or less.
(ii) An Economic Load Response Participant resource is committed on an offer with a notification time of one hour or less and a Minimum Down Time of one hour or less.
(iii) The resource shall not be any of the following:
   a. Self-scheduled for Energy in a given interval
   b. A pumped storage hydropower unit scheduled by the Office of the Interconnection pursuant to the hydro optimization tool in the Day-ahead Energy Market
   c. A pseudo-tied resource that does not provide all of their output to PJM
   d. A dynamically scheduled resource.

Only Eligible Fast Start Resources shall have Integer Relaxation applied in the calculation of Locational Marginal Prices.
2.2A Fast-Start Resources.

(a) A Fast-Start Resource is a resource capable of operating with a notification time plus startup time of one hour or less and a Minimum Run Time of one hour or less or Minimum Down Time of one hour or less based on its operating characteristics. Fast-Start Resources include Economic Load Response Participant resources and the following types of generation resources: fuel cell, combustion turbine, diesel, hydropower, battery, solar, landfill, and wind. Other resources may be considered a Fast-Start Resource by obtaining written approval from the Office of the Interconnection pursuant to subsection (b) below.

(b) The Market Seller of a resource not considered a Fast-Start Resource may obtain approval for such resource to be considered a Fast-Start Resource by submitting to the Office of the Interconnection and the Market Monitoring Unit a written request for approval and provide documentation to support the resource’s capability of operating with a notification time plus startup time of one hour or less and a Minimum Run Time of one hour or less or Minimum Down Time of one hour or less based on its operating characteristics, such as historical operating data showing the ability to provide energy upon an hour’s notice. The Office of the Interconnection and the Market Monitoring Unit shall review, in an open and transparent manner as between the Market Seller, the Market Monitoring Unit, and the Office of the Interconnection, the information and documentation in support of the request for approval for a resource to be a Fast-Start Resource. A Market Seller must submit such a request, and supporting documentation, no later than April 15, and the Office of the Interconnection shall determine, with the advice and input of the Marketing Monitoring Unit, whether the resource will be considered Fast-Start capable and provide no later than the following May 30 written notification to the Market Seller of such determination. If the request is granted, the resource shall be considered a Fast-Start Resource as of the next June 1 following submission of the request. If the request is denied, the Office of the Interconnection shall include in the notice a written explanation for the denial.

(c) To the extent a Fast-Start Resource fails, on a persistent basis, to provide energy or load reduction consistent with offer parameters on which it was committed of a notification time plus startup time of one hour or less and a Minimum Run Time of one hour or less or minimum down time of one hour or less, the Office of the Interconnection may deem, in consultation with the Market Monitoring Unit, that a resource is no longer considered a Fast-Start Resource. The Office of the Interconnection shall provide written notification, with a written explanation, to the Market Seller of such determination. A resource may regain Fast-Start Resource status pursuant to subsection (b) above.

(d) A Fast-Start Resource shall be an Eligible Fast-Start Resource when the following apply:

(i) A generation resource is committed on an offer with a notification time plus startup time of one hour or less and a Minimum Run Time of one hour or less.

(ii) An Economic Load Response Participant resource is committed on an offer with a notification time of one hour or less and a Minimum Down Time of one hour or less.

(iii) The resource shall not be any of the following:

a. Self-scheduled for Energy in a given interval;
b. A pumped storage hydropower unit scheduled by the Office of the Interconnection pursuant to the hydro optimization tool in the Day-ahead Energy Market;
c. A pseudo-tied resource that does not provide all of their output to PJM; or
d. A dynamically scheduled resource.

Only Eligible Fast-Start Resources shall have Integer Relaxation applied in the calculation of Locational Marginal Prices.
2.4 Determination of Energy Offers Used in Calculating Real-time Prices.

(a) During the Operating Day, real-time Locational Marginal Prices derived in accordance with this section shall be determined every five minutes.

(b) To determine the energy offers submitted to the PJM Interchange Energy Market that shall be used during the Operating Day to calculate the Real-time Prices, the Office of the Interconnection shall determine the applicable marginal energy offer of the resources being dispatched by the Office of the Interconnection using the offer schedule on which the resource is committed in the dispatch run.

The Office of the Interconnection will determine a resource’s applicable marginal energy offer by comparing the requested megawatt output of the resource from the pricing run with the Market Seller’s Incremental Energy Offer curve or, for Eligible Fast-Start Resources, the Market Seller’s Composite Energy Offer. For Eligible Fast-Start Resources, the amortized Start-Up Costs and amortized No-load Costs, expressed in dollars per megawatt-hour, are added to the resource’s Incremental Energy Offer to determine a Composite Energy Offer, as described below:

(i) The amortized Start-Up Cost for a generation resource shall equal the resource’s applicable Start-Up Cost, as determined in accordance with the PJM Manuals, amortized over (A) the resource’s Economic Maximum or Emergency Maximum output, whichever is applicable, and (B) the resource’s Minimum Run Time, rounded up to the nearest twelfth of an hour. The amortized Start-Up Cost is included in the resource’s Composite Energy Offer in each five-minute interval in which the resource is pool-scheduled during the resource’s Minimum Run Time. If the Minimum Run Time is less than 5 minutes, the Minimum Run Time used to calculate the amortized Start-Up Cost is 5 minutes and the amortized Start-Up Cost is added to the Incremental Energy Offer for the first five minute interval in which the resource runs. After the Minimum Run Time has been met, the amortized Start-Up Cost is not included in the Composite Energy Offer. To determine the amortized Start-Up Costs for Economic Load Response Participant resources, the Minimum Down Time is used in place of Minimum Run Time and shutdown cost is used in place of Start-Up Cost in the above equation.

The amortized Start-Up Cost, to the extent it is reviewed pursuant to Tariff, Attachment K-Appendix, section 6.4.3A, shall be excluded from the Composite Energy Offer if the resource’s applicable Start-Up Cost exceeds the reasonably expected cost, as described in subsection (iii) below.

(ii) The amortized No-load Cost shall equal the resource’s applicable No-load Cost, amortized over the resource’s Economic Maximum or Emergency Maximum output, whichever is applicable, and included in the Composite Energy Offer for each interval in which the resource is pool-scheduled.
The amortized No-load Cost, to the extent it is reviewed pursuant to Tariff, Attachment K-Appendix, section 6.4.3A, shall be excluded from the Composite Energy Offer if the resource’s applicable Incremental Energy Offer and No-load Cost exceed the reasonably expected cost, as described in subsection (iii) below.

(iii) To the extent a Composite Energy Offer of a generation resource that is an Eligible Fast-Start Resource is less than $2,000/megawatt-hour and is reviewed pursuant to Operating Agreement, Schedule 1, 6.4.3A, pursuant to which the Office of the Interconnection evaluates the resource’s submitted Start-Up Cost and No-load Cost against the resource’s reasonably expected Start-Up Cost and No-load Cost, adjustments may be applied to yield a Composite Energy Offer no lower than $1,000/megawatt-hour at the Economic Maximum output as follows:

1) If the submitted Start-Up Cost and No-load Cost do not exceed the respective reasonably expected costs, no adjustments shall be made to the submitted Composite Energy Offer.

2) If the submitted Start-Up Cost does not exceed the resource’s reasonably expected Start-Up Cost but the submitted No-load Cost does exceed the reasonably expected No-load Cost, then the Composite Energy offer shall equal the Incremental Energy Offer plus the amortized submitted Start-Up Cost; provided, however, if the resulting Composite Energy Offer at Economic Maximum yields a value less than $1,000/megawatt-hour, then the Composite Energy Offer shall include an amortized No-load Cost value sufficient to make the Composite Energy Offer equal to $1,000/megawatt-hour at Economic Maximum.

3) If the submitted Start-Up Cost exceeds the resource’s reasonably expected Start-Up Cost but the submitted No-load Cost does not exceed the reasonably expected No-load Cost, then the Composite Energy Offer shall equal the Incremental Energy Offer plus the amortized No-load Cost; provided, however, if the resulting Composite Energy Offer at Economic Maximum yields a value less than $1,000/megawatt-hour, then the Composite Energy Offer shall include an amortized Start-Up Cost value sufficient to make the Composite Energy Offer equal to $1,000/megawatt-hour at Economic Maximum.

4) If both the submitted Start-Up Cost and No-load Cost exceed the respective reasonably expected costs and the Incremental Energy Offer is below $1,000 MWh, then the Composite Energy Offer shall equal $1,000/megawatt-hour and be composed of the resource’s: (i) Incremental Energy Offer, (ii) a No-load Cost value equal to the lesser of the resource’s amortized submitted No-load Cost or a value sufficient to make the Composite Energy Offer at Economic Maximum equal to $1,000/megawatt-hour, and (iii) to the extent the sum of the foregoing is
less than $1,000/megawatt-hour, an amortized Start-Up Cost value sufficient to make the Composite Energy Offer equal to $1,000/megawatt-hour at Economic Maximum.

(c) If a generation resource that is for purposes of calculating Real-time Prices, if an Eligible Fast-Start Resource submits a market-based offer that results in a Composite Energy Offer that exceeds $1,000/megawatt-hour at the resource’s Economic Maximum:

(i) the amortized Start-Up Cost and the amortized No-load Cost for the market-based schedule shall both be excluded from the Composite Energy Offer if the Incremental Energy Offer of the market-based schedule exceeds the Incremental Energy Offer of the associated cost-based offer, then the amortized Start-Up Cost and the amortized No-load Cost for the market-based schedule shall both be considered to exceed their respective reasonably expected costs, and the Composite Energy Offer shall be equal to $1,000/megawatt-hour and be composed of the resource’s (i) Incremental Energy Offer, (ii) a No-load Cost value equal to the lesser of the resource’s amortized submitted No-load Cost or a value sufficient to make the Composite Energy Offer at Economic Maximum equal to $1,000/megawatt-hour, and (iii) to the extent the sum of the foregoing is less than $1,000/megawatt-hour, an amortized Start-Up Cost value sufficient to make the Composite Energy Offer equal to $1,000/megawatt-hour at Economic Maximum.

(ii) If the Incremental Energy Offer of the market-based schedule is not greater than the Incremental Energy Offer of the associated cost-based offer and the amortized Start-Up Cost for the market-based schedule shall be excluded from the Composite Energy Offer if the Start-Up Cost of the associated cost-based offer to the extent that it is reviewed pursuant to Tariff, Attachment K-Appendix, section 6.4.3A, exceeds the reasonably expected cost or if the Start-Up Cost of the market-based offer exceeds the Start-Up Cost specified on the associated cost-based offer.

(iii) If the amortized No-load Cost for the market-based schedule shall be excluded from the Composite Energy Offer if the No-load Cost of the associated cost-based offer, or, to the extent that it is reviewed pursuant to Tariff, Attachment K-Appendix, section 6.4.3A, exceeds the reasonably expected cost or if the No-load Cost of the market-based offer exceeds the No-load Cost specified on the associated cost-based offer of such cost-based offer, then the amortized No-load Cost shall be adjusted in the matter set forth in subsections (b)(iii)(2) and (4) above, as applicable.

(2) If the amortized Start-Up Cost for the market-based schedule exceeds the Start-Up Cost of the specified on the associated cost-based offer or exceeds the reasonably expected cost of the Start-Up Cost of such cost-based offer, then the amortized Start-Up Cost shall be adjusted in the manner set forth in subsections (b)(iii)(3) and (4), as applicable.
(3) To the extent the Composite Energy Offer resulting from subsections (c)(ii)(1) and (2) above would exceed $2,000/MWh, then the Composite Energy Offer shall equal $2,000/megawatt-hour and the submitted Start-Up Cost and No-load Cost shall be adjusted in the manner set forth in subsection (e) below.

(d) For purposes of calculating Real-time Prices, the applicable marginal Incremental Energy Offer used in the calculation of Real-time Prices shall not exceed $2,000/megawatt-hour.

(e) Subject to the provisions in Tariff, Attachment K-Appendix, section 6.4.3A, if a generation resource that is an Eligible Fast Start Resource submits an offer that results in a Composite Energy Offer with a maximum segment that exceeds $2,000/megawatt-hour then the amortized Start-up Cost will be excluded from the determination of the Composite Energy Offer. If the maximum segment of resulting Composite Energy Offer is still in excess of $2,000/megawatt-hour, then the amortized No-load Cost shall also be excluded from the determination of the Composite Energy Offer.

(f) Subject to the provisions in Tariff, Attachment K-Appendix, section 6.4.3A, if an Economic Load Response Participant resource that is an Eligible Fast-Start Resource submits an offer that results in a Composite Energy Offer with a maximum segment that exceeds $2,000/megawatt-hour and such offer is reviewed pursuant to Operating Agreement, Schedule 1, 6.4.3A, pursuant to which the Office of the Interconnection evaluates the resource’s submitted Start-Up Cost and No-load Cost against the resource’s reasonably expected Start-Up Cost and No-load Cost, the following adjustments will be made to cap the offer at no higher than $2,000/megawatt-hour: then the amortized shutdown cost will be excluded from the determination of the Composite Energy Offer.

(i) If the submitted Start-Up Cost and No-load Cost do not exceed the respective reasonably expected costs, the Composite Energy Offer shall equal $2,000/megawatt-hour and be composed of: (i) the resource’s Incremental Energy Offer, (ii) to the extent the Incremental Energy Offer is less than $2,000/megawatt-hour, a No-load Cost value equal to the lesser of the resource’s amortized submitted No-load Cost or a value sufficient to make the Composite Energy Offer at Economic Maximum equal to $2,000/megawatt-hour, and (iii) to the extent the sum of the foregoing is less than $2,000/megawatt-hour, a Start-Up Cost value an amortized Start-Up Cost value sufficient to make the Composite Energy Offer at Economic Maximum equal to $2,000/megawatt-hour.

(ii) If the submitted Start-Up Cost does not exceed the resource’s reasonably expected Start-Up Cost but the submitted No-load Cost does exceed the reasonably expected No-load Cost, then the Composite Energy offer shall equal the Incremental Energy Offer plus the amortized submitted Start-Up Cost; provided, however, if the resulting Composite Energy Offer at Economic Maximum yields a value greater than $2,000/megawatt-hour, then the Composite Energy Offer shall
include an amortized Start Up Cost value sufficient to make the Composite Energy Offer equal to $2,000/megawatt-hour.

(iii) If the submitted Start-Up Cost exceeds the resource’s reasonably expected Start-Up Cost but the submitted No-load Cost does not exceed the reasonably expected No-load Cost, then the Composite Energy Offer shall equal the Incremental Energy Offer plus the amortized No-load Cost; provided, however, if the resulting Composite Energy Offer at Economic Maximum yields a value greater than $2,000/megawatt-hour, then the Composite Energy Offer shall include an amortized No-load Cost value sufficient to make the Composite Energy Offer equal to $2,000/megawatt-hour.

(iv) If the submitted Start-Up Cost and No-load Cost both exceed the respective reasonably expected costs, the Composite Energy Offer shall equal the lesser of the resource’s Incremental Energy Offer or $2,000/megawatt-hour.

(v) To the extent any of the foregoing subsections (e)(ii) through (e)(iv) would result in a Composite Energy Offer less than $1,000/MWh at Economic Maximum, the Composite Energy Offer shall equal $1,000/megawatt-hour and be composed of the resource’s: (i) Incremental Energy Offer, (ii) a No-load Cost value equal to the lesser of the resource’s amortized submitted No-load Cost or a value sufficient to make the Composite Energy Offer equal to $1,000/megawatt-hour at Economic Maximum, and (iii) to the extent the sum of the foregoing is less than $1,000/megawatt-hour, an amortized Start-Up Cost value sufficient to make the Composite Energy Offer equal to $1,000/megawatt-hour at Economic Maximum.

(f) To the extent an Economic Load Response Participant resource’s Composite Energy Offer is reviewed pursuant to Operating Agreement, Schedule 1, 6.4.3A, pursuant to which the Office of the Interconnection evaluates the resource’s submitted shutdown cost against the resource’s reasonably expected shutdown costs, adjustments may be applied to yield a Composite Energy Offer, at Economic Maximum, no lower than $1,000/megawatt-hour and no greater than $2,000/megawatt-hour as follows:

(i) If a Composite Energy Offer at Economic Maximum is greater than $1,000/megawatt-hour but does not exceed $2,000/megawatt-hour, and the amortized shutdown cost, to the extent that it is reviewed pursuant to Operating Agreement, Schedule 1, section 6.4.3A, does not exceed the resource’s reasonably expected shutdown cost, then no adjustments shall be made to the submitted Composite Energy Offer.

(ii) If the amortized shutdown cost, to the extent that it is reviewed pursuant to Operating Agreement, Schedule 1, section 6.4.3A, exceeds the resource’s reasonably expected shutdown cost, then the Composite Energy Offer shall equal: (i) the resource’s Incremental Energy Offer, and (ii) to the extent the Incremental Energy Offer is less than $1,000/megawatt-hour, a shutdown value equal to the lesser of the resource’s amortized submitted shutdown cost or a value sufficient to
make the Composite Energy Offer at Economic Maximum equal to $1,000/megawatt-hour.

(iii) If the Composite Energy Offer at Economic Maximum exceeds $2,000/megawatt-hour and the amortized shutdown cost, to the extent that it is reviewed pursuant to Operating Agreement, Schedule 1, section 6.4.3A, does not exceed the resource’s reasonably expected shutdown cost, then the Composite Energy Offer shall equal $2,000/megawatt-hour: (i) the resource’s Incremental Energy Offer, and (ii) to the extent the Incremental Energy Offer is less than $2,000/megawatt-hour, a shutdown value sufficient to make the Composite Energy Offer equal to $2,000/megawatt-hour.

(g) Units that must be run for local area protection shall not be considered in the calculation of Real-time Prices.
2.4A Determination of Energy Offers Used in Calculating Day-ahead Prices.

(a) Day-ahead Prices derived in accordance with this section shall be determined for every hour.

(b) To determine the energy offers submitted to the PJM Interchange Energy Market that shall be used to calculate the Day-ahead Prices, the Office of the Interconnection shall determine the applicable marginal energy offer of the resources being dispatched by the Office of the Interconnection using the offer schedule on which the resource is committed in the dispatch run.

The Office of the Interconnection will determine a resource’s applicable marginal energy offer by comparing the megawatt output of the resource from the pricing run with the Market Seller’s Incremental Energy Offer curve or, for Eligible Fast-Start Resources, the Market Seller’s Composite Energy Offer. For Eligible Fast-Start Resources, the amortized Start-Up Costs and amortized No-load Costs, expressed in dollars per megawatt-hour, are added to the resource’s Incremental Energy Offer to determine a Composite Energy Offer, as described below:

(i) The amortized Start-Up Cost for a generation resource shall equal the resource’s applicable Start-Up Cost, as determined in accordance with the PJM Manuals, amortized over (A) the resource’s Economic Maximum or Emergency Maximum output, whichever is applicable and (B) the resource’s Minimum Run Time. For the purposes of this calculation, the Minimum Run Time is set to one hour. The amortized Start-Up Cost is included the resource’s Composite Energy Offer during the resource’s Minimum Run Time. After the Minimum Run Time has been met the amortized Start-Up Cost is not included in the Composite Energy Offer. To determine the amortized Start-Up Costs for Economic Load Response Participant resources, the Minimum Down Time is used in place of Minimum Run Time and shutdown cost is used in place of Start-Up Cost in the above equation.

The amortized Start-Up Cost, to the extent it is reviewed pursuant to Tariff, Attachment K-Appendix, section 6.4.3A, shall be excluded from the Composite Energy Offer adjusted if the resource’s applicable Start-Up Cost exceeds the reasonably expected cost as described in subsection (iii) below.

(ii) The amortized No-load Cost shall equal the resource’s applicable No-load Cost, amortized over the resource’s Economic Maximum or Emergency Maximum output, whichever is applicable output and included in the Composite Energy Offer for all intervals in which the resource is pool-scheduled.

The amortized No-load Cost, to the extent that it is reviewed pursuant to Tariff, Attachment K-Appendix, section 6.4.3A, shall be excluded from the Composite Energy Offer adjusted if the resource’s applicable Incremental Energy Offer and No-load Cost exceed the reasonably expected cost as described in subsection (iii) below.
(iii) To the extent a Composite Energy Offer of a generation resource that is an Eligible Fast-Start Resource is less than $2,000/megawatt-hour and is reviewed pursuant to Operating Agreement, Schedule 1, 6.4.3A, pursuant to which the Office of the Interconnection evaluates the resource’s submitted Start-Up Cost and No-load Cost against the resource’s reasonably expected Start-Up Cost and No-load Cost, adjustments may be applied to yield a Composite Energy Offer no lower than $1,000/megawatt-hour at Economic Maximum as follows:

1) If the submitted Start-Up Cost and No-load Cost do not exceed the respective reasonably expected costs, no adjustments shall be made to the submitted Composite Energy Offer.

2) If the submitted Start-Up Cost does not exceed the resource’s reasonably expected Start-Up Cost but the submitted No-load Cost does exceed the reasonably expected No-load Cost, then the Composite Energy offer shall equal the Incremental Energy Offer plus the amortized submitted Start-Up Cost; provided, however, if the resulting Composite Energy Offer at Economic Maximum yields a value less than $1,000/megawatt-hour, then the Composite Energy Offer shall include an amortized No-load Cost value sufficient to make the Composite Energy Offer equal to $1,000/megawatt-hour at Economic Maximum.

3) If the submitted Start-Up Cost exceeds the resource’s reasonably expected Start-Up Cost but the submitted No-load Cost does not exceed the reasonably expected No-load Cost, then the Composite Energy Offer shall equal the Incremental Energy Offer plus the amortized No-load Cost; provided, however, if the resulting Composite Energy Offer at Economic Maximum yields a value less than $1,000/megawatt-hour, then the Composite Energy Offer shall include an amortized Start-Up Cost value sufficient to make the Composite Energy Offer equal to $1,000/megawatt-hour at Economic Maximum.

4) If both the submitted Start-Up Cost and No-load Cost exceed the respective reasonably expected costs and the Incremental Energy Offer is below $1,000 MWh, then the Composite Energy Offer shall equal $1,000/megawatt-hour and be composed of the resource’s: (i) Incremental Energy Offer, (ii) a No-load Cost value equal to the lesser of the resource’s amortized submitted No-load Cost or a value sufficient to make the Composite Energy Offer at Economic Maximum equal to $1,000/megawatt-hour, and (iii) to the extent the sum of the foregoing is less than $1,000/megawatt-hour, an amortized Start-Up Cost value sufficient to make the Composite Energy Offer equal to $1,000/megawatt-hour at Economic Maximum.
(c) If a generation resource that is Eligible Fast-Start Resource submits a market-based offer that results in a Composite Energy Offer that exceeds $1,000/megawatt-hour at the resource’s Economic Maximum:

(i) the amortized Start-Up Cost and the amortized No-load Cost for the market-based schedule shall both be excluded from the Composite Energy Offer if the Incremental Energy Offer of the market-based schedule exceeds the Incremental Energy Offer of the associated cost-based offer, then the amortized Start-Up Cost and the amortized No-load Cost for the market-based schedule shall both be considered to exceed their respective reasonably expected costs, and the Composite Energy Offer shall be equal to $1,000/megawatt-hour and be composed of the resource’s (i) Incremental Energy Offer, (ii) a No-load Cost value equal to the lesser of the resource’s amortized submitted No-load Cost or a value sufficient to make the Composite Energy Offer at Economic Maximum equal to $1,000/megawatt-hour, and (iii) to the extent the sum of the foregoing is less than $1,000/megawatt-hour, an amortized Start-Up Cost value sufficient to make the Composite Energy Offer equal to $1,000/megawatt-hour at Economic Maximum.

(ii) If the Incremental Energy Offer of the market-based schedule is not greater than the Incremental Energy Offer of the associated cost-based offer and:

(1) If the amortized No-load Cost for the market-based schedule exceeds the No-load Cost specified on the associated cost-based offer or exceeds the reasonably expected cost of the No-load Cost of such cost-based offer, then the amortized No-load Cost shall be adjusted in the manner set forth in subsections (b)(iii)(2) and (4) above, as applicable.

(2) If the amortized Start-Up Cost for the market-based schedule exceeds the Start-Up Cost specified on the associated cost-based offer or exceeds the reasonably expected cost of the Start-Up Cost of such cost-based offer, then the amortized Start-Up Cost shall be adjusted in the manner set forth in subsections (b)(iii)(3) and (4) above, as applicable.

(3) To the extent the Composite Energy Offer resulting from subsections (c)(ii)(1) and (2) would exceed $2,000/MWh, then the Composite Energy Offer shall equal $2,000/megawatt-hour and the submitted Start-Up Cost and No-load Cost shall be adjusted in the manner set forth in subsection (e) below, the amortized Start-Up Cost for the market-based schedule shall be excluded from the Composite Energy Offer if the Start-Up Cost of the associated cost-based offer, to the extent that it is reviewed pursuant to Tariff, Attachment K-Appendix, section 6.4.3A, exceeds the reasonably expected cost or if the Start-Up Cost of the market-based offer exceeds the Start-Up Cost specified on the associated cost-based offer.

(iii) the amortized No-load Cost for the market-based schedule shall be excluded from the Composite Energy Offer if the No-load Cost of the associated cost-based offer, to the extent that it is reviewed pursuant to Tariff, Attachment K-Appendix, section
6.4.3A, exceeds the reasonably expected cost or if the No-load Cost of the market-

(based offer exceeds the No-load Cost specified on the associated cost-based offer.

(d) For purposes of calculating Day-ahead Prices, the applicable marginal Incremental

Energy Offer used in the calculation of Day-ahead Prices shall not exceed $2,000/megawatt-hour.

(e) Subject to the provisions in Tariff, Attachment K Appendix, section 6.4.3A, if a
generation resource that is an Eligible Fast Start Resource submits an offer that results in a
Composite Energy Offer with a maximum segment that exceeds $2,000/megawatt-hour then the
amortized Start-Up Cost will be excluded from the determination of the Composite Energy Offer.
If the resulting Composite Energy Offer is still in excess of $2,000/megawatt-hour, then the
amortized No-load Cost shall also be excluded from the determination of the Composite Energy
Offer.

(f)—Subject to the provisions in Tariff, Attachment K Appendix, section 6.4.3A, if an
Economic Load Response Participant submits an offer that results in a Composite Energy Offer with a maximum segment that exceeds $2,000/megawatt-hour then the amortized shutdown cost will be excluded from the
determination of the Composite Energy Offer. and such offer is reviewed pursuant to Operating
Agreement, Schedule 1, 6.4.3A, pursuant to which the Office of the Interconnection evaluates the
resource’s submitted Start-Up Cost and No-load Cost against the resource’s reasonably expected
Start-Up Cost and No-load Cost, the following adjustments will be made to cap the offer at no
higher than $2,000/megawatt-hour:

(i) If the submitted Start-Up Cost and No-load Cost do not exceed the respective
reasonably expected costs, the Composite Energy Offer shall equal $2,000/megawatt-hour and be composed of: (i) the resource’s Incremental Energy
Offer, (ii) to the extent the Incremental Energy Offer is less than $2,000/megawatt-
hour, a No-load Cost value equal to the lesser of the resource’s amortized submitted
No-load Cost or a value sufficient to make the Composite Energy Offer at
Economic Maximum equal to $2,000/megawatt-hour, and (iii) to the extent the sum
of the foregoing is less than $2,000/megawatt-hour, a Start-Up Cost value an
amortized Start-Up Cost value sufficient to make the Composite Energy Offer at
Economic Maximum equal to $2,000/megawatt-hour.

(ii) If the submitted Start-Up Cost does not exceed the resource’s reasonably expected
Start-Up Cost but the submitted No-load Cost does exceed the reasonably expected
No-load Cost, then the Composite Energy offer shall equal the Incremental Energy
Offer plus the amortized submitted Start-Up Cost; provided, however, if the
resulting Composite Energy Offer at Economic Maximum yields a value greater
than $2,000/megawatt-hour, then the Composite Energy Offer shall include an
amortized Start Up Cost value sufficient to make the Composite Energy Offer equal
to $2,000/megawatt-hour.

(iii) If the submitted Start-Up Cost exceeds the resource’s reasonably expected Start-
Up Cost but the submitted No-load Cost does not exceed the reasonably expected
No-load Cost, then the Composite Energy Offer shall equal the Incremental Energy Offer plus the amortized No-load Cost; provided, however, if the resulting Composite Energy Offer at Economic Maximum yields a value greater than $2,000/megawatt-hour, then the Composite Energy Offer shall include an amortized No-load Cost value sufficient to make the Composite Energy Offer equal to $2,000/megawatt-hour.

(iv) If the submitted Start-Up Cost and No-load Cost both exceed the respective reasonably expected costs, the Composite Energy Offer shall equal the lesser of the resource’s Incremental Energy Offer or $2,000/megawatt-hour.

(v) To the extent any of the foregoing subsections (e)(ii) through (e)(iv) would result in a Composite Energy Offer less than $1,000/MWh at Economic Maximum, the Composite Energy Offer shall equal $1,000/megawatt-hour and be composed of the resource’s: (i) Incremental Energy Offer, (ii) a No-load Cost value equal to the lesser of the resource’s amortized submitted No-load Cost or a value sufficient to make the Composite Energy Offer equal to $1,000/megawatt-hour at Economic Maximum, and (iii) to the extent the sum of the foregoing is less than $1,000/megawatt-hour, an amortized Start-Up Cost value sufficient to make the Composite Energy Offer equal to $1,000/megawatt-hour at Economic Maximum.

(f) To the extent an Economic Load Response Participant resource’s Composite Energy Offer is reviewed pursuant to Operating Agreement, Schedule 1, 6.4.3A, pursuant to which the Office of the Interconnection evaluates the resource’s submitted shutdown cost against the resource’s reasonably expected shutdown costs, adjustments may be applied to yield a Composite Energy Offer, at Economic Maximum, no lower than $1,000/megawatt-hour and no greater than $2,000/megawatt-hour as follows:

(i) If a Composite Energy Offer at Economic Maximum is greater than $1,000/megawatt-hour but does not exceed $2,000/megawatt-hour, and the amortized shutdown cost, to the extent that it is reviewed pursuant to Operating Agreement, Schedule 1, section 6.4.3A, does not exceed the resource’s reasonably expected shutdown cost, then no adjustments shall be made to the submitted Composite Energy Offer.

(ii) If the amortized shutdown cost, to the extent that it is reviewed pursuant to Operating Agreement, Schedule 1, section 6.4.3A, exceeds the resource’s reasonably expected shutdown cost, then the Composite Energy Offer shall equal: (i) the resource’s Incremental Energy Offer, and (ii) to the extent the Incremental Energy Offer is less than $1,000/megawatt-hour, a shutdown value equal to the lesser of the resource’s amortized submitted shutdown cost or a value sufficient to make the Composite Energy Offer at Economic Maximum equal to $1,000/megawatt-hour.

(iii) If the Composite Energy Offer at Economic Maximum exceeds $2,000/megawatt-hour and the amortized shutdown cost, to the extent that it is reviewed pursuant to
Operating Agreement, Schedule 1, section 6.4.3A, does not exceed the resource’s reasonably expected shutdown cost, then the Composite Energy Offer shall equal $2,000/megawatt-hour: (i) the resource’s Incremental Energy Offer, and (ii) to the extent the Incremental Energy Offer is less than $2,000/megawatt-hour, a shutdown value sufficient to make the Composite Energy Offer equal to $2,000/megawatt-hour.
3.2 Market Settlements.

If a dollar-per-MW-hour value is applied in a calculation under this section 3.2 where the interval of the value produced in that calculation is less than an hour, then for purposes of that calculation the dollar-per-MW hour value is divided by the number of Real-time Settlement Intervals in the hour.

3.2.1 Spot Market Energy.

(a) The Office of the Interconnection shall calculate System Energy Prices in the form of Day-ahead System Energy Prices and Real-time System Energy Prices for the PJM Region, in accordance with Section 2 of this Schedule.


(c) Each Market Participant shall be paid for all of its Market Participant Energy Injections scheduled in the Day-ahead Energy Market at the Day-ahead System Energy Price to be delivered to the PJM Interchange Energy Market.

(d) For each Day-ahead Settlement Interval during an Operating Day, the Office of the Interconnection shall calculate Spot Market Energy charges for each Market Participant as the difference between the sum of its Market Participant Energy Withdrawals scheduled times the Day-ahead System Energy Price and the sum of its Market Participant Energy Injections scheduled times the Day-ahead System Energy Price.

(e) For each Real-time Settlement Interval during an Operating Day, the Office of the Interconnection shall calculate Spot Market Energy charges for each Market Participant as the difference between the sum of its real-time Market Participant Energy Withdrawals less its scheduled Market Participant Energy Withdrawals times the Real-time System Energy Price and the sum of its real-time Market Participant Energy Injections less scheduled Market Participant Energy Injections times the Real-time System Energy Price. The Revenue Data for Settlements determined for each Real-time Settlement Interval in accordance with section 3.1A of this Schedule shall be used in determining the real-time Market Participant Energy Withdrawals and Market Participant Energy Injections used to calculate Spot Market Energy charges under this subsection (e).

(f) For pool External Resources, the Office of the Interconnection shall model, based on an appropriate flow analysis, the megawatts of real-time energy injections to be delivered from each such resource to the corresponding Interface Pricing Point between adjacent Control Areas and the PJM Region.
3.2.2 Regulation.

(a) Each Market Participant that is a Load Serving Entity in a Regulation Zone shall have an hourly Regulation objective equal to its pro rata share of the Regulation requirements of such Regulation Zone for the hour, based on the Market Participant’s total load (net of operating Behind The Meter Generation, but not to be less than zero) in such Regulation Zone for the hour (“Regulation Obligation”). A Market Participant with an hourly Regulation Obligation shall be charged the pro rata share of the sum of the Regulation market performance clearing price credits and Regulation market capability clearing price credits for the Real-time Settlement Intervals in an hour.

\[
\text{Regulation Charge} = \text{Hourly Regulation Obligation Share} \times (\text{sum of the Real-time Settlement Interval Regulation credits in an hour})
\]

(b) Each Market Participant supplying Regulation in a Regulation Zone at the direction of the Office of the Interconnection shall be credited for each of its resources such that the calculated credit for each increment of Regulation provided by each resource shall be the higher of: (i) the Regulation market-clearing price; or (ii) the sum of the applicable Regulation offers for a resource determined pursuant to Section 3.2.2A.1 of this Schedule, the unit-specific shoulder hour opportunity costs described in subsection (e) of this section, the unit-specific inter-temporal opportunity costs, and the unit-specific opportunity costs discussed in subsection (d) of this section.

(c) The total Regulation market-clearing price in each Regulation Zone shall be determined in the Real-time Price software program, which is known as the pricing run, for each Real-time Settlement Interval. The total Regulation market-clearing price shall include: (i) the performance Regulation market-clearing price in a Regulation Zone that shall be calculated in accordance with subsection (g) of this section; (ii) the capability Regulation market-clearing price that shall be calculated in accordance with subsection (h) of this section; and (iii) a Regulation resource’s unit-specific opportunity costs during the 5-minute period, determined as described in subsection (d) below, divided by the unit-specific benefits factor described in subsection (j) of this section and divided by the historic accuracy score of the resource from among the resources selected to provide Regulation. A resource’s Regulation offer by any Market Seller that fails the three-pivotal supplier test set forth in section 3.2.2A.1 of this Schedule shall not exceed the cost of providing Regulation from such resource, plus twelve dollars, as determined pursuant to the formula in section 1.10.1A(e) of this Schedule.

(d) In determining the Regulation 5-minute clearing price for each Regulation Zone, the estimated unit-specific opportunity costs of a generation resource offering to sell Regulation in each regulating hour, except for hydroelectric resources, shall be equal to the product of (i) the deviation of the set point of the generation resource that is expected to be required in order to provide Regulation from the generation resource’s expected output level if it had been dispatched in economic merit order times, (ii) the absolute value of the difference between the expected Locational Marginal Price at the generation bus for the generation resource and the lesser of the available market-based or highest available cost-based energy offer from the
For hydroelectric resources offering to sell Regulation in a regulating hour, the estimated unit-specific opportunity costs for each hydroelectric resource in spill conditions as defined in the PJM Manuals will be the full value of the Locational Marginal Price at that generation bus for each megawatt of Regulation capability.

The estimated unit-specific opportunity costs for each hydroelectric resource that is not in spill conditions as defined in the PJM Manuals and has a day-ahead megawatt commitment greater than zero shall be equal to the product of (i) the deviation of the set point of the hydroelectric resource that is expected to be required in order to provide Regulation from the hydroelectric resource’s expected output level if it had been dispatched in economic merit order times (ii) the difference between the expected Locational Marginal Price at the generation bus for the hydroelectric resource and the average of the Locational Marginal Price at the generation bus for the appropriate on-peak or off-peak period as defined in the PJM Manuals, excluding those hours during which all available units at the hydroelectric resource were operating. Estimated opportunity costs shall be zero for hydroelectric resources for which the average Locational Marginal Price at the appropriate on-peak or off-peak period, excluding those Real-time Settlement Intervals during which all available units at the hydroelectric resource were operating is higher than the actual Locational Marginal Price at the generator bus for the Real-time Settlement Interval.

The estimated unit-specific opportunity costs for each hydroelectric resource that is not in spill conditions as defined in the PJM Manuals and does not have a day-ahead megawatt commitment greater than zero shall be equal to the product of (i) the deviation of the set point of the hydroelectric resource that is expected to be required in order to provide Regulation from the hydroelectric resource’s expected output level if it had been dispatched in economic merit order times (ii) the difference between the average of the Locational Marginal Price at the appropriate on-peak or off-peak period as defined in the PJM Manuals, excluding those hours during which all available units at the hydroelectric resource were operating and the expected Locational Marginal Price at the generation bus for the hydroelectric resource.

Estimated opportunity costs shall be zero for hydroelectric resources for which the actual Locational Marginal Price at the generator bus for the Real-time Settlement Interval is higher than the average Locational Marginal Price at the generation bus for the appropriate on-peak or off-peak period, excluding those Real-time Settlement Intervals during which all available units at the hydroelectric resource were operating.

For the purpose of committing resources and setting Regulation market clearing prices, the Office of the Interconnection shall utilize day-ahead Locational Marginal Prices to calculate opportunity costs for hydroelectric resources. For the purposes of settlements, the Office of the Interconnection shall utilize the real-time Locational Marginal Prices to calculate opportunity costs for hydroelectric resources.

Estimated opportunity costs for Demand Resources to provide Regulation are zero.
(e) In determining the credit under subsection (b) to a Market Participant selected to provide Regulation in a Regulation Zone and that actively follows the Office of the Interconnection’s Regulation signals and instructions, the unit-specific opportunity cost of a generation resource shall be determined for (1) each Real-time Settlement Interval that the Office of the Interconnection requires a generation resource to provide Regulation, and (2) the last three Real-time Settlement Intervals of the preceding shoulder hour and the first three Real-time Settlement Intervals of the following shoulder hour in accordance with the PJM Manuals and below.

The unit-specific opportunity cost incurred during the Real-time Settlement Interval in which the Regulation obligation is fulfilled shall be equal to the product of (i) the deviation of the generation resource’s output necessary to follow the Office of the Interconnection’s Regulation signals from the generation resource’s expected output level if it had been dispatched in economic merit order times (ii) the absolute value of the difference between the Locational Marginal Price at the generation bus for the generation resource and the lesser of the available market-based or highest available cost-based energy offer from the generation resource (at the actual megawatt level of the resource when the actual megawatt level is within the tolerance defined in the PJM Manuals for the Regulation set point, or at the Regulation set point for the resource when it is not within the corresponding tolerance) in the PJM Interchange Energy Market. Opportunity costs for Demand Resources to provide Regulation are zero.

The unit-specific opportunity costs associated with uneconomic operation during each of the preceding three Real-time Settlement Intervals of the shoulder hour shall be equal to the product of (i) the deviation between the set point of the generation resource that is expected to be required in the initial regulating Real-time Settlement Interval in order to provide Regulation and the resource’s expected output in each of the preceding three Real-time Settlement Intervals of the shoulder hour times (ii) the absolute value of the difference between the Locational Marginal Price at the generation bus for the generation resource in each of the preceding three Real-time Settlement Intervals of the shoulder hour and the lesser of the available market-based or highest available cost-based energy offer from the generation resource (at the megawatt level of the Regulation set point for the resource in the initial regulating Real-time Settlement Interval) in the PJM Interchange Energy Market, all as determined by the Office of the Interconnection in accordance with procedures specified in the PJM Manuals.

The unit-specific opportunity costs associated with uneconomic operation during each of the following three Real-time Settlement Intervals of the shoulder hour shall be equal to the product of (i) the deviation between the set point of the generation resource that is expected to be required in the final regulating Real-time Settlement Interval in order to provide Regulation and the resource’s expected output in each of the following three Real-time Settlement Intervals of the shoulder hour times (ii) the absolute value of the difference between the Locational Marginal Price at the generation bus for the generation resource in each of the following three Real-time Settlement Intervals of the shoulder hour and the lesser of the available market-based or highest available cost-based energy offer from the generation resource (at the megawatt level of the Regulation set point for the resource in final regulating hour) in the PJM Interchange Energy Market all as determined by the Office of the Interconnection in accordance with procedures specified in the PJM Manuals.
Any amounts credited for Regulation in an hour in excess of the Regulation market-clearing price in that hour shall be allocated and charged to each Market Participant in a Regulation Zone that does not meet its hourly Regulation obligation in proportion to its purchases of Regulation in such Regulation Zone in megawatt-hours during that hour.

To determine the Regulation market performance-clearing price for each Regulation Zone, the Office of the Interconnection shall adjust the submitted performance offer for each resource in accordance with the historical performance of that resource, the amount of Regulation that resource will be dispatched based on the ratio of control signals calculated by the Office of the Interconnection, and the unit-specific benefits factor described in subsection (j) of this section for which that resource is qualified. The maximum adjusted performance offer of all cleared resources will set the Regulation market performance-clearing price.

The owner of each Regulation resource that actively follows the Office of the Interconnection’s Regulation signals and instructions, will be credited for Regulation performance by multiplying the assigned MW(s) by the Regulation market performance-clearing price, by the ratio between the requested mileage for the Regulation dispatch signal assigned to the Regulation resource and the Regulation dispatch signal assigned to traditional resources, and by the Regulation resource’s accuracy score calculated in accordance with subsection (k) of this section.

The Office of the Interconnection shall divide each Regulation resource’s capability offer by the unit-specific benefits factor described in subsection (j) of this section and divided by the historic accuracy score for the resource for the purposes of committing resources and setting the market clearing prices.

The Office of the Interconnection shall calculate the Regulation market capability-clearing price for each Regulation Zone by subtracting the Regulation market performance-clearing price described in subsection (g) from the total Regulation market clearing price described in subsection (c). This residual sets the Regulation market capability-clearing price for that market Real-time Settlement Interval.

The owner of each Regulation resource that actively follows the Office of the Interconnection’s Regulation signals and instructions will be credited for Regulation capability based on the assigned MW and the capability Regulation market-clearing price multiplied by the Regulation resource’s accuracy score calculated in accordance with subsection (k) of this section.

In accordance with the processes described in the PJM Manuals, the Office of the Interconnection shall: (i) calculate inter-temporal opportunity costs for each applicable resource; (ii) include such inter-temporal opportunity costs in each applicable resource’s offer to sell frequency Regulation service; and (iii) account for such inter-temporal opportunity costs in the Regulation market-clearing price.

The Office of the Interconnection shall calculate a unit-specific benefits factor for each of the dynamic Regulation signal and traditional Regulation signal in accordance with the PJM Manuals. Each resource shall be assigned a unit-specific benefits factor based on their
order in the merit order stack for the applicable Regulation signal. The unit-specific benefits factor is the point on the benefits factor curve that aligns with the last megawatt, adjusted by historical performance, that resource will add to the dynamic resource stack. Resources following the dynamic Regulation signal which have a unit-specific benefits factor less than 0.1 will not be considered for the purposes of committing resources. The unit-specific benefits factor for the traditional Regulation signal shall be equal to one.

(k) The Office of the Interconnection shall calculate each Regulation resource’s accuracy score. The accuracy score shall be the average of a delay score, correlation score, and energy score for each ten second interval. For purposes of setting the interval to be used for the correlation score and delay scores, PJM will use the maximum of the correlation score plus the delay score for each interval.

The Office of the Interconnection shall calculate the correlation score using the following statistical correlation function (r) that measures the delay in response between the Regulation signal and the resource change in output:

\[
\text{Correlation Score} = r_{\text{Signal,Response}(\delta, \delta+5 \text{ Min})};
\]

where \( \delta \) is delay.

The Office of the Interconnection shall calculate the delay score using the following equation:

\[
\text{Delay Score} = \text{Abs} \left( \frac{\delta - 5 \text{ Minutes}}{5 \text{ Minutes}} \right).
\]

The Office of the Interconnection shall calculate an energy score as a function of the difference in the energy provided versus the energy requested by the Regulation signal while scaling for the number of samples. The energy score is the absolute error (\( \varepsilon \)) as a function of the resource’s Regulation capacity using the following equations:

\[
\text{Energy Score} = 1 - \frac{1}{n} \sum \text{Abs (Error)};
\]

\[
\text{Error} = \text{Average of Abs} \left( \frac{\text{Response} - \text{Regulation Signal}}{\text{Hourly Average Regulation Signal}} \right); \text{ and}
\]

\[ n = \text{the number of samples in the hour and the energy}. \]

The Office of the Interconnection shall calculate an accuracy score for each Regulation resource that is the average of the delay score, correlation score, and energy score for a five-minute period using the following equation where the energy score, the delay score, and the correlation score are each weighted equally:

\[
\text{Accuracy Score} = \max \left( (\text{Delay Score}) + (\text{Correlation Score}) + (\text{Energy Score}) \right).
\]
The historic accuracy score will be based on a rolling average of the Real-time Settlement Interval accuracy scores, with consideration of the qualification score, as defined in the PJM Manuals.

3.2.2A Offer Price Caps.

3.2.2A.1 Applicability.

(a) Each hour, the Office of the Interconnection shall conduct a three-pivotal supplier test as described in this section. Regulation offers from Market Sellers that fail the three-pivotal supplier test shall be capped in the hour in which they failed the test at their cost based offers as determined pursuant to section 1.10.1A(e) of this Schedule. A Regulation supplier fails the three-pivotal supplier test in any hour in which such Regulation supplier and the two largest other Regulation suppliers are jointly pivotal.

(b) For the purposes of conducting the three-pivotal supplier test pursuant to this section, the following applies:

(i) The three-pivotal supplier test will include in the definition of available supply all offers from resources capable of satisfying the Regulation requirement of the PJM Region multiplied by the historic accuracy score of the resource and multiplied by the unit-specific benefits factor for which the capability cost-based offer plus the performance cost-based offer plus any eligible opportunity costs is no greater than 150 percent of the clearing price that would be calculated if all offers were limited to cost (plus eligible opportunity costs).

(ii) The three-pivotal supplier test will apply on a Regulation supplier basis (i.e. not a resource by resource basis) and only the Regulation suppliers that fail the three-pivotal supplier test will have their Regulation offers capped. A Regulation supplier for the purposes of this section includes corporate affiliates. Regulation from resources controlled by a Regulation supplier or its affiliates, whether by contract with unaffiliated third parties or otherwise, will be included as Regulation of that Regulation supplier. Regulation provided by resources owned by a Regulation supplier but controlled by an unaffiliated third party, whether by contract or otherwise, will be included as Regulation of that third party.

(iii) Each supplier shall be ranked from the largest to the smallest offered megawatt of eligible Regulation supply adjusted by the historic performance of each resource and the unit-specific benefits factor. Suppliers are then tested in order, starting with the three largest suppliers. For each iteration of the test, the two largest suppliers are combined with a third supplier, and the combined supply is subtracted from total effective supply. The resulting net amount of eligible supply is divided by the Regulation requirement for the hour to determine the residual supply index. Where the residual supply index for three pivotal suppliers is less than or equal to 1.0, then the three suppliers are jointly pivotal and the suppliers being tested fail the three pivotal supplier test. Iterations of the test continue until the combination of the two largest suppliers and
a third supplier result in a residual supply index greater than 1.0, at which point the remaining suppliers pass the test. Any resource owner that fails the three-pivotal supplier test will be offer-capped.

3.2.3 Operating Reserves.

(a) A Market Seller’s pool-scheduled resources capable of providing Operating Reserves shall be credited as specified below based on the applicable offer for the operation of such resource, provided that the resource was available for the entire time specified in the Offer Data for such resource. To the extent that Section 3.2.3A.01 of Schedule 1 of this Agreement does not meet the Day-ahead Scheduling Reserves Requirement, the Office of the Interconnection shall schedule additional Operating Reserves pursuant to Section 1.7.17 and 1.10 of Schedule 1 of this Agreement. In addition the Office of the Interconnection shall schedule Operating Reserves pursuant to those sections to satisfy any unforeseen Operating Reserve requirements that are not reflected in the Day-ahead Scheduling Reserves Requirement.

(b) The following determination shall be made for each pool-scheduled resource that is scheduled in the Day-ahead Energy Market: the total offered price for Start-up Costs and No-load Costs and energy, determined on the basis of the resource’s scheduled output, shall be compared to the total value of that resource’s energy – as determined by the Day-ahead Energy Market and the Day-ahead Prices applicable to the relevant generation bus in the Day-ahead Energy Market. PJM shall also (i) determine whether any resources were scheduled in the Day-ahead Energy Market to provide Black Start service, Reactive Services or transfer interface control during the Operating Day because they are known or expected to be needed to maintain system reliability in a Zone during the Operating Day in order to minimize the total cost of Operating Reserves associated with the provision of such services and reflect the most accurate possible expectation of real-time operating conditions in the day-ahead model, which resources would not have otherwise been committed in the day-ahead security-constrained dispatch and (ii) report on the day following the Operating Day the megawatt quantities scheduled in the Day-ahead Energy Market for the above-enumerated purposes for the entire RTO.

Except as provided in Section 3.2.3(n), if the total offered price for Start-up Costs (shutdown costs for Demand Resources) and No-load Costs and energy summed over all Day-ahead Settlement Intervals exceeds the total value summed over all Day-ahead Settlement Intervals, the difference shall be credited to the Market Seller as a day-ahead Operating Reserve credit.

However, for the Day-ahead Settlement Intervals in which the resource is scheduled to provide energy in the Operating Day and the resource actually provides energy in at least one Real-time Settlement Interval in an hour that corresponds to such scheduled Day-ahead Settlement Intervals, a resource’s day-ahead Operating Reserve credit shall be reduced by the greater of zero or the difference of the resource’s Day-ahead Operating Reserve Target and the Balancing Operating Reserve Target, as determined below.

A resource’s Day-ahead Operating Reserve Target shall be determined in accordance with the following equation:
(A + B) - C

Where:

A = Start-up Costs

B = the sum of day-ahead No-load Costs and energy over the applicable Real-time Settlement Intervals that correspond with Day-ahead Settlement Intervals in which the resource is scheduled. The day-ahead No-load Costs and energy are divided by twelve to determine the cost for each Real-time Settlement Interval.

C = the sum of the day-ahead revenues calculated for each Real-time Settlement Interval that corresponds with a Day-ahead Settlement Interval in which the resource is scheduled, where the day-ahead revenue for each such Real-time Settlement Interval equals the product of the megawatt amount of energy scheduled in the Day-ahead Energy Market and the Day-ahead Price at the applicable pricing point for the resource divided by twelve.

A resource’s Balancing Operating Reserve Target shall be determined in accordance with the following equation:

D – (E + F)

Where:

D = the sum of Start-up Costs and No-load Costs and the incremental cost of energy summed over all Real-time Settlement Intervals that correspond to the Day-ahead Settlement Intervals in which the resource was scheduled;

E = the product of [the megawatt amount of energy provided in the Real-time Energy Market minus the megawatt amount of energy scheduled in the Day-ahead Energy Market] multiplied by the Real-time Price at the applicable pricing point for the resource plus the sum of the day-ahead revenues as determined in part C of the above formula for determining the Day-ahead Operating Reserve Target, summed over the applicable Real-time Settlement Intervals; and

F = the sum of all revenues earned for providing Day-ahead Scheduling Reserves, Synchronized Reserves, Non-Synchronized Reserves, and Reactive Services over the applicable Real-time Settlement Intervals.

Market Sellers of Virtual Transactions, price sensitive demand, and dispatchable exports that clear in the day-ahead security constrained economic dispatch software program, known as the dispatch run, but would not clear at the Day-ahead Price shall be made whole to the offer that actually cleared in the dispatch run.
The Office of the Interconnection shall apply any balancing Operating Reserve credits allocated pursuant to this section 3.2.3(b) to real-time deviations or real-time load share plus exports, pursuant to Tariff, Attachment K-Appendix, section 3.2.3(p), depending on whether the balancing Operating Reserve credits are related to resources scheduled during the reliability analysis for an Operating Day, or during the actual Operating Day.

(i) For resources scheduled by the Office of the Interconnection during the reliability analysis for an Operating Day, the associated balancing Operating Reserve credits shall be allocated based on the reason the resource was scheduled according to the following provisions:

(A) If the Office of the Interconnection determines during the reliability analysis for an Operating Day that a resource was committed to operate in real-time to augment the physical resources committed in the Day-ahead Energy Market to meet the forecasted real-time load plus the Operating Reserve requirement, the associated balancing Operating Reserve credits, identified as RA Credits for Deviations, shall be allocated to real-time deviations.

(B) If the Office of the Interconnection determines during the reliability analysis for an Operating Day that a resource was committed to maintain system reliability, the associated balancing Operating Reserve credits, identified as RA Credits for Reliability, shall be allocated according to ratio share of real time load plus export transactions.

(C) If the Office of the Interconnection determines during the reliability analysis for an Operating Day that a resource with a day-ahead schedule is required to deviate from that schedule to provide balancing Operating Reserves, the associated balancing Operating Reserve credits shall be segmented and separately allocated pursuant to subsections 3.2.3(b)(i)(A) or 3.2.3(b)(i)(B) hereof. Balancing Operating Reserve credits for such resources will be identified in the same manner as units committed during the reliability analysis pursuant to subsections 3.2.3(b)(i)(A) and 3.2.3(b)(i)(B) hereof.

(ii) For resources scheduled during an Operating Day, the associated balancing Operating Reserve credits shall be allocated according to the following provisions:

(A) If the Office of the Interconnection directs a resource to operate during an Operating Day to provide balancing Operating Reserves, the associated balancing Operating Reserve credits, identified as RT Credits for Reliability, shall be allocated according to ratio share of load plus exports. The foregoing notwithstanding, credits will be applied pursuant to this section only if the LMP at the resource's bus does not meet or exceed the applicable offer of the resource for at least four 5-minute intervals during one or more discrete clock hours during each period the resource operated and produced MWs during the relevant Operating Day. If a resource operated and produced MWs for less than four 5-
minute intervals during one or more discrete clock hours during the relevant Operating Day, the credits for that resource during the hour it was operated less than four 5-minute intervals will be identified as being in the same category (RT Credits for Reliability or RT Credits for Deviations) as identified for the Operating Reserves for the other discrete clock hours.

(B) If the Office of the Interconnection directs a resource not covered by Section 3.2.3(b)(ii)(A) hereof to operate in real-time during an Operating Day, the associated balancing Operating Reserve credits, identified as RT Credits for Deviations, shall be allocated according to real-time deviations from day-ahead schedules.

(iii) PJM shall post on its Web site the aggregate amount of MWs committed that meet the criteria referenced in subsections (b)(i) and (b)(ii) hereof.

(c) The sum of the foregoing credits calculated in accordance with Section 3.2.3(b) plus any unallocated charges from Section 3.2.3(h) and 5.1.7, and any shortfalls paid pursuant to the Market Settlement provision of the Day-ahead Economic Load Response Program, shall be the cost of Operating Reserves in the Day-ahead Energy Market.

(d) The cost of Operating Reserves in the Day-ahead Energy Market shall be allocated and charged to each Market Participant in proportion to the sum of its (i) scheduled load (net of Behind The Meter Generation expected to be operating, but not to be less than zero) and accepted Decrement Bids in the Day-ahead Energy Market in megawatt-hours for that Operating Day; and (ii) scheduled energy sales in the Day-ahead Energy Market from within the PJM Region to load outside such region in megawatt-hours for that Operating Day, but not including its bilateral transactions that are Dynamic Transfers to load outside such area pursuant to Section 1.12, except to the extent PJM scheduled resources to provide Black Start service, Reactive Services or transfer interface control. The cost of Operating Reserves in the Day-ahead Energy Market for resources scheduled to provide Black Start service for the Operating Day which resources would not have otherwise been committed in the day-ahead security constrained dispatch shall be allocated by ratio share of the monthly transmission use of each Network Customer or Transmission Customer serving Zone Load or Non-Zone Load, as determined in accordance with the formulas contained in Schedule 6A of the PJM Tariff. The cost of Operating Reserves in the Day-ahead Energy Market for resources scheduled to provide Reactive Services or transfer interface control because they are known or expected to be needed to maintain system reliability in a Zone during the Operating Day and would not have otherwise been committed in the day-ahead security constrained dispatch shall be allocated and charged to each Market Participant in proportion to the sum of its real-time deliveries of energy to load (net of operating Behind The Meter Generation) in such Zone, served under Network Transmission Service, in megawatt-hours during that Operating Day, as compared to all such deliveries for all Market Participants in such Zone.

(e) At the end of each Operating Day, the following determination shall be made for each synchronized pool-scheduled resource of each Market Seller that operates as requested by the Office of the Interconnection. For each calendar day, pool-scheduled resources in the Real-
time Energy Market shall be made whole for each of the following Segments: 1) the greater of their day-ahead schedules and minimum run time specified at the time of commitment (minimum down time specified at the time of commitment for Demand Resources); and 2) any block of Real-time Settlement Intervals the resource operates at PJM’s direction in excess of the greater of its day-ahead schedule and minimum run time specified at the time of commitment (minimum down time specified at the time of commitment for Demand Resources). For each calendar day, and for each synchronized start of a generation resource or PJM-dispatched economic load reduction, there will be a maximum of two Segments for each resource. Segment 1 will be the greater of the day-ahead schedule and minimum run time specified at the time of commitment (minimum down time specified at the time of commitment for Demand Resources) and Segment 2 will include the remainder of the contiguous Real-time Settlement Intervals when the resource is operating at the direction of the Office of the Interconnection, provided that a segment is limited to the Operating Day in which it commenced and cannot include any part of the following Operating Day.

A Generation Capacity Resource that operates outside of its unit-specific parameters will not receive Operating Reserve Credits nor be made whole for such operation when not dispatched by the Office of the Interconnection, unless the Market Seller of the Generation Capacity Resource can justify to the Office of the Interconnection that operation outside of such unit-specific parameters was the result of an actual constraint. Such Market Seller shall provide to the Market Monitoring Unit and the Office of the Interconnection its request to receive Operating Reserve Credits and/or to be made whole for such operation, along with documentation explaining in detail the reasons for operating its resource outside of its unit-specific parameters, within thirty calendar days following the issuance of billing statement for the Operating Day. The Market Seller shall also respond to additional requests for information from the Market Monitoring Unit and the Office of the Interconnection. The Market Monitoring Unit shall evaluate such request for compensation and provide its determination of whether there was an exercise of market power to the Office of the Interconnection by no later than twenty-five calendar days after receiving the Market Seller’s request for compensation. The Office of the Interconnection shall make its determination whether the Market Seller justified that it is entitled to receive Operating Reserve Credits and/or be made whole for such operation of its resource for the day(s) in question, by no later than thirty calendar days after receiving the Market Seller’s request for compensation.

Credits received pursuant to this section shall be equal to the positive difference between a resource’s Total Operating Reserve Offer, and the total value of the resource’s energy in the Day-ahead Energy Market plus any credit or change for quantity deviations, at PJM dispatch direction (excluding quantity deviations caused by an increase in the Market Seller’s Real-time Offer), from the Day-ahead Energy Market during the Operating Day at the real-time LMP(s) applicable to the relevant generation bus in the Real-time Energy Market. The foregoing notwithstanding, credits for Segment 2 shall exclude start up (shutdown costs for Demand Resources) costs for generation resources.

Except as provided in Section 3.2.3(m), if the total offered price exceeds the total value, the difference less any credit as determined pursuant to Section 3.2.3(b), and less any amounts credited for Synchronized Reserve in excess of the Synchronized Reserve offer plus the
resource’s opportunity cost, and less any amounts credited for Non-Synchronized Reserve in excess of the Non-Synchronized Reserve offer plus the resource’s opportunity cost, and less any amounts credited for providing Reactive Services as specified in Section 3.2.3B, and less any amounts for Day-ahead Scheduling Reserve in excess of the Day-ahead Scheduling Reserve offer plus the resource’s opportunity cost, and less any credit as determined pursuant to Tariff, Attachment K-Appendix, section 3.2.3(e-1), shall be credited to the Market Seller.

Synchronized Reserve, Non-Synchronized Reserve, and Real-time Settlement Interval share of the Day-ahead Scheduling Reserve credits applied against Operating Reserve credits pursuant to this section shall be netted against the Operating Reserve credits earned in the corresponding Real-time Settlement Interval(s) in which the Synchronized Reserve, Non-Synchronized Reserve, and Day-ahead Scheduling Reserve credits accrued, provided that for condensing combustion turbines, Synchronized Reserve credits will be netted against the total Operating Reserve credits accrued during each Real-time Settlement Interval the unit operates in condensing and generation mode.

—— (e-1) (i) For each Real-time Settlement Interval in which a pool-scheduled resource or a dispatchable self-scheduled resource operates at the Office of the Interconnection’s direction in excess of its day-ahead schedule and the resource’s expected output level based on its resource parameters at the Real-time Price at the applicable pricing point is less than the real-time output level directed by the Office of the Interconnection, the Market Seller of such resource shall receive credits in accordance with the following equation:

\[ A - [(B - C) \times D] \]

Where:

A = the resource’s Real-time Energy Market offer integrated under the Final Offer between (1) the greater of the resource’s day-ahead schedule and the resource’s expected output level based on its resource parameters at the Real-time Price at the applicable pricing point and (2) the lesser of the real-time output level directed by the Office of the Interconnection and the resource’s actual output level;

B = the lesser of the real-time output level directed by the Office of the Interconnection and the resource’s actual output level;

C = the greater of the resource’s day-ahead schedule and the resource’s expected output level based on its resource parameters at the Real-time Price at the applicable pricing point; and

D = the Real-time Price at the applicable pricing point.

(ii) For each hour in an Operating Day, the total cost of any credits paid pursuant to this subsection (e-1) shall be allocated and charged to each Market Participant in proportion to the sum of its (i) deliveries of energy to load ((a) net of operating Behind The Meter Generation, but not to be less than zero; and (b) excluding Direct Charging Energy) in the
PJM Region, served under Network Transmission Service, in megawatt-hours; and (ii) deliveries of energy sales from within the PJM Region to load outside such region in megawatt-hours but not including its bilateral transactions that are Dynamic Transfers to load outside the PJM Region pursuant to Tariff, Attachment K-Appendix, section 1.12, as compared to the sum of all such deliveries for all Market Participants.

(f) A Market Seller of a unit not defined in subsection (f-1), (f-2), or (f-4) hereof (or self-scheduled, if operating according to Section 1.10.3 (c) hereof), the output of which is reduced or suspended at the request of the Office of the Interconnection due to a transmission constraint or other reliability issue, and for which the real-time LMP at the unit’s bus is higher than the unit’s offer corresponding to the level of output requested by the Office of the Interconnection (as indicated either by the desired MWs of output from the unit determined by PJM’s unit dispatch system or as directed by the PJM dispatcher through a manual override), shall be credited for each Real-time Settlement Interval in an amount equal to the product of (A) the deviation of the generating unit’s output necessary to follow the Office of the Interconnection’s signals and the generating unit’s expected output level if it had been dispatched in economic merit order, times (B) the Locational Marginal Price at the generation bus for the generating unit, minus (C) the Total Lost Opportunity Cost Offer, provided that the resulting outcome is greater than $0.00. This equation is represented as \((A*B) - C\).

(f-1) With the exception of Market Sellers of Flexible Resources that submit a Real-time Offer greater than their resource’s Committed Offer in the Day-ahead Energy Market, a Market Seller of a Flexible Resource shall be compensated for lost opportunity cost, and shall be limited to the lesser of the unit’s Economic Maximum or the unit’s Generation Resource Maximum Output, if either of the following conditions occur:

(i) if the unit output is reduced at the direction of the Office of the Interconnection and the real time LMP at the unit’s bus is higher than the unit’s offer corresponding to the level of output requested by the Office of the Interconnection (as directed by the PJM dispatcher), then the Market Seller shall be credited in a manner consistent with that described in section 3.2.3 (f).

(ii) If the unit is scheduled to produce energy in the Day-ahead Energy Market for a Day-ahead Settlement Interval, but the unit is not called on by the Office of the Interconnection and does not operate in the corresponding Real-time Settlement Interval(s), then the Market Seller shall be credited in an amount equal to the higher of:

1) the product of (A) the amount of megawatts committed in the Day-ahead Energy Market for the generating unit, and (B) the Real-time Price at the generation bus for the generating unit, minus the sum of (C) the Total Lost Opportunity Cost Offer plus No-load Costs, plus (D) the Start-up Cost, divided by the Real-time Settlement Intervals committed for each set of contiguous hours for which the unit was scheduled in Day-ahead Energy Market. This equation is represented as \((A*B) - \)
(C+D). The startup cost, (D), shall be excluded from this
calculation if the unit operates in real time following the Office
of the Interconnection’s direction during any portion of the set
of contiguous hours for which the unit was scheduled in Day-
ahead Energy Market, or

2) the Real-time Price at the unit’s bus minus the Day-ahead Price
at the unit’s bus, multiplied by the number of megawatts
committed in the Day-ahead Energy Market for the generating
unit.

Market Sellers of Flexible Resources that submit a Real-time Offer greater than their resource’s
Committed Offer in the Day-ahead Energy Market shall not be eligible to receive compensation
for lost opportunity costs under any applicable provisions of Schedule 1 of this Agreement.

(f-2) A Market Seller of a hydroelectric resource that is pool-scheduled (or self-
scheduled, if operating according to Section 1.10.3 (c) hereof), the output of which is altered at
the request of the Office of the Interconnection from the schedule submitted by the owner, due to
a transmission constraint or other reliability issue, shall be compensated for lost opportunity cost
in the same manner as provided in sections 3.2.2(d) and 3.2.3A(f) and further detailed in the
PJM Manuals.

(f-3) If a Market Seller believes that, due to specific pre-existing binding commitments
to which it is a party, and that properly should be recognized for purposes of this section, the
above calculations do not accurately compensate the Market Seller for opportunity cost
associated with following PJM dispatch instructions and reducing or suspending a unit’s output
due to a transmission constraint or other reliability issue, then the Office of the Interconnection,
the Market Monitoring Unit and the individual Market Seller will discuss a mutually acceptable,
modified amount of opportunity cost compensation, taking into account the specific
circumstances binding on the Market Seller. Following such discussion, if the Office of the
Interconnection accepts a modified amount of opportunity cost compensation, the Office of the
Interconnection shall invoice the Market Seller accordingly. If the Market Monitoring Unit
disagrees with the modified amount of opportunity cost compensation, as accepted by the Office
of the Interconnection, it will exercise its powers to inform the Commission staff of its concerns.

(f-4) A Market Seller of a wind generating unit that is pool-scheduled or self-scheduled,
has SCADA capability to transmit and receive instructions from the Office of the
Interconnection, has provided data and established processes to follow PJM basepoints pursuant
to the requirements for wind generating units as further detailed in this Agreement, the Tariff and
the PJM Manuals, and which is operating as requested by the Office of the Interconnection, the
output of which is reduced or suspended at the request of the Office of the Interconnection due to
a transmission constraint or other reliability issue, and for which the , real-time LMP at the unit’s
bus is higher than the unit’s offer corresponding to the level of output requested by the Office of
the Interconnection (as indicated either by the desired MWs of output from the unit determined
by PJM’s unit dispatch system or as directed by the PJM dispatcher through a manual override),
shall be credited for each Real-time Settlement Interval in an amount equal to the product of (A)
the deviation of the generating unit’s output necessary to follow the Office of the Interconnection’s signals and the generating unit’s expected output level if it had been dispatched in economic merit order, times (B) the Real-time Price at the generation bus for the generating unit, minus (C) the Total Lost Opportunity Cost Offer, provided that the resulting outcome is greater than $0.00. This equation is represented as (A*B) - C.

(f-5)  (i) A Market Seller of a pool-scheduled resource or a dispatchable self-scheduled resource shall receive Dispatch Differential Lost Opportunity Cost credits as calculated under subsection (iv) below if the resource is dispatched to provide energy in the Real-time Energy Market, provided such resource is not committed to provide real-time ancillary services (Regulation, reserves, reactive service) or instructed to reduce or suspend output due to a transmission constraint or other reliability issue pursuant to Tariff, Attachment K-Appendix, section 3.2.3(f-1) through Tariff, Attachment K-Appendix, section (f-4).

(ii) PJM will calculate the revenue above cost for the pricing run for each Real-time Settlement Interval in accordance with the following equation:

\[( A \times B ) - C\]

Where:

A = the resource’s expected output level based on its resource parameters at the Real-time Price at the applicable pricing point;

B = the Real-time Price at the applicable pricing point; and

C = the sum of the resource’s Real-time Energy Market offer integrated under the Final Offer for the resource’s expected output level based on its resource parameters at the Real-time Price at the applicable pricing point.

(iii) PJM will calculate the revenue above cost for the dispatch run for each Real-time Settlement Interval in accordance with the following equation:

\[\text{greater of } A \text{ and } B - \text{lesser of } C \text{ and } D\]

Where:

A = the product of the amount of megawatts of energy dispatched in the Real-time Energy Market dispatch run for the resource in that Real-time Settlement Interval and the Real-time Price at the applicable pricing point;

B = the product of the amount of megawatts of energy the resource actually provided in that Real-time Settlement Interval and the Real-time Price at the applicable pricing point;
C = the resource’s Real-time Energy Market offer integrated under the Final Offer for the amount of megawatts dispatched in the Real-time Energy Market dispatch run;

D = the resource’s Real-time Energy Market offer integrated under the Final Offer for the amount of megawatts the resource actually provided in that Real-time Settlement Interval.

(iv) The Dispatch Differential Lost Opportunity Cost credit shall equal the greater of (A) the difference between the revenue above cost based on the pricing run determined in subsection (f-5)(ii) and the revenue above cost based on the dispatch run determined in subsection (f-5)(iii) or (B) zero.

(v) For each hour in an Operating Day, the total cost of the Dispatch Differential Lost Opportunity Cost credits shall be allocated and charged to each Market Participant in proportion to the sum of its (i) deliveries of energy to load ((a) net of operating Behind The Meter Generation, but not to be less than zero; and (b) excluding Direct Charging Energy) in the PJM Region, served under Network Transmission Service, in megawatt-hours; and (ii) deliveries of energy sales from within the PJM Region to load outside such region in megawatt-hours but not including its bilateral transactions that are Dynamic Transfers to load outside the PJM Region pursuant to Tariff, Attachment K-Appendix, section 1.12, as compared to the sum of all such deliveries for all Market Participants.

(g) The sum of the foregoing credits in Tariff, Attachment K-Appendix, section 3.2.3(f-1) through Tariff, Attachment K-Appendix, section 3.2.3(f-4), plus any cancellation fees paid in accordance with Section 1.10.2(d), such cancellation fees to be applied to the Operating Day for which the unit was scheduled, plus any shortfalls paid pursuant to the Market Settlement provision of the real-time Economic Load Response Program, less any payments received from another Control Area for Operating Reserves shall be the cost of Operating Reserves for the Real-time Energy Market in each Operating Day.

(h) The cost of Operating Reserves for the Real-time Energy Market for each Operating Day, except those associated with the scheduling of units for Black Start service or testing of Black Start Units as provided in Schedule 6A of the PJM Tariff, shall be allocated and charged to each Market Participant based on their daily total of hourly deviations determined in accordance with the following equation:

\[ \sum h (A + B + C) \]

Where:

\( h = \) the hours in the applicable Operating Day;

\( A = \) For each Real-time Settlement Interval in an hour, the sum of the absolute value of the withdrawal deviations (in MW) between the quantities scheduled in the Day-ahead Energy Market and the Market Participant’s energy withdrawals (net of operating Behind
The Meter Generation) in the Real-Time Energy Market, except as noted in subsection (h)(ii) below and in the PJM Manuals divided by the number of Real-time Settlement Intervals for that hour. The summation of each Real-time Settlement Interval’s withdrawal deviation in an hour will be the Market Participant’s total hourly withdrawal deviations. Market Participant bilateral transactions that are Dynamic Transfers to load outside the PJM Region pursuant to section 1.12 of this Schedule are not included in the determination of withdrawal deviations;

\[ B = \text{For each Real-time Settlement Interval in an hour, the sum of the absolute value of generation deviations (in MW and not including deviations in Behind The Meter Generation) as determined in subsection (o) divided by the number of Real-Time Settlement Intervals for that hour;} \]

\[ C = \text{For each Real-time Settlement Interval in an hour, the sum of the absolute value of the injection deviations (in MW) between the quantities scheduled in the Day-ahead Energy Market and the Market Participant’s energy injections in the Real-Time Energy Market divided by the number of Real-time Settlement Intervals for that hour. The summation of the injection deviations for each Real-time Settlement Interval in an hour will be the Market Participant’s total hourly injection deviations. The determination of injection deviations does not include generation resources.} \]

The Revenue Data for Settlements determined for each Real-time Settlement Interval in accordance with section 3.1A of this Schedule shall be used in determining the real-time withdrawal deviations, generation deviations and injection deviations used to calculate Operating Reserve under this subsection (e).

The costs associated with scheduling of units for Black Start service or testing of Black Start Units shall be allocated by ratio share of the monthly transmission use of each Network Customer or Transmission Customer serving Zone Load or Non-Zone Load, as determined in accordance with the formulas contained in Schedule 6A of the PJM Tariff.

Notwithstanding section (h)(1) above, as more fully set forth in the PJM Manuals, load deviations from the Day-ahead Energy Market shall not be assessed Operating Reserves charges to the extent attributable to reductions in the load of Price Responsive Demand that is in response to an increase in Locational Marginal Price from the Day-ahead Energy Market to the Real-time Energy Market and that is in accordance with a properly submitted PRD Curve.

Deviations that occur within a single Zone shall be associated with the Eastern or Western Region, as defined in Section 3.2.3(q) of this Schedule, and shall be subject to the regional balancing Operating Reserve rate determined in accordance with Section 3.2.3(q). Deviations at a hub shall be associated with the Eastern or Western Region if all the buses that define the hub are located in the region. Deviations at an Interface Pricing Point shall be associated with whichever region, the Eastern or Western Region, with which the majority of the buses that define that Interface Pricing Point are most closely electrically associated. If deviations at interfaces and hubs are associated with the Eastern or Western region, they shall be subject to the regional balancing Operating Reserve rate. Demand and supply deviations shall be based on
total activity in a Zone, including all aggregates and hubs defined by buses that are wholly contained within the same Zone.

The foregoing notwithstanding, netting deviations shall be allowed for each Real-time Settlement Interval in accordance with the following provisions:

(i) Generation resources with multiple units located at a single bus shall be able to offset deviations in accordance with the PJM Manuals to determine the net deviation MW at the relevant bus.

(ii) Demand deviations will be assessed by comparing all day-ahead demand transactions at a single transmission zone, hub, or interface against the real-time demand transactions at that same transmission zone, hub, or interface; except that the positive values of demand deviations, as set forth in the PJM Manuals, will not be assessed Operating Reserve charges in the event of a Primary Reserve or Synchronized Reserve shortage in real-time or where PJM initiates the request for emergency load reductions in real-time in order to avoid a Primary Reserve or Synchronized Reserve shortage.

(iii) Supply deviations will be assessed by comparing all day-ahead transactions at a single transmission zone, hub, or interface against the real-time transactions at that same transmission zone, hub, or interface.

(iv) Bilateral transactions inside the PJM Region, as defined in Operating Agreement, Schedule 1, section 1.7.10, will not be included in the determination of Supply or Demand deviations.

(i) At the end of each Operating Day, Market Sellers shall be credited on the basis of their offered prices for synchronous condensing for purposes other than providing Synchronized Reserve or Reactive Services, as well as the credits calculated as specified in Section 3.2.3(b) for those generators committed solely for the purpose of providing synchronous condensing for purposes other than providing Synchronized Reserve or Reactive Services, at the request of the Office of the Interconnection.

(j) The sum of the foregoing credits as specified in Section 3.2.3(i) shall be the cost of Operating Reserves for synchronous condensing for the PJM Region for purposes other than providing Synchronized Reserve or Reactive Services, or in association with post-contingency operation for the Operating Day and shall be separately determined for the PJM Region.

(k) The cost of Operating Reserves for synchronous condensing for purposes other than providing Synchronized Reserve or Reactive Services, or in association with post-contingency operation for each Operating Day shall be allocated and charged to each Market Participant in proportion to the sum of its (i) deliveries of energy to load (net of operating Behind The Meter Generation, but not to be less than zero) in the PJM Region, served under Network Transmission Service, in megawatt-hours during that Operating Day; and (ii) deliveries of energy sales from within the PJM Region to load outside such region in megawatt-hours during that Operating Day, but not including its bilateral transactions that are Dynamic Transfers to load
outside the PJM Region pursuant to Section 1.12, as compared to the sum of all such deliveries for all Market Participants.

(l) For any Operating Day in either, as applicable, the Day-ahead Energy Market or the Real-time Energy Market for which, for all or any part of such Operating Day, the Office of the Interconnection: (i) declares a Maximum Generation Emergency; (ii) issues an alert that a Maximum Generation Emergency may be declared (“Maximum Generation Emergency Alert”); or (iii) schedules units based on the anticipation of a Maximum Generation Emergency or a Maximum Generation Emergency Alert, the Operating Reserves credit otherwise provided by Section 3.2.3(b) or Section 3.2.3(e) in connection with market-based offers shall be limited as provided in subsections (n) or (m), respectively. The Office of the Interconnection shall provide timely notice on its internet site of the commencement and termination of any of the actions described in subsection (i), (ii), or (iii) of this subsection (l) (collectively referred to as “MaxGen Conditions”). Following the posting of notice of the commencement of a MaxGen Condition, a Market Seller may elect to submit a cost-based offer in accordance with Schedule 2 of the Operating Agreement, in which case subsections (m) and (n) shall not apply to such offer; provided, however, that such offer must be submitted in accordance with the deadlines in Section 1.10 for the submission of offers in the Day-ahead Energy Market or Real-time Energy Market, as applicable. Submission of a cost-based offer under such conditions shall not be precluded by Section 1.9.7(b); provided, however, that the Market Seller must return to compliance with Section 1.9.7(b) when it submits its bid for the first Operating Day after termination of the MaxGen Condition.

(m) For the Real-time Energy Market, if the Effective Offer Price (as defined below) for a market-based offer is greater than $1,000/MWh and greater than the Market Seller’s lowest available and applicable cost-based offer, the Market Seller shall not receive any credit for Operating Reserves. For purposes of this subsection (m), the Effective Offer Price shall be the amount that, absent subsections (l) and (m), would have been credited for Operating Reserves for such Operating Day pursuant to Section 3.2.3(e) plus the Real-time Energy Market revenues for the Real-time Settlement Intervals that the offer is economic divided by the megawatt hours of energy provided during the Real-time Settlement Intervals that the offer is economic. The Real-time Settlement Intervals that the offer is economic shall be: (i) the Real-time Settlement Intervals that the offer price for energy is less than or equal to the Real-time Price for the relevant generation bus, (ii) the Real-time Settlement Intervals in which the offer for energy is greater than Locational Marginal Price and the unit is operated at the direction of the Office of the Interconnection that are in addition to any Real-time Settlement Intervals required due to the minimum run time or other operating constraint of the unit, and (iii) for any unit with a minimum run time of one hour or less and with more than one start available per day, any hours the unit operated at the direction of the Office of the Interconnection.

(n) For the Day-ahead Energy Market, if notice of a MaxGen Condition is provided prior to 11:00 a.m. on the day before the Operating Day for which transactions are being scheduled and the Effective Offer Price for a market-based offer is greater than $1,000/MWh and greater than the Market Seller’s lowest available and applicable cost-based offer, the Market Seller shall not receive any credit for Operating Reserves. If notice of a MaxGen Condition is provided after 11:00 a.m. on the day before the Operating Day for which transactions are being
scheduled and the Effective Offer Price is greater than $1,000/MWh, the Market Seller shall receive credit for Operating Reserves determined in accordance with Section 3.2.3(b), subject to the limit on total compensation stated below. If the Effective Offer Price is less than or equal to $1,000/MWh, regardless of when notice of a MaxGen Condition is provided, the Market Seller shall receive credit for Operating Reserves determined in accordance with Section 3.2.3(b), subject to the limit on total compensation stated below. For purposes of this subsection (n), the Effective Offer Price shall be the amount that, absent subsections (l) and (n), would have been credited for Operating Reserves for such Operating Day divided by the megawatt hours of energy offered during the Specified Hours, plus the offer for energy during such hours. The Specified Hours shall be the lesser of: (1) the minimum run hours stated by the Market Seller in its Offer Data; and (2) either (i) for steam-electric generating units and for combined-cycle units when such units are operating in combined-cycle mode, the six consecutive hours of highest Day-ahead Price during such Operating Day when such units are running or (ii) for combustion turbine units and for combined-cycle units when such units are operating in combustion turbine mode, the two consecutive hours of highest Day-ahead Price during such Operating Day when such units are running. Notwithstanding any other provision in this subsection, the total compensation to a Market Seller on any Operating Day that includes a MaxGen Condition shall not exceed $1,000/MWh during the Specified Hours, where such total compensation in each such hour is defined as the amount that, absent subsections (l) and (n), would have been credited for Operating Reserves for such Operating Day pursuant to Section 3.2.3(b) divided by the Specified Hours, plus the Day-ahead Price for such hour, and no Operating Reserves payments shall be made for any other hour of such Operating Day. If a unit operates in real time at the direction of the Office of the Interconnection consistently with its day-ahead clearing, then subsection (m) does not apply.

(o) Dispatchable pool-scheduled generation resources and dispatchable self-scheduled generation resources that follow dispatch shall not be assessed balancing Operating Reserve deviations. Pool-scheduled generation resources and dispatchable self-scheduled generation resources that do not follow dispatch shall be assessed balancing Operating Reserve deviations in accordance with the calculations described below and in the PJM Manuals.

The Office of the Interconnection shall calculate a ramp-limited desired MW value for generation resources where the economic minimum and economic maximum are at least as far apart in real-time as they are in day-ahead according to the following parameters:

(i) real-time economic minimum <= 105% of day-ahead economic minimum or day-ahead economic minimum plus 5 MW, whichever is greater.

(ii) real-time economic maximum >= 95% day-ahead economic maximum or day-ahead economic maximum minus 5 MW, whichever is lower.

The ramp-limited desired MW value for a generation resource shall be equal to:

$$\text{Ramp}_{\text{Request}}_t = \frac{\text{UDStarget}_{t-1} - \text{AOutput}_{t-1}}{\text{UDSLAtime}_{t-1}}$$

$$\text{RL}_{\text{Desired}}_t = \text{AOutput}_{t-1} \left( \text{Ramp}_{\text{Request}}_t \ast \text{Case\_Eff\_time}_t \right)$$
where:

1. UDStarget = UDS basepoint for the previous UDS case
2. AOutput = Unit’s output at case solution time
3. UDSLAtime = UDS look ahead time
4. Case_Eff_time = Time between base point changes
5. RL_Desired = Ramp-limited desired MW

To determine if a generation resource is following dispatch the Office of the Interconnection shall determine the unit’s MW off dispatch and % off dispatch by using the lesser of the difference between the actual output and the UDS Basepoint or the actual output and ramp-limited desired MW value for each Real-time Settlement Interval. If the UDS Basepoint and the ramp-limited desired MW for the resource are unavailable, the Office of the Interconnection will determine the unit’s MW off dispatch and % off dispatch by calculating the lesser of the difference between the actual output and the UDS LMP Desired MW for each Real-time Settlement Interval.

A pool-scheduled or dispatchable self-scheduled resource is considered to be following dispatch if its actual output is between its ramp-limited desired MW value and UDS Basepoint, or if its % off dispatch is <= 10, or its Real-time Settlement Interval MWh is within 5% of the Real-time Settlement Interval ramp-limited desired MW. A self-scheduled generator must also be dispatched above economic minimum. The degree of deviations for resources that are not following dispatch shall be determined for each Real-time Settlement Interval in accordance with the following provisions:

- A dispatchable self-scheduled resource that is not dispatched above economic minimum shall be assessed balancing Operating Reserve deviations according to the following formula: Real-time Settlement Interval MWh – Day-Ahead MWh.
- A resource that is dispatchable day-ahead but is Fixed Gen in real-time shall be assessed balancing Operating Reserve deviations according to the following formula: Real-time Settlement Interval MWh – UDS LMP Desired MW.
- Pool-scheduled generators that are not following dispatch shall be assessed balancing Operating Reserve deviations according to the following formula: Real-time Settlement Interval MWh – Ramp-Limited Desired MW.
- If a resource’s real-time economic minimum is greater than its day-ahead economic minimum by 5% or 5 MW, whichever is greater, or its real-time economic maximum is less than its Day Ahead economic maximum by 5% or 5 MW, whichever is lower, and UDS LMP Desired MWh for the Real-time Settlement Interval is either below the real time economic minimum or above the real time economic maximum, then balancing Operating Reserve deviations for the resource shall be assessed according
to the following formula: Real time Settlement Interval MWh – UDS LMP Desired MWh.

- If a resource is not following dispatch and its % Off Dispatch is <= 20%, balancing Operating Reserve deviations shall be assessed according to the following formula: Real-time Settlement Interval MWh – Ramp-Limited Desired MW. If deviation value is within 5% of Ramp-Limited Desired MW, balancing Operating Reserve deviations shall not be assessed.

- If a resource is not following dispatch and its % Off Dispatch is > 20%, balancing Operating Reserve deviations shall be assessed according to the following formula: Real time Settlement Interval MWh – UDS LMP Desired MWh.

- If a resource is not following dispatch, and the resource has tripped, for the Real-time Settlement Interval the resource tripped and the Real-time Settlement Intervals it remains offline throughout its day-ahead schedule balancing Operating Reserve deviations shall be assessed according to the following formula: Real time Settlement Interval MWh – Day-Ahead MWh.

- For resources that are not dispatchable in both the Day-Ahead and Real-time Energy Markets balancing Operating Reserve deviations shall be assessed according to the following formula: Real-time Settlement Interval MWh - Day-Ahead MWh.

If a resource has a sum of the absolute value of generator deviations for an hour that is less than 5 MWh, then the resource shall not be assessed balancing Operating Reserve deviations for that hour.

(o-1) Dispatchable economic load reduction resources that follow dispatch shall not be assessed balancing Operating Reserve deviations. Economic load reduction resources that do not follow dispatch shall be assessed balancing Operating Reserve deviations as described in this subsection and as further specified in the PJM Manuals.

The Desired MW quantity for such resources for each hour shall be the hourly integrated MW quantity to which the load reduction resource was dispatched for each hour (where the hourly integrated value is the average of the dispatched values as determined by the Office of the Interconnection for the resource for each hour).

If the actual reduction quantity for the load reduction resource for a given hour deviates by no more than 20% above or below the Desired MW quantity, then no balancing Operating Reserve deviation will accrue for that hour. If the actual reduction quantity for the load reduction resource for a given hour is outside the 20% bandwidth, the balancing Operating Reserve deviations will accrue for that hour in the amount of the absolute value of (Desired MW – actual reduction quantity). For those hours where the actual reduction quantity is within the 20% bandwidth specified above, the load reduction resource will be eligible to be made whole for the total value of its offer as defined in section 3.3A of this Appendix. Hours for which the actual reduction quantity is outside the 20% bandwidth will not be eligible for the make-whole
payment. If at least one hour is not eligible for make-whole payment based on the 20% criteria, then the resource will also not be made whole for its shutdown cost.

(p) The Office of the Interconnection shall allocate the charges assessed pursuant to Section 3.2.3(h) of Schedule 1 of this Agreement except those associated with the scheduling of units for Black Start service or testing of Black Start Units as provided in Schedule 6A of the PJM Tariff, to real-time deviations from day-ahead schedules or real-time load share plus exports depending on whether the underlying balancing Operating Reserve credits are related to resources scheduled during the reliability analysis for an Operating Day, or during the actual Operating Day.

(i) For resources scheduled by the Office of the Interconnection during the reliability analysis for an Operating Day, the associated balancing Operating Reserve charges shall be allocated based on the reason the resource was scheduled according to the following provisions:

(A) If the Office of the Interconnection determines during the reliability analysis for an Operating Day that a resource was committed to operate in real-time to augment the physical resources committed in the Day-ahead Energy Market to meet the forecasted real-time load plus the Operating Reserve requirement, the associated balancing Operating Reserve charges shall be allocated to real-time deviations from day-ahead schedules.

(B) If the Office of the Interconnection determines during the reliability analysis for an Operating Day that a resource was committed to maintain system reliability, the associated balancing Operating Reserve charges shall be allocated according to ratio share of real time load plus export transactions.

(C) If the Office of the Interconnection determines during the reliability analysis for an Operating Day that a resource with a day-ahead schedule is required to deviate from that schedule to provide balancing Operating Reserves, the associated balancing Operating Reserve charges shall be allocated pursuant to (A) or (B) above.

(ii) For resources scheduled during an Operating Day, the associated balancing Operating Reserve charges shall be allocated according to the following provisions:

(A) If the Office of the Interconnection directs a resource to operate during an Operating Day to provide balancing Operating Reserves, the associated balancing Operating Reserve charges shall be allocated according to ratio share of load plus exports. The foregoing notwithstanding, charges will be assessed pursuant to this section only if the LMP at the resource’s bus does not meet or exceed the applicable offer of the resource for at least four-5-minute intervals during one or more discrete clock hours during each period the resource operated
and produced MWs during the relevant Operating Day. If a resource operated and produced MWs for less than four 5-minute intervals during one or more discrete clock hours during the relevant Operating Day, the charges for that resource during the hour it was operated less than four 5-minute intervals will be identified as being in the same category as identified for the Operating Reserves for the other discrete clock hours.

(B) If the Office of the Interconnection directs a resource not covered by Section 3.2.3(h)(ii)(A) of Schedule 1 of this Agreement to operate in real-time during an Operating Day, the associated balancing Operating Reserve charges shall be allocated according to real-time deviations from day-ahead schedules.

(q) The Office of the Interconnection shall determine regional balancing Operating Reserve rates for the Western and Eastern Regions of the PJM Region. For the purposes of this section, the Western Region shall be the AEP, APS, ComEd, Duquesne, Dayton, ATSI, DEOK, EKPC, OVEC transmission Zones, and the Eastern Region shall be the AEC, BGE, Dominion, PENELEC, PEPCO, ME, PPL, JCPL, PECO, DPL, PSEG, RE transmission Zones. The regional balancing Operating Reserve rates shall be determined in accordance with the following provisions:

(i) The Office of the Interconnection shall calculate regional adder rates for the Eastern and Western Regions. Regional adder rates shall be equal to the total balancing Operating Reserve credits paid to generators for transmission constraints that occur on transmission system capacity equal to or less than 345kv. The regional adder rates shall be separated into reliability and deviation charges, which shall be allocated to real-time load or real-time deviations, respectively. Whether the underlying credits are designated as reliability or deviation charges shall be determined in accordance with Section 3.2.3(p).

(ii) The Office of the Interconnection shall calculate RTO balancing Operating Reserve rates. RTO balancing Operating Reserve rates shall be equal to balancing Operating Reserve credits except those associated with the scheduling of units for Black Start service or testing of Black Start Units as provided in Schedule 6A of the PJM Tariff, in excess of the regional adder rates calculated pursuant to Section 3.2.3(q)(i) of Schedule 1 of this Agreement. The RTO balancing Operating Reserve rates shall be separated into reliability and deviation charges, which shall be allocated to real-time load or real-time deviations, respectively. Whether the underlying credits are allocated as reliability or deviation charges shall be determined in accordance with Section 3.2.3(p).

(iii) Reliability and deviation regional balancing Operating Reserve rates shall be determined by summing the relevant RTO balancing Operating Reserve rates and regional adder rates.

(iv) If the Eastern and/or Western Regions do not have regional adder rates, the relevant regional balancing Operating Reserve rate shall be the reliability and/or deviation RTO balancing Operating Reserve rate.
(r) Market Sellers that incur incremental operating costs for a generation resource that are either greater than $1,000/MWh as determined in accordance with the Market Seller’s PJM-approved Fuel Cost Policy, Schedule 2 of the Operating Agreement and PJM Manual 15, but are not verified at the time of dispatch of the resource under section 6.4.3 of this Schedule, or greater than $2,000/MWh as determined in accordance with the Market Seller’s PJM-approved Fuel Cost Policy, Schedule 2 of the Operating Agreement, and PJM Manual 15, will be eligible to receive credit for Operating Reserves upon review of the Market Monitoring Unit and the Office of the Interconnection, and approval of the Office of the Interconnection. Market Sellers must submit to the Office of the Interconnection and the Market Monitoring Unit all relevant documentation demonstrating the calculation of costs greater than $2,000/MWh, and costs greater than $1,000/MWh which were not verified at the time of dispatch of the resource under section 6.4.3 of this Schedule. The Office of the Interconnection must approve any Operating Reserve credits paid to a Market Seller under this subsection (r).

3.2.3A Synchronized Reserve.

(a) Each Market Participant that is a Load Serving Entity that is not part of an agreement to share reserves with external entities subject to the requirements in BAL-002 shall have an obligation for hourly Synchronized Reserve equal to its pro rata share of Synchronized Reserve requirements for the hour for each Reserve Zone and Reserve Sub-zone of the PJM Region, based on the Market Participant’s total load (net of operating Behind The Meter Generation, but not to be less than zero) in such Reserve Zone or Reserve Sub-zone for the hour (“Synchronized Reserve Obligation”), less any amount obtained from condensers associated with provision of Reactive Services as described in section 3.2.3B(i) and any amount obtained from condensers associated with post-contingency operations, as described in section 3.2.3C(b). Those entities that participate in an agreement to share reserves with external entities subject to the requirements in BAL-002 shall have their reserve obligations determined based on the stipulations in such agreement. A Market Participant with an hourly Synchronized Reserve Obligation shall be charged the pro rata share of the sum of the quantity of Synchronized Reserves provided in each Real-time Settlement Interval times the clearing price for all Real-time Settlement Intervals in the hour associated with that obligation.

(b) A resource supplying Synchronized Reserve at the direction of the Office of the Interconnection, in excess of its hourly Synchronized Reserve Obligation, shall be credited as follows:

i) Credits for Synchronized Reserve provided by generation resources that are then subject to the energy dispatch signals and instructions of the Office of the Interconnection and that increase their current output or Demand Resources that reduce their load in response to a Synchronized Reserve Event (“Tier 1 Synchronized Reserve”) shall be at the Synchronized Energy Premium Price, as described in 3.2.3A (c), with the exception of those Real-time Settlement Intervals in which the Non-Synchronized Reserve Market Clearing Price for the applicable Reserve Zone or Reserve Sub-zone is not equal to zero. During such hours, Tier 1 Synchronized Reserve resources shall be compensated at the Synchronized Reserve Market Clearing Price for the applicable Reserve Zone or Reserve Sub-zone for the lesser of the amount of Tier 1 Synchronized
Reserve attributed to the resource as calculated by the Office of the Interconnection, or the actual amount of Tier 1 Synchronized Reserve provided should a Synchronized Reserve Event occur in a Real-time Settlement Interval.

ii) Credits for Synchronized Reserve provided by generation resources that are synchronized to the grid but, at the direction of the Office of the Interconnection, are operating at a point that deviates from the Office of the Interconnection energy dispatch signals and instructions ("Tier 2 Synchronized Reserve") shall be the higher of (i) the Synchronized Reserve Market Clearing Price or (ii) the sum of (A) the Synchronized Reserve offer, and (B) the specific opportunity cost of the generation resource supplying the increment of Synchronized Reserve, as determined by the Office of the Interconnection to a Synchronized Reserve Event in a Real-time Settlement Interval in accordance with procedures specified in the PJM Manuals.

iii) Credits for Synchronized Reserve provided by Demand Resources that are synchronized to the grid and accept the obligation to reduce load in response to a Synchronized Reserve Event in a Real-time Settlement Interval initiated by the Office of the Interconnection shall be the sum of (i) the higher of (A) the Synchronized Reserve offer or (B) the Synchronized Reserve Market Clearing Price and (ii) if a Synchronized Reserve Event is actually initiated by the Office of the Interconnection and the Demand Resource reduced its load in response to the event, the fixed costs associated with achieving the load reduction, as specified in the PJM Manuals.

(c) The Synchronized Reserve Energy Premium Price is an adder in an amount to be determined periodically by the Office of the Interconnection not less than fifty dollars and not to exceed one hundred dollars per megawatt hour.

(d) The Synchronized Reserve Market Clearing Price shall be determined for each Reserve Zone and Reserve Sub-zone by the Office of the Interconnection in the Real-time Price software program, which is known as the pricing run, for each Real-time Settlement Interval of the Operating Day. The hourly Synchronized Reserve Market Clearing Price shall be calculated as the 5-minute clearing price. Each 5-minute clearing price shall be calculated as the marginal cost of serving the next increment of demand for Synchronized Reserve in each Reserve Zone or Reserve Sub-zone, inclusive of Synchronized Reserve offer prices and opportunity costs. When the Synchronized Reserve Requirement or Extended Synchronized Reserve Requirement in a Reserve Zone or Reserve Sub-zone cannot be met in the pricing run, the 5-minute clearing price shall be at least greater than or equal to the applicable Reserve Penalty Factor for the Reserve Zone or Reserve Sub-zone, but less than or equal to the sum of the Reserve Penalty Factors for the Synchronized Reserve Requirement and Primary Reserve Requirement for the Reserve Zone or Reserve Sub-zone. If the Office of the Interconnection has initiated in a Reserve Zone or Reserve Sub-zone either a Voltage Reduction Action as described in the PJM Manuals or a Manual Load Dump Action as described in the PJM Manuals, the 5-minute clearing price shall be the sum of the Reserve Penalty Factors for the Primary Reserve Requirement and the Synchronized Reserve Requirement for that Reserve Zone or Reserve Sub-zone.

The Reserve Penalty Factor for the Synchronized Reserve Requirement shall be $850/MWh.
The Reserve Penalty Factor for the Extended Synchronized Reserve Requirement shall be $300/MWh.

By no later than April 30 of each year, the Office of the Interconnection will analyze Market Participants’ response to prices exceeding $1,000/MWh on an annual basis and will provide its analysis to PJM stakeholders. The Office of the Interconnection will also review this analysis to determine whether any changes to the Synchronized Reserve Penalty Factors are warranted for subsequent Delivery Year(s).

(e) For each Real-time Settlement Interval and for determining the 5-minute Synchronized Reserve clearing price, the estimated unit-specific opportunity cost for a generation resource will be determined in accordance with the following equation:

\[(A \times B) + (C \times D)\]

Where:

\(A\) = The Locational Marginal Price at the generation bus for the generation resource;

\(B\) = The megawatts of energy used to provide Synchronized Reserve submitted as part of the Synchronized Reserve offer;

\(C\) = The deviation of the set point of the generation resource that is expected to be required in order to provide Synchronized Reserve from the generation resource’s expected output level if it had been dispatched in economic merit order; and

\(D\) = The difference between the Locational Marginal Price at the generation bus for the generation resource and the offer price for energy from the generation resource (at the megawatt level of the Synchronized Reserve set point for the resource) in the PJM Interchange Energy Market when the Locational Marginal Price at the generation bus is greater than the offer price for energy from the generation resource.

The opportunity costs for a Demand Resource shall be zero.

(f) In determining the credit under subsection (b) to a resource selected to provide Tier 2 Synchronized Reserve and that actively follows the Office of the Interconnection’s signals and instructions, the unit-specific opportunity cost of a generation resource shall be determined for each Real-time Settlement Interval that the Office of the Interconnection requires a generation resource to provide Tier 2 Synchronized Reserve and shall be in accordance with the following equation:

\[(A \times B) + (C \times D)\]

Where:

\(A\) = The megawatts of energy used by the resource to provide Synchronized Reserve as submitted as part of the generation resource’s Synchronized Reserve offer;
\[ B = \text{The Locational Marginal Price at the generation bus of the generation resource}; \]

\[ C = \text{The deviation of the generation resource’s output necessary to follow the Office of the Interconnection’s signals and instructions from the generation resource’s expected output level if it had been dispatched in economic merit order; and} \]

\[ D = \text{The difference between the Locational Marginal Price at the generation bus for the generation resource and the offer price for energy from the generation resource (at the megawatt level of the Synchronized Reserve set point for the generation resource) in the PJM Interchange Energy Market when the Locational Marginal Price at the generation bus is greater than the offer price for energy from the generation resource.} \]

The opportunity costs for a Demand Resource shall be zero.

\( (g) \) Charges for Tier 1 Synchronized Reserve will be allocated in proportion to the amount of Tier 1 Synchronized Reserve applied to each Synchronized Reserve Obligation. In the event Tier 1 Synchronized Reserve is provided by a Market Participant in excess of that Market Participant’s Synchronized Reserve Obligation, the Tier 1 Synchronized Reserve that is not utilized to fulfill the Market Participant’s obligation will be allocated proportionately among all other Synchronized Reserve Obligations.

\( (h) \) Any amounts credited for Tier 2 Synchronized Reserve in a Real-time Settlement Interval in excess of the Synchronized Reserve Market Clearing Price in that Real-time Settlement Interval shall be allocated and charged to each Market Participant that does not meet its hourly Synchronized Reserve Obligation in proportion to its purchases of Synchronized Reserve in megawatt-hours during that hour.

\( (i) \) In the event the Office of the Interconnection needs to assign more Tier 2 Synchronized Reserve during a Real-time Settlement Interval than was estimated as needed at the time the Synchronized Reserve Market Clearing Price was calculated for that Real-time Settlement Interval due to a reduction in available Tier 1 Synchronized Reserve, the costs of the excess Tier 2 Synchronized Reserve shall be allocated and charged to those providers of Tier 1 Synchronized Reserve whose available Tier 1 Synchronized Reserve was reduced from the needed amount estimated during the Synchronized Reserve Market Clearing Price calculation, in proportion to the amount of the reduction in Tier 1 Synchronized Reserve availability.

\( (j) \) In the event a generation resource or Demand Resource that either has been assigned by the Office of the Interconnection or self-scheduled to provide Tier 2 Synchronized Reserve fails to provide the assigned or self-scheduled amount of Tier 2 Synchronized Reserve in response to a Synchronized Reserve Event, the resource will be credited for Tier 2 Synchronized Reserve capacity in the amount that actually responded for all Real-time Settlement Intervals the resource was assigned or self-scheduled Tier 2 Synchronized Reserve on the Operating Day during which the event occurred. The determination of the amount of Synchronized Reserve credited to a resource shall be on an individual resource basis, not on an aggregate basis.
The resource shall refund payments received for Tier 2 Synchronized Reserve it failed to provide. For purposes of determining the amount of the payments to be refunded by a Market Participant, the Office of the Interconnection shall calculate the shortfall of Tier 2 Synchronized Reserve on an individual resource basis unless the Market Participant had multiple resources that were assigned or self-scheduled to provide Tier 2 Synchronized Reserve, in which case the shortfall will be determined on an aggregate basis. For performance determined on an aggregate basis, the response of any resource that provided more Tier 2 Synchronized Reserve than it was assigned or self-scheduled to provide will be used to offset the performance of other resources that provided less Tier 2 Synchronized Reserve than they were assigned or self-scheduled to provide during a Synchronized Reserve Event, as calculated in the PJM Manuals. The determination of a Market Participant’s aggregate response shall not be taken into consideration in the determination of the amount of Tier 2 Synchronized Reserve credited to each individual resource.

The amount refunded shall be determined by multiplying the Synchronized Reserve Market Clearing Price by the amount of the shortfall of Tier 2 Synchronized Reserve, measured in megawatts, for all intervals the resource was assigned or self-scheduled to provide Tier 2 Synchronized Reserve for a period of time immediately preceding the Synchronized Reserve Event equal to the lesser of the average number of days between Synchronized Reserve Events, or the number of days since the resource last failed to provide the amount of Tier 2 Synchronized Reserve it was assigned or self-scheduled to provide in response to a Synchronized Reserve Event. The average number of days between Synchronized Reserve Events for purposes of this calculation shall be determined by an annual review of the twenty-four month period ending October 31 of the calendar year in which the review is performed, and shall be rounded down to a whole day value. The Office of the Interconnection shall report the results of its annual review to stakeholders by no later than December 31, and the average number of days between Synchronized Reserve Events shall be effective as of the following January 1. The refunded charges shall be allocated as credits to Market Participants based on its pro rata share of the Synchronized Reserve Obligation megawatts less any Tier 1 Synchronized Reserve applied to its Synchronized Reserve Obligation in the hour(s) of the Synchronized Reserve Event for the Reserve Sub-zone or Reserve Zone, except that Market Participants that incur a refund obligation and also have an applicable Synchronized Reserve Obligation during the hour(s) of the Synchronized Reserve Event shall not be included in the allocation of such refund credits. If the event spans multiple hours, the refund credits will be prorated hourly based on the duration of the event within each clock hour.

(k) The magnitude of response to a Synchronized Reserve Event by a generation resource or a Demand Resource, except for Batch Load Demand Resources covered by section 3.2.3A(l), is the difference between the generation resource’s output or the Demand Resource’s consumption at the start of the event and its output or consumption 10 minutes after the start of the event. In order to allow for small fluctuations and possible telemetry delays, generation resource output or Demand Resource consumption at the start of the event is defined as the lowest telemetered generator resource output or greatest Demand Resource consumption between one minute prior to and one minute following the start of the event. Similarly, a generation resource's output or a Demand Resource's consumption 10 minutes after the event is
defined as the greatest generator resource output or lowest Demand Resource consumption achieved between 9 and 11 minutes after the start of the event. The response actually credited to a generation resource will be reduced by the amount the megawatt output of the generation resource falls below the level achieved after 10 minutes by either the end of the event or after 30 minutes from the start of the event, whichever is shorter. The response actually credited to a Demand Resource will be reduced by the amount the megawatt consumption of the Demand Resource exceeds the level achieved after 10 minutes by either the end of the event or after 30 minutes from the start of the event, whichever is shorter.

(l) The magnitude of response by a Batch Load Demand Resource that is at the stage in its production cycle when its energy consumption is less than the level of megawatts in its offer at the start of a Synchronized Reserve Event shall be the difference between (i) the Batch Load Demand Resource’s consumption at the end of the Synchronized Reserve Event and (ii) the Batch Load Demand Resource’s consumption during the minute within the ten minutes after the end of the Synchronized Reserve Event in which the Batch Load Demand Resource’s consumption was highest and for which its consumption in all subsequent minutes within the ten minutes was not less than fifty percent of the consumption in such minute; provided that, the magnitude of the response shall be zero if, when the Synchronized Reserve Event commences, the scheduled off-cycle stage of the production cycle is greater than ten minutes.

3.2.3A.001 Non-Synchronized Reserve.

(a) Each Market Participant that is a Load Serving Entity that is not part of an agreement to share reserves with external entities subject to the requirements in BAL-002 shall have an obligation for hourly Non-Synchronized Reserve equal to its pro rata share of Non-Synchronized Reserve assigned for the hour for each Reserve Zone and Reserve Sub-zone of the PJM Region, based on the Market Participant’s total load (net of operating Behind The Meter Generation, but not to be less than zero) in such Reserve Zone and Reserve Sub-zone for the hour (“Non-Synchronized Reserve Obligation”). Those entities that participate in an agreement to share reserves with external entities subject to the requirements in BAL-002 shall have their reserve obligations determined based on the stipulations in such agreement. A Market Participant with an hourly Non-Synchronized Reserve Obligation shall be charged the pro rata share of the sum of the quantity of Non-Synchronized Reserves provided in each Real-time Settlement Interval times the clearing price for all Real-time Settlement Intervals in the hour associated with that obligation.

(b) Credits for Non-Synchronized Reserve provided by generation resources that are not operating for energy at the direction of the Office of the Interconnection specifically for the purpose of providing Non-Synchronized Reserve shall be the higher of (i) the Non-Synchronized Reserve Market Clearing Price or (ii) the specific opportunity cost of the generation resource supplying the increment of Non-Synchronized Reserve, as determined by the Office of the Interconnection in accordance with procedures specified in the PJM Manuals.

(c) The Non-Synchronized Reserve Market Clearing Price shall be determined for each Reserve Zone and Reserve Sub-zone by the Office of the Interconnection in the Real-time Price software program, which is known as the pricing run, for each Real-time Settlement Interval of the Operating Day. The Non-Synchronized Reserve Market Clearing Price shall be
calculated as the 5-minute clearing price. Each 5-minute clearing price shall be calculated as the marginal cost of procuring sufficient Non-Synchronized Reserves and/or Synchronized Reserves in each Reserve Zone or Reserve Sub-zone inclusive of opportunity costs associated with meeting the Primary Reserve Requirement or Extended Primary Reserve Requirement. When the Primary Reserve Requirement or Extended Primary Reserve Requirement in a Reserve Zone or Reserve Sub-zone cannot be met in the pricing run at a price less than or equal to the applicable Reserve Penalty Factor, the 5-minute clearing price for Non-Synchronized Reserve shall be at least greater than or equal to the applicable Reserve Penalty Factor for the Reserve Zone or Reserve Sub-zone, but less than or equal to the Reserve Penalty Factor for the Primary Reserve Requirement for the Reserve Zone or Reserve Sub-zone. If the Office of the Interconnection has initiated in a Reserve Zone or Reserve Sub-zone either a Voltage Reduction Action as described in the PJM Manuals or a Manual Load Dump Action as described in the PJM Manuals, the 5-minute clearing price shall be the Reserve Penalty Factor for the Primary Reserve Requirement for that Reserve Zone or Reserve Sub-zone.

The Reserve Penalty Factor for the Synchronized Reserve Requirement shall be $850/MWh. The Reserve Penalty Factor for the Extended Primary Reserve Requirement shall be $300/MWh.

By no later than April 30 of each year, the Office of the Interconnection will analyze Market Participants’ response to prices exceeding $1,000/MWh on an annual basis and will provide its analysis to PJM stakeholders. The Office of the Interconnection will also review this analysis to determine whether any changes to the Primary Reserve Penalty Factors are warranted for subsequent Delivery Year(s).

(d) For each Real-time Settlement Interval and for determining the 5-minute Non-Synchronized Reserve clearing price, the unit-specific opportunity cost for a generation resource that is not providing energy because they are providing Non-Synchronized Reserves will be determined in accordance with the following equation:

\[(A \times B) - C\]

Where:
A = The deviation of the generation resource’s output necessary to follow the Office of the Interconnection’s signals and instructions from the generation resource’s expected output level if it had been dispatched in economic merit order;
B = The Locational Marginal Price at the generation bus for the generation resource; and
C = The applicable offer for energy from the generation resource in the PJM Interchange Energy Market.

(e) In determining the credit under subsection (b) to a resource selected to provide Non-Synchronized Reserve and that follows the Office of the Interconnection’s signals and instructions, the unit-specific opportunity cost of a generation resource shall be determined for each Real-time Settlement Interval that the Office of the Interconnection requires a generation resource to provide Non-Synchronized Reserve and shall be in accordance with the following equation:
(A x B) – C

Where:
A = The deviation of the generation resource’s output necessary to follow the Office of the Interconnection’s signals and instructions from the generation resource’s expected output level if it had been dispatched in economic merit order;

B = The Locational Marginal Price at the generation bus for the generation resource; and

C = The applicable offer for energy from the generation resource in the PJM Interchange Energy Market.

(f) Any amounts credited for Non-Synchronized Reserve in a Real-time Settlement Interval in excess of the Non-Synchronized Reserve Market Clearing Price in that Real-time Settlement Interval shall be allocated and charged to each Market Participant that does not meet its hourly Non-Synchronized Reserve Obligation in proportion to its purchases of Non-Synchronized Reserve in megawatt-hours during that hour.

(g) The magnitude of response to a Non-Synchronized Reserve Event by a generation resource is the difference between the generation resource’s output at the start of the event and its output 10 minutes after the start of the event. In order to allow for small fluctuations and possible telemetry delays, generation resource output at the start of the event is defined as the lowest telemetered generator resource output between one minute prior to and one minute following the start of the event. Similarly, a generation resource’s output 10 minutes after the start of the event is defined as the greatest generator resource output achieved between 9 and 11 minutes after the start of the event. The response actually credited to a generation resource will be reduced by the amount the megawatt output of the generation resource falls below the level achieved after 10 minutes by either the end of the event or after 30 minutes from the start of the event, whichever is shorter.

(h) In the event a generation resource that has been assigned by the Office of the Interconnection to provide Non-Synchronized Reserve fails to provide the assigned amount of Non-Synchronized Reserve in response to a Non-Synchronized Reserve Event, the resource will be credited for Non-Synchronized Reserve capacity in the amount that actually responded for the contiguous Real-time Settlement Interval the resource was assigned Non-Synchronized Reserve during which the event occurred.

3.2.3A.01 Day-ahead Scheduling Reserves.

(a) The Office of the Interconnection shall satisfy the Day-ahead Scheduling Reserves Requirement by procuring Day-ahead Scheduling Reserves in the Day-ahead Scheduling Reserves Market from Day-ahead Scheduling Reserves Resources, provided that Demand Resources shall be limited to providing the lesser of any limit established by the Reliability First Corporation or SERC, as applicable, or twenty-five percent of the total Day-ahead Scheduling Reserves Requirement. Day-ahead Scheduling Reserves Resources that clear
in the Day-ahead Scheduling Reserves Market shall receive a Day-ahead Scheduling Reserves schedule from the Office of the Interconnection for the relevant Operating Day. PJMSettlement shall be the Counterparty to the purchases and sales of Day-ahead Scheduling Reserves in the PJM Interchange Energy Market; provided that PJMSettlement shall not be a contracting party to bilateral transactions between Market Participants or with respect to a self-schedule or self-supply of generation resources by a Market Buyer to satisfy its Day-ahead Scheduling Reserves Requirement.

(b) (i) A Day-ahead Scheduling Reserves Resource that receives a Day-ahead Scheduling Reserves schedule pursuant to subsection (a) of this section shall be paid the hourly Day-ahead Scheduling Reserves Market clearing price, as determined in the day-ahead pricing run set forth in Tariff, Attachment K-Appendix, section 2.6, for the cleared megawatt quantity of Day-ahead Scheduling Reserves in each hour of the schedule, subject to meeting the requirements of subsection (c) of this section.

(ii) A Day-ahead Scheduling Reserves Resource shall receive Day-ahead Scheduling Reserve Lost Opportunity Cost credits for each hour in which the dollar amount due to the resource under subsection (b)(i) above is less than the sum of (A) Day-ahead Scheduling Reserve price offer multiplied by the cleared megawatt quantity of Day-ahead Scheduling Reserves and (B) the resource’s Day-ahead Scheduling Reserve Lost Opportunity Cost, as determined in subsection (b)(iii) below. Day-ahead Scheduling Reserve Lost Opportunity Cost credits shall equal any positive difference in the foregoing equation.

(iii) The Day-ahead Scheduling Reserve Lost Opportunity Cost of a resource shall be determined for each operating hour that the Office of the Interconnection requires a resource to provide Day-ahead Scheduling Reserves and shall be in accordance with the following equation:

\[(A \times B) - C\]

Where:

\[A = \text{The Day-ahead Price at the generation bus of the generation resource;}\]

\[B = \text{The deviation of the resource’s day-ahead scheduled energy megawatts from the resource’s expected energy output if it had been assigned in economic merit order in the dispatch run to provide energy; and}\]

\[C = \text{The Day-ahead Energy Market offer integrated under the applicable energy offer curve between the resource’s day-ahead scheduled energy megawatts and the resource’s expected energy output if it had been assigned in economic merit order in the dispatch run to provide energy.}\]

The Day-ahead Scheduling Reserve Lost Opportunity Cost of an Economic Load Response Participant resource is zero.
(c) To be eligible for payment pursuant to subsection (b) of this section, Day-ahead Scheduling Reserves Resources shall comply with the following provisions:

(i) Generation resources with a start time greater than thirty minutes are required to be synchronized and operating at the direction of the Office of the Interconnection during the resource’s Day-ahead Scheduling Reserves schedule and shall have a dispatchable range equal to or greater than the Day-ahead Scheduling Reserves schedule.

(ii) Generation resources and Demand Resources with start times or shut-down times, respectively, equal to or less than 30 minutes are required to respond to dispatch directives from the Office of the Interconnection during the resource’s Day-ahead Scheduling Reserves schedule. To meet this requirement the resource shall be required to start or shut down within the specified notification time plus its start or shut down time, provided that such time shall be less than thirty minutes.

(iii) Demand Resources with a Day-ahead Scheduling Reserves schedule shall be credited based on the difference between the resource’s MW consumption at the time the resource is directed by the Office of the Interconnection to reduce its load (starting MW usage) and the resource’s MW consumption at the time when the Demand Resource is no longer dispatched by PJM (ending MW usage). For the purposes of this subsection, a resource’s starting MW usage shall be the greatest telemetered consumption between one minute prior to and one minute following the issuance of a dispatch instruction from the Office of the Interconnection, and a resource’s ending MW usage shall be the lowest consumption between one minute before and one minute after a dispatch instruction from the Office of the Interconnection that is no longer necessary to reduce.

(iv) Notwithstanding subsection (iii) above, the credit for a Batch Load Demand Resource that is at the stage in its production cycle when its energy consumption is less than the level of megawatts in its offer at the time the resource is directed by the Office of the Interconnection to reduce its load shall be the difference between (i) the “ending MW usage” (as defined above) and (ii) the Batch Load Demand Resource’s consumption during the minute within the ten minutes after the time of the “ending MW usage” in which the Batch Load Demand Resource’s consumption was highest and for which its consumption in all subsequent minutes within the ten minutes was not less than fifty percent of the consumption in such minute; provided that, the credit shall be zero if, at the time the resource is directed by the Office of the Interconnection to reduce its load, the scheduled off-cycle stage of the production cycle is greater than the timeframe for which the resource was dispatched by PJM.

Resources that do not comply with the provisions of this subsection (c) shall not be eligible to receive credits pursuant to subsection (b) of this section.

(d) The hourly credits paid to Day-ahead Scheduling Reserves Resources satisfying the Base Day-ahead Scheduling Reserves Requirement (“Base Day-ahead Scheduling Reserves credits”) shall equal the ratio of the Base Day-ahead Scheduling Reserves Requirement to the Day-ahead
Scheduling Reserves Requirement, multiplied by the total credits paid to Day-ahead Scheduling Reserves Resources, and are allocated as Base Day-ahead Scheduling Reserves charges per paragraph (i) below. The hourly credits paid to Day-ahead Scheduling Reserve Resources satisfying the Additional Day-ahead Scheduling Reserve Requirement (“Additional Day-ahead Scheduling Reserves credits”) shall equal the ratio of the Additional Day-ahead Scheduling Reserves Requirement to the Day-ahead Scheduling Reserves Requirement, multiplied by the total credits paid to Day-ahead Scheduling Reserves Resources and are allocated as Additional Day-ahead Scheduling Reserves charges per paragraph (ii) below.

(i) A Market Participant’s Base Day-ahead Scheduling Reserves charge is equal to the ratio of the Market Participant’s hourly obligation to the total hourly obligation of all Market Participants in the PJM Region, multiplied by the Base Day-ahead Scheduling Reserves credits. The hourly obligation for each Market Participant is a megawatt representation of the portion of the Base Day-ahead Scheduling Reserves credits that the Market Participant is responsible for paying to PJM. The hourly obligation is equal to the Market Participant’s load ratio share of the total megawatt volume of Base Day-ahead Scheduling Reserves resources (described below), based on the Market Participant’s total hourly load (net of operating Behind The Meter Generation, but not to be less than zero) to the total hourly load of all Market Participants in the PJM Region. The total megawatt volume of Base Day-ahead Scheduling Reserves resources equals the ratio of the Base Day-ahead Scheduling Reserves Requirement to the Day-ahead Scheduling Reserves Requirement multiplied by the total volume of Day-ahead Scheduling Reserves megawatts paid pursuant to paragraph (c) of this section. A Market Participant’s hourly Day-ahead Scheduling Reserves obligation can be further adjusted by any Day-ahead Scheduling Reserve bilateral transactions.

(ii) Additional Day-ahead Scheduling Reserves credits shall be charged hourly to Market Participants that are net purchasers in the Day-ahead Energy Market based on its positive demand difference ratio share. The positive demand difference for each Market Participant is the difference between its real-time load (net of operating Behind The Meter Generation, but not to be less than zero) and cleared Demand Bids in the Day-ahead Energy Market, net of cleared Increment Offers and cleared Decrement Bids in the Day-ahead Energy Market, when such value is positive. Net purchasers in the Day-ahead Energy Market are those Market Participants that have cleared Demand Bids plus cleared Decrement Bids in excess of its amount of cleared Increment Offers in the Day-ahead Energy Market. If there are no Market Participants with a positive demand difference, the Additional Day-ahead Scheduling Reserves credits are allocated according to paragraph (i) above.

(e) If the Day-ahead Scheduling Reserves Requirement is not satisfied through the operation of subsection (a) of this section, any additional Operating Reserves required to meet the requirement shall be scheduled by the Office of the Interconnection pursuant to Section 3.2.3 of Schedule 1 of this Agreement.

3.2.3B Reactive Services.
(a) A Market Seller providing Reactive Services at the direction of the Office of the Interconnection shall be credited as specified below for the operation of its resource. These provisions are intended to provide payments to generating units when the LMP dispatch algorithms would not result in the dispatch needed for the required reactive service. LMP will be used to compensate generators that are subject to redispatch for reactive transfer limits.

(b) At the end of each Operating Day, where the active energy output of a Market Seller’s resource is reduced or suspended at the request of the Office of the Interconnection for the purpose of maintaining reactive reliability within the PJM Region, the Market Seller shall be credited according to Sections 3.2.3B(c) & 3.2.3B(d).

(c) A Market Seller providing Reactive Services from either a steam-electric generating unit or combined cycle unit operating in combined cycle mode, where such unit is pool-scheduled (or self-scheduled, if operating according to Section 1.10.3 (c) hereof), and where the real time LMP at the unit’s bus is higher than the price offered by the Market Seller for energy from the unit at the level of output requested by the Office of the Interconnection (as indicated either by the desired MWs of output from the unit determined by PJM’s unit dispatch system or as directed by the PJM dispatcher through a manual override) shall be compensated for lost opportunity cost by receiving a credit in an amount equal to the product of (A) the deviation of the generating unit’s output necessary to follow the Office of the Interconnection’s signals and the generating unit’s expected output level if it had been dispatched in economic merit order, times (B) the Real-time Price at the generation bus for the generating unit, minus (C) the Total Lost Opportunity Cost Offer, provided that the resulting outcome is greater than $0.00. This equation is represented as (A*B) - C.

(d) A Market Seller providing Reactive Services from either a combustion turbine unit or combined cycle unit operating in simple cycle mode that is pool scheduled (or self-scheduled, if operating according to Section 1.10.3 (c) hereof), operated as requested by the Office of the Interconnection, shall be compensated for lost opportunity cost, limited to the lesser of the unit’s Economic Maximum or the unit’s Generation Resource Maximum Output, if the unit output is reduced at the direction of the Office of the Interconnection and the real time LMP at the unit’s bus is higher than the price offered by the Market Seller for energy from the unit at the level of output requested by the Office of the Interconnection as directed by the PJM dispatcher, then the Market Seller shall be credited in a manner consistent with that described above in Section 3.2.3B(c) for a steam unit or a combined cycle unit operating in combined cycle mode.

(e) At the end of each Operating Day, where the active energy output of a Market Seller’s unit is increased at the request of the Office of the Interconnection for the purpose of maintaining reactive reliability within the PJM Region and the offered price of the energy is above the real-time LMP at the unit’s bus, the Market Seller shall be credited according to Section 3.2.3B(f).

(f) A Market Seller providing Reactive Services from either a steam-electric generating unit, combined cycle unit or combustion turbine unit, where such unit is pool
scheduled (or self-scheduled, if operating according to Section 1.10.3 (c) hereof), and where the real time LMP at the unit’s bus is lower than the price offered by the Market Seller for energy from the unit at the level of output requested by the Office of the Interconnection (as indicated either by the desired MWs of output from the unit determined by PJM’s unit dispatch system or as directed by the PJM dispatcher through a manual override), shall receive a credit hourly in an amount equal to \((AG - LMPDMW) \times (UB - URTLMP)\), where:

\(AG\) equals the actual output of the unit;

\(LMPDMW\) equals the level of output for the unit determined according to the point on the scheduled offer curve on which the unit was operating corresponding to the real time LMP at the unit’s bus and adjusted for any Regulation or Tier 2 Synchronized Reserve assignments;

\(UB\) equals the unit offer for that unit for which output is increased, determined according to the lesser of the Final Offer or Committed Offer;

\(URTLMP\) equals the real time LMP at the unit’s bus; and

where \(UB - URTLMP\) shall not be negative.

(g) A Market Seller providing Reactive Services from a hydroelectric resource where such resource is pool scheduled (or self-scheduled, if operating according to Section 1.10.3 (c) hereof), and where the output of such resource is altered from the schedule submitted by the Market Seller for the purpose of maintaining reactive reliability at the request of the Office of the Interconnection, shall be compensated for lost opportunity cost in the same manner as provided in sections 3.2.2(d) and 3.2.3A(f) and further detailed in the PJM Manuals.

(h) If a Market Seller believes that, due to specific pre-existing binding commitments to which it is a party, and that properly should be recognized for purposes of this section, the above calculations do not accurately compensate the Market Seller for lost opportunity cost associated with following the Office of the Interconnection’s dispatch instructions to reduce or suspend a unit’s output for the purpose of maintaining reactive reliability, then the Office of the Interconnection, the Market Monitoring Unit and the individual Market Seller will discuss a mutually acceptable, modified amount of such alternate lost opportunity cost compensation, taking into account the specific circumstances binding on the Market Seller. Following such discussion, if the Office of the Interconnection accepts a modified amount of alternate lost opportunity cost compensation, the Office of the Interconnection shall invoice the Market Participant accordingly. If the Market Monitoring Unit disagrees with the modified amount of alternate lost opportunity cost compensation, as accepted by the Office of the Interconnection, it will exercise its powers to inform the Commission staff of its concerns.

(i) The amount of Synchronized Reserve provided by generating units maintaining reactive reliability shall be counted as Synchronized Reserve satisfying the overall PJM Synchronized Reserve requirements. Operators of these generating units shall be notified of such provision, and to the extent a generating unit’s operator indicates that the generating unit is
capable of providing Synchronized Reserve, shall be subject to the same requirements contained in Section 3.2.3A regarding provision of Tier 2 Synchronized Reserve. At the end of each Operating Day, to the extent a condenser operated to provide Reactive Services also provided Synchronized Reserve, a Market Seller shall be credited for providing synchronous condensing for the purpose of maintaining reactive reliability at the request of the Office of the Interconnection, in an amount equal to the higher of (i) the Synchronized Reserve Market Clearing Price for each Real-time Settlement Interval a generating unit provided synchronous condensing multiplied by the amount of Synchronized reserve provided by the synchronous condenser or (ii) the sum of (A) the generating unit’s cost to provide synchronous condensing, calculated in accordance with the PJM Manuals, (B) the product of MW energy usage for providing synchronous condensing multiplied by the real time LMP at the generating unit’s bus, (C) the generating unit’s startup-cost of providing synchronous condensing, and (D) the unit-specific lost opportunity cost of the generating resource supplying the increment of Synchronized Reserve as determined by the Office of the Interconnection in accordance with procedures specified in the PJM Manuals. To the extent a condenser operated to provide Reactive Services was not also providing Synchronized Reserve, the Market Seller shall be credited only for the generating unit’s cost to condense, as described in (ii) above. The total Synchronized Reserve Obligations of all Load Serving Entities under section 3.2.3A(a) in the zone where these condensers are located shall be reduced by the amount counted as satisfying the PJM Synchronized Reserve requirements. The Synchronized Reserve Obligation of each Load Serving Entity in the zone under section 3.2.3A(a) shall be reduced to the same extent that the costs of such condensers counted as Synchronized Reserve are allocated to such Load Serving Entity pursuant to subsection (l) below.

(j) A Market Seller’s pool scheduled steam-electric generating unit or combined cycle unit operating in combined cycle mode, that is not committed to operate in the Day-ahead Market, but that is directed by the Office of the Interconnection to operate solely for the purpose of maintaining reactive reliability, at the request of the Office of the Interconnection, shall be credited in the amount of the unit’s offered price for start-up and no-load fees. The unit also shall receive, if applicable, compensation in accordance with Sections 3.2.3B(e)-(f).

(k) The sum of the foregoing credits as specified in Sections 3.2.3B(b)-(j) shall be the cost of Reactive Services for the purpose of maintaining reactive reliability for the Operating Day and shall be separately determined for each transmission zone in the PJM Region based on whether the resource was dispatched for the purpose of maintaining reactive reliability in such transmission zone.

(l) The cost of Reactive Services for the purpose of maintaining reactive reliability in a transmission zone in the PJM Region for each Operating Day shall be allocated and charged to each Market Participant in proportion to its deliveries of energy to load (net of operating Behind The Meter Generation) in such transmission zone, served under Network Transmission Service, in megawatt-hours during that Operating Day, as compared to all such deliveries for all Market Participants in such transmission zone.

(m) Generating units receiving dispatch instructions from the Office of the Interconnection under the expectation of increased actual or reserve reactive shall inform the
Office of the Interconnection dispatcher if the requested reactive capability is not achievable. Should the operator of a unit receiving such instructions realize at any time during which said instruction is effective that the unit is not, or likely would not be able to, provide the requested amount of reactive support, the operator shall as soon as practicable inform the Office of the Interconnection dispatcher of the unit’s inability, or expected inability, to provide the required reactive support, so that the associated dispatch instruction may be cancelled. PJM Performance Compliance personnel will audit operations after-the-fact to determine whether a unit that has altered its active power output at the request of the Office of the Interconnection has provided the actual reactive support or the reactive reserve capability requested by the Office of the Interconnection. PJM shall utilize data including, but not limited to, historical reactive performance and stated reactive capability curves in order to make this determination, and may withhold such compensation as described above if reactive support as requested by the Office of the Interconnection was not or could not have been provided.

3.2.3C Synchronous Condensing for Post-Contingency Operation.

(a) Under normal circumstances, PJM operates generation out of merit order to control contingency overloads when the flow on the monitored element for loss of the contingent element (“contingency flow”) exceeds the long-term emergency rating for that facility, typically a 4-hour or 2-hour rating. At times however, and under certain, specific system conditions, PJM does not operate generation out of merit order for certain contingency overloads until the contingency flow on the monitored element exceeds the 30-minute rating for that facility (“post-contingency operation”). In conjunction with such operation, when the contingency flow on such element exceeds the long-term emergency rating, PJM operates synchronous condensers in the areas affected by such constraints, to the extent they are available, to provide greater certainty that such resources will be capable of producing energy in sufficient time to reduce the flow on the monitored element below the normal rating should such contingency occur.

(b) The amount of Synchronized Reserve provided by synchronous condensers associated with post-contingency operation shall be counted as Synchronized Reserve satisfying the PJM Synchronized Reserve requirements. Operators of these generation units shall be notified of such provision, and to the extent a generation unit’s operator indicates that the generation unit is capable of providing Synchronized Reserve, shall be subject to the same requirements contained in Section 3.2.3A regarding provision of Tier 2 Synchronized Reserve. At the end of each Operating Day, to the extent a condenser operated in conjunction with post-contingency operation also provided Synchronized Reserve, a Market Seller shall be credited for providing synchronous condensing in conjunction with post-contingency operation at the request of the Office of the Interconnection, in an amount equal to the higher of (i) the Synchronized Reserve Market Clearing Price for each applicable interval a generation resource provided synchronous condensing multiplied by the amount of Synchronized Reserve provided by the synchronous condenser or (ii) the sum of (A) the generation resource’s applicable interval cost to provide synchronous condensing, calculated in accordance with the PJM Manuals, (B) the applicable interval product of the megawatts of energy used to provide synchronous condensing multiplied by the real-time LMP at the generation bus of the generation resource, (C) the generation resource’s start-up cost of providing synchronous condensing, and (D) the unit-specific lost opportunity cost of the generation resource supplying the increment of Synchronized
Reserve as determined by the Office of the Interconnection in accordance with procedures specified in the PJM Manuals. To the extent a condenser operated in association with post-contingency constraint control was not also providing Synchronized Reserve, the Market Seller shall be credited only for the generation unit’s cost to condense, as described in (ii) above. The total Synchronized Reserve Obligations of all Load Serving Entities under section 3.2.3A(a) in the zone where these condensers are located shall be reduced by the amount counted as satisfying the PJM Synchronized Reserve requirements. The Synchronized Reserve Obligation of each Load Serving Entity in the zone under section 3.2.3A(a) shall be reduced to the same extent that the costs of such condensers counted as Synchronized Reserve are allocated to such Load Serving Entity pursuant to subsection (d) below.

(c) The sum of the foregoing credits as specified in section 3.2.3C(b) shall be the cost of synchronous condensers associated with post-contingency operations for the Operating Day and shall be separately determined for each transmission zone in the PJM Region based on whether the resource was dispatched in association with post-contingency operation in such transmission zone.

(d) The cost of synchronous condensers associated with post-contingency operations in a transmission zone in the PJM Region for each Operating Day shall be allocated and charged to each Market Participant in proportion to its deliveries of energy to load (net of operating Behind The Meter Generation) in such transmission zone, served under Network Transmission Service, in megawatt-hours during that Operating Day, as compared to all such deliveries for all Market Participants in such transmission zone.

3.2.4 Transmission Congestion Charges.

Each Market Buyer shall be assessed Transmission Congestion Charges as specified in Section 5 of this Schedule.

3.2.5 Transmission Loss Charges.

Each Market Buyer shall be assessed Transmission Loss Charges as specified in Section 5 of this Schedule.

3.2.6 Emergency Energy.

(a) When the Office of the Interconnection has implemented Emergency procedures, resources offering Emergency energy are eligible to set real-time Locational Marginal Prices, capped at the energy offer cap plus the sum of the applicable Reserve Penalty Factors for the Synchronized Reserve Requirement and Primary Reserve Requirement, provided that the Emergency energy is needed to meet demand in the PJM Region.

(b) Market Participants shall be allocated a proportionate share of the net cost of Emergency energy purchased by the Office of the Interconnection. Such allocated share during each applicable interval of such Emergency energy purchase shall be in proportion to the amount of each Market Participant’s real-time deviation from its net withdrawals and injections in the Day-
ahead Energy Market, whenever that deviation increases the Market Participant’s spot market purchases or decreases its spot market sales. This deviation shall not include any reduction or suspension of output of pool scheduled resources requested by PJM to manage an Emergency within the PJM Region.

(c) Net revenues in excess of Real-time Prices attributable to sales of energy in connection with Emergencies to other Control Areas shall be credited to Market Participants during each applicable interval of such Emergency energy sale in proportion to the sum of (i) each Market Participant’s real-time deviation from its net withdrawals and injections in the Day-ahead Energy Market, whenever that deviation increases the Market Participant’s spot market purchases or decreases its spot market sales, and (ii) each Market Participant’s energy sales from within the PJM Region to entities outside the PJM Region that have been curtailed by PJM.

(d) The net costs or net revenues associated with sales or purchases of energy in connection with a Minimum Generation Emergency in the PJM Region, or in another Control Area, shall be allocated during each applicable interval of such Emergency sale or purchase to each Market Participant in proportion to the amount of each Market Participant’s real-time deviation from its net withdrawals and injections in the Day-ahead Market, whenever that deviation increases the Market Participant’s spot market sales or decreases its spot market purchases.

3.2.7 Billing.

(a) PJMSettlement shall prepare a billing statement each billing cycle for each Market Participant in accordance with the charges and credits specified in Sections 3.2.1 through 3.2.6 of this Schedule, and showing the net amount to be paid or received by the Market Participant. Billing statements shall provide sufficient detail, as specified in the PJM Manuals, to allow verification of the billing amounts and completion of the Market Participant’s internal accounting.

(b) If deliveries to a Market Participant that has PJM Interchange meters in accordance with Section 14 of the Operating Agreement include amounts delivered for a Market Participant that does not have PJM Interchange meters separate from those of the metered Market Participant, PJMSettlement shall prepare a separate billing statement for the unmetered Market Participant based on the allocation of deliveries agreed upon between the Market Participant and the unmetered Market Participant specified by them to the Office of the Interconnection.
6.4 Offer Price Caps.

6.4.1 Applicability.

(a) If, at any time, it is determined by the Office of the Interconnection in accordance with Sections 1.10.8 or 6.1 of this Schedule that any generation resource may be dispatched out of economic merit order to maintain system reliability as a result of limits on transmission capability, the offer prices for energy from such resource shall be capped as specified below. For such generation resources committed in the Day-ahead Energy Market, if the Office of the Interconnection is able to do so, such offer prices shall be capped for the entire commitment period, and such offer prices will be capped at a cost-based offer in accordance with section 6.4.2 and committed at the market-based offer or cost-based offer which results in the lowest overall system production cost. For such generation resources committed in the Real-time Energy Market such offer prices shall be capped at a cost-based offer in accordance with section 6.4.2 and dispatched on the market-based offer or cost-based offer which results in the lowest dispatch cost in accordance with 6.4.1(g) until the earlier of: (i) the resource is released from its commitment by the Office of the Interconnection; (ii) the end of the Operating Day; or (iii) the start of the generation resource’s next pre-existing commitment.

The offer on which a resource is committed shall initially be determined at the time of the commitment. If any of the resource’s Incremental Energy Offer, No-load Cost or Start-Up Cost are updated for any portion of the offer capped hours subsequent to commitment, the Office of the Interconnection will redetermine the level of the offer cap using the updated offer values. The Office of the Interconnection will dispatch the resource on the market-based offer or cost-based offer which results in the lowest dispatch cost as determined in accordance with section 6.4.1(g).

Resources that are self-scheduled to run in either the Day-ahead Energy Market or in the Real-time Energy Market are subject to the provisions of this section 6.4. The offer on which a resource is dispatched shall be used to determine any Locational Marginal Price affected by the offer price of such resource and as further limited as described in Tariff, Attachment K-Appendix, section 2.4 and Tariff, Attachment K-Appendix, section 2.4A.

In accordance with section 6.4.1(h), a generation resource that is offer capped in the Real-time Energy Market but released from its commitment by the Office of the Interconnection will be subject to the three pivotal supplier test and further offer capping, as applicable, if the resource is committed for a period later in the same Operating Day.

(b) The energy offer price by any generation resource requested to be dispatched in accordance with Section 6.3 of this Schedule shall be capped at the levels specified in Section 6.4.2 of this Schedule. If the Office of the Interconnection is able to do so, such offer prices shall be capped only during each hour when the affected resource is so scheduled, and otherwise shall be capped for the entire Operating Day. Energy offer prices as capped shall be used to determine any Locational Marginal Price affected by the price of such resource.

(c) Generation resources subject to an offer price cap shall be paid for energy at the applicable Locational Marginal Price.
(e) Offer price caps under section 6.4 of this Schedule shall be suspended for a generation resource with respect to transmission limit(s) for any period in which a generation resource is committed by the Office of the Interconnection for the Operating Day or any period for which the generation resource has been self-scheduled where (1) there are not three or fewer generation suppliers available for redispatch under subsection (a) that are jointly pivotal with respect to such transmission limit(s), and (2) the Market Seller of the generation resource, when combined with the two largest other generation suppliers, is not pivotal (“three pivotal supplier test”). In the event the Office of the Interconnection system is unable to perform the three pivotal supplier test for a Market Seller, generation resources of that Market Seller that are dispatched to control transmission constraints will be dispatched on the resource’s market-based offer or cost-based offer which results in the lowest dispatch cost as determined in accordance with section 6.4.1(g).

(f) For the purposes of conducting the three pivotal supplier test in subsection (e), the following applies:

(i) All megawatts of available incremental supply, including available self-scheduled supply for which the power distribution factor (“dfax”) has an absolute value equal to or greater than the dfax used by the Office of the Interconnection’s system operators when evaluating the impact of generation with respect to the constraint (“effective megawatts”) will be included in the available supply analysis at costs equal to the cost-based offers of the available incremental supply adjusted for dfax (“effective costs”). The Office of the Interconnection will post on the PJM website the dfax value used by operators with respect to a constraint when it varies from three percent.

(ii) The three pivotal supplier test will include in the definition of the relevant market incremental supply up to and including all such supply available at an effective cost equal to 150% of the cost-based clearing price calculated using effective costs and effective megawatts and the need for megawatts to solve the constraint.

(iii) Offer price caps will apply on a generation supplier basis (i.e. not a generating unit by generating unit basis) and only the generation suppliers that fail the three pivotal supplier test with respect to any hour in the relevant period will have their units that are dispatched with respect to the constraint offer capped. A generation supplier for the purposes of this section includes corporate affiliates. Supply controlled by a generation supplier or its affiliates by contract with unaffiliated third parties or otherwise will be included as supply of that generation supplier; supply owned by a generation supplier but controlled by an unaffiliated third party by contract or otherwise will be included as supply of that third party.
A generation supplier’s units, including self-scheduled units, are offer capped if, when combined with the two largest other generation suppliers, the generation supplier is pivotal.

(iv) In the Day-ahead Energy Market, the Office of the Interconnection shall include price sensitive demand, Increment Offers and Decrement Bids as demand or supply, as applicable, in the relevant market.

(v) The three pivotal supplier test is not executed in the pricing run (as such pricing run is described in Tariff, Attachment K-Appendix, section 2.5 and Tariff, Attachment K-Appendix, section 2.6).

(g) In the Real-time Energy Market, the schedule on which offer capped resources will be placed shall be determined using dispatch cost, where dispatch cost is calculated pursuant to the following formulas:

\[
\text{Dispatch cost for the applicable hour} = (\text{Incremental Energy Offer} @ \text{Economic Minimum for the hour [$/MWh]} \times \text{Economic Minimum for the hour [MW]} + \text{No-load Cost for the hour [$/H]} \]

(i) For resources committed in the Real-time Energy Market, the resource is committed on the offer with the lowest Total Dispatch cost at the time of commitment,

where:

\[
\text{Total Dispatch cost} = \text{Sum of hourly dispatch cost over a resource’s minimum run time [$$]} + \text{Start-up Cost [$$]}
\]

(ii) For resources operating in real-time pursuant to a day-ahead or real-time commitment, and whose offers are updated after commitment, the resource is dispatched on the offer with the lowest dispatch cost for the each of the updated hours.

(iii) However, once the resource is dispatched on a cost-based offer, it will remain on a cost-based offer regardless of the determination of the cheapest schedule.

(h) A generation resource that was committed in the Day-ahead Energy Market or Real-time Energy Market, is operating in real time, and may be dispatched out of economic merit order to maintain system reliability as a result of limits on transmission capability, will be offer price capped, subject to the outcome of a three pivotal supplier test, for each hour the resource operates beyond its committed hours or Minimum Run Time, whichever is greater, or in the case of resources self-scheduled in the Real-time Energy Market, for each hour the resource operates beyond its first hour of operation, in accordance with the following provisions.
(i) If the resource is operating on a cost-based offer, it will remain on a cost-based offer regardless of the results of the three pivotal supplier test.

(ii) If the resource is operating on a market-based offer and the Market Seller fails the three pivotal supplier test then the resource will be dispatched on the cheaper of its market-based offer or the cost-based offer representing the offer cap as determined by section 6.4.2, whichever results in the lowest dispatch cost as determined under section 6.4.1(g).

(iii) If the Market Seller passes the three pivotal supplier test and the resource is currently operating on a market-based offer then the resource will remain on that offer, unless the Market Seller elects to not have its market-based offer considered for dispatch and to have only the cost-based offer that represents the offer cap level as determined under section 6.4.2 considered for dispatch in which case the resource will be dispatched on its cost-based offer for the remainder of the Operating Day.

6.4.2 Level.

(a) The offer price cap shall be one of the amounts specified below, as specified in advance by the Market Seller for the affected unit:

(i) The weighted average Locational Marginal Price at the generation bus at which energy from the capped resource was delivered during a specified number of hours during which the resource was dispatched for energy in economic merit order, the specified number of hours to be determined by the Office of the Interconnection and to be a number of hours sufficient to result in an offer price cap that reflects reasonably contemporaneous competitive market conditions for that unit;

(ii) For offers of $2,000/MWh or less, the incremental operating cost of the generation resource as determined in accordance with Schedule 2 of the Operating Agreement and the PJM Manuals (“incremental cost”), plus up to the lesser of 10% of such costs or $100 MWh, the sum of which shall not exceed $2,000/MWh; and, for offers greater than $2,000/MWh, the incremental cost of the generation resource;

(iii) For units that are frequently offer capped (“Frequently Mitigated Unit” or “FMU”), and for which the unit’s market-based offer was greater than its cost based offer, the following shall apply:

(a) For units that are offer capped for 60% or more of their run hours, but less than 70% of their run hours, the offer price cap will be the greater of either (i) incremental cost plus 10% or (ii) incremental cost plus $20 per megawatt-hour;
(b) For units that are offer capped for 70% or more of their run hours, but less than 80% of their run hours, the offer price cap will be the greater of either (i) incremental cost plus 10%, or (ii) incremental cost plus $30 per megawatt-hour;

(c) For units that are offer capped for 80% or more of their run hours, the offer price cap will be the greater of either (i) incremental costs plus 10%; or (ii) incremental cost plus $40 per megawatt-hour.

(b) For purposes of section 6.4.2(a)(iii), a generating unit shall qualify for the specified offer cap upon issuance of written notice from the Market Monitoring Unit, pursuant to Section II.A of the Attachment M-Appendix, that it is a “Frequently Mitigated Unit” because it meets all of the following criteria:

(i) The unit was offer capped for the applicable percentage of its run hours, determined on a rolling 12-month basis, effective with a one month lag.

(ii) The unit’s Projected PJM Market Revenues plus the unit’s PJM capacity market revenues on a rolling 12-month basis, divided by the unit’s MW of installed capacity (in $/MW-year) are less than its accepted unit specific Avoidable Cost Rate (in $/MW-year) (excluding APIR and ARPIR), or its default Avoidable Cost Rate (in $/MW-year) if no unit-specific Avoidable Cost Rate is accepted for the BRAs for the Delivery Years included in the rolling 12-month period, determined pursuant to Sections 6.7 and 6.8 of Attachment DD of the Tariff. (The relevant Avoidable Cost Rate is the weighted average of the Avoidable Cost Rates for each Delivery Year included in the rolling 12-month period, weighted by month.)

(iii) No portion of the unit is included in a FRR Capacity Plan or receiving compensation under Part V of the Tariff.

(iv) The unit is internal to the PJM Region and subject only to PJM dispatch.

(c) Any generating unit, without regard to ownership, located at the same site as a Frequently Mitigated Unit qualifying under Sections 6.4.2(a)(iii) shall become an “Associated Unit” upon issuance of written notice from the Market Monitoring Unit pursuant to Section II.A of Attachment M-Appendix, that it meets all of the following criteria:

1. The unit has the identical electric impact on the transmission system as the FMU;

2. The unit (i) belongs to the same design class (where a design class includes generation that is the same size and utilizes the same technology, without regard to manufacturer) and uses the identical primary fuel as the FMU or (ii) is regularly dispatched by PJM as a substitute for the FMU based on differences in cost that result from the currently applicable FMU
adder;

3. The unit (i) has an average daily cost-based offer, as measured over the preceding 12-month period, that is less than or equal to the FMU’s average daily cost-based offer adjusted to include the currently applicable FMU adder or (ii) is regularly dispatched by PJM as a substitute for the FMU based on differences in cost that result from the currently applicable FMU adder.

The offer cap for an associated unit shall be equal to the incremental operating cost of such unit, as determined in accordance with Schedule 2 of the Operating Agreement and the PJM Manuals, plus the applicable percentage adder or dollar per megawatt-hour adder as specified in Section 6.4.2(a)(iii)(a), (b), or (c) for the unit with which it is associated.

(d) Market Participants shall have exclusive responsibility for preparing and submitting their offers on the basis of accurate information and in compliance with the FERC Market Rules, inclusive of the level of any applicable offer cap, and in no event shall PJM be held liable for the consequences of or make any retroactive adjustment to any clearing price on the basis of any offer submitted on the basis of inaccurate or non-compliant information.

6.4.3 Verification of Cost-Based Offers Over $1,000/Megawatt-hour

(a) If a Market Seller submits a cost-based energy offer for a generation resource that includes an Incremental Energy Offer greater than $1,000/megawatt-hour, then, in order for that offer to be eligible to set the applicable Locational Marginal Price as described in Tariff, Attachment K-Appendix, section 2.5 (for determining Real-time Prices) and Operating Agreement Schedule 1, section 2.6 (for determining Day-ahead Prices), the Office of the Interconnection shall apply a formulaic screen to verify the reasonableness of the Incremental Energy Offer component of such cost-based offer. For each Incremental Energy Offer segment greater than $1,000/megawatt-hour, the Office of the Interconnection shall evaluate whether such offer segment exceeds the reasonably expected costs for that generation resource by determining the Maximum Allowable Incremental Cost for each segment in accordance with the following formula:

Maximum Allowable Incremental Cost ($/MWh segment in accordance with the following formula: @ MW) =

\[ \frac{\text{(Maximum Allowable Operating Rate}_i - \text{(Bid Production Cost} \_i-1)} {\text{(MW}_i - \text{MW}_{i-1})} \]

where

\( i \) = an offer segment within the Incremental Energy Offer, which is comprised of a pairing of price ($/MWh) and a megawatt quantity

Maximum Allowable Operating Rate ($/hour @ MW) =

\[ \frac{\text{(Heat Input}_i @ \text{MW}_i \times \text{(Performance Factor) \times \text{(Fuel Cost)}}} {\text{(1 + A)}} \]

where
Heat Input = a point on the heat input curve (in MMBtu/hr), determined in accordance with PJM Manual 15, describing the resource’s operational characteristics for converting the applicable fuel input (MMBtu) into energy (MWh) specified in the Incremental Energy Offer;

Performance Factor = a scaling factor that is a calculated ratio of actual fuel burn to either theoretical fuel burn (i.e., design Heat Input) or other current tested Heat Input, which is determined annually in accordance with the Market Seller’s PJM-approved Fuel Cost Policy, Operating Agreement, Schedule 2, and PJM Manual 15, reflecting the resource’s actual ability to convert fuel into energy (normal operation is 1.0);

Fuel Cost = applicable fuel cost as estimated by the Office of the Interconnection at a geographically appropriate commodity trading hub, plus 10 percent; and

A = Cost adder, in accordance with section 6.4.2(a)(ii) of this Schedule.

Bid Production Cost ($/hour @ MW) =

\[
\sum_{i=1}^{n} (MW_i - MW_{i-1}) \times (P_i) - \frac{1}{2} \times UBS \times (MW_i - MW_{i-1}) \times (P_i - P_{i-1}) + \text{No-Load Cost}
\]

where

MW = the MW quantity per offer segment within the Incremental Energy Offer;

P = the price (in dollars per megawatt-hour) per offer segment within the Incremental Energy Offer;

UBS = Uses Bid-Slope = 0 for block-offer resources (i.e., a resource with an Incremental Energy Offer that uses a step function curve); and 1 for all other resources (i.e., resources with an Incremental Energy Offer that uses a sloped offer curve); and

If the price submitted for the offer segment is less than or equal to the Maximum Allowable Incremental Cost then that offer segment shall be deemed verified and is eligible to set the applicable Locational Marginal Price. If the price submitted for the offer segment is greater than the Maximum Allowable Incremental Cost, then the Market Seller’s cost-based offer for that segment and all segments at an equal or greater price are deemed not verified and are not eligible to set the applicable Locational Marginal Price and such offer shall be price capped at the greater of $1,000/megawatt-hour or the offer price of the most expensive verified segment on the Incremental Energy Offer for the purpose of setting Locational Marginal Prices; provided however, such Market Seller shall be allowed to submit a challenge to a non-verification determination, including supporting documentation, to the Office of the Interconnection in accordance with the procedures set forth in the PJM Manuals. Upon review of such
documentation, the Office of the Interconnection may determine that the Market Seller’s cost-
based offer is verified and eligible to set the applicable Locational Marginal Price as described
above.

(i) For the first incremental segment (i=1), when the MW in the segment is
greater than zero, the first segment shall be screened as a block-loaded
segment (UBS=0) as if there was a preceding MW_{i-1} of zero. The Maximum
Allowable Incremental Cost calculation for the first incremental would use
a preceding Bid Production Cost _i-1 (at zero MW) equal to the energy No-
Load Cost.

(ii) For the first incremental segment (i=1), when the MW in the segment is
equal to zero, and is the only bid-in segment to be verified, then the segment
shall be deemed not verified and subject to the rules as described above.

(iii) For the first incremental segment (i=1), when the MW in the segment is
equal to zero, and there are additional segments to be verified, then the first
segment shall be deemed verified only if the second segment is deemed
verified. If the second segment is deemed not verified, then the first segment
shall also be deemed not verified and subject to the rules as described above.

(b) If an Economic Load Response Participant a cost-based demand reduction offer
that includes incremental costs greater than or equal to $1,000/megawatt-hour, in order for that
offer to be eligible to determine the applicable Locational Marginal Price as described in Tariff,
Attachment K-Appendix, section 2.5 (for determining Real-time Prices) and Tariff, Attachment
K-Appendix, section 2.6 (for determining Day-ahead Prices), the Economic Load Response
Participant must validate the incremental costs with the end use customer(s) and, upon request,
submit to the Office of the Interconnection supporting documentation demonstrating that the end-
use customer’s costs in providing such demand reduction are greater than $1,000/megawatt-hour
in accordance with the following provisions:

(i) The supporting documentation must explain and support the quantification
of the end-use customer’s incremental costs; and

(ii) The end use customer’s incremental costs shall include quantifiable cost
incurred for not consuming electricity when dispatched by the Office of the Interconnection, such
as wages paid without production, lost sales, damaged products that cannot be sold, or other
incremental costs as defined in the PJM Manuals or as approved by the Office of the
Interconnection, and may not include shutdown costs.

If upon review of the supporting documentation for the Economic Load Response Participant’s,
cost-based offer by the Office of the Interconnection and the Market Monitoring Unit, the Office
of the Interconnection and/or the Market Monitoring Unit determines that the offer was not
reasonably supported by incremental costs greater than or equal to $1,000/megawatt-hour, the
Office of the Interconnection and/or the Market Monitoring Unit may refer the matter to the FERC Office of Enforcement for investigation.

6.4.3A Verification of Fast-Start Resource Composite Energy Offers Over $1,000/Megawatt-hour

(a) If a Market Seller submits a cost-based offer for a generation resource that is a Fast-Start Resource that results in a Composite Energy Offer that is greater than $1,000/megawatt-hour, then, in order for that Composite Energy Offer to be eligible to set the applicable Locational Marginal Price under Tariff, Attachment K-Appendix, section 2.5 (for determining Real-time Prices) and Tariff, Attachment K-Appendix, section 2.6 (for determining Day-ahead Prices), the Office of the Interconnection shall apply a formulaic screen to verify the reasonableness of the offer components:

Incremental Energy Offer and No-load Cost components of each offer segment shall be evaluated for whether it exceeds the reasonably expected costs for that resource by applying the test described in Tariff, Attachment K-Appendix, section 6.4.3.

Start-Up Cost component shall be evaluated for whether it exceeds the reasonably expected costs for that resource by applying the following formula:

\[
\text{Start-Up Cost} \, ($) = \left[ \left( \text{Performance Factor} \times (\text{Start Fuel}) \times (\text{Fuel Cost}) \right) \right] + \text{Start Maintenance Adder} + \text{Additional Start Labor} + \text{Station Service Cost} \times (1 + A)
\]

Where:

Start Fuel = fuel consumed from first fire of start process to breaker closing plus fuel expended from breaker opening of the previous shutdown to initialization of the (hot) unit start-up, excluding normal plant heating/auxiliary equipment fuel requirements;

Fuel Cost = applicable fuel cost as estimated by the Office of the Interconnection at a geographically appropriate commodity trading hub, plus 10 percent;

Performance Factor = a scaling factor that is a calculated ratio of actual fuel burn to either theoretical fuel burn (i.e., design Heat Input) or other current tested Heat Input, which is determined annually in accordance with the Market Seller’s PJM-approved Fuel Cost Policy under Operating Agreement, Schedule 2 and PJM Manual 15, reflecting the resource’s actual ability to convert fuel into energy (normal operation is 1.0);

Start Maintenance Adder = an adder based on all available maintenance expense history for the defined Maintenance Period regardless of unit
ownership. Only expenses incurred as a result of electric production qualify for inclusion. Only Maintenance Adders specified as $/Start, $/MMBtu, or $/equivalent operating hour can be included in the Start Maintenance Adder;

Start Additional Labor = additional labor costs for startup required above normal station manning levels; and

Station Service Cost = station service usage (MWh) during start-up multiplied by the 12-month rolling average off-peak energy prices as updated quarterly by the Office of the Interconnection.

A = cost adder, in accordance with Tariff, Attachment K-Appendix, section 6.4.2(a)(ii).

(b) Should the submitted Incremental Energy Offer and No-load Cost exceed the reasonably expected costs for that resource as calculated pursuant to subsection (a) above for any segment, then for the determination of Locational Marginal Prices as described in Tariff, Attachment K-Appendix, section 2.5 (for determining Real-time Prices) and Tariff, Attachment K-Appendix, section 2.6 (for determining Day-ahead Prices):

(i) the Incremental Energy Offer for each segment shall be capped at the lesser of the cap described above in Tariff, Attachment K-Appendix, section 6.4.3 or the submitted Incremental Energy Offer; and

(ii) the amortized No-load cost shall be adjusted as described in Tariff, Attachment K-Appendix, section 2.4 (Determination of Energy Offers Used in Calculating Real-time Prices) and Tariff, Attachment K-Appendix, section 2.4A (Determination of Energy Offers Used in Calculating Day-ahead Prices) excluded from the Composite Energy Offer.

(c) Should the submitted Start-Up Cost exceed the reasonably expected costs for that resource as calculated pursuant to subsection (a) above, then for the determination of Locational Marginal Prices as described in Tariff, Attachment K-Appendix, section 2.5 (for determining Real-time Prices) and Tariff, Attachment K-Appendix, section 2.6 (for determining Day-ahead Prices), the Start-Up Costs shall be adjusted as described in Tariff, Attachment K-Appendix, section 2.4 (Determination of Energy Offers Used in Calculating Real-time Prices) and Tariff, Attachment K-Appendix, section 2.4A (Determination of Energy Offers Used in Calculating Day-ahead Prices) excluded from the Composite Energy Offer.

(d) If an Economic Load Response Participant submits an offer to reduce demand for a Fast-Start Resource where the maximum segment of the resulting Composite Energy Offer exceeds $1,000/megawatt-hour, then, in order for that Composite Energy Offer to be eligible to set the applicable Locational Marginal Price under Tariff, Attachment K-Appendix, section 2.5 (for determining Real-time Prices) and Tariff, Attachment K-Appendix, section 2.6 (for determining Day-ahead Prices), the Economic Load Response Participant must validate such costs with the end
use customer(s) and, upon request, submit to the Office of the Interconnection supporting
documentation demonstrating that the end-use customer’s costs in providing such demand
reduction are greater than $1,000/megawatt-hour in accordance with the following provisions:

(i) The supporting documentation must explain and support the quantification of the end-use customer’s incremental costs and shutdown costs; and

(ii) The end use customer’s incremental and shutdown costs shall include quantifiable cost incurred for not consuming electricity when dispatched by the Office of the Interconnection, such as wages paid without production, lost sales, damaged products that cannot be sold, or other incremental costs as defined in the PJM Manuals or as approved by the Office of the Interconnection.

If upon review of the supporting documentation for the Economic Load Response Participant’s, cost-based offer by the Office of the Interconnection and the Market Monitoring Unit, the Office of the Interconnection and/or the Market Monitoring Unit determines that the offer was not reasonably supported by incremental and shutdown costs greater than or equal to $1,000/megawatt-hour, the Office of the Interconnection and/or the Market Monitoring Unit may refer the matter to the FERC Office of Enforcement for investigation.

Should the submitted shutdown cost exceed the reasonably supported costs for that resource, then for the determination of Locational Marginal Prices as described in Tariff, Attachment K-Appendix, section 2.5 (for determining Real-time Prices) and Tariff, Attachment K-Appendix, section 2.6 (for determining Day-ahead Prices), the shutdown costs shall be adjusted as described in Tariff, Attachment K-Appendix, section 2.4 (Determination of Energy Offers Used in Calculating Real-time Prices) and Tariff, Attachment K-Appendix, section 2.4A (Determination of Energy Offers Used in Calculating Day-ahead Prices), excluded from the Composite Energy Offer.
Attachment B

Revisions to the
PJM Open Access Transmission Tariff

(Clean Format)
Definitions – E - F

Economic-based Enhancement or Expansion:

“Economic-based Enhancement or Expansion” shall have the same meaning provided in the Operating Agreement.

Economic Load Response Participant:

“Economic Load Response Participant” shall mean a Member or Special Member that qualifies under Operating Agreement, Schedule 1, section 1.5A, and the parallel provisions of Tariff, Attachment K-Appendix, section 1.5A, to participate in the PJM Interchange Energy Market and/or Ancillary Services markets through reductions in demand.

Economic Maximum:

“Economic Maximum” shall mean the highest incremental MW output level, submitted to PJM market systems by a Market Participant, that a unit can achieve while following economic dispatch.

Economic Minimum:

“Economic Minimum” shall mean the lowest incremental MW output level, submitted to PJM market systems by a Market Participant, that a unit can achieve while following economic dispatch.

Effective FTR Holder:

“Effective FTR Holder” shall mean:

(i) For an FTR Holder that is either a (a) privately held company, or (b) a municipality or electric cooperative, as defined in the Federal Power Act, such FTR Holder, together with any Affiliate, subsidiary or parent of the FTR Holder, any other entity that is under common ownership, wholly or partly, directly or indirectly, or has the ability to influence, directly or indirectly, the management or policies of the FTR Holder; or

(ii) For an FTR Holder that is a publicly traded company including a wholly owned subsidiary of a publicly traded company, such FTR Holder, together with any Affiliate, subsidiary or parent of the FTR Holder, any other PJM Member has over 10% common ownership with the FTR Holder, wholly or partly, directly or indirectly, or has the ability to influence, directly or indirectly, the management or policies of the FTR Holder; or

(iii) an FTR Holder together with any other PJM Member, including also any Affiliate, subsidiary or parent of such other PJM Member, with which it shares common ownership, wholly or partly, directly or indirectly, in any third entity which is a PJM Member (e.g., a joint venture).
EFORd:

“EFORd” shall have the meaning specified in the PJM Reliability Assurance Agreement.

Electrical Distance:

“Electrical Distance” shall mean, for a Generation Capacity Resource geographically located outside the metered boundaries of the PJM Region, the measure of distance, based on impedance and in accordance with the PJM Manuals, from the Generation Capacity Resource to the PJM Region.

Eligible Customer:

“Eligible Customer” shall mean:

(i) Any electric utility (including any Transmission Owner and any power marketer), Federal power marketing agency, or any person generating electric energy for sale for resale is an Eligible Customer under the Tariff. Electric energy sold or produced by such entity may be electric energy produced in the United States, Canada or Mexico. However, with respect to transmission service that the Commission is prohibited from ordering by Section 212(h) of the Federal Power Act, such entity is eligible only if the service is provided pursuant to a state requirement that the Transmission Provider or Transmission Owner offer the unbundled transmission service, or pursuant to a voluntary offer of such service by a Transmission Owner.

(ii) Any retail customer taking unbundled transmission service pursuant to a state requirement that the Transmission Provider or a Transmission Owner offer the transmission service, or pursuant to a voluntary offer of such service by a Transmission Owner, is an Eligible Customer under the Tariff. As used in Tariff, Part VI, Eligible Customer shall mean only those Eligible Customers that have submitted a Completed Application.

Eligible Fast-Start Resource:

“Eligible Fast-Start Resource” shall mean a Fast-Start Resource that is eligible for the application of Integer Relaxation during the calculation of Locational Marginal Prices as set forth in Tariff, Attachment K-Appendix, section 2.2.

Emergency Action:

“Emergency Action” shall mean any emergency action for locational or system-wide capacity shortages that either utilizes pre-emergency mandatory load management reductions or other emergency capacity, or initiates a more severe action including, but not limited to, a Voltage Reduction Warning, Voltage Reduction Action, Manual Load Dump Warning, or Manual Load Dump Action.
Emergency Condition:

“Emergency Condition” shall mean a condition or situation (i) that in the judgment of any Interconnection Party is imminently likely to endanger life or property; or (ii) that in the judgment of the Interconnected Transmission Owner or Transmission Provider is imminently likely (as determined in a non-discriminatory manner) to cause a material adverse effect on the security of, or damage to, the Transmission System, the Interconnection Facilities, or the transmission systems or distribution systems to which the Transmission System is directly or indirectly connected; or (iii) that in the judgment of Interconnection Customer is imminently likely (as determined in a non-discriminatory manner) to cause damage to the Customer Facility or to the Customer Interconnection Facilities. System restoration and black start shall be considered Emergency Conditions, provided that a Generation Interconnection Customer is not obligated by an Interconnection Service Agreement to possess black start capability. Any condition or situation that results from lack of sufficient generating capacity to meet load requirements or that results solely from economic conditions shall not constitute an Emergency Condition, unless one or more of the enumerated conditions or situations identified in this definition also exists.

Emergency Load Response Program:

“Emergency Load Response Program” shall mean the program by which Curtailment Service Providers may be compensated by PJM for Demand Resources that will reduce load when dispatched by PJM during emergency conditions, and is described in Operating Agreement, Schedule 1, section 8 and the parallel provisions of Tariff, Attachment K-Appendix, section 8.

Energy Efficiency Resource:

“Energy Efficiency Resource” shall have the meaning specified in the PJM Reliability Assurance Agreement.

Energy Market Opportunity Cost:

“Energy Market Opportunity Cost” shall mean the difference between (a) the forecasted cost to operate a specific generating unit when the unit only has a limited number of available run hours due to limitations imposed on the unit by Applicable Laws and Regulations, and (b) the forecasted future Locational Marginal Price at which the generating unit could run while not violating such limitations. Energy Market Opportunity Cost therefore is the value associated with a specific generating unit’s lost opportunity to produce energy during a higher valued period of time occurring within the same compliance period, which compliance period is determined by the applicable regulatory authority and is reflected in the rules set forth in PJM Manual 15. Energy Market Opportunity Costs shall be limited to those resources which are specifically delineated in Operating Agreement, Schedule 2.

Energy Resource:

“Energy Resource” shall mean a generating facility that is not a Capacity Resource.

Energy Settlement Area:
“Energy Settlement Area” shall mean the bus or distribution of busses that represents the physical location of Network Load and by which the obligations of the Network Customer to PJM are settled.

Energy Storage Resource:

“Energy Storage Resource” shall mean a resource capable of receiving electric energy from the grid and storing it for later injection to the grid that participates in the PJM Energy, Capacity and/or Ancillary Services markets as a Market Participant.

Energy Transmission Injection Rights:

“Energy Transmission Injection Rights” shall mean the rights to schedule energy deliveries at a specified point on the Transmission System. Energy Transmission Injection Rights may be awarded only to a Merchant D.C. Transmission Facility that connects the Transmission System to another control area. Deliveries scheduled using Energy Transmission Injection Rights have rights similar to those under Non-Firm Point-to-Point Transmission Service.

Environmental Laws:

“Environmental Laws” shall mean applicable Laws or Regulations relating to pollution or protection of the environment, natural resources or human health and safety.

Environmentally-Limited Resource:

“Environmentally-Limited Resource” shall mean a resource which has a limit on its run hours imposed by a federal, state, or other governmental agency that will significantly limit its availability, on either a temporary or long-term basis. This includes a resource that is limited by a governmental authority to operating only during declared PJM capacity emergencies.

Equivalent Load:

“Equivalent Load” shall mean the sum of a Market Participant’s net system requirements to serve its customer load in the PJM Region, if any, plus its net bilateral transactions.

Existing Generation Capacity Resource:

“Existing Generation Capacity Resource” shall have the meaning specified in the Reliability Assurance Agreement.

Export Credit Exposure:

“Export Credit Exposure” is determined for each Market Participant for a given Operating Day, and shall mean the sum of credit exposures for the Market Participant’s Export Transactions for that Operating Day and for the preceding Operating Day.
Export Nodal Reference Price:

“Export Nodal Reference Price” at each location is the 97th percentile, shall be, the real-time hourly integrated price experienced over the corresponding two-month period in the preceding calendar year, calculated separately for peak and off-peak time periods. The two-month time periods used in this calculation shall be January and February, March and April, May and June, July and August, September and October, and November and December.

Export Transaction:

“Export Transaction” shall be a transaction by a Market Participant that results in the transfer of energy from within the PJM Control Area to outside the PJM Control Area. Coordinated External Transactions that result in the transfer of energy from the PJM Control Area to an adjacent Control Area are one form of Export Transaction.

Export Transaction Price Factor:

“Export Transaction Price Factor” for a prospective time interval shall be the greater of (i) PJM’s forecast price for the time interval, if available, or (ii) the Export Nodal Reference Price, but shall not exceed the Export Transaction’s dispatch ceiling price cap, if any, for that time interval. The Export Transaction Price Factor for a past time interval shall be calculated in the same manner as for a prospective time interval, except that the Export Transaction Price Factor may use a tentative or final settlement price, as available. If an Export Nodal Reference Price is not available for a particular time interval, PJM may use an Export Transaction Price Factor for that time interval based on an appropriate alternate reference price.

Export Transaction Screening:

“Export Transaction Screening” shall be the process PJM uses to review the Export Credit Exposure of Export Transactions against the Credit Available for Export Transactions, and deny or curtail all or a portion of an Export Transaction, if the credit required for such transactions is greater than the credit available for the transactions.

Export Transactions Net Activity:

“Export Transactions Net Activity” shall mean the aggregate net total, resulting from Export Transactions, of (i) Spot Market Energy charges, (ii) Transmission Congestion Charges, and (iii) Transmission Loss Charges, calculated as set forth in Operating Agreement, Schedule 1 and the parallel provisions of Tariff, Attachment K-Appendix. Export Transactions Net Activity may be positive or negative.

Extended Primary Reserve Requirement:

“Extended Primary Reserve Requirement” shall equal the Primary Reserve Requirement in a Reserve Zone or Reserve Sub-zone, plus 190 MW, plus any additional reserves scheduled under
emergency conditions necessary to address operational uncertainty. The Extended Primary Reserve Requirement is calculated in accordance with the PJM Manuals.

**Extended Summer Demand Resource:**

“Extended Summer Demand Resource” shall have the meaning specified in the Reliability Assurance Agreement.

**Extended Summer Resource Price Adder:**

“Extended Summer Resource Price Adder” shall mean, for Delivery Years through May 31, 2018, an addition to the marginal value of Unforced Capacity as necessary to reflect the price of Annual Resources and Extended Summer Demand Resources required to meet the applicable Minimum Extended Summer Resource Requirement.

**Extended Synchronized Reserve Requirement:**

“Extended Synchronized Reserve Requirement” shall equal the Synchronized Reserve Requirement in a Reserve Zone or Reserve Sub-zone, plus 190 MW, plus any additional reserves scheduled under emergency conditions necessary to address operational uncertainty. The Extended Synchronized Reserve Requirement is calculated in accordance with the PJM Manuals.

**External Market Buyer:**

“External Market Buyer” shall mean a Market Buyer making purchases of energy from the PJM Interchange Energy Market for consumption by end-users outside the PJM Region, or for load in the PJM Region that is not served by Network Transmission Service.

**External Resource:**

“External Resource” shall mean a generation resource located outside the metered boundaries of the PJM Region.

**Facilities Study:**

“Facilities Study” shall be an engineering study conducted by the Transmission Provider (in coordination with the affected Transmission Owner(s)) to: (1) determine the required modifications to the Transmission Provider’s Transmission System necessary to implement the conclusions of the System Impact Study; and (2) complete any additional studies or analyses documented in the System Impact Study or required by PJM Manuals, and determine the required modifications to the Transmission Provider’s Transmission System based on the conclusions of such additional studies. The Facilities Study shall include the cost and scheduled completion date for such modifications, that will be required to provide the requested transmission service or to accommodate a New Service Request. As used in the Interconnection Service Agreement or Construction Service Agreement, Facilities Study shall mean that certain Facilities Study conducted by Transmission Provider (or at its direction) to determine the design
and specification of the Customer Funded Upgrades necessary to accommodate the New Service Customer’s New Service Request in accordance with Tariff, Part VI, section 207.

Fast-Start Resource:

“Fast-Start Resource” shall have the meaning set forth in Tariff, Attachment K-Appendix, section 2.2A.

Federal Power Act:


FERC or Commission:

“FERC” or “Commission” shall mean the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission or any successor federal agency, commission or department exercising jurisdiction over the Tariff, Operating Agreement and Reliability Assurance Agreement.

FERC Market Rules:

“FERC Market Rules” mean the market behavior rules and the prohibition against electric energy market manipulation codified by the Commission in its Rules and Regulations at 18 CFR §§ 1c.2 and 35.37, respectively; the Commission-approved PJM Market Rules and any related proscriptions or any successor rules that the Commission from time to time may issue, approve or otherwise establish.

Final Offer:

“Final Offer” shall mean the offer on which a resource was dispatched by the Office of the Interconnection for a particular clock hour for the Operating Day.

Final RTO Unforced Capacity Obligation:

“Final RTO Unforced Capacity Obligation” shall mean the capacity obligation for the PJM Region, determined in accordance with RAA, Schedule 8.

Financial Close:

“Financial Close” shall mean the Capacity Market Seller has demonstrated that the Capacity Market Seller or its agent has completed the act of executing the material contracts and/or other documents necessary to (1) authorize construction of the project and (2) establish the necessary funding for the project under the control of an independent third-party entity. A sworn, notarized certification of an independent engineer certifying to such facts, and that the engineer has personal knowledge of, or has engaged in a diligent inquiry to determine, such facts, shall be sufficient to make such demonstration. For resources that do not have external financing, Financial Close shall mean the project has full funding available, and that the project has been
duly authorized to proceed with full construction of the material portions of the project by the appropriate governing body of the company funding such project. A sworn, notarized certification by an officer of such company certifying to such facts, and that the officer has personal knowledge of, or has engaged in a diligent inquiry to determine, such facts, shall be sufficient to make such demonstration.

Financial Transmission Right:

“Financial Transmission Right” or “FTR” shall mean a right to receive Transmission Congestion Credits as specified in Operating Agreement, Schedule 1, section 5.2.2 and the parallel provisions of Tariff, Attachment K-Appendix, section 5.2.2.

Financial Transmission Right Obligation:

“Financial Transmission Right Obligation” shall mean a right to receive Transmission Congestion Credits as specified in Operating Agreement, Schedule 1, section 5.2.2(b), and the parallel provisions of Tariff, Attachment K-Appendix, section 5.2.2(b).

Financial Transmission Right Option:

“Financial Transmission Right Option” shall mean a right to receive Transmission Congestion Credits as specified in Operating Agreement, Schedule 1, section 5.2.2(c), and the parallel provisions of Tariff, Attachment K-Appendix, section 5.2.2(c).

Firm Point-To-Point Transmission Service:

“Firm Point-To-Point Transmission Service” shall mean Transmission Service under the Tariff that is reserved and/or scheduled between specified Points of Receipt and Delivery pursuant to Tariff, Part II.

Firm Transmission Feasibility Study:

“Firm Transmission Feasibility Study” shall mean a study conducted by the Transmission Provider in accordance with Tariff, Part II, section 19.3 and Tariff, Part III, section 32.3.

Firm Transmission Withdrawal Rights:

“Firm Transmission Withdrawal Rights” shall mean the rights to schedule energy and capacity withdrawals from a Point of Interconnection of a Merchant Transmission Facility with the Transmission System. Firm Transmission Withdrawal Rights may be awarded only to a Merchant D.C. Transmission Facility that connects the Transmission System with another control area. Withdrawals scheduled using Firm Transmission Withdrawal Rights have rights similar to those under Firm Point-to-Point Transmission Service.

First Incremental Auction:
“First Incremental Auction” shall mean an Incremental Auction conducted 20 months prior to the start of the Delivery Year to which it relates.

Flexible Resource:

“Flexible Resource” shall mean a generating resource that must have a combined Start-up Time and Notification Time of less than or equal to two hours; and a Minimum Run Time of less than or equal to two hours.

Forecast Pool Requirement:

“Forecast Pool Requirement” shall have the meaning specified in the Reliability Assurance Agreement.

Foreign Guaranty:

“Foreign Guaranty” shall mean a Corporate Guaranty provided by an Affiliate of a Participant that is domiciled in a foreign country, and meets all of the provisions of Tariff, Attachment Q.

Form 715 Planning Criteria:

“Form 715 Planning Criteria” shall have the same meaning provided in the Operating Agreement.

FTR Credit Limit:

“FTR Credit Limit” shall mean the amount of credit established with PJMSettlement that an FTR Participant has specifically designated to be used for FTR activity in a specific customer account. Any such credit so set aside shall not be considered available to satisfy any other credit requirement the FTR Participant may have with PJMSettlement.

FTR Credit Requirement:

“FTR Credit Requirement” shall mean the amount of credit that a Participant must provide in order to support the FTR positions that it holds and/or for which it is bidding. The FTR Credit Requirement shall not include months for which the invoicing has already been completed, provided that PJMSettlement shall have up to two Business Days following the date of the invoice completion to make such adjustments in its credit systems. FTR Credit Requirements are calculated and applied separately for each separate customer account.

FTR Flow Undiversified:

“FTR Flow Undiversified” shall have the meaning established in Tariff, Attachment Q, section V.G.

FTR Historical Value:
For each FTR for each month, “FTR Historical Value” shall mean the weighted average of historical values over three years for the FTR path using the following weightings: 50% - most recent year; 30% - second year; 20% - third year.

**FTR Holder:**

“FTR Holder” shall mean the PJM Member that has acquired and possesses an FTR.

**FTR Monthly Credit Requirement Contribution:**

For each FTR, for each month, ”FTR Monthly Credit Requirement Contribution” shall mean the total FTR cost for the month, prorated on a daily basis, less the FTR Historical Value for the month. For cleared FTRs, this contribution may be negative; prior to clearing, FTRs with negative contribution shall be deemed to have zero contribution.

**FTR Net Activity:**

“FTR Net Activity” shall mean the aggregate net value of the billing line items for auction revenue rights credits, FTR auction charges, FTR auction credits, and FTR congestion credits, and shall also include day-ahead and balancing/real-time congestion charges up to a maximum net value of the sum of the foregoing auction revenue rights credits, FTR auction charges, FTR auction credits and FTR congestion credits.

**FTR Participant:**

“FTR Participant” shall mean any Market Participant that provides or is required to provide Collateral in order to participate in PJM’s FTR auctions.

**FTR Portfolio Auction Value:**

“FTR Portfolio Auction Value” shall mean for each customer account of a Market Participant, the sum, calculated on a monthly basis, across all FTRs, of the FTR price times the FTR volume in MW.

**Fuel Cost Policy:**

“Fuel Cost Policy” shall mean the document provided by a Market Seller to PJM and the Market Monitoring Unit in accordance with PJM Manual 15 and Operating Agreement, Schedule 2, which documents the Market Seller’s method used to price fuel for calculation of the Market Seller’s cost-based offers for a generation resource.

**Full Notice to Proceed:**
“Full Notice to Proceed” shall mean that all material third party contractors have been given the notice to proceed with construction by the Capacity Market Seller or its agent, with a guaranteed completion date backed by liquidated damages.
2.2 General.

The Office of the Interconnection calculates Locational Marginal Prices separately from and subsequent to the security-constrained unit commitment and security-constrained economic dispatch of the system, the latter of which is referred to as the dispatch run. The calculation of Locational Marginal Prices, which occurs in a process referred to as the pricing run, is based on the same optimization problem as the security-constrained economic dispatch. The objective of both the dispatch run and the pricing run is to serve load and meet reserve requirements at the least cost while respecting transmission constraints. However, Integer Relaxation is applied only to Eligible Fast-Start Resources committed in the pricing run to provide energy.

In the dispatch run a commitment state of 1 represents a resource is committed and 0 represents a resource is not committed. In the pricing run Integer Relaxation allows the commitment state of a committed Eligible Fast-Start Resource to be lowered to any value between 0 and 1, inclusive of 0 and 1. This in turn allows the optimization problem in the pricing run to use any fraction of a committed Eligible Fast-Start Resource’s output, including an amount less than the resource’s offered Economic Minimum output, in the determination of Locational Marginal Prices.
2.2A Fast-Start Resources.

(a) A Fast-Start Resource is a resource capable of operating with a notification time plus startup time of one hour or less and a Minimum Run Time of one hour or less or Minimum Down Time of one hour or less based on its operating characteristics. Fast-Start Resources include Economic Load Response Participant resources and the following types of generation resources: fuel cell, combustion turbine, diesel, hydropower, battery, solar, landfill, and wind. Other resources may be considered a Fast-Start Resource by obtaining written approval from the Office of the Interconnection pursuant to subsection (b) below.

(b) The Market Seller of a resource not considered a Fast-Start Resource may obtain approval for such resource to be considered a Fast-Start Resource by submitting to the Office of the Interconnection and the Market Monitoring Unit a written request for approval and provide documentation to support the resource’s capability of operating with a notification time plus startup time of one hour or less and a Minimum Run Time of one hour or less or Minimum Down Time of one hour or less based on its operating characteristics, such as historical operating data showing the ability to provide energy upon an hour’s notice. The Office of the Interconnection and the Market Monitoring Unit shall review, in an open and transparent manner as between the Market Seller, the Market Monitoring Unit, and the Office of the Interconnection, the information and documentation in support of the request for approval for a resource to be a Fast-Start Resource. A Market Seller must submit such a request, and supporting documentation, no later than April 15, and the Office of the Interconnection shall determine, with the advice and input of the Marketing Monitoring Unit, whether the resource will be considered Fast-Start capable and provide no later than the following May 30 written notification to the Market Seller of such determination. If the request is granted, the resource shall be considered a Fast-Start Resource as of the next June 1 following submission of the request. If the request is denied, the Office of the Interconnection shall include in the notice a written explanation for the denial.

(c) To the extent a Fast-Start Resource fails, on a persistent basis, to provide energy or load reduction consistent with offer parameters on which it was committed of a notification time plus startup time of one hour or less and a Minimum Run Time of one hour or less or minimum down time of one hour or less, the Office of the Interconnection may deem, in consultation with the Market Monitoring Unit, that a resource is no longer considered a Fast-Start Resource. The Office of the Interconnection shall provide written notification, with a written explanation, to the Market Seller of such determination. A resource may regain Fast-Start Resource status pursuant to subsection (b) above.

(d) A Fast-Start Resource shall be an Eligible Fast-Start Resource when the following apply:

   (i) A generation resource is committed on an offer with a notification time plus startup time of one hour or less and a Minimum Run Time of one hour or less.

   (ii) An Economic Load Response Participant resource is committed on an offer with a notification time of one hour or less and a Minimum Down Time of one hour or less.

   (iii) The resource shall not be any of the following:

        a. Self-scheduled for Energy in a given interval;
b. A pumped storage hydropower unit scheduled by the Office of the Interconnection pursuant to the hydro optimization tool in the Day-ahead Energy Market;
c. A pseudo-tied resource that does not provide all of their output to PJM; or
d. A dynamically scheduled resource.

Only Eligible Fast-Start Resources shall have Integer Relaxation applied in the calculation of Locational Marginal Prices.
2.4 Determination of Energy Offers Used in Calculating Real-time Prices.

(a) During the Operating Day, real-time Locational Marginal Prices derived in accordance with this section shall be determined every five minutes.

(b) To determine the energy offers submitted to the PJM Interchange Energy Market that shall be used during the Operating Day to calculate the Real-time Prices, the Office of the Interconnection shall determine the applicable marginal energy offer of the resources being dispatched by the Office of the Interconnection using the offer schedule on which the resource is committed in the dispatch run.

The Office of the Interconnection will determine a resource’s applicable marginal energy offer by comparing the megawatt output of the resource from the pricing run with the Market Seller’s Incremental Energy Offer curve or, for Eligible Fast-Start Resources, the Market Seller’s Composite Energy Offer. For Eligible Fast-Start Resources, the amortized Start-Up Costs and amortized No-load Costs, expressed in dollars per megawatt-hour, are added to the resource’s Incremental Energy Offer to determine a Composite Energy Offer, as described below:

(i) The amortized Start-Up Cost for a generation resource shall equal the resource’s applicable Start-Up Cost, as determined in accordance with the PJM Manuals, amortized over (A) the resource’s Economic Maximum or Emergency Maximum output, whichever is applicable, and (B) the resource’s Minimum Run Time, rounded up to the nearest twelfth of an hour. The amortized Start-Up Cost is included in the resource’s Composite Energy Offer in each five-minute interval in which the resource is pool-scheduled during the resource’s Minimum Run Time. If the Minimum Run Time is less than 5 minutes, the Minimum Run Time used to calculate the amortized Start-Up Cost is 5 minutes and the amortized Start-Up Cost is added to the Incremental Energy Offer for the first five minute interval in which the resource runs. After the Minimum Run Time has been met, the amortized Start-Up Cost is not included in the Composite Energy Offer. To determine the amortized Start-Up Cost for Economic Load Response Participant resources, the Minimum Down Time is used in place of Minimum Run Time and shutdown cost is used in place of Start-Up Cost in the above equation. The amortized Start-Up Cost, to the extent it is reviewed pursuant to Tariff, Attachment K-Appendix, section 6.4.3A, shall be adjusted if the resource’s applicable Start-Up Cost exceeds the reasonably expected cost, as described in subsection (iii) below.

(ii) The amortized No-load Cost shall equal the resource’s applicable No-load Cost, amortized over the resource’s Economic Maximum or Emergency Maximum output, whichever is applicable, and included in the Composite Energy Offer for each interval in which the resource is pool-scheduled. The amortized No-load Cost, to the extent it is reviewed pursuant to Tariff, Attachment K-Appendix, section 6.4.3A, shall be adjusted if the resource’s
applicable Incremental Energy Offer and No-load Cost exceed the reasonably expected cost, as described in subsection (iii) below.

(iii) To the extent a Composite Energy Offer of a generation resource that is an Eligible Fast-Start Resource is less than $2,000/megawatt-hour and is reviewed pursuant to Operating Agreement, Schedule 1, 6.4.3A, pursuant to which the Office of the Interconnection evaluates the resource’s submitted Start-Up Cost and No-load Cost against the resource’s reasonably expected Start-Up Cost and No-load Cost, adjustments may be applied to yield a Composite Energy Offer no lower than $1,000/megawatt-hour at the Economic Maximum output as follows:

1) If the submitted Start-Up Cost and No-load Cost do not exceed the respective reasonably expected costs, no adjustments shall be made to the submitted Composite Energy Offer.

2) If the submitted Start-Up Cost does not exceed the resource’s reasonably expected Start-Up Cost but the submitted No-load Cost does exceed the reasonably expected No-load Cost, then the Composite Energy offer shall equal the Incremental Energy Offer plus the amortized submitted Start-Up Cost; provided, however, if the resulting Composite Energy Offer at Economic Maximum yields a value less than $1,000/megawatt-hour, then the Composite Energy Offer shall include an amortized No-load Cost value sufficient to make the Composite Energy Offer equal to $1,000/megawatt-hour at Economic Maximum.

3) If the submitted Start-Up Cost exceeds the resource’s reasonably expected Start-Up Cost but the submitted No-load Cost does not exceed the reasonably expected No-load Cost, then the Composite Energy Offer shall equal the Incremental Energy Offer plus the amortized No-load Cost; provided, however, if the resulting Composite Energy Offer at Economic Maximum yields a value less than $1,000/megawatt-hour, then the Composite Energy Offer shall include an amortized Start-Up Cost value sufficient to make the Composite Energy Offer equal to $1,000/megawatt-hour at Economic Maximum.

4) If both the submitted Start-Up Cost and No-load Cost exceed the respective reasonably expected costs and the Incremental Energy Offer is below $1,000 MWh, then the Composite Energy Offer shall equal $1,000/megawatt-hour and be composed of the resource’s: (i) Incremental Energy Offer, (ii) a No-load Cost value equal to the lesser of the resource’s amortized submitted No-load Cost or a value sufficient to make the Composite Energy Offer at Economic Maximum equal to $1,000/megawatt-hour, and (iii) to the extent the sum of the foregoing is less than $1,000/megawatt-hour, an amortized Start-Up Cost value sufficient to make the Composite Energy Offer equal to $1,000/megawatt-hour at Economic Maximum.
(c) If a generation resource that is an Eligible Fast-Start Resource submits a market-based offer that results in a Composite Energy Offer that exceeds $1,000/megawatt-hour at the resource’s Economic Maximum:

(i) If the Incremental Energy Offer of the market-based schedule exceeds the Incremental Energy Offer of the associated cost-based offer, then the amortized Start-Up Cost and the amortized No-load Cost for the market-based schedule shall both be considered to exceed their respective reasonably expected cost, and the Composite Energy Offer shall be equal to $1,000/megawatt-hour and be composed of the resource’s (i) Incremental Energy Offer, (ii) a No-load Cost value equal to the lesser of the resource’s amortized submitted No-load Cost or a value sufficient to make the Composite Energy Offer at Economic Maximum equal to $1,000/megawatt-hour, and (iii) to the extent the sum of the foregoing is less than $1,000/megawatt-hour, an amortized Start-Up Cost value sufficient to make the Composite Energy Offer equal to $1,000/megawatt-hour at Economic Maximum.

(ii) If the Incremental Energy Offer of the market-based schedule is not greater than the Incremental Energy Offer of the associated cost-based offer and:

1. If the amortized No-load Cost for the market-based schedule exceeds the No-load Cost of the associated cost-based offer or, exceeds the reasonably expected cost of such cost-based offer, then the amortized No-load Cost shall be adjusted in the matter set forth in subsections (b)(iii)(2) and (4) above, as applicable.

2. If the amortized Start-Up Cost for the market-based schedule exceeds the Start-Up Cost of the specified on the associated cost-based offer or exceeds the reasonably expected cost of the Start-Up Cost of such cost-based offer, then the amortized Start-Up Cost shall be adjusted in the manner set forth in subsections (b)(iii)(3) and (4), as applicable.

3. To the extent the Composite Energy Offer resulting from subsections (c)(ii)(1) and (2) above would exceed $2,000/MWh, then the Composite Energy Offer shall equal $2,000/megawatt-hour and the submitted Start-Up Cost and No-load Cost shall be adjusted in the manner set forth in subsection (e) below.

(d) For purposes of calculating Real-time Prices, the applicable marginal Incremental Energy Offer used in the calculation of Real-time Prices shall not exceed $2,000/megawatt-hour.

(e) If a generation resource that is an Eligible Fast-Start Resource submits an offer that results in a Composite Energy Offer with a maximum segment that exceeds $2,000/megawatt-hour and such offer is reviewed pursuant to Operating Agreement, Schedule 1, 6.4.3A, pursuant to which the Office of the Interconnection evaluates the resource’s submitted
Start-Up Cost and No-load Cost against the resource’s reasonably expected Start-Up Cost and No-load Cost, the following adjustments will be made to cap the offer at no higher than $2,000/megawatt-hour:

(i) If the submitted Start-Up Cost and No-load Cost do not exceed the respective reasonably expected costs, the Composite Energy Offer shall equal $2,000/megawatt-hour and be composed of: (i) the resource’s Incremental Energy Offer, (ii) to the extent the Incremental Energy Offer is less than $2,000/megawatt-hour, a No-load Cost value equal to the lesser of the resource’s amortized submitted No-load Cost or a value sufficient to make the Composite Energy Offer at Economic Maximum equal to $2,000/megawatt-hour, and (iii) to the extent the sum of the foregoing is less than $2,000/megawatt-hour, a Start-Up Cost value an amortized Start-Up Cost value sufficient to make the Composite Energy Offer at Economic Maximum equal to $2,000/megawatt-hour.

(ii) If the submitted Start-Up Cost does not exceed the resource’s reasonably expected Start-Up Cost but the submitted No-load Cost does exceed the reasonably expected No-load Cost, then the Composite Energy offer shall equal the Incremental Energy Offer plus the amortized submitted Start-Up Cost; provided, however, if the resulting Composite Energy Offer at Economic Maximum yields a value greater than $2,000/megawatt-hour, then the Composite Energy Offer shall include an amortized Start Up Cost value sufficient to make the Composite Energy Offer equal to $2,000/megawatt-hour.

(iii) If the submitted Start-Up Cost exceeds the resource’s reasonably expected Start-Up Cost but the submitted No-load Cost does not exceed the reasonably expected No-load Cost, then the Composite Energy Offer shall equal the Incremental Energy Offer plus the amortized No-load Cost; provided, however, if the resulting Composite Energy Offer at Economic Maximum yields a value greater than $2,000/megawatt-hour, then the Composite Energy Offer shall include an amortized No-load Cost value sufficient to make the Composite Energy Offer equal to $2,000/megawatt-hour.

(iv) If the submitted Start-Up Cost and No-load Cost both exceed the respective reasonably expected costs, the Composite Energy Offer shall equal the lesser of the resource’s Incremental Energy Offer or $2,000/megawatt-hour.

(v) To the extent any of the foregoing subsections (e)(ii) through (e)(iv) would result in a Composite Energy Offer less than $1,000/MWh at Economic Maximum, the Composite Energy Offer shall equal $1,000/megawatt-hour and be composed of the resource’s: (i) Incremental Energy Offer, (ii) a No-load Cost value equal to the lesser of the resource’s amortized submitted No-load Cost or a value sufficient to make the Composite Energy Offer equal to $1,000/megawatt-hour at Economic Maximum, and (iii) to the extent the sum of the foregoing is less than $1,000/megawatt-hour, an amortized Start-Up Cost value sufficient to make the Composite Energy Offer equal to $1,000/megawatt-hour at Economic Maximum.
To the extent an Economic Load Response Participant resource’s Composite Energy Offer is reviewed pursuant to Operating Agreement, Schedule 1, 6.4.3A, pursuant to which the Office of the Interconnection evaluates the resource’s submitted shutdown cost against the resource’s reasonably expected shutdown costs, adjustments may be applied to yield a Composite Energy Offer, at Economic Maximum, no lower than $1,000/megawatt-hour and no greater than $2,000/megawatt-hour as follows:

(i) If a Composite Energy Offer at Economic Maximum is greater than $1,000/megawatt-hour but does not exceed $2,000/megawatt-hour, and the amortized shutdown cost, to the extent that it is reviewed pursuant to Operating Agreement, Schedule 1, section 6.4.3A, does not exceed the resource’s reasonably expected shutdown cost, then no adjustments shall be made to the submitted Composite Energy Offer.

(ii) If the amortized shutdown cost, to the extent that it is reviewed pursuant to Operating Agreement, Schedule 1, section 6.4.3A, exceeds the resource’s reasonably expected shutdown cost, then the Composite Energy Offer shall equal: (i) the resource’s Incremental Energy Offer, and (ii) to the extent the Incremental Energy Offer is less than $1,000/megawatt-hour, a shutdown value equal to the lesser of the resource’s amortized submitted shutdown cost or a value sufficient to make the Composite Energy Offer at Economic Maximum equal to $1,000/megawatt-hour.

(iii) If the Composite Energy Offer at Economic Maximum exceeds $2,000/megawatt-hour and the amortized shutdown cost, to the extent that it is reviewed pursuant to Operating Agreement, Schedule 1, section 6.4.3A, does not exceed the resource’s reasonably expected shutdown cost, then the Composite Energy Offer shall equal $2,000/megawatt-hour: (i) the resource’s Incremental Energy Offer, and (ii) to the extent the Incremental Energy Offer is less than $2,000/megawatt-hour, a shutdown value sufficient to make the Composite Energy Offer equal to $2,000/megawatt-hour.

(g) Units that must be run for local area protection shall not be considered in the calculation of Real-time Prices.
2.4A Determination of Energy Offers Used in Calculating Day-ahead Prices.

(a) Day-ahead Prices derived in accordance with this section shall be determined for every hour.

(b) To determine the energy offers submitted to the PJM Interchange Energy Market that shall be used to calculate the Day-ahead Prices, the Office of the Interconnection shall determine the applicable marginal energy offer of the resources being dispatched by the Office of the Interconnection using the offer schedule on which the resource is committed in the dispatch run.

The Office of the Interconnection will determine a resource’s applicable marginal energy offer by comparing the megawatt output of the resource from the pricing run with the Market Seller’s Incremental Energy Offer curve or, for Eligible Fast-Start Resources, the Market Seller’s Composite Energy Offer. For Eligible Fast-Start Resources, the amortized Start-Up Costs and amortized No-load Costs, expressed in dollars per megawatt-hour, are added to the resource’s Incremental Energy Offer to determine a Composite Energy Offer, as described below:

(i) The amortized Start-Up Cost for a generation resource shall equal the resource’s applicable Start-Up Cost, as determined in accordance with the PJM Manuals, amortized over (A) the resource’s Economic Maximum or Emergency Maximum output, whichever is applicable and (B) the resource’s Minimum Run Time. For the purposes of this calculation, the Minimum Run Time is set to one hour. The amortized Start-Up Cost is included the resource’s Composite Energy Offer during the resource’s Minimum Run Time. After the Minimum Run Time has been met the amortized Start-Up Cost is not included in the Composite Energy Offer. To determine the amortized Start-Up Cost for Economic Load Response Participant resources, the Minimum Down Time is used in place of Minimum Run Time and shutdown cost is used in place of Start-Up Cost in the above equation.

The amortized Start-Up Cost, to the extent it is reviewed pursuant to Tariff, Attachment K-Appendix, section 6.4.3A, shall be adjusted if the resource’s applicable Start-Up Cost exceeds the reasonably expected cost, as described in subsection (iii) below.

(ii) The amortized No-load Cost shall equal the resource’s applicable No-load Cost, amortized over the resource’s Economic Maximum or Emergency Maximum output, whichever is applicable output and included in the Composite Energy Offer for all intervals in which the resource is pool-scheduled.

The amortized No-load Cost, to the extent that it is reviewed pursuant to Tariff, Attachment K-Appendix, section 6.4.3A, shall be adjusted if the resource’s applicable Incremental Energy Offer and No-load Cost exceed the reasonably expected cost, as described in subsection (iii) below.
To the extent a Composite Energy Offer of a generation resource that is an Eligible Fast-Start Resource is less than $2,000/megawatt-hour and is reviewed pursuant to Operating Agreement, Schedule 1, 6.4.3A, pursuant to which the Office of the Interconnection evaluates the resource’s submitted Start-Up Cost and No-load Cost against the resource’s reasonably expected Start-Up Cost and No-load Cost, adjustments may be applied to yield a Composite Energy Offer no lower than $1,000/megawatt-hour at Economic Maximum as follows:

1) If the submitted Start-Up Cost and No-load Cost do not exceed the respective reasonably expected costs, no adjustments shall be made to the submitted Composite Energy Offer.

2) If the submitted Start-Up Cost does not exceed the resource’s reasonably expected Start-Up Cost but the submitted No-load Cost does exceed the reasonably expected No-load Cost, then the Composite Energy Offer shall equal the Incremental Energy Offer plus the amortized submitted Start-Up Cost; provided, however, if the resulting Composite Energy Offer at Economic Maximum yields a value less than $1,000/megawatt-hour, then the Composite Energy Offer shall include an amortized No-load Cost value sufficient to make the Composite Energy Offer equal to $1,000/megawatt-hour at Economic Maximum.

3) If the submitted Start-Up Cost exceeds the resource’s reasonably expected Start-Up Cost but the submitted No-load Cost does not exceed the reasonably expected No-load Cost, then the Composite Energy Offer shall equal the Incremental Energy Offer plus the amortized No-load Cost; provided, however, if the resulting Composite Energy Offer at Economic Maximum yields a value less than $1,000/megawatt-hour, then the Composite Energy Offer shall include an amortized Start-Up Cost value sufficient to make the Composite Energy Offer equal to $1,000/megawatt-hour at Economic Maximum.

4) If both the submitted Start-Up Cost and No-load Cost exceed the respective reasonably expected costs and the Incremental Energy Offer is below $1,000 MWh, then the Composite Energy Offer shall equal $1,000/megawatt-hour and be composed of the resource’s: (i) Incremental Energy Offer, (ii) a No-load Cost value equal to the lesser of the resource’s amortized submitted No-load Cost or a value sufficient to make the Composite Energy Offer at Economic Maximum equal to $1,000/megawatt-hour, and (iii) to the extent the sum of the foregoing is less than $1,000/megawatt-hour, an amortized Start-Up Cost value sufficient to make the Composite Energy Offer equal to $1,000/megawatt-hour at Economic Maximum.
(c) If a generation resource that is an Eligible Fast-Start Resource submits a market-based offer that results in a Composite Energy Offer that exceeds $1,000/megawatt-hour at the resource’s Economic Maximum:

(i) If the Incremental Energy Offer of the market-based schedule exceeds the Incremental Energy Offer of the associated cost-based offer, then the amortized Start-Up Cost and the amortized No-load Cost for the market-based schedule shall both be considered to exceed their respective reasonably expected costs, and the Composite Energy Offer shall be equal to $1,000/megawatt-hour and be composed of the resource’s (i) Incremental Energy Offer, (ii) a No-load Cost value equal to the lesser of the resource’s amortized submitted No-load Cost or a value sufficient to make the Composite Energy Offer at Economic Maximum equal to $1,000/megawatt-hour, and (iii) to the extent the sum of the foregoing is less than $1,000/megawatt-hour, an amortized Start-Up Cost value sufficient to make the Composite Energy Offer equal to $1,000/megawatt-hour at Economic Maximum.

(ii) If the Incremental Energy Offer of the market-based schedule is not greater than the Incremental Energy Offer of the associated cost-based offer and:

(1) if the amortized No-load Cost for the market-based schedule exceeds the No-load Cost specified on the associated cost-based offer or exceeds the reasonably expected cost of the No-load Cost of such cost-based offer, then the amortized No-load Cost shall be adjusted in the manner set forth in subsections (b)(iii)(2) and (4) above, as applicable.

(2) if the amortized Start-Up Cost for the market-based schedule exceeds the Start-Up Cost specified on the associated cost-based offer or exceeds the reasonably expected cost of the Start-Up Cost of such cost-based offer, then the amortized Start-Up Cost shall be adjusted in the manner set forth in subsections (b)(iii)(3) and (4) above, as applicable.

(3) To the extent the Composite Energy Offer resulting from subsections (c)(ii)(1) and (2) would exceed $2,000/MWh, then the Composite Energy Offer shall equal $2,000/megawatt-hour and the submitted Start-Up Cost and No-load Cost shall be adjusted in the manner set forth in subsection (e) below.

(d) For purposes of calculating Day-ahead Prices, the applicable marginal Incremental Energy Offer used in the calculation of Day-ahead Prices shall not exceed $2,000/megawatt-hour.

(e) If a generation resource that is an Eligible Fast-Start Resource submits an offer that results in a Composite Energy Offer with a maximum segment that exceeds $2,000/megawatt-hour and such offer is reviewed pursuant to Operating Agreement, Schedule 1, 6.4.3A, pursuant to which the Office of the Interconnection evaluates the resource’s submitted Start-Up Cost and No-load Cost against the resource’s reasonably expected Start-Up Cost and No-load Cost, the following adjustments will be made to cap the offer at no higher than $2,000/megawatt-hour:
(i) If the submitted Start-Up Cost and No-load Cost do not exceed the respective reasonably expected costs, the Composite Energy Offer shall equal $2,000/megawatt-hour and be composed of: (i) the resource’s Incremental Energy Offer, (ii) to the extent the Incremental Energy Offer is less than $2,000/megawatt-hour, a No-load Cost value equal to the lesser of the resource’s amortized submitted No-load Cost or a value sufficient to make the Composite Energy Offer at Economic Maximum equal to $2,000/megawatt-hour, and (iii) to the extent the sum of the foregoing is less than $2,000/megawatt-hour, a Start-Up Cost value an amortized Start-Up Cost value sufficient to make the Composite Energy Offer at Economic Maximum equal to $2,000/megawatt-hour.

(ii) If the submitted Start-Up Cost does not exceed the resource’s reasonably expected Start-Up Cost but the submitted No-load Cost does exceed the reasonably expected No-load Cost, then the Composite Energy offer shall equal the Incremental Energy Offer plus the amortized submitted Start-Up Cost; provided, however, if the resulting Composite Energy Offer at Economic Maximum yields a value greater than $2,000/megawatt-hour, then the Composite Energy Offer shall include an amortized Start Up Cost value sufficient to make the Composite Energy Offer equal to $2,000/megawatt-hour.

(iii) If the submitted Start-Up Cost exceeds the resource’s reasonably expected Start-Up Cost but the submitted No-load Cost does not exceed the reasonably expected No-load Cost, then the Composite Energy Offer shall equal the Incremental Energy Offer plus the amortized No-load Cost; provided, however, if the resulting Composite Energy Offer at Economic Maximum yields a value greater than $2,000/megawatt-hour, then the Composite Energy Offer shall include an amortized No-load Cost value sufficient to make the Composite Energy Offer equal to $2,000/megawatt-hour.

(iv) If the submitted Start-Up Cost and No-load Cost both exceed the respective reasonably expected costs, the Composite Energy Offer shall equal the lesser of the resource’s Incremental Energy Offer or $2,000/megawatt-hour.

(v) To the extent any of the foregoing subsections (e)(ii) through (e)(iv) would result in a Composite Energy Offer less than $1,000/MWh at Economic Maximum, the Composite Energy Offer shall equal $1,000/megawatt-hour and be composed of the resource’s: (i) Incremental Energy Offer, (ii) a No-load Cost value equal to the lesser of the resource’s amortized submitted No-load Cost or a value sufficient to make the Composite Energy Offer equal to $1,000/megawatt-hour at Economic Maximum, and (iii) to the extent the sum of the foregoing is less than $1,000/megawatt-hour, an amortized Start-Up Cost value sufficient to make the Composite Energy Offer equal to $1,000/megawatt-hour at Economic Maximum.

(f) To the extent an Economic Load Response Participant resource’s Composite Energy Offer is reviewed pursuant to Operating Agreement, Schedule 1, 6.4.3A, pursuant to which the Office of the Interconnection evaluates the resource’s submitted shutdown cost against the resource’s
reasonably expected shutdown costs, adjustments may be applied to yield a Composite Energy Offer, at Economic Maximum, no lower than $1,000/megawatt-hour and no greater than $2,000/megawatt-hour as follows:

(i) If a Composite Energy Offer at Economic Maximum is greater than $1,000/megawatt-hour but does not exceed $2,000/megawatt-hour, and the amortized shutdown cost, to the extent that it is reviewed pursuant to Operating Agreement, Schedule 1, section 6.4.3A, does not exceed the resource’s reasonably expected shutdown cost, then no adjustments shall be made to the submitted Composite Energy Offer.

(ii) If the amortized shutdown cost, to the extent that it is reviewed pursuant to Operating Agreement, Schedule 1, section 6.4.3A, exceeds the resource’s reasonably expected shutdown cost, then the Composite Energy Offer shall equal: (i) the resource’s Incremental Energy Offer, and (ii) to the extent the Incremental Energy Offer is less than $1,000/megawatt-hour, a shutdown value equal to the lesser of the resource’s amortized submitted shutdown cost or a value sufficient to make the Composite Energy Offer at Economic Maximum equal to $1,000/megawatt-hour.

(iii) If the Composite Energy Offer at Economic Maximum exceeds $2,000/megawatt-hour and the amortized shutdown cost, to the extent that it is reviewed pursuant to Operating Agreement, Schedule 1, section 6.4.3A, does not exceed the resource’s reasonably expected shutdown cost, then the Composite Energy Offer shall equal $2,000/megawatt-hour: (i) the resource’s Incremental Energy Offer, and (ii) to the extent the Incremental Energy Offer is less than $2,000/megawatt-hour, a shutdown value sufficient to make the Composite Energy Offer equal to $2,000/megawatt-hour.
3.2 Market Settlements.

If a dollar-per-MW-hour value is applied in a calculation under this section 3.2 where the interval of the value produced in that calculation is less than an hour, then for purposes of that calculation the dollar-per-MW hour value is divided by the number of Real-time Settlement Intervals in the hour.

3.2.1 Spot Market Energy.

(a) The Office of the Interconnection shall calculate System Energy Prices in the form of Day-ahead System Energy Prices and Real-time System Energy Prices for the PJM Region, in accordance with Section 2 of this Schedule.


(c) Each Market Participant shall be paid for all of its Market Participant Energy Injections scheduled in the Day-ahead Energy Market at the Day-ahead System Energy Price to be delivered to the PJM Interchange Energy Market.

(d) For each Day-ahead Settlement Interval during an Operating Day, the Office of the Interconnection shall calculate Spot Market Energy charges for each Market Participant as the difference between the sum of its Market Participant Energy Withdrawals scheduled times the Day-ahead System Energy Price and the sum of its Market Participant Energy Injections scheduled times the Day-ahead System Energy Price.

(e) For each Real-time Settlement Interval during an Operating Day, the Office of the Interconnection shall calculate Spot Market Energy charges for each Market Participant as the difference between the sum of its real-time Market Participant Energy Withdrawals less its scheduled Market Participant Energy Withdrawals times the Real-time System Energy Price and the sum of its real-time Market Participant Energy Injections less scheduled Market Participant Energy Injections times the Real-time System Energy Price. The Revenue Data for Settlements determined for each Real-time Settlement Interval in accordance with section 3.1A of this Schedule shall be used in determining the real-time Market Participant Energy Withdrawals and Market Participant Energy Injections used to calculate Spot Market Energy charges under this subsection (e).

(f) For pool External Resources, the Office of the Interconnection shall model, based on an appropriate flow analysis, the megawatts of real-time energy injections to be delivered from each such resource to the corresponding Interface Pricing Point between adjacent Control Areas and the PJM Region
3.2.2 Regulation.

(a) Each Market Participant that is a Load Serving Entity in a Regulation Zone shall have an hourly Regulation objective equal to its pro rata share of the Regulation requirements of such Regulation Zone for the hour, based on the Market Participant’s total load (net of operating Behind The Meter Generation, but not to be less than zero) in such Regulation Zone for the hour (“Regulation Obligation”). A Market Participant with an hourly Regulation Obligation shall be charged the pro rata share of the sum of the Regulation market performance clearing price credits and Regulation market capability clearing price credits for the Real-time Settlement Intervals in an hour.

Regulation Charge = Hourly Regulation Obligation Share * (sum of the Real-time Settlement Interval Regulation credits in an hour)

(b) Each Market Participant supplying Regulation in a Regulation Zone at the direction of the Office of the Interconnection shall be credited for each of its resources such that the calculated credit for each increment of Regulation provided by each resource shall be the higher of: (i) the Regulation market-clearing price; or (ii) the sum of the applicable Regulation offers for a resource determined pursuant to Section 3.2.2A.1 of this Schedule, the unit-specific shoulder hour opportunity costs described in subsection (e) of this section, the unit-specific inter-temporal opportunity costs, and the unit-specific opportunity costs discussed in subsection (d) of this section.

(c) The total Regulation market-clearing price in each Regulation Zone shall be determined in the Real-time Price software program, which is known as the pricing run, for each Real-time Settlement Interval. The total Regulation market-clearing price shall include: (i) the performance Regulation market-clearing price in a Regulation Zone that shall be calculated in accordance with subsection (g) of this section; (ii) the capability Regulation market-clearing price that shall be calculated in accordance with subsection (h) of this section; and (iii) a Regulation resource’s unit-specific opportunity costs during the 5-minute period, determined as described in subsection (d) below, divided by the unit-specific benefits factor described in subsection (j) of this section and divided by the historic accuracy score of the resource from among the resources selected to provide Regulation. A resource’s Regulation offer by any Market Seller that fails the three-pivotal supplier test set forth in section 3.2.2A.1 of this Schedule shall not exceed the cost of providing Regulation from such resource, plus twelve dollars, as determined pursuant to the formula in section 1.10.1A(e) of this Schedule.

(d) In determining the Regulation 5-minute clearing price for each Regulation Zone, the estimated unit-specific opportunity costs of a generation resource offering to sell Regulation in each regulating hour, except for hydroelectric resources, shall be equal to the product of (i) the deviation of the set point of the generation resource that is expected to be required in order to provide Regulation from the generation resource’s expected output level if it had been dispatched in economic merit order times, (ii) the absolute value of the difference between the expected Locational Marginal Price at the generation bus for the generation resource and the lesser of the available market-based or highest available cost-based energy offer from the
generation resource (at the megawatt level of the Regulation set point for the resource) in the PJM Interchange Energy Market.

For hydroelectric resources offering to sell Regulation in a regulating hour, the estimated unit-specific opportunity costs for each hydroelectric resource in spill conditions as defined in the PJM Manuals will be the full value of the Locational Marginal Price at that generation bus for each megawatt of Regulation capability.

The estimated unit-specific opportunity costs for each hydroelectric resource that is not in spill conditions as defined in the PJM Manuals will be equal to the product of (i) the deviation of the set point of the hydroelectric resource that is expected to be required in order to provide Regulation from the expected output level if it had been dispatched in economic merit order times (ii) the difference between the expected Locational Marginal Price at the generation bus for the hydroelectric resource and the average of the Locational Marginal Price at the generation bus for the appropriate on-peak or off-peak period as defined in the PJM Manuals, excluding those hours during which all available units at the hydroelectric resource were operating. Estimated opportunity costs shall be zero for hydroelectric resources for which the average Locational Marginal Price at the generator bus for the Real-time Settlement Interval is higher than the actual Locational Marginal Price at the generator bus for the Real-time Settlement Interval.

The estimated unit-specific opportunity costs for each hydroelectric resource that is not in spill conditions as defined in the PJM Manuals and has a day-ahead megawatt commitment greater than zero shall be equal to the product of (i) the deviation of the set point of the hydroelectric resource that is expected to be required in order to provide Regulation from the expected output level if it had been dispatched in economic merit order times (ii) the difference between the average of the Locational Marginal Price at the generation bus for the appropriate on-peak or off-peak period as defined in the PJM Manuals, excluding those hours during which all available units at the hydroelectric resource were operating and the expected Locational Marginal Price at the generation bus for the hydroelectric resource. Estimated opportunity costs shall be zero for hydroelectric resources for which the actual Locational Marginal Price at the generator bus for the Real-time Settlement Interval is higher than the average Locational Marginal Price at the generation bus for the appropriate on-peak or off-peak period, excluding those Real-time Settlement Intervals during which all available units at the hydroelectric resource were operating.

For the purpose of committing resources and setting Regulation market clearing prices, the Office of the Interconnection shall utilize day-ahead Locational Marginal Prices to calculate opportunity costs for hydroelectric resources. For the purposes of settlements, the Office of the Interconnection shall utilize the real-time Locational Marginal Prices to calculate opportunity costs for hydroelectric resources.

Estimated opportunity costs for Demand Resources to provide Regulation are zero.
(e) In determining the credit under subsection (b) to a Market Participant selected to provide Regulation in a Regulation Zone and that actively follows the Office of the Interconnection’s Regulation signals and instructions, the unit-specific opportunity cost of a generation resource shall be determined for (1) each Real-time Settlement Interval that the Office of the Interconnection requires a generation resource to provide Regulation, and (2) the last three Real-time Settlement Intervals of the preceding shoulder hour and the first three Real-time Settlement Intervals of the following shoulder hour in accordance with the PJM Manuals and below.

The unit-specific opportunity cost incurred during the Real-time Settlement Interval in which the Regulation obligation is fulfilled shall be equal to the product of (i) the deviation of the generation resource’s output necessary to follow the Office of the Interconnection’s Regulation signals from the generation resource’s expected output level if it had been dispatched in economic merit order times (ii) the absolute value of the difference between the Locational Marginal Price at the generation bus for the generation resource and the lesser of the available market-based or highest available cost-based energy offer from the generation resource (at the actual megawatt level of the resource when the actual megawatt level is within the tolerance defined in the PJM Manuals for the Regulation set point, or at the Regulation set point for the resource when it is not within the corresponding tolerance) in the PJM Interchange Energy Market. Opportunity costs for Demand Resources to provide Regulation are zero.

The unit-specific opportunity costs associated with uneconomic operation during each of the preceding three Real-time Settlement Intervals of the shoulder hour shall be equal to the product of (i) the deviation between the set point of the generation resource that is expected to be required in the initial regulating Real-time Settlement Interval in order to provide Regulation and the resource’s expected output in each of the preceding three Real-time Settlement Intervals of the shoulder hour times (ii) the absolute value of the difference between the Locational Marginal Price at the generation bus for the generation resource in each of the preceding three Real-time Settlement Intervals of the shoulder hour and the lesser of the available market-based or highest available cost-based energy offer from the generation resource (at the megawatt level of the Regulation set point for the resource in the initial regulating Real-time Settlement Interval) in the PJM Interchange Energy Market, all as determined by the Office of the Interconnection in accordance with procedures specified in the PJM Manuals.

The unit-specific opportunity costs associated with uneconomic operation during each of the following three Real-time Settlement Intervals of the shoulder hour shall be equal to the product of (i) the deviation between the set point of the generation resource that is expected to be required in the final regulating Real-time Settlement Interval in order to provide Regulation and the resource’s expected output in each of the following three Real-time Settlement Intervals of the shoulder hour times (ii) the absolute value of the difference between the Locational Marginal Price at the generation bus for the generation resource in each of the following three Real-time Settlement Intervals of the shoulder hour and the lesser of the available market-based or highest available cost-based energy offer from the generation resource (at the megawatt level of the Regulation set point for the resource in final regulating hour) in the PJM Interchange Energy Market all as determined by the Office of the Interconnection in accordance with procedures specified in the PJM Manuals.
(f) Any amounts credited for Regulation in an hour in excess of the Regulation market-clearing price in that hour shall be allocated and charged to each Market Participant in a Regulation Zone that does not meet its hourly Regulation obligation in proportion to its purchases of Regulation in such Regulation Zone in megawatt-hours during that hour.

(g) To determine the Regulation market performance-clearing price for each Regulation Zone, the Office of the Interconnection shall adjust the submitted performance offer for each resource in accordance with the historical performance of that resource, the amount of Regulation that resource will be dispatched based on the ratio of control signals calculated by the Office of the Interconnection, and the unit-specific benefits factor described in subsection (j) of this section for which that resource is qualified. The maximum adjusted performance offer of all cleared resources will set the Regulation market performance-clearing price.

The owner of each Regulation resource that actively follows the Office of the Interconnection’s Regulation signals and instructions, will be credited for Regulation performance by multiplying the assigned MW(s) by the Regulation market performance-clearing price, by the ratio between the requested mileage for the Regulation dispatch signal assigned to the Regulation resource and the Regulation dispatch signal assigned to traditional resources, and by the Regulation resource’s accuracy score calculated in accordance with subsection (k) of this section.

(h) The Office of the Interconnection shall divide each Regulation resource’s capability offer by the unit-specific benefits factor described in subsection (j) of this section and divided by the historic accuracy score for the resource for the purposes of committing resources and setting the market clearing prices.

The Office of the Interconnection shall calculate the Regulation market capability-clearing price for each Regulation Zone by subtracting the Regulation market performance-clearing price described in subsection (g) from the total Regulation market clearing price described in subsection (c). This residual sets the Regulation market capability-clearing price for that market Real-time Settlement Interval.

The owner of each Regulation resource that actively follows the Office of the Interconnection’s Regulation signals and instructions will be credited for Regulation capability based on the assigned MW and the capability Regulation market-clearing price multiplied by the Regulation resource’s accuracy score calculated in accordance with subsection (k) of this section.

(i) In accordance with the processes described in the PJM Manuals, the Office of the Interconnection shall: (i) calculate inter-temporal opportunity costs for each applicable resource; (ii) include such inter-temporal opportunity costs in each applicable resource’s offer to sell frequency Regulation service; and (iii) account for such inter-temporal opportunity costs in the Regulation market-clearing price.

(j) The Office of the Interconnection shall calculate a unit-specific benefits factor for each of the dynamic Regulation signal and traditional Regulation signal in accordance with the PJM Manuals. Each resource shall be assigned a unit-specific benefits factor based on their
order in the merit order stack for the applicable Regulation signal. The unit-specific benefits factor is the point on the benefits factor curve that aligns with the last megawatt, adjusted by historical performance, that resource will add to the dynamic resource stack. Resources following the dynamic Regulation signal which have a unit-specific benefits factor less than 0.1 will not be considered for the purposes of committing resources. The unit-specific benefits factor for the traditional Regulation signal shall be equal to one.

(k) The Office of the Interconnection shall calculate each Regulation resource’s accuracy score. The accuracy score shall be the average of a delay score, correlation score, and energy score for each ten second interval. For purposes of setting the interval to be used for the correlation score and delay scores, PJM will use the maximum of the correlation score plus the delay score for each interval.

The Office of the Interconnection shall calculate the correlation score using the following statistical correlation function \( r \) that measures the delay in response between the Regulation signal and the resource change in output:

\[
\text{Correlation Score} = r_{\text{Signal}, \text{Response}}(\delta, \delta+5 \text{ Min}); \delta = 0 \text{ to } 5 \text{ Min}
\]

where \( \delta \) is delay.

The Office of the Interconnection shall calculate the delay score using the following equation:

\[
\text{Delay Score} = \text{Abs} ((\delta - 5 \text{ Minutes}) / (5 \text{ Minutes})).
\]

The Office of the Interconnection shall calculate an energy score as a function of the difference in the energy provided versus the energy requested by the Regulation signal while scaling for the number of samples. The energy score is the absolute error \( \epsilon \) as a function of the resource’s Regulation capacity using the following equations:

\[
\text{Energy Score} = 1 - 1/n \sum \text{Abs (Error)};
\]

\[
\text{Error} = \text{Average of Abs ((Response - Regulation Signal) / (Hourly Average Regulation Signal))}; \text{ and}
\]

\[
n = \text{the number of samples in the hour and the energy}.
\]

The Office of the Interconnection shall calculate an accuracy score for each Regulation resource that is the average of the delay score, correlation score, and energy score for a five-minute period using the following equation where the energy score, the delay score, and the correlation score are each weighted equally:

\[
\text{Accuracy Score} = \max ((\text{Delay Score}) + (\text{Correlation Score})) + (\text{Energy Score}).
\]
The historic accuracy score will be based on a rolling average of the Real-time Settlement Interval accuracy scores, with consideration of the qualification score, as defined in the PJM Manuals.

3.2.2A Offer Price Caps.

3.2.2A.1 Applicability.

(a) Each hour, the Office of the Interconnection shall conduct a three-pivotal supplier test as described in this section. Regulation offers from Market Sellers that fail the three-pivotal supplier test shall be capped in the hour in which they failed the test at their cost based offers as determined pursuant to section 1.10.1A(e) of this Schedule. A Regulation supplier fails the three-pivotal supplier test in any hour in which such Regulation supplier and the two largest other Regulation suppliers are jointly pivotal.

(b) For the purposes of conducting the three-pivotal supplier test pursuant to this section, the following applies:

(i) The three-pivotal supplier test will include in the definition of available supply all offers from resources capable of satisfying the Regulation requirement of the PJM Region multiplied by the historic accuracy score of the resource and multiplied by the unit-specific benefits factor for which the capability cost-based offer plus the performance cost-based offer plus any eligible opportunity costs is no greater than 150 percent of the clearing price that would be calculated if all offers were limited to cost (plus eligible opportunity costs).

(ii) The three-pivotal supplier test will apply on a Regulation supplier basis (i.e. not a resource by resource basis) and only the Regulation suppliers that fail the three-pivotal supplier test will have their Regulation offers capped. A Regulation supplier for the purposes of this section includes corporate affiliates. Regulation from resources controlled by a Regulation supplier or its affiliates, whether by contract with unaffiliated third parties or otherwise, will be included as Regulation of that Regulation supplier. Regulation provided by resources owned by a Regulation supplier but controlled by an unaffiliated third party, whether by contract or otherwise, will be included as Regulation of that third party.

(iii) Each supplier shall be ranked from the largest to the smallest offered megawatt of eligible Regulation supply adjusted by the historic performance of each resource and the unit-specific benefits factor. Suppliers are then tested in order, starting with the three largest suppliers. For each iteration of the test, the two largest suppliers are combined with a third supplier, and the combined supply is subtracted from total effective supply. The resulting net amount of eligible supply is divided by the Regulation requirement for the hour to determine the residual supply index. Where the residual supply index for three pivotal suppliers is less than or equal to 1.0, then the three suppliers are jointly pivotal and the suppliers being tested fail the three pivotal supplier test. Iterations of the test continue until the combination of the two largest suppliers and
a third supplier result in a residual supply index greater than 1.0, at which point the
remaining suppliers pass the test. Any resource owner that fails the three-pivotal supplier
test will be offer-capped.

3.2.3 Operating Reserves.

(a) A Market Seller’s pool-scheduled resources capable of providing Operating
Reserves shall be credited as specified below based on the applicable offer for the operation of
such resource, provided that the resource was available for the entire time specified in the Offer
Data for such resource. To the extent that Section 3.2.3A.01 of Schedule 1 of this Agreement
does not meet the Day-ahead Scheduling Reserves Requirement, the Office of the
Interconnection shall schedule additional Operating Reserves pursuant to Section 1.7.17 and 1.10
of Schedule 1 of this Agreement. In addition the Office of the Interconnection shall schedule
Operating Reserves pursuant to those sections to satisfy any unforeseen Operating Reserve
requirements that are not reflected in the Day-ahead Scheduling Reserves Requirement.

(b) The following determination shall be made for each pool-scheduled resource that
is scheduled in the Day-ahead Energy Market: the total offered price for Start-up Costs and No-
load Costs and energy, determined on the basis of the resource’s scheduled output, shall be
compared to the total value of that resource’s energy – as determined by the Day-ahead Energy
Market and the Day-ahead Prices applicable to the relevant generation bus in the Day-ahead
Energy Market. PJM shall also (i) determine whether any resources were scheduled in the Day-
ahead Energy Market to provide Black Start service, Reactive Services or transfer interface
control during the Operating Day because they are known or expected to be needed to maintain
system reliability in a Zone during the Operating Day in order to minimize the total cost of
Operating Reserves associated with the provision of such services and reflect the most accurate
possible expectation of real-time operating conditions in the day-ahead model, which resources
would not have otherwise been committed in the day-ahead security-constrained dispatch and (ii)
report on the day following the Operating Day the megawatt quantities scheduled in the Day-
ahead Energy Market for the above-enumerated purposes for the entire RTO.

Except as provided in Section 3.2.3(n), if the total offered price for Start-up Costs (shutdown
costs for Demand Resources) and No-load Costs and energy summed over all Day-ahead
Settlement Intervals exceeds the total value summed over all Day-ahead Settlement Intervals, the
difference shall be credited to the Market Seller as a day-ahead Operating Reserve credit.

However, for the Day-ahead Settlement Intervals in which the resource is scheduled to provide
energy in the Operating Day and the resource actually provides energy in at least one Real-time
Settlement Interval in an hour that corresponds to such scheduled Day-ahead Settlement
Intervals, a resource’s day-ahead Operating Reserve credit shall be reduced by the greater of zero
or the difference of the resource’s Day-ahead Operating Reserve Target and the Balancing
Operating Reserve Target, as determined below.

A resource’s Day-ahead Operating Reserve Target shall be determined in accordance with the
following equation:
\[(A + B) - C\]

Where:

\[A = \text{Start-up Costs}\]

\[B = \text{the sum of day-ahead No-load Costs and energy over the applicable Real-time Settlement Intervals that correspond with Day-ahead Settlement Intervals in which the resource is scheduled. The day-ahead No-load Costs and energy are divided by twelve to determine the cost for each Real-time Settlement Interval.}\]

\[C = \text{the sum of the day-ahead revenues calculated for each Real-time Settlement Interval that corresponds with a Day-ahead Settlement Interval in which the resource is scheduled, where the day-ahead revenue for each such Real-time Settlement Interval equals the product of the megawatt amount of energy scheduled in the Day-ahead Energy Market and the Day-ahead Price at the applicable pricing point for the resource divided by twelve.}\]

A resource’s Balancing Operating Reserve Target shall be determined in accordance with the following equation:

\[D - (E + F)\]

Where:

\[D = \text{the sum of Start-up Costs and No-load Costs and the incremental cost of energy summed over all Real-time Settlement Intervals that correspond to the Day-ahead Settlement Intervals in which the resource was scheduled;}\]

\[E = [(\text{the megawatt amount of energy provided in the Real-time Energy Market minus the megawatt amount of energy scheduled in the Day-ahead Energy Market}) \times \text{the Real-time Price at the applicable pricing point for the resource}] + \text{the sum of the day-ahead revenues as determined in part C of the above formula for determining the Day-ahead Operating Reserve Target, summed over the applicable Real-time Settlement Intervals; and}\]

\[F = \text{the sum of all revenues earned for providing Day-ahead Scheduling Reserves, Synchronized Reserves, Non-Synchronized Reserves, and Reactive Services over the applicable Real-time Settlement Intervals.}\]

The Office of the Interconnection shall apply any balancing Operating Reserve credits allocated pursuant to this section 3.2.3(b) to real-time deviations or real-time load share plus exports, pursuant to Tariff, Attachment K-Appendix, section 3.2.3(p), depending on whether the balancing Operating Reserve credits are related to resources scheduled during the reliability analysis for an Operating Day, or during the actual Operating Day.
(i) For resources scheduled by the Office of the Interconnection during the reliability analysis for an Operating Day, the associated balancing Operating Reserve credits shall be allocated based on the reason the resource was scheduled according to the following provisions:

(A) If the Office of the Interconnection determines during the reliability analysis for an Operating Day that a resource was committed to operate in real-time to augment the physical resources committed in the Day-ahead Energy Market to meet the forecasted real-time load plus the Operating Reserve requirement, the associated balancing Operating Reserve credits, identified as RA Credits for Deviations, shall be allocated to real-time deviations.

(B) If the Office of the Interconnection determines during the reliability analysis for an Operating Day that a resource was committed to maintain system reliability, the associated balancing Operating Reserve credits, identified as RA Credits for Reliability, shall be allocated according to ratio share of real time load plus export transactions.

(C) If the Office of the Interconnection determines during the reliability analysis for an Operating Day that a resource with a day-ahead schedule is required to deviate from that schedule to provide balancing Operating Reserves, the associated balancing Operating Reserve credits shall be segmented and separately allocated pursuant to subsections 3.2.3(b)(i)(A) or 3.2.3(b)(i)(B) hereof. Balancing Operating Reserve credits for such resources will be identified in the same manner as units committed during the reliability analysis pursuant to subsections 3.2.3(b)(i)(A) and 3.2.3(b)(i)(B) hereof.

(ii) For resources scheduled during an Operating Day, the associated balancing Operating Reserve credits shall be allocated according to the following provisions:

(A) If the Office of the Interconnection directs a resource to operate during an Operating Day to provide balancing Operating Reserves, the associated balancing Operating Reserve credits, identified as RT Credits for Reliability, shall be allocated according to ratio share of load plus exports. The foregoing notwithstanding, credits will be applied pursuant to this section only if the LMP at the resource's bus does not meet or exceed the applicable offer of the resource for at least four 5-minute intervals during one or more discrete clock hours during each period the resource operated and produced MWs during the relevant Operating Day. If a resource operated and produced MWs for less than four 5-minute intervals during one or more discrete clock hours during the relevant Operating Day, the credits for that resource during the hour it was operated less than four 5-minute intervals will be identified as being in the same category (RT Credits for Reliability or RT Credits for Deviations) as identified for the Operating Reserves for the other discrete clock hours.
If the Office of the Interconnection directs a resource not covered by Section 3.2.3(b)(ii)(A) hereof to operate in real-time during an Operating Day, the associated balancing Operating Reserve credits, identified as RT Credits for Deviations, shall be allocated according to real-time deviations from day-ahead schedules.

(iii) PJM shall post on its Web site the aggregate amount of MWs committed that meet the criteria referenced in subsections (b)(i) and (b)(ii) hereof.

(c) The sum of the foregoing credits calculated in accordance with Section 3.2.3(b) plus any unallocated charges from Section 3.2.3(h) and 5.1.7, and any shortfalls paid pursuant to the Market Settlement provision of the Day-ahead Economic Load Response Program, shall be the cost of Operating Reserves in the Day-ahead Energy Market.

(d) The cost of Operating Reserves in the Day-ahead Energy Market shall be allocated and charged to each Market Participant in proportion to the sum of its (i) scheduled load (net of Behind The Meter Generation expected to be operating, but not to be less than zero) and accepted Decrement Bids in the Day-ahead Energy Market in megawatt-hours for that Operating Day; and (ii) scheduled energy sales in the Day-ahead Energy Market from within the PJM Region to load outside such region in megawatt-hours for that Operating Day, but not including its bilateral transactions that are Dynamic Transfers to load outside such area pursuant to Section 1.12, except to the extent PJM scheduled resources to provide Black Start service, Reactive Services or transfer interface control. The cost of Operating Reserves in the Day-ahead Energy Market for resources scheduled to provide Black Start service for the Operating Day which resources would not have otherwise been committed in the day-ahead security constrained dispatch shall be allocated by ratio share of the monthly transmission use of each Network Customer or Transmission Customer serving Zone Load or Non-Zone Load, as determined in accordance with the formulas contained in Schedule 6A of the PJM Tariff. The cost of Operating Reserves in the Day-ahead Energy Market for resources scheduled to provide Reactive Services or transfer interface control because they are known or expected to be needed to maintain system reliability in a Zone during the Operating Day and would not have otherwise been committed in the day-ahead security constrained dispatch shall be allocated and charged to each Market Participant in proportion to the sum of its real-time deliveries of energy to load (net of operating Behind The Meter Generation) in such Zone, served under Network Transmission Service, in megawatt-hours during that Operating Day, as compared to all such deliveries for all Market Participants in such Zone.

(e) At the end of each Operating Day, the following determination shall be made for each synchronized pool-scheduled resource of each Market Seller that operates as requested by the Office of the Interconnection. For each calendar day, pool-scheduled resources in the Real-time Energy Market shall be made whole for each of the following Segments: 1) the greater of their day-ahead schedules and minimum run time specified at the time of commitment (minimum down time specified at the time of commitment for Demand Resources); and 2) any block of Real-time Settlement Intervals the resource operates at PJM’s direction in excess of the greater of its day-ahead schedule and minimum run time specified at the time of commitment (minimum down time specified at the time of commitment for Demand Resources). For each calendar day,
and for each synchronized start of a generation resource or PJM-dispatched economic load reduction, there will be a maximum of two Segments for each resource. Segment 1 will be the greater of the day-ahead schedule and minimum run time specified at the time of commitment (minimum down time specified at the time of commitment for Demand Resources) and Segment 2 will include the remainder of the contiguous Real-time Settlement Intervals when the resource is operating at the direction of the Office of the Interconnection, provided that a segment is limited to the Operating Day in which it commenced and cannot include any part of the following Operating Day.

A Generation Capacity Resource that operates outside of its unit-specific parameters will not receive Operating Reserve Credits nor be made whole for such operation when not dispatched by the Office of the Interconnection, unless the Market Seller of the Generation Capacity Resource can justify to the Office of the Interconnection that operation outside of such unit-specific parameters was the result of an actual constraint. Such Market Seller shall provide to the Market Monitoring Unit and the Office of the Interconnection its request to receive Operating Reserve Credits and/or to be made whole for such operation, along with documentation explaining in detail the reasons for operating its resource outside of its unit-specific parameters, within thirty calendar days following the issuance of billing statement for the Operating Day. The Market Seller shall also respond to additional requests for information from the Market Monitoring Unit and the Office of the Interconnection. The Market Monitoring Unit shall evaluate such request for compensation and provide its determination of whether there was an exercise of market power to the Office of the Interconnection by no later than twenty-five calendar days after receiving the Market Seller’s request for compensation. The Office of the Interconnection shall make its determination whether the Market Seller justified that it is entitled to receive Operating Reserve Credits and/or be made whole for such operation of its resource for the day(s) in question, by no later than thirty calendar days after receiving the Market Seller’s request for compensation.

Credits received pursuant to this section shall be equal to the positive difference between a resource’s Total Operating Reserve Offer, and the total value of the resource’s energy in the Day-ahead Energy Market plus any credit or change for quantity deviations, at PJM dispatch direction (excluding quantity deviations caused by an increase in the Market Seller’s Real-time Offer), from the Day-ahead Energy Market during the Operating Day at the real-time LMP(s) applicable to the relevant generation bus in the Real-time Energy Market. The foregoing notwithstanding, credits for Segment 2 shall exclude start up (shutdown costs for Demand Resources) costs for generation resources.

Except as provided in Section 3.2.3(m), if the total offered price exceeds the total value, the difference less any credit as determined pursuant to Section 3.2.3(b), and less any amounts credited for Synchronized Reserve in excess of the Synchronized Reserve offer plus the resource’s opportunity cost, and less any amounts credited for Non-Synchronized Reserve in excess of the Non-Synchronized Reserve offer plus the resource’s opportunity cost, and less any amounts credited for providing Reactive Services as specified in Section 3.2.3B, and less any amounts for Day-ahead Scheduling Reserve in excess of the Day-ahead Scheduling Reserve offer plus the resource’s opportunity cost, shall be credited to the Market Seller.
Synchronized Reserve, Non-Synchronized Reserve, and Real-time Settlement Interval share of the Day-ahead Scheduling Reserve credits applied against Operating Reserve credits pursuant to this section shall be netted against the Operating Reserve credits earned in the corresponding Real-time Settlement Interval(s) in which the Synchronized Reserve, Non-Synchronized Reserve, and Day-ahead Scheduling Reserve credits accrued, provided that for condensing combustion turbines, Synchronized Reserve credits will be netted against the total Operating Reserve credits accrued during each Real-time Settlement Interval the unit operates in condensing and generation mode.

(f) A Market Seller of a unit not defined in subsection (f-1), (f-2), or (f-4) hereof (or self-scheduled, if operating according to Section 1.10.3 (c) hereof), the output of which is reduced or suspended at the request of the Office of the Interconnection due to a transmission constraint or other reliability issue, and for which the real-time LMP at the unit’s bus is higher than the unit’s offer corresponding to the level of output requested by the Office of the Interconnection (as indicated either by the desired MWs of output from the unit determined by PJM’s unit dispatch system or as directed by the PJM dispatcher through a manual override), shall be credited for each Real-time Settlement Interval in an amount equal to the product of (A) the deviation of the generating unit’s output necessary to follow the Office of the Interconnection’s signals and the generating unit’s expected output level if it had been dispatched in economic merit order, times (B) the Locational Marginal Price at the generation bus for the generating unit, minus (C) the Total Lost Opportunity Cost Offer, provided that the resulting outcome is greater than $0.00. This equation is represented as (A*B) - C.

(f-1) With the exception of Market Sellers of Flexible Resources that submit a Real-time Offer greater than their resource’s Committed Offer in the Day-ahead Energy Market, a Market Seller of a Flexible Resource shall be compensated for lost opportunity cost, and shall be limited to the lesser of the unit’s Economic Maximum or the unit’s Generation Resource Maximum Output, if either of the following conditions occur:

(i) if the unit output is reduced at the direction of the Office of the Interconnection and the real time LMP at the unit’s bus is higher than the unit’s offer corresponding to the level of output requested by the Office of the Interconnection (as directed by the PJM dispatcher), then the Market Seller shall be credited in a manner consistent with that described in section 3.2.3 (f).

(ii) If the unit is scheduled to produce energy in the Day-ahead Energy Market for a Day-ahead Settlement Interval, but the unit is not called on by the Office of the Interconnection and does not operate in the corresponding Real-time Settlement Interval(s), then the Market Seller shall be credited in an amount equal to the higher of:

1) the product of (A) the amount of megawatts committed in the Day-ahead Energy Market for the generating unit, and (B) the Real-time Price at the generation bus for the generating unit, minus the sum of (C) the Total Lost Opportunity Cost Offer plus No-load Costs, plus (D) the Start-up Cost, divided by the
Real-time Settlement Intervals committed for each set of contiguous hours for which the unit was scheduled in Day-ahead Energy Market. This equation is represented as \((A*B) - (C+D)\). The startup cost, \((D)\), shall be excluded from this calculation if the unit operates in real time following the Office of the Interconnection’s direction during any portion of the set of contiguous hours for which the unit was scheduled in Day-ahead Energy Market, or

2) the Real-time Price at the unit’s bus minus the Day-ahead Price at the unit’s bus, multiplied by the number of megawatts committed in the Day-ahead Energy Market for the generating unit.

Market Sellers of Flexible Resources that submit a Real-time Offer greater than their resource’s Committed Offer in the Day-ahead Energy Market shall not be eligible to receive compensation for lost opportunity costs under any applicable provisions of Schedule 1 of this Agreement.

(f-2) A Market Seller of a hydroelectric resource that is pool-scheduled (or self-scheduled, if operating according to Section 1.10.3 (c) hereof), the output of which is altered at the request of the Office of the Interconnection from the schedule submitted by the owner, due to a transmission constraint or other reliability issue, shall be compensated for lost opportunity cost in the same manner as provided in sections 3.2.2(d) and 3.2.3A(f) and further detailed in the PJM Manuals.

(f-3) If a Market Seller believes that, due to specific pre-existing binding commitments to which it is a party, and that properly should be recognized for purposes of this section, the above calculations do not accurately compensate the Market Seller for opportunity cost associated with following PJM dispatch instructions and reducing or suspending a unit’s output due to a transmission constraint or other reliability issue, then the Office of the Interconnection, the Market Monitoring Unit and the individual Market Seller will discuss a mutually acceptable, modified amount of opportunity cost compensation, taking into account the specific circumstances binding on the Market Seller. Following such discussion, if the Office of the Interconnection accepts a modified amount of opportunity cost compensation, the Office of the Interconnection shall invoice the Market Seller accordingly. If the Market Monitoring Unit disagrees with the modified amount of opportunity cost compensation, as accepted by the Office of the Interconnection, it will exercise its powers to inform the Commission staff of its concerns.

(f-4) A Market Seller of a wind generating unit that is pool-scheduled or self-scheduled, has SCADA capability to transmit and receive instructions from the Office of the Interconnection, has provided data and established processes to follow PJM basepoints pursuant to the requirements for wind generating units as further detailed in this Agreement, the Tariff and the PJM Manuals, and which is operating as requested by the Office of the Interconnection, the output of which is reduced or suspended at the request of the Office of the Interconnection due to a transmission constraint or other reliability issue, and for which the real-time LMP at the unit’s bus is higher than the unit’s offer corresponding to the level of output requested by the Office of
the Interconnection (as indicated either by the desired MWs of output from the unit determined by PJM’s unit dispatch system or as directed by the PJM dispatcher through a manual override), shall be credited for each Real-time Settlement Interval in an amount equal to the product of (A) the deviation of the generating unit’s output necessary to follow the Office of the Interconnection’s signals and the generating unit’s expected output level if it had been dispatched in economic merit order, times (B) the Real-time Price at the generation bus for the generating unit, minus (C) the Total Lost Opportunity Cost Offer, provided that the resulting outcome is greater than $0.00. This equation is represented as (A*B) - C.

(f-5)  (i) A Market Seller of a pool-scheduled resource or a dispatchable self-scheduled resource shall receive Dispatch Differential Lost Opportunity Cost credits as calculated under subsection (iv) below if the resource is dispatched to provide energy in the Real-time Energy Market, provided such resource is not committed to provide real-time ancillary services (Regulation, reserves, reactive service) or instructed to reduce or suspend output due to a transmission constraint or other reliability issue pursuant to Tariff, Attachment K-Appendix, section 3.2.3(f-1) through Tariff, Attachment K-Appendix, section (f-4).

(ii) PJM will calculate the revenue above cost for the pricing run for each Real-time Settlement Interval in accordance with the following equation:

\[
( A \times B ) - C
\]

Where:

\( A \) = the resource’s expected output level based on its resource parameters at the Real-time Price at the applicable pricing point;

\( B \) = the Real-time Price at the applicable pricing point; and

\( C \) = the sum of the resource’s Real-time Energy Market offer integrated under the Final Offer for the resource’s expected output level based on its resource parameters at the Real-time Price at the applicable pricing point.

(iii) PJM will calculate the revenue above cost for the dispatch run for each Real-time Settlement Interval in accordance with the following equation:

\[
( \text{greater of } A \text{ and } B ) - ( \text{lesser of } C \text{ and } D )
\]

Where:

\( A \) = the product of the amount of megawatts of energy dispatched in the Real-time Energy Market dispatch run for the resource in that Real-time Settlement Interval and the Real-time Price at the applicable pricing point;
B = the product of the amount of megawatts of energy the resource actually provided in that Real-time Settlement Interval and the Real-time Price at the applicable pricing point;

C = the resource’s Real-time Energy Market offer integrated under the Final Offer for the amount of megawatts dispatched in the Real-time Energy Market dispatch run;

D = the resource’s Real-time Energy Market offer integrated under the Final Offer for the amount of megawatts the resource actually provided in that Real-time Settlement Interval.

(iv) The Dispatch Differential Lost Opportunity Cost credit shall equal the greater of (A) the difference between the revenue above cost based on the pricing run determined in subsection (f-5)(ii) and the revenue above cost based on the dispatch run determined in subsection (f-5)(iii) or (B) zero.

(v) For each hour in an Operating Day, the total cost of the Dispatch Differential Lost Opportunity Cost credits shall be allocated and charged to each Market Participant in proportion to the sum of its (i) deliveries of energy to load ((a) net of operating Behind The Meter Generation, but not to be less than zero; and (b) excluding Direct Charging Energy) in the PJM Region, served under Network Transmission Service, in megawatt-hours; and (ii) deliveries of energy sales from within the PJM Region to load outside such region in megawatt-hours but not including its bilateral transactions that are Dynamic Transfers to load outside the PJM Region pursuant to Tariff, Attachment K-Appendix, section 1.12, as compared to the sum of all such deliveries for all Market Participants.

(g) The sum of the foregoing credits in Tariff, Attachment K-Appendix, section 3.2.3(f-1) through Tariff, Attachment K-Appendix, section 3.2.3(f-4), plus any cancellation fees paid in accordance with Section 1.10.2(d), such cancellation fees to be applied to the Operating Day for which the unit was scheduled, plus any shortfalls paid pursuant to the Market Settlement provision of the real-time Economic Load Response Program, less any payments received from another Control Area for Operating Reserves shall be the cost of Operating Reserves for the Real-time Energy Market in each Operating Day.

(h) The cost of Operating Reserves for the Real-time Energy Market for each Operating Day, except those associated with the scheduling of units for Black Start service or testing of Black Start Units as provided in Schedule 6A of the PJM Tariff, shall be allocated and charged to each Market Participant based on their daily total of hourly deviations determined in accordance with the following equation:

\[ \sum_h (A + B + C) \]

Where:

h = the hours in the applicable Operating Day;
A = For each Real-time Settlement Interval in an hour, the sum of the absolute value of the withdrawal deviations (in MW) between the quantities scheduled in the Day-ahead Energy Market and the Market Participant’s energy withdrawals (net of operating Behind The Meter Generation) in the Real-Time Energy Market, except as noted in subsection (h)(ii) below and in the PJM Manuals divided by the number of Real-time Settlement Intervals for that hour. The summation of each Real-time Settlement Interval’s withdrawal deviation in an hour will be the Market Participant’s total hourly withdrawal deviations. Market Participant bilateral transactions that are Dynamic Transfers to load outside the PJM Region pursuant to section 1.12 of this Schedule are not included in the determination of withdrawal deviations;

B = For each Real-time Settlement Interval in an hour, the sum of the absolute value of generation deviations (in MW and not including deviations in Behind The Meter Generation) as determined in subsection (o) divided by the number of Real-Time Settlement Intervals for that hour;

C = For each Real-time Settlement Interval in an hour, the sum of the absolute value of the injection deviations (in MW) between the quantities scheduled in the Day-ahead Energy Market and the Market Participant’s energy injections in the Real-Time Energy Market divided by the number of Real-time Settlement Intervals for that hour. The summation of the injection deviations for each Real-time Settlement Interval in an hour will be the Market Participant’s total hourly injection deviations. The determination of injection deviations does not include generation resources.

The Revenue Data for Settlements determined for each Real-time Settlement Interval in accordance with section 3.1A of this Schedule shall be used in determining the real-time withdrawal deviations, generation deviations and injection deviations used to calculate Operating Reserve under this subsection (e).

The costs associated with scheduling of units for Black Start service or testing of Black Start Units shall be allocated by ratio share of the monthly transmission use of each Network Customer or Transmission Customer serving Zone Load or Non-Zone Load, as determined in accordance with the formulas contained in Schedule 6A of the PJM Tariff.

Notwithstanding section (h)(1) above, as more fully set forth in the PJM Manuals, load deviations from the Day-ahead Energy Market shall not be assessed Operating Reserve charges to the extent attributable to reductions in the load of Price Responsive Demand that is in response to an increase in Locational Marginal Price from the Day-ahead Energy Market to the Real-time Energy Market and that is in accordance with a properly submitted PRD Curve.

Deviations that occur within a single Zone shall be associated with the Eastern or Western Region, as defined in Section 3.2.3(q) of this Schedule, and shall be subject to the regional balancing Operating Reserve rate determined in accordance with Section 3.2.3(q). Deviations at a hub shall be associated with the Eastern or Western Region if all the buses that define the hub are located in the region. Deviations at an Interface Pricing Point shall be associated with whichever region, the Eastern or Western Region, with which the majority of the buses that
define that Interface Pricing Point are most closely electrically associated. If deviations at interfaces and hubs are associated with the Eastern or Western region, they shall be subject to the regional balancing Operating Reserve rate. Demand and supply deviations shall be based on total activity in a Zone, including all aggregates and hubs defined by buses that are wholly contained within the same Zone.

The foregoing notwithstanding, netting deviations shall be allowed for each Real-time Settlement Interval in accordance with the following provisions:

(i) Generation resources with multiple units located at a single bus shall be able to offset deviations in accordance with the PJM Manuals to determine the net deviation MW at the relevant bus.

(ii) Demand deviations will be assessed by comparing all day-ahead demand transactions at a single transmission zone, hub, or interface against the real-time demand transactions at that same transmission zone, hub, or interface; except that the positive values of demand deviations, as set forth in the PJM Manuals, will not be assessed Operating Reserve charges in the event of a Primary Reserve or Synchronized Reserve shortage in real-time or where PJM initiates the request for emergency load reductions in real-time in order to avoid a Primary Reserve or Synchronized Reserve shortage.

(iii) Supply deviations will be assessed by comparing all day-ahead transactions at a single transmission zone, hub, or interface against the real-time transactions at that same transmission zone, hub, or interface.

(iv) Bilateral transactions inside the PJM Region, as defined in Operating Agreement, Schedule 1, section 1.7.10, will not be included in the determination of Supply or Demand deviations.

(i) At the end of each Operating Day, Market Sellers shall be credited on the basis of their offered prices for synchronous condensing for purposes other than providing Synchronized Reserve or Reactive Services, as well as the credits calculated as specified in Section 3.2.3(b) for those generators committed solely for the purpose of providing synchronous condensing for purposes other than providing Synchronized Reserve or Reactive Services, at the request of the Office of the Interconnection.

(j) The sum of the foregoing credits as specified in Section 3.2.3(i) shall be the cost of Operating Reserves for synchronous condensing for the PJM Region for purposes other than providing Synchronized Reserve or Reactive Services, or in association with post-contingency operation for the Operating Day and shall be separately determined for the PJM Region.

(k) The cost of Operating Reserves for synchronous condensing for purposes other than providing Synchronized Reserve or Reactive Services, or in association with post-contingency operation for each Operating Day shall be allocated and charged to each Market Participant in proportion to the sum of its (i) deliveries of energy to load (net of operating Behind The Meter Generation, but not to be less than zero) in the PJM Region, served under Network
Transmission Service, in megawatt-hours during that Operating Day; and (ii) deliveries of energy sales from within the PJM Region to load outside such region in megawatt-hours during that Operating Day, but not including its bilateral transactions that are Dynamic Transfers to load outside the PJM Region pursuant to Section 1.12, as compared to the sum of all such deliveries for all Market Participants.

(l) For any Operating Day in either, as applicable, the Day-ahead Energy Market or the Real-time Energy Market for which, for all or any part of such Operating Day, the Office of the Interconnection: (i) declares a Maximum Generation Emergency; (ii) issues an alert that a Maximum Generation Emergency may be declared (“Maximum Generation Emergency Alert”); or (iii) schedules units based on the anticipation of a Maximum Generation Emergency or a Maximum Generation Emergency Alert, the Operating Reserves credit otherwise provided by Section 3.2.3.(b) or Section 3.2.3(e) in connection with market-based offers shall be limited as provided in subsections (n) or (m), respectively. The Office of the Interconnection shall provide timely notice on its internet site of the commencement and termination of any of the actions described in subsection (i), (ii), or (iii) of this subsection (l) (collectively referred to as “MaxGen Conditions”). Following the posting of notice of the commencement of a MaxGen Condition, a Market Seller may elect to submit a cost-based offer in accordance with Schedule 2 of the Operating Agreement, in which case subsections (m) and (n) shall not apply to such offer; provided, however, that such offer must be submitted in accordance with the deadlines in Section 1.10 for the submission of offers in the Day-ahead Energy Market or Real-time Energy Market, as applicable. Submission of a cost-based offer under such conditions shall not be precluded by Section 1.9.7(b); provided, however, that the Market Seller must return to compliance with Section 1.9.7(b) when it submits its bid for the first Operating Day after termination of the MaxGen Condition.

(m) For the Real-time Energy Market, if the Effective Offer Price (as defined below) for a market-based offer is greater than $1,000/MWh and greater than the Market Seller’s lowest available and applicable cost-based offer, the Market Seller shall not receive any credit for Operating Reserves. For purposes of this subsection (m), the Effective Offer Price shall be the amount that, absent subsections (l) and (m), would have been credited for Operating Reserves for such Operating Day pursuant to Section 3.2.3(e) plus the Real-time Energy Market revenues for the Real-time Settlement Intervals that the offer is economic divided by the megawatt hours of energy provided during the Real-time Settlement Intervals that the offer is economic. The Real-time Settlement Intervals that the offer is economic shall be: (i) the Real-time Settlement Intervals that the offer price for energy is less than or equal to the Real-time Price for the relevant generation bus, (ii) the Real-time Settlement Intervals in which the offer for energy is greater than Locational Marginal Price and the unit is operated at the direction of the Office of the Interconnection that are in addition to any Real-time Settlement Intervals required due to the minimum run time or other operating constraint of the unit, and (iii) for any unit with a minimum run time of one hour or less and with more than one start available per day, any hours the unit operated at the direction of the Office of the Interconnection.

(n) For the Day-ahead Energy Market, if notice of a MaxGen Condition is provided prior to 11:00 a.m. on the day before the Operating Day for which transactions are being scheduled and the Effective Offer Price for a market-based offer is greater than $1,000/MWh and
greater than the Market Seller’s lowest available and applicable cost-based offer, the Market Seller shall not receive any credit for Operating Reserves. If notice of a MaxGen Condition is provided after 11:00 a.m. on the day before the Operating Day for which transactions are being scheduled and the Effective Offer Price is greater than $1,000/MWh, the Market Seller shall receive credit for Operating Reserves determined in accordance with Section 3.2.3(b), subject to the limit on total compensation stated below. If the Effective Offer Price is less than or equal to $1,000/MWh, regardless of when notice of a MaxGen Condition is provided, the Market Seller shall receive credit for Operating Reserves determined in accordance with Section 3.2.3(b), subject to the limit on total compensation stated below. For purposes of this subsection (n), the Effective Offer Price shall be the amount that, absent subsections (l) and (n), would have been credited for Operating Reserves for such Operating Day divided by the megawatt hours of energy offered during the Specified Hours, plus the offer for energy during such hours. The Specified Hours shall be the lesser of: (1) the minimum run hours stated by the Market Seller in its Offer Data; and (2) either (i) for steam-electric generating units and for combined-cycle units when such units are operating in combined-cycle mode, the six consecutive hours of highest Day-ahead Price during such Operating Day when such units are running or (ii) for combustion turbine units and for combined-cycle units when such units are operating in combustion turbine mode, the two consecutive hours of highest Day-ahead Price during such Operating Day when such units are running. Notwithstanding any other provision in this subsection, the total compensation to a Market Seller on any Operating Day that includes a MaxGen Condition shall not exceed $1,000/MWh during the Specified Hours, where such total compensation is defined as the amount that, absent subsections (l) and (n), would have been credited for Operating Reserves for such Operating Day pursuant to Section 3.2.3(b) divided by the Specified Hours, plus the Day-ahead Price for such hour, and no Operating Reserves payments shall be made for any other hour of such Operating Day. If a unit operates in real time at the direction of the Office of the Interconnection consistently with its day-ahead clearing, then subsection (m) does not apply.

(o) Dispatchable pool-scheduled generation resources and dispatchable self-scheduled generation resources that follow dispatch shall not be assessed balancing Operating Reserve deviations. Pool-scheduled generation resources and dispatchable self-scheduled generation resources that do not follow dispatch shall be assessed balancing Operating Reserve deviations in accordance with the calculations described below and in the PJM Manuals.

The Office of the Interconnection shall calculate a ramp-limited desired MW value for generation resources where the economic minimum and economic maximum are at least as far apart in real-time as they are in day-ahead according to the following parameters:

(i) real-time economic minimum <= 105% of day-ahead economic minimum or day-ahead economic minimum plus 5 MW, whichever is greater.

(ii) real-time economic maximum >= 95% day-ahead economic maximum or day-ahead economic maximum minus 5 MW, whichever is lower.

The ramp-limited desired MW value for a generation resource shall be equal to:
where:

1. UDSTarget = UDS basepoint for the previous UDS case
2. AOutput = Unit’s output at case solution time
3. UDSLAtime = UDS look ahead time
4. Case_Eff_time = Time between base point changes
5. RL_Desired = Ramp-limited desired MW

To determine if a generation resource is following dispatch the Office of the Interconnection shall determine the unit’s MW off dispatch and % off dispatch by using the lesser of the difference between the actual output and the UDS Basepoint or the actual output and ramp-limited desired MW value for each Real-time Settlement Interval. If the UDS Basepoint and the ramp-limited desired MW for the resource are unavailable, the Office of the Interconnection will determine the unit’s MW off dispatch and % off dispatch by calculating the lesser of the difference between the actual output and the UDS LMP Desired MW for each Real-time Settlement Interval.

A pool-scheduled or dispatchable self-scheduled resource is considered to be following dispatch if its actual output is between its ramp-limited desired MW value and UDS Basepoint, or if its % off dispatch is <= 10, or its Real-time Settlement Interval MWh is within 5% of the Real-time Settlement Interval ramp-limited desired MW. A self-scheduled generator must also be dispatched above economic minimum. The degree of deviations for resources that are not following dispatch shall be determined for each Real-time Settlement Interval in accordance with the following provisions:

- A dispatchable self-scheduled resource that is not dispatched above economic minimum shall be assessed balancing Operating Reserve deviations according to the following formula: Real-time Settlement Interval MWh – Day-Ahead MWh.

- A resource that is dispatchable day-ahead but is Fixed Gen in real-time shall be assessed balancing Operating Reserve deviations according to the following formula: Real-time Settlement Interval MWh – UDS LMP Desired MW.

- Pool-scheduled generators that are not following dispatch shall be assessed balancing Operating Reserve deviations according to the following formula: Real-time Settlement Interval MWh – Ramp-Limited Desired MW.

- If a resource’s real-time economic minimum is greater than its day-ahead economic minimum by 5% or 5 MW, whichever is greater, or its real-time economic maximum is less than its Day Ahead economic maximum by 5% or 5 MW, whichever is lower, and UDS LMP Desired MWh for the Real-time Settlement Interval is either below
the real time economic minimum or above the real time economic maximum, then balancing Operating Reserve deviations for the resource shall be assessed according to the following formula: Real time Settlement Interval MWh – UDS LMP Desired MWh.

• If a resource is not following dispatch and its % Off Dispatch is <= 20%, balancing Operating Reserve deviations shall be assessed according to the following formula: Real-time Settlement Interval MWh – Ramp-Limited Desired MW. If deviation value is within 5% of Ramp-Limited Desired MW, balancing Operating Reserve deviations shall not be assessed.

• If a resource is not following dispatch and its % off Dispatch is > 20%, balancing Operating Reserve deviations shall be assessed according to the following formula: Real time Settlement Interval MWh – UDS LMP Desired MWh.

• If a resource is not following dispatch, and the resource has tripped, for the Real-time Settlement Interval the resource tripped and the Real-time Settlement Intervals it remains offline throughout its day-ahead schedule balancing Operating Reserve deviations shall be assessed according to the following formula: Real time Settlement Interval MWh – Day-Ahead MWh.

• For resources that are not dispatchable in both the Day-Ahead and Real-time Energy Markets balancing Operating Reserve deviations shall be assessed according to the following formula: Real-time Settlement Interval MWh - Day-Ahead MWh.

If a resource has a sum of the absolute value of generator deviations for an hour that is less than 5 MWh, then the resource shall not be assessed balancing Operating Reserve deviations for that hour.

(o-1) Dispatchable economic load reduction resources that follow dispatch shall not be assessed balancing Operating Reserve deviations. Economic load reduction resources that do not follow dispatch shall be assessed balancing Operating Reserve deviations as described in this subsection and as further specified in the PJM Manuals.

The Desired MW quantity for such resources for each hour shall be the hourly integrated MW quantity to which the load reduction resource was dispatched for each hour (where the hourly integrated value is the average of the dispatched values as determined by the Office of the Interconnection for the resource for each hour).

If the actual reduction quantity for the load reduction resource for a given hour deviates by no more than 20% above or below the Desired MW quantity, then no balancing Operating Reserve deviation will accrue for that hour. If the actual reduction quantity for the load reduction resource for a given hour is outside the 20% bandwidth, the balancing Operating Reserve deviations will accrue for that hour in the amount of the absolute value of (Desired MW – actual reduction quantity). For those hours where the actual reduction quantity is within the 20% bandwidth specified above, the load reduction resource will be eligible to be made whole for the
The total value of its offer as defined in section 3.3A of this Appendix. Hours for which the actual reduction quantity is outside the 20% bandwidth will not be eligible for the make-whole payment. If at least one hour is not eligible for make-whole payment based on the 20% criteria, then the resource will also not be made whole for its shutdown cost.

(p) The Office of the Interconnection shall allocate the charges assessed pursuant to Section 3.2.3(h) of Schedule 1 of this Agreement except those associated with the scheduling of units for Black Start service or testing of Black Start Units as provided in Schedule 6A of the PJM Tariff, to real-time deviations from day-ahead schedules or real-time load share plus exports depending on whether the underlying balancing Operating Reserve credits are related to resources scheduled during the reliability analysis for an Operating Day, or during the actual Operating Day.

(i) For resources scheduled by the Office of the Interconnection during the reliability analysis for an Operating Day, the associated balancing Operating Reserve charges shall be allocated based on the reason the resource was scheduled according to the following provisions:

(A) If the Office of the Interconnection determines during the reliability analysis for an Operating Day that a resource was committed to operate in real-time to augment the physical resources committed in the Day-ahead Energy Market to meet the forecasted real-time load plus the Operating Reserve requirement, the associated balancing Operating Reserve charges shall be allocated to real-time deviations from day-ahead schedules.

(B) If the Office of the Interconnection determines during the reliability analysis for an Operating Day that a resource was committed to maintain system reliability, the associated balancing Operating Reserve charges shall be allocated according to ratio share of real time load plus export transactions.

(C) If the Office of the Interconnection determines during the reliability analysis for an Operating Day that a resource with a day-ahead schedule is required to deviate from that schedule to provide balancing Operating Reserves, the associated balancing Operating Reserve charges shall be allocated pursuant to (A) or (B) above.

(ii) For resources scheduled during an Operating Day, the associated balancing Operating Reserve charges shall be allocated according to the following provisions:

(A) If the Office of the Interconnection directs a resource to operate during an Operating Day to provide balancing Operating Reserves, the associated balancing Operating Reserve charges shall be allocated according to ratio share of load plus exports. The foregoing notwithstanding, charges will be assessed pursuant to this section only if the LMP at the resource’s bus does not meet or
exceed the applicable offer of the resource for at least four-5-minute intervals during one or more discrete clock hours during each period the resource operated and produced MWs during the relevant Operating Day. If a resource operated and produced MWs for less than four 5-minute intervals during one or more discrete clock hours during the relevant Operating Day, the charges for that resource during the hour it was operated less than four 5-minute intervals will be identified as being in the same category as identified for the Operating Reserves for the other discrete clock hours.

(B) If the Office of the Interconnection directs a resource not covered by Section 3.2.3(h)(ii)(A) of Schedule 1 of this Agreement to operate in real-time during an Operating Day, the associated balancing Operating Reserve charges shall be allocated according to real-time deviations from day-ahead schedules.

(q) The Office of the Interconnection shall determine regional balancing Operating Reserve rates for the Western and Eastern Regions of the PJM Region. For the purposes of this section, the Western Region shall be the AEP, APS, ComEd, Duquesne, Dayton, ATSI, DEOK, EKPC, OVEC transmission Zones, and the Eastern Region shall be the AEC, BGE, Dominion, PENELEC, PEPCO, ME, PPL, JCPL, PECO, DPL, PSEG, RE transmission Zones. The regional balancing Operating Reserve rates shall be determined in accordance with the following provisions:

(i) The Office of the Interconnection shall calculate regional adder rates for the Eastern and Western Regions. Regional adder rates shall be equal to the total balancing Operating Reserve credits paid to generators for transmission constraints that occur on transmission system capacity equal to or less than 345kv. The regional adder rates shall be separated into reliability and deviation charges, which shall be allocated to real-time load or real-time deviations, respectively. Whether the underlying credits are designated as reliability or deviation charges shall be determined in accordance with Section 3.2.3(p).

(ii) The Office of the Interconnection shall calculate RTO balancing Operating Reserve rates. RTO balancing Operating Reserve rates shall be equal to balancing Operating Reserve credits except those associated with the scheduling of units for Black Start service or testing of Black Start Units as provided in Schedule 6A of the PJM Tariff, in excess of the regional adder rates calculated pursuant to Section 3.2.3(q)(i) of Schedule 1 of this Agreement. The RTO balancing Operating Reserve rates shall be separated into reliability and deviation charges, which shall be allocated to real-time load or real-time deviations, respectively. Whether the underlying credits are allocated as reliability or deviation charges shall be determined in accordance with Section 3.2.3(p).

(iii) Reliability and deviation regional balancing Operating Reserve rates shall be determined by summing the relevant RTO balancing Operating Reserve rates and regional adder rates.
(iv) If the Eastern and/or Western Regions do not have regional adder rates, the relevant regional balancing Operating Reserve rate shall be the reliability and/or deviation RTO balancing Operating Reserve rate.

(r) Market Sellers that incur incremental operating costs for a generation resource that are either greater than $1,000/MWh as determined in accordance with the Market Seller’s PJM-approved Fuel Cost Policy, Schedule 2 of the Operating Agreement and PJM Manual 15, but are not verified at the time of dispatch of the resource under section 6.4.3 of this Schedule, or greater than $2,000/MWh as determined in accordance with the Market Seller’s PJM-approved Fuel Cost Policy, Schedule 2 of the Operating Agreement, and PJM Manual 15, will be eligible to receive credit for Operating Reserves upon review of the Market Monitoring Unit and the Office of the Interconnection, and approval of the Office of the Interconnection. Market Sellers must submit to the Office of the Interconnection and the Market Monitoring Unit all relevant documentation demonstrating the calculation of costs greater than $2,000/MWh, and costs greater than $1,000/MWh which were not verified at the time of dispatch of the resource under section 6.4.3 of this Schedule. The Office of the Interconnection must approve any Operating Reserve credits paid to a Market Seller under this subsection (r).

3.2.3A Synchronized Reserve.

(a) Each Market Participant that is a Load Serving Entity that is not part of an agreement to share reserves with external entities subject to the requirements in BAL-002 shall have an obligation for hourly Synchronized Reserve equal to its pro rata share of Synchronized Reserve requirements for the hour for each Reserve Zone and Reserve Sub-zone of the PJM Region, based on the Market Participant’s total load (net of operating Behind The Meter Generation, but not to be less than zero) in such Reserve Zone or Reserve Sub-zone for the hour (“Synchronized Reserve Obligation”), less any amount obtained from condensers associated with provision of Reactive Services as described in section 3.2.3B(i) and any amount obtained from condensers associated with post-contingency operations, as described in section 3.2.3C(b). Those entities that participate in an agreement to share reserves with external entities subject to the requirements in BAL-002 shall have their reserve obligations determined based on the stipulations in such agreement. A Market Participant with an hourly Synchronized Reserve Obligation shall be charged the pro rata share of the sum of the quantity of Synchronized Reserves provided in each Real-time Settlement Interval times the clearing price for all Real-time Settlement Intervals in the hour associated with that obligation.

(b) A resource supplying Synchronized Reserve at the direction of the Office of the Interconnection, in excess of its hourly Synchronized Reserve Obligation, shall be credited as follows:

i) Credits for Synchronized Reserve provided by generation resources that are then subject to the energy dispatch signals and instructions of the Office of the Interconnection and that increase their current output or Demand Resources that reduce their load in response to a Synchronized Reserve Event (“Tier 1 Synchronized Reserve”) shall be at the Synchronized Energy Premium Price, as described in 3.2.3A (c), with the exception of those Real-time Settlement Intervals in which the Non-Synchronized Reserve Market Clearing Price for the applicable Reserve Zone or Reserve Sub-zone is
not equal to zero. During such hours, Tier 1 Synchronized Reserve resources shall be compensated at the Synchronized Reserve Market Clearing Price for the applicable Reserve Zone or Reserve Sub-zone for the lesser of the amount of Tier 1 Synchronized Reserve attributed to the resource as calculated by the Office of the Interconnection, or the actual amount of Tier 1 Synchronized Reserve provided should a Synchronized Reserve Event occur in a Real-time Settlement Interval.

ii) Credits for Synchronized Reserve provided by generation resources that are synchronized to the grid but, at the direction of the Office of the Interconnection, are operating at a point that deviates from the Office of the Interconnection energy dispatch signals and instructions (“Tier 2 Synchronized Reserve”) shall be the higher of (i) the Synchronized Reserve Market Clearing Price or (ii) the sum of (A) the Synchronized Reserve offer, and (B) the specific opportunity cost of the generation resource supplying the increment of Synchronized Reserve, as determined by the Office of the Interconnection to a Synchronized Reserve Event in a Real-time Settlement Interval in accordance with procedures specified in the PJM Manuals.

iii) Credits for Synchronized Reserve provided by Demand Resources that are synchronized to the grid and accept the obligation to reduce load in response to a Synchronized Reserve Event in a Real-time Settlement Interval initiated by the Office of the Interconnection shall be the sum of (i) the higher of (A) the Synchronized Reserve offer or (B) the Synchronized Reserve Market Clearing Price and (ii) if a Synchronized Reserve Event is actually initiated by the Office of the Interconnection and the Demand Resource reduced its load in response to the event, the fixed costs associated with achieving the load reduction, as specified in the PJM Manuals.

(c) The Synchronized Reserve Energy Premium Price is an adder in an amount to be determined periodically by the Office of the Interconnection not less than fifty dollars and not to exceed one hundred dollars per megawatt hour.

(d) The Synchronized Reserve Market Clearing Price shall be determined for each Reserve Zone and Reserve Sub-zone by the Office of the Interconnection in the Real-time Price software program, which is known as the pricing run, for each Real-time Settlement Interval of the Operating Day. The hourly Synchronized Reserve Market Clearing Price shall be calculated as the 5-minute clearing price. Each 5-minute clearing price shall be calculated as the marginal cost of serving the next increment of demand for Synchronized Reserve in each Reserve Zone or Reserve Sub-zone, inclusive of Synchronized Reserve offer prices and opportunity costs. When the Synchronized Reserve Requirement or Extended Synchronized Reserve Requirement in a Reserve Zone or Reserve Sub-zone cannot be met in the pricing run, the 5-minute clearing price shall be at least greater than or equal to the applicable Reserve Penalty Factor for the Reserve Zone or Reserve Sub-zone, but less than or equal to the sum of the Reserve Penalty Factors for the Synchronized Reserve Requirement and Primary Reserve Requirement for the Reserve Zone or Reserve Sub-zone. If the Office of the Interconnection has initiated in a Reserve Zone or Reserve Sub-zone either a Voltage Reduction Action as described in the PJM Manuals or a Manual Load Dump Action as described in the PJM Manuals, the 5-minute clearing price shall
be the sum of the Reserve Penalty Factors for the Primary Reserve Requirement and the Synchronized Reserve Requirement for that Reserve Zone or Reserve Sub-zone.

The Reserve Penalty Factor for the Synchronized Reserve Requirement shall be $850/MWh.

The Reserve Penalty Factor for the Extended Synchronized Reserve Requirement shall be $300/MWh.

By no later than April 30 of each year, the Office of the Interconnection will analyze Market Participants’ response to prices exceeding $1,000/MWh on an annual basis and will provide its analysis to PJM stakeholders. The Office of the Interconnection will also review this analysis to determine whether any changes to the Synchronized Reserve Penalty Factors are warranted for subsequent Delivery Year(s).

(e) For each Real-time Settlement Interval and for determining the 5-minute Synchronized Reserve clearing price, the estimated unit-specific opportunity cost for a generation resource will be determined in accordance with the following equation:

\[(A \times B) + (C \times D)\]

Where

\[A = \text{The Locational Marginal Price at the generation bus for the generation resource;}\]

\[B = \text{The megawatts of energy used to provide Synchronized Reserve submitted as part of the Synchronized Reserve offer;}\]

\[C = \text{The deviation of the set point of the generation resource that is expected to be required in order to provide Synchronized Reserve from the generation resource’s expected output level if it had been dispatched in economic merit order; and}\]

\[D = \text{The difference between the Locational Marginal Price at the generation bus for the generation resource and the offer price for energy from the generation resource (at the megawatt level of the Synchronized Reserve set point for the resource) in the PJM Interchange Energy Market when the Locational Marginal Price at the generation bus is greater than the offer price for energy from the generation resource.}\]

The opportunity costs for a Demand Resource shall be zero.

(f) In determining the credit under subsection (b) to a resource selected to provide Tier 2 Synchronized Reserve and that actively follows the Office of the Interconnection’s signals and instructions, the unit-specific opportunity cost of a generation resource shall be determined for each Real-time Settlement Interval that the Office of the Interconnection requires a generation resource to provide Tier 2 Synchronized Reserve and shall be in accordance with the following equation:

\[(A \times B) + (C \times D)\]
Where:

A = The megawatts of energy used by the resource to provide Synchronized Reserve as submitted as part of the generation resource’s Synchronized Reserve offer;

B = The Locational Marginal Price at the generation bus of the generation resource;

C = The deviation of the generation resource’s output necessary to follow the Office of the Interconnection’s signals and instructions from the generation resource’s expected output level if it had been dispatched in economic merit order; and

D = The difference between the Locational Marginal Price at the generation bus for the generation resource and the offer price for energy from the generation resource (at the megawatt level of the Synchronized Reserve set point for the generation resource) in the PJM Interchange Energy Market when the Locational Marginal Price at the generation bus is greater than the offer price for energy from the generation resource.

The opportunity costs for a Demand Resource shall be zero.

(g) Charges for Tier 1 Synchronized Reserve will be allocated in proportion to the amount of Tier 1 Synchronized Reserve applied to each Synchronized Reserve Obligation. In the event Tier 1 Synchronized Reserve is provided by a Market Participant in excess of that Market Participant’s Synchronized Reserve Obligation, the Tier 1 Synchronized Reserve that is not utilized to fulfill the Market Participant’s obligation will be allocated proportionately among all other Synchronized Reserve Obligations.

(h) Any amounts credited for Tier 2 Synchronized Reserve in a Real-time Settlement Interval in excess of the Synchronized Reserve Market Clearing Price in that Real-time Settlement Interval shall be allocated and charged to each Market Participant that does not meet its hourly Synchronized Reserve Obligation in proportion to its purchases of Synchronized Reserve in megawatt-hours during that hour.

(i) In the event the Office of the Interconnection needs to assign more Tier 2 Synchronized Reserve during a Real-time Settlement Interval than was estimated as needed at the time the Synchronized Reserve Market Clearing Price was calculated for that Real-time Settlement Interval due to a reduction in available Tier 1 Synchronized Reserve, the costs of the excess Tier 2 Synchronized Reserve shall be allocated and charged to those providers of Tier 1 Synchronized Reserve whose available Tier 1 Synchronized Reserve was reduced from the needed amount estimated during the Synchronized Reserve Market Clearing Price calculation, in proportion to the amount of the reduction in Tier 1 Synchronized Reserve availability.

(j) In the event a generation resource or Demand Resource that either has been assigned by the Office of the Interconnection or self-scheduled to provide Tier 2 Synchronized Reserve fails to provide the assigned or self-scheduled amount of Tier 2 Synchronized Reserve in response to a Synchronized Reserve Event, the resource will be credited for Tier 2 Synchronized Reserve capacity in the amount that actually responded for all Real-time
Settlement Intervals the resource was assigned or self-scheduled Tier 2 Synchronized Reserve on the Operating Day during which the event occurred. The determination of the amount of Synchronized Reserve credited to a resource shall be on an individual resource basis, not on an aggregate basis.

The resource shall refund payments received for Tier 2 Synchronized Reserve it failed to provide. For purposes of determining the amount of the payments to be refunded by a Market Participant, the Office of the Interconnection shall calculate the shortfall of Tier 2 Synchronized Reserve on an individual resource basis unless the Market Participant had multiple resources that were assigned or self-scheduled to provide Tier 2 Synchronized Reserve, in which case the shortfall will be determined on an aggregate basis. For performance determined on an aggregate basis, the response of any resource that provided more Tier 2 Synchronized Reserve than it was assigned or self-scheduled to provide will be used to offset the performance of other resources that provided less Tier 2 Synchronized Reserve than they were assigned or self-scheduled to provide during a Synchronized Reserve Event, as calculated in the PJM Manuals. The determination of a Market Participant’s aggregate response shall not be taken into consideration in the determination of the amount of Tier 2 Synchronized Reserve credited to each individual resource.

The amount refunded shall be determined by multiplying the Synchronized Reserve Market Clearing Price by the amount of the shortfall of Tier 2 Synchronized Reserve, measured in megawatts, for all intervals the resource was assigned or self-scheduled to provide Tier 2 Synchronized Reserve for a period of time immediately preceding the Synchronized Reserve Event equal to the lesser of the average number of days between Synchronized Reserve Events, or the number of days since the resource last failed to provide the amount of Tier 2 Synchronized Reserve it was assigned or self-scheduled to provide in response to a Synchronized Reserve Event. The average number of days between Synchronized Reserve Events for purposes of this calculation shall be determined by an annual review of the twenty-four month period ending October 31 of the calendar year in which the review is performed, and shall be rounded down to a whole day value. The Office of the Interconnection shall report the results of its annual review to stakeholders by no later than December 31, and the average number of days between Synchronized Reserve Events shall be effective as of the following January 1. The refunded charges shall be allocated as credits to Market Participants based on its pro rata share of the Synchronized Reserve Obligation megawatts less any Tier 1 Synchronized Reserve applied to its Synchronized Reserve Obligation in the hour(s) of the Synchronized Reserve Event for the Reserve Sub-zone or Reserve Zone, except that Market Participants that incur a refund obligation and also have an applicable Synchronized Reserve Obligation during the hour(s) of the Synchronized Reserve Event shall not be included in the allocation of such refund credits. If the event spans multiple hours, the refund credits will be prorated hourly based on the duration of the event within each clock hour.

(k) The magnitude of response to a Synchronized Reserve Event by a generation resource or a Demand Resource, except for Batch Load Demand Resources covered by section 3.2.3A(l), is the difference between the generation resource’s output or the Demand Resource’s consumption at the start of the event and its output or consumption 10 minutes after the start of the event. In order to allow for small fluctuations and possible telemetry delays, generation
resource output or Demand Resource consumption at the start of the event is defined as the lowest telemetered generator resource output or greatest Demand Resource consumption between one minute prior to and one minute following the start of the event. Similarly, a generation resource's output or a Demand Resource's consumption 10 minutes after the event is defined as the greatest generator resource output or lowest Demand Resource consumption achieved between 9 and 11 minutes after the start of the event. The response actually credited to a generation resource will be reduced by the amount the megawatt output of the generation resource falls below the level achieved after 10 minutes by either the end of the event or after 30 minutes from the start of the event, whichever is shorter. The response actually credited to a Demand Resource will be reduced by the amount the megawatt consumption of the Demand Resource exceeds the level achieved after 10 minutes by either the end of the event or after 30 minutes from the start of the event, whichever is shorter.

(l) The magnitude of response by a Batch Load Demand Resource that is at the stage in its production cycle when its energy consumption is less than the level of megawatts in its offer at the start of a Synchronized Reserve Event shall be the difference between (i) the Batch Load Demand Resource’s consumption at the end of the Synchronized Reserve Event and (ii) the Batch Load Demand Resource’s consumption during the minute within the ten minutes after the end of the Synchronized Reserve Event in which the Batch Load Demand Resource’s consumption was highest and for which its consumption in all subsequent minutes within the ten minutes was not less than fifty percent of the consumption in such minute; provided that, the magnitude of the response shall be zero if, when the Synchronized Reserve Event commences, the scheduled off-cycle stage of the production cycle is greater than ten minutes.

3.2.3A.001 Non-Synchronized Reserve.

(a) Each Market Participant that is a Load Serving Entity that is not part of an agreement to share reserves with external entities subject to the requirements in BAL-002 shall have an obligation for hourly Non-Synchronized Reserve equal to its pro rata share of Non-Synchronized Reserve assigned for the hour for each Reserve Zone and Reserve Sub-zone of the PJM Region, based on the Market Participant’s total load (net of operating Behind The Meter Generation, but not to be less than zero) in such Reserve Zone and Reserve Sub-zone for the hour (“Non-Synchronized Reserve Obligation”). Those entities that participate in an agreement to share reserves with external entities subject to the requirements in BAL-002 shall have their reserve obligations determined based on the stipulations in such agreement. A Market Participant with an hourly Non-Synchronized Reserve Obligation shall be charged the pro rata share of the sum of the quantity of Non-Synchronized Reserves provided in each Real-time Settlement Interval times the clearing price for all Real-time Settlement Intervals in the hour associated with that obligation.

(b) Credits for Non-Synchronized Reserve provided by generation resources that are not operating for energy at the direction of the Office of the Interconnection specifically for the purpose of providing Non-Synchronized Reserve shall be the higher of (i) the Non-Synchronized Reserve Market Clearing Price or (ii) the specific opportunity cost of the generation resource supplying the increment of Non-Synchronized Reserve, as determined by the Office of the Interconnection in accordance with procedures specified in the PJM Manuals.
(c) The Non-Synchronized Reserve Market Clearing Price shall be determined for each Reserve Zone and Reserve Sub-zone by the Office of the Interconnection in the Real-time Price software program, which is known as the pricing run, for each Real-time Settlement Interval of the Operating Day. The Non-Synchronized Reserve Market Clearing Price shall be calculated as the 5-minute clearing price. Each 5-minute clearing price shall be calculated as the marginal cost of procuring sufficient Non-Synchronized Reserves and/or Synchronized Reserves in each Reserve Zone or Reserve Sub-zone inclusive of opportunity costs associated with meeting the Primary Reserve Requirement or Extended Primary Reserve Requirement. When the Primary Reserve Requirement or Extended Primary Reserve Requirement in a Reserve Zone or Reserve Sub-zone cannot be met in the pricing run at a price less than or equal to the applicable Reserve Penalty Factor, the 5-minute clearing price for Non-Synchronized Reserve shall be at least greater than or equal to the applicable Reserve Penalty Factor for the Reserve Zone or Reserve Sub-zone, but less than or equal to the Reserve Penalty Factor for the Primary Reserve Requirement for the Reserve Zone or Reserve Sub-zone. If the Office of the Interconnection has initiated in a Reserve Zone or Reserve Sub-zone either a Voltage Reduction Action as described in the PJM Manuals or a Manual Load Dump Action as described in the PJM Manuals, the 5-minute clearing price shall be the Reserve Penalty Factor for the Primary Reserve Requirement for that Reserve Zone or Reserve Sub-zone.

The Reserve Penalty Factor for the Synchronized Reserve Requirement shall be $850/MWh.
The Reserve Penalty Factor for the Extended Primary Reserve Requirement shall be $300/MWh.

By no later than April 30 of each year, the Office of the Interconnection will analyze Market Participants’ response to prices exceeding $1,000/MWh on an annual basis and will provide its analysis to PJM stakeholders. The Office of the Interconnection will also review this analysis to determine whether any changes to the Primary Reserve Penalty Factors are warranted for subsequent Delivery Year(s).

(d) For each Real-time Settlement Interval and for determining the 5-minute Non-Synchronized Reserve clearing price, the unit-specific opportunity cost for a generation resource that is not providing energy because they are providing Non-Synchronized Reserves will be determined in accordance with the following equation:

\[(A \times B) - C\]

Where:
\[A = \text{The deviation of the generation resource’s output necessary to follow the Office of the Interconnection’s signals and instructions from the generation resource’s expected output level if it had been dispatched in economic merit order;}\]

\[B = \text{The Locational Marginal Price at the generation bus for the generation resource; and}\]

\[C = \text{The applicable offer for energy from the generation resource in the PJM Interchange Energy Market.}\]

(e) In determining the credit under subsection (b) to a resource selected to provide Non-Synchronized Reserve and that follows the Office of the Interconnection’s signals and
instructions, the unit-specific opportunity cost of a generation resource shall be determined for each Real-time Settlement Interval that the Office of the Interconnection requires a generation resource to provide Non-Synchronized Reserve and shall be in accordance with the following equation:

\[(A \times B) - C\]

Where:
- \(A\) = The deviation of the generation resource’s output necessary to follow the Office of the Interconnection’s signals and instructions from the generation resource’s expected output level if it had been dispatched in economic merit order;
- \(B\) = The Locational Marginal Price at the generation bus for the generation resource; and
- \(C\) = The applicable offer for energy from the generation resource in the PJM Interchange Energy Market.

(f) Any amounts credited for Non-Synchronized Reserve in a Real-time Settlement Interval in excess of the Non-Synchronized Reserve Market Clearing Price in that Real-time Settlement Interval shall be allocated and charged to each Market Participant that does not meet its hourly Non-Synchronized Reserve Obligation in proportion to its purchases of Non-Synchronized Reserve in megawatt-hours during that hour.

(g) The magnitude of response to a Non-Synchronized Reserve Event by a generation resource is the difference between the generation resource’s output at the start of the event and its output 10 minutes after the start of the event. In order to allow for small fluctuations and possible telemetry delays, generation resource output at the start of the event is defined as the lowest telemetered generator resource output between one minute prior to and one minute following the start of the event. Similarly, a generation resource's output 10 minutes after the start of the event is defined as the greatest generator resource output achieved between 9 and 11 minutes after the start of the event. The response actually credited to a generation resource will be reduced by the amount the megawatt output of the generation resource falls below the level achieved after 10 minutes by either the end of the event or after 30 minutes from the start of the event, whichever is shorter.

(h) In the event a generation resource that has been assigned by the Office of the Interconnection to provide Non-Synchronized Reserve fails to provide the assigned amount of Non-Synchronized Reserve in response to a Non-Synchronized Reserve Event, the resource will be credited for Non-Synchronized Reserve capacity in the amount that actually responded for the contiguous Real-time Settlement Interval the resource was assigned Non-Synchronized Reserve during which the event occurred.

3.2.3A.01 Day-ahead Scheduling Reserves.

(a) The Office of the Interconnection shall satisfy the Day-ahead Scheduling Reserves Requirement by procuring Day-ahead Scheduling Reserves in the Day-ahead
Scheduling Reserves Market from Day-ahead Scheduling Reserves Resources, provided that Demand Resources shall be limited to providing the lesser of any limit established by the Reliability First Corporation or SERC, as applicable, or twenty-five percent of the total Day-ahead Scheduling Reserves Requirement. Day-ahead Scheduling Reserves Resources that clear in the Day-ahead Scheduling Reserves Market shall receive a Day-ahead Scheduling Reserves schedule from the Office of the Interconnection for the relevant Operating Day. PJMSettlement shall be the Counterparty to the purchases and sales of Day-ahead Scheduling Reserves in the PJM Interchange Energy Market; provided that PJMSettlement shall not be a contracting party to bilateral transactions between Market Participants or with respect to a self-schedule or self-supply of generation resources by a Market Buyer to satisfy its Day-ahead Scheduling Reserves Requirement.

(b) A Day-ahead Scheduling Reserves Resource that receives a Day-ahead Scheduling Reserves schedule pursuant to subsection (a) of this section shall be paid the hourly Day-ahead Scheduling Reserves Market clearing price, as determined in the day-ahead pricing run set forth in Tariff, Attachment K-Appendix, section 2.6, for the cleared megawatt quantity of Day-ahead Scheduling Reserves in each hour of the schedule, subject to meeting the requirements of subsection (c) of this section.

(c) To be eligible for payment pursuant to subsection (b) of this section, Day-ahead Scheduling Reserves Resources shall comply with the following provisions:

(i) Generation resources with a start time greater than thirty minutes are required to be synchronized and operating at the direction of the Office of the Interconnection during the resource’s Day-ahead Scheduling Reserves schedule and shall have a dispatchable range equal to or greater than the Day-ahead Scheduling Reserves schedule.

(ii) Generation resources and Demand Resources with start times or shut-down times, respectively, equal to or less than 30 minutes are required to respond to dispatch directives from the Office of the Interconnection during the resource’s Day-ahead Scheduling Reserves schedule. To meet this requirement the resource shall be required to start or shut down within the specified notification time plus its start or shut down time, provided that such time shall be less than thirty minutes.

(iii) Demand Resources with a Day-ahead Scheduling Reserves schedule shall be credited based on the difference between the resource’s MW consumption at the time the resource is directed by the Office of the Interconnection to reduce its load (starting MW usage) and the resource’s MW consumption at the time when the Demand Resource is no longer dispatched by PJM (ending MW usage). For the purposes of this subsection, a resource’s starting MW usage shall be the greatest telemetered consumption between one minute prior to and one minute following the issuance of a dispatch instruction from the Office of the Interconnection, and a resource’s ending MW usage shall be the lowest consumption between one minute before and one minute after a dispatch instruction from the Office of the Interconnection that is no longer necessary to reduce.
(iv) Notwithstanding subsection (iii) above, the credit for a Batch Load Demand Resource that is at the stage in its production cycle when its energy consumption is less than the level of megawatts in its offer at the time the resource is directed by the Office of the Interconnection to reduce its load shall be the difference between (i) the “ending MW usage” (as defined above) and (ii) the Batch Load Demand Resource’s consumption during the minute within the ten minutes after the time of the “ending MW usage” in which the Batch Load Demand Resource’s consumption was highest and for which its consumption in all subsequent minutes within the ten minutes was not less than fifty percent of the consumption in such minute; provided that, the credit shall be zero if, at the time the resource is directed by the Office of the Interconnection to reduce its load, the scheduled off-cycle stage of the production cycle is greater than the timeframe for which the resource was dispatched by PJM.

Resources that do not comply with the provisions of this subsection (c) shall not be eligible to receive credits pursuant to subsection (b) of this section.

(d) The hourly credits paid to Day-ahead Scheduling Reserves Resources satisfying the Base Day-ahead Scheduling Reserves Requirement (“Base Day-ahead Scheduling Reserves credits”) shall equal the ratio of the Base Day-ahead Scheduling Reserves Requirement to the Day-ahead Scheduling Reserves Requirement, multiplied by the total credits paid to Day-ahead Scheduling Reserves Resources, and are allocated as Base Day-ahead Scheduling Reserves charges per paragraph (i) below. The hourly credits paid to Day-ahead Scheduling Reserve Resources satisfying the Additional Day-ahead Scheduling Reserve Requirement (“Additional Day-ahead Scheduling Reserves credits”) shall equal the ratio of the Additional Day-ahead Scheduling Reserves Requirement to the Day-ahead Scheduling Reserves Requirement, multiplied by the total credits paid to Day-ahead Scheduling Reserves Resources and are allocated as Additional Day-ahead Scheduling Reserves charges per paragraph (ii) below.

(i) A Market Participant’s Base Day-ahead Scheduling Reserves charge is equal to the ratio of the Market Participant’s hourly obligation to the total hourly obligation of all Market Participants in the PJM Region, multiplied by the Base Day-ahead Scheduling Reserves credits. The hourly obligation for each Market Participant is a megawatt representation of the portion of the Base Day-ahead Scheduling Reserves credits that the Market Participant is responsible for paying to PJM. The hourly obligation is equal to the Market Participant’s load ratio share of the total megawatt volume of Base Day-ahead Scheduling Reserves resources (described below), based on the Market Participant’s total hourly load (net of operating Behind The Meter Generation, but not to be less than zero) to the total hourly load of all Market Participants in the PJM Region. The total megawatt volume of Base Day-ahead Scheduling Reserves resources equals the ratio of the Base Day-ahead Scheduling Reserves Requirement to the Day-ahead Scheduling Reserves Requirement multiplied by the total volume of Day-ahead Scheduling Reserves megawatts paid pursuant to paragraph (c) of this section. A Market Participant’s hourly Day-ahead Scheduling Reserves obligation can be further adjusted by any Day-ahead Scheduling Reserve bilateral transactions.
(ii) Additional Day-ahead Scheduling Reserves credits shall be charged hourly to Market Participants that are net purchasers in the Day-ahead Energy Market based on its positive demand difference ratio share. The positive demand difference for each Market Participant is the difference between its real-time load (net of operating Behind The Meter Generation, but not to be less than zero) and cleared Demand Bids in the Day-ahead Energy Market, net of cleared Increment Offers and cleared Decrement Bids in the Day-ahead Energy Market, when such value is positive. Net purchasers in the Day-ahead Energy Market are those Market Participants that have cleared Demand Bids plus cleared Decrement Bids in excess of its amount of cleared Increment Offers in the Day-ahead Energy Market. If there are no Market Participants with a positive demand difference, the Additional Day-ahead Scheduling Reserves credits are allocated according to paragraph (i) above.

(e) If the Day-ahead Scheduling Reserves Requirement is not satisfied through the operation of subsection (a) of this section, any additional Operating Reserves required to meet the requirement shall be scheduled by the Office of the Interconnection pursuant to Section 3.2.3 of Schedule 1 of this Agreement.

3.2.3B Reactive Services.

(a) A Market Seller providing Reactive Services at the direction of the Office of the Interconnection shall be credited as specified below for the operation of its resource. These provisions are intended to provide payments to generating units when the LMP dispatch algorithms would not result in the dispatch needed for the required reactive service. LMP will be used to compensate generators that are subject to redispatch for reactive transfer limits.

(b) At the end of each Operating Day, where the active energy output of a Market Seller’s resource is reduced or suspended at the request of the Office of the Interconnection for the purpose of maintaining reactive reliability within the PJM Region, the Market Seller shall be credited according to Sections 3.2.3B(c) & 3.2.3B(d).

(c) A Market Seller providing Reactive Services from either a steam-electric generating unit or combined cycle unit operating in combined cycle mode, where such unit is pool-scheduled (or self-scheduled, if operating according to Section 1.10.3 (c) hereof), and where the real time LMP at the unit’s bus is higher than the price offered by the Market Seller for energy from the unit at the level of output requested by the Office of the Interconnection (as indicated either by the desired MWs of output from the unit determined by PJM’s unit dispatch system or as directed by the PJM dispatcher through a manual override) shall be compensated for lost opportunity cost by receiving a credit in an amount equal to the product of (A) the deviation of the generating unit’s output necessary to follow the Office of the Interconnection’s signals and the generating unit’s expected output level if it had been dispatched in economic merit order, times (B) the Real-time Price at the generation bus for the generating unit, minus (C) the Total Lost Opportunity Cost Offer, provided that the resulting outcome is greater than $0.00. This equation is represented as \((A \times B) - C\).
(d) A Market Seller providing Reactive Services from either a combustion turbine unit or combined cycle unit operating in simple cycle mode that is pool scheduled (or self-scheduled, if operating according to Section 1.10.3 (c) hereof), operated as requested by the Office of the Interconnection, shall be compensated for lost opportunity cost, limited to the lesser of the unit’s Economic Maximum or the unit’s Generation Resource Maximum Output, if the unit output is reduced at the direction of the Office of the Interconnection and the real time LMP at the unit’s bus is higher than the price offered by the Market Seller for energy from the unit at the level of output requested by the Office of the Interconnection as directed by the PJM dispatcher, then the Market Seller shall be credited in a manner consistent with that described above in Section 3.2.3B(c) for a steam unit or a combined cycle unit operating in combined cycle mode.

(e) At the end of each Operating Day, where the active energy output of a Market Seller’s unit is increased at the request of the Office of the Interconnection for the purpose of maintaining reactive reliability within the PJM Region and the offered price of the energy is above the real-time LMP at the unit’s bus, the Market Seller shall be credited according to Section 3.2.3B(f).

(f) A Market Seller providing Reactive Services from either a steam-electric generating unit, combined cycle unit or combustion turbine unit, where such unit is pool scheduled (or self-scheduled, if operating according to Section 1.10.3 (c) hereof), and where the real time LMP at the unit’s bus is lower than the price offered by the Market Seller for energy from the unit at the level of output requested by the Office of the Interconnection (as indicated either by the desired MWs of output from the unit determined by PJM’s unit dispatch system or as directed by the PJM dispatcher through a manual override), shall receive a credit hourly in an amount equal to \( (AG - LMPDMW) \times (UB - URTLMP) \) where:

- \( AG \) equals the actual output of the unit;
- \( LMPDMW \) equals the level of output for the unit determined according to the point on the scheduled offer curve on which the unit was operating corresponding to the real time LMP at the unit’s bus and adjusted for any Regulation or Tier 2 Synchronized Reserve assignments;
- \( UB \) equals the unit offer for that unit for which output is increased, determined according to the lesser of the Final Offer or Committed Offer;
- \( URTLMP \) equals the real time LMP at the unit’s bus; and

where \( UB - URTLMP \) shall not be negative.

(g) A Market Seller providing Reactive Services from a hydroelectric resource where such resource is pool scheduled (or self-scheduled, if operating according to Section 1.10.3 (c) hereof), and where the output of such resource is altered from the schedule submitted by the Market Seller for the purpose of maintaining reactive reliability at the request of the Office of the
Interconnection, shall be compensated for lost opportunity cost in the same manner as provided in sections 3.2.2(d) and 3.2.3A(f) and further detailed in the PJM Manuals.

(h) If a Market Seller believes that, due to specific pre-existing binding commitments to which it is a party, and that properly should be recognized for purposes of this section, the above calculations do not accurately compensate the Market Seller for lost opportunity cost associated with following the Office of the Interconnection’s dispatch instructions to reduce or suspend a unit’s output for the purpose of maintaining reactive reliability, then the Office of the Interconnection, the Market Monitoring Unit and the individual Market Seller will discuss a mutually acceptable, modified amount of such alternate lost opportunity cost compensation, taking into account the specific circumstances binding on the Market Seller. Following such discussion, if the Office of the Interconnection accepts a modified amount of alternate lost opportunity cost compensation, the Office of the Interconnection shall invoice the Market Participant accordingly. If the Market Monitoring Unit disagrees with the modified amount of alternate lost opportunity cost compensation, as accepted by the Office of the Interconnection, it will exercise its powers to inform the Commission staff of its concerns.

(i) The amount of Synchronized Reserve provided by generating units maintaining reactive reliability shall be counted as Synchronized Reserve satisfying the overall PJM Synchronized Reserve requirements. Operators of these generating units shall be notified of such provision, and to the extent a generating unit’s operator indicates that the generating unit is capable of providing Synchronized Reserve, shall be subject to the same requirements contained in Section 3.2.3A regarding provision of Tier 2 Synchronized Reserve. At the end of each Operating Day, to the extent a condenser operated to provide Reactive Services also provided Synchronized Reserve, a Market Seller shall be credited for providing synchronous condensing for the purpose of maintaining reactive reliability at the request of the Office of the Interconnection, in an amount equal to the higher of (i) the Synchronized Reserve Market Clearing Price for each Real-time Settlement Interval a generating unit provided synchronous condensing multiplied by the amount of Synchronized reserve provided by the synchronous condenser or (ii) the sum of (A) the generating unit’s cost to provide synchronous condensing, calculated in accordance with the PJM Manuals, (B) the product of MW energy usage for providing synchronous condensing multiplied by the real time LMP at the generating unit’s bus, (C) the generating unit’s startup-cost of providing synchronous condensing, and (D) the unit-specific lost opportunity cost of the generating resource supplying the increment of Synchronized Reserve as determined by the Office of the Interconnection in accordance with procedures specified in the PJM Manuals. To the extent a condenser operated to provide Reactive Services was not also providing Synchronized Reserve, the Market Seller shall be credited only for the generating unit’s cost to condense, as described in (ii) above. The total Synchronized Reserve Obligations of all Load Serving Entities under section 3.2.3A(a) in the zone where these condensers are located shall be reduced by the amount counted as satisfying the PJM Synchronized Reserve requirements. The Synchronized Reserve Obligation of each Load Serving Entity in the zone under section 3.2.3A(a) shall be reduced to the same extent that the costs of such condensers counted as Synchronized Reserve are allocated to such Load Serving Entity pursuant to subsection (l) below.
(j) A Market Seller’s pool scheduled steam-electric generating unit or combined cycle unit operating in combined cycle mode, that is not committed to operate in the Day-ahead Market, but that is directed by the Office of the Interconnection to operate solely for the purpose of maintaining reactive reliability, at the request of the Office of the Interconnection, shall be credited in the amount of the unit’s offered price for start-up and no-load fees. The unit also shall receive, if applicable, compensation in accordance with Sections 3.2.3B(e)-(f).

(k) The sum of the foregoing credits as specified in Sections 3.2.3B(b)-(j) shall be the cost of Reactive Services for the purpose of maintaining reactive reliability for the Operating Day and shall be separately determined for each transmission zone in the PJM Region based on whether the resource was dispatched for the purpose of maintaining reactive reliability in such transmission zone.

(l) The cost of Reactive Services for the purpose of maintaining reactive reliability in a transmission zone in the PJM Region for each Operating Day shall be allocated and charged to each Market Participant in proportion to its deliveries of energy to load (net of operating Behind The Meter Generation) in such transmission zone, served under Network Transmission Service, in megawatt-hours during that Operating Day, as compared to all such deliveries for all Market Participants in such transmission zone.

(m) Generating units receiving dispatch instructions from the Office of the Interconnection under the expectation of increased actual or reserve reactive shall inform the Office of the Interconnection dispatcher if the requested reactive capability is not achievable. Should the operator of a unit receiving such instructions realize at any time during which said instruction is effective that the unit is not, or likely would not be able to, provide the requested amount of reactive support, the operator shall as soon as practicable inform the Office of the Interconnection dispatcher of the unit’s inability, or expected inability, to provide the required reactive support, so that the associated dispatch instruction may be cancelled. PJM Performance Compliance personnel will audit operations after-the-fact to determine whether a unit that has altered its active power output at the request of the Office of the Interconnection has provided the actual reactive support or the reactive reserve capability requested by the Office of the Interconnection. PJM shall utilize data including, but not limited to, historical reactive performance and stated reactive capability curves in order to make this determination, and may withhold such compensation as described above if reactive support as requested by the Office of the Interconnection was not or could not have been provided.

3.2.3C Synchronous Condensing for Post-Contingency Operation.

(a) Under normal circumstances, PJM operates generation out of merit order to control contingency overloads when the flow on the monitored element for loss of the contingent element (“contingency flow”) exceeds the long-term emergency rating for that facility, typically a 4-hour or 2-hour rating. At times however, and under certain, specific system conditions, PJM does not operate generation out of merit order for certain contingency overloads until the contingency flow on the monitored element exceeds the 30-minute rating for that facility (“post-contingency operation”). In conjunction with such operation, when the contingency flow on such element exceeds the long-term emergency rating, PJM operates synchronous condensers in
the areas affected by such constraints, to the extent they are available, to provide greater
certainty that such resources will be capable of producing energy in sufficient time to reduce the
flow on the monitored element below the normal rating should such contingency occur.

(b) The amount of Synchronized Reserve provided by synchronous condensers
associated with post-contingency operation shall be counted as Synchronized Reserve satisfying
the PJM Synchronized Reserve requirements. Operators of these generation units shall be
notified of such provision, and to the extent a generation unit’s operator indicates that the
generation unit is capable of providing Synchronized Reserve, shall be subject to the same
requirements contained in Section 3.2.3A regarding provision of Tier 2 Synchronized Reserve.
At the end of each Operating Day, to the extent a condenser operated in conjunction with post-
contingency operation also provided Synchronized Reserve, a Market Seller shall be credited for
providing synchronous condensing in conjunction with post-contingency operation at the request
of the Office of the Interconnection, in an amount equal to the higher of (i) the Synchronized
Reserve Market Clearing Price for each applicable interval a generation resource provided
synchronous condensing multiplied by the amount of Synchronized Reserve provided by the
synchronous condenser or (ii) the sum of (A) the generation resource’s applicable interval cost to
provide synchronous condensing, calculated in accordance with the PJM Manuals, (B) the
applicable interval product of the megawatts of energy used to provide synchronous condensing
multiplied by the real-time LMP at the generation bus of the generation resource, (C) the
generation resource’s start-up cost of providing synchronous condensing, and (D) the unit-
specific lost opportunity cost of the generation resource supplying the increment of Synchronized
Reserve as determined by the Office of the Interconnection in accordance with procedures
specified in the PJM Manuals. To the extent a condenser operated in association with post-
contingency constraint control was not also providing Synchronized Reserve, the Market Seller
shall be credited only for the generation unit’s cost to condense, as described in (ii) above. The
total Synchronized Reserve Obligations of all Load Serving Entities under section 3.2.3A(a) in
the zone where these condensers are located shall be reduced by the amount counted as
satisfying the PJM Synchronized Reserve requirements. The Synchronized Reserve Obligation
of each Load Serving Entity in the zone under section 3.2.3A(a) shall be reduced to the same
extent that the costs of such condensers counted as Synchronized Reserve are allocated to such
Load Serving Entity pursuant to subsection (d) below.

(c) The sum of the foregoing credits as specified in section 3.2.3C(b) shall be the cost
of synchronous condensers associated with post-contingency operations for the Operating Day
and shall be separately determined for each transmission zone in the PJM Region based on
whether the resource was dispatched in association with post-contingency operation in such
transmission zone.

(d) The cost of synchronous condensers associated with post-contingency operations
in a transmission zone in the PJM Region for each Operating Day shall be allocated and charged
to each Market Participant in proportion to its deliveries of energy to load (net of operating
Behind The Meter Generation) in such transmission zone, served under Network Transmission
Service, in megawatt-hours during that Operating Day, as compared to all such deliveries for all
Market Participants in such transmission zone.
3.2.4 Transmission Congestion Charges.

Each Market Buyer shall be assessed Transmission Congestion Charges as specified in Section 5 of this Schedule.

3.2.5 Transmission Loss Charges.

Each Market Buyer shall be assessed Transmission Loss Charges as specified in Section 5 of this Schedule.

3.2.6 Emergency Energy.

(a) When the Office of the Interconnection has implemented Emergency procedures, resources offering Emergency energy are eligible to set real-time Locational Marginal Prices, capped at the energy offer cap plus the sum of the applicable Reserve Penalty Factors for the Synchronized Reserve Requirement and Primary Reserve Requirement, provided that the Emergency energy is needed to meet demand in the PJM Region.

(b) Market Participants shall be allocated a proportionate share of the net cost of Emergency energy purchased by the Office of the Interconnection. Such allocated share during each applicable interval of such Emergency energy purchase shall be in proportion to the amount of each Market Participant’s real-time deviation from its net withdrawals and injections in the Day-ahead Energy Market, whenever that deviation increases the Market Participant’s spot market purchases or decreases its spot market sales. This deviation shall not include any reduction or suspension of output of pool scheduled resources requested by PJM to manage an Emergency within the PJM Region.

(c) Net revenues in excess of Real-time Prices attributable to sales of energy in connection with Emergencies to other Control Areas shall be credited to Market Participants during each applicable interval of such Emergency energy sale in proportion to the sum of (i) each Market Participant’s real-time deviation from its net withdrawals and injections in the Day-ahead Energy Market, whenever that deviation increases the Market Participant’s spot market purchases or decreases its spot market sales, and (ii) each Market Participant’s energy sales from within the PJM Region to entities outside the PJM Region that have been curtailed by PJM.

(d) The net costs or net revenues associated with sales or purchases of energy in connection with a Minimum Generation Emergency in the PJM Region, or in another Control Area, shall be allocated during each applicable interval of such Emergency sale or purchase to each Market Participant in proportion to the amount of each Market Participant’s real-time deviation from its net withdrawals and injections in the Day-ahead Market, whenever that deviation increases the Market Participant’s spot market sales or decreases its spot market purchases.

3.2.7 Billing.

(a) PJMSettlement shall prepare a billing statement each billing cycle for each Market Participant in accordance with the charges and credits specified in Sections 3.2.1 through
3.2.6 of this Schedule, and showing the net amount to be paid or received by the Market Participant. Billing statements shall provide sufficient detail, as specified in the PJM Manuals, to allow verification of the billing amounts and completion of the Market Participant’s internal accounting.

(b) If deliveries to a Market Participant that has PJM Interchange meters in accordance with Section 14 of the Operating Agreement include amounts delivered for a Market Participant that does not have PJM Interchange meters separate from those of the metered Market Participant, PJMSettlement shall prepare a separate billing statement for the unmetered Market Participant based on the allocation of deliveries agreed upon between the Market Participant and the unmetered Market Participant specified by them to the Office of the Interconnection.
6.4 Offer Price Caps.

6.4.1 Applicability.

(a) If, at any time, it is determined by the Office of the Interconnection in accordance with Sections 1.10.8 or 6.1 of this Schedule that any generation resource may be dispatched out of economic merit order to maintain system reliability as a result of limits on transmission capability, the offer prices for energy from such resource shall be capped as specified below. For such generation resources committed in the Day-ahead Energy Market, if the Office of the Interconnection is able to do so, such offer prices shall be capped for the entire commitment period, and such offer prices will be capped at a cost-based offer in accordance with section 6.4.2 and committed at the market-based offer or cost-based offer which results in the lowest overall system production cost. For such generation resources committed in the Real-time Energy Market such offer prices shall be capped at a cost-based offer in accordance with section 6.4.2 and dispatched on the market-based offer or cost-based offer which results in the lowest dispatch cost in accordance with 6.4.1(g) until the earlier of: (i) the resource is released from its commitment by the Office of the Interconnection; (ii) the end of the Operating Day; or (iii) the start of the generation resource’s next pre-existing commitment.

The offer on which a resource is committed shall initially be determined at the time of the commitment. If any of the resource’s Incremental Energy Offer, No-load Cost or Start-Up Cost are updated for any portion of the offer capped hours subsequent to commitment, the Office of the Interconnection will redetermine the level of the offer cap using the updated offer values. The Office of the Interconnection will dispatch the resource on the market-based offer or cost-based offer which results in the lowest dispatch cost as determined in accordance with section 6.4.1(g).

Resources that are self-scheduled to run in either the Day-ahead Energy Market or in the Real-time Energy Market are subject to the provisions of this section 6.4. The offer on which a resource is dispatched shall be used to determine any Locational Marginal Price affected by the offer price of such resource and as further limited as described in Tariff, Attachment K-Appendix, section 2.4 and Tariff, Attachment K-Appendix, section 2.4A.

In accordance with section 6.4.1(h), a generation resource that is offer capped in the Real-time Energy Market but released from its commitment by the Office of the Interconnection will be subject to the three pivotal supplier test and further offer capping, as applicable, if the resource is committed for a period later in the same Operating Day.

(b) The energy offer price by any generation resource requested to be dispatched in accordance with Section 6.3 of this Schedule shall be capped at the levels specified in Section 6.4.2 of this Schedule. If the Office of the Interconnection is able to do so, such offer prices shall be capped only during each hour when the affected resource is so scheduled, and otherwise shall be capped for the entire Operating Day. Energy offer prices as capped shall be used to determine any Locational Marginal Price affected by the price of such resource.

(c) Generation resources subject to an offer price cap shall be paid for energy at the applicable Locational Marginal Price.
(e) Offer price caps under section 6.4 of this Schedule shall be suspended for a generation resource with respect to transmission limit(s) for any period in which a generation resource is committed by the Office of the Interconnection for the Operating Day or any period for which the generation resource has been self-scheduled where (1) there are not three or fewer generation suppliers available for redispatch under subsection (a) that are jointly pivotal with respect to such transmission limit(s), and (2) the Market Seller of the generation resource, when combined with the two largest other generation suppliers, is not pivotal (“three pivotal supplier test”). In the event the Office of the Interconnection system is unable to perform the three pivotal supplier test for a Market Seller, generation resources of that Market Seller that are dispatched to control transmission constraints will be dispatched on the resource’s market-based offer or cost-based offer which results in the lowest dispatch cost as determined in accordance with section 6.4.1(g).

(f) For the purposes of conducting the three pivotal supplier test in subsection (e), the following applies:

(i) All megawatts of available incremental supply, including available self-scheduled supply for which the power distribution factor (“dfax”) has an absolute value equal to or greater than the dfax used by the Office of the Interconnection’s system operators when evaluating the impact of generation with respect to the constraint (“effective megawatts”) will be included in the available supply analysis at costs equal to the cost-based offers of the available incremental supply adjusted for dfax (“effective costs”). The Office of the Interconnection will post on the PJM website the dfax value used by operators with respect to a constraint when it varies from three percent.

(ii) The three pivotal supplier test will include in the definition of the relevant market incremental supply up to and including all such supply available at an effective cost equal to 150% of the cost-based clearing price calculated using effective costs and effective megawatts and the need for megawatts to solve the constraint.

(iii) Offer price caps will apply on a generation supplier basis (i.e. not a generating unit by generating unit basis) and only the generation suppliers that fail the three pivotal supplier test with respect to any hour in the relevant period will have their units that are dispatched with respect to the constraint offer capped. A generation supplier for the purposes of this section includes corporate affiliates. Supply controlled by a generation supplier or its affiliates by contract with unaffiliated third parties or otherwise will be included as supply of that generation supplier; supply owned by a generation supplier but controlled by an unaffiliated third party by contract or otherwise will be included as supply of that third party.
A generation supplier’s units, including self-scheduled units, are offer capped if, when combined with the two largest other generation suppliers, the generation supplier is pivotal.

(iv) In the Day-ahead Energy Market, the Office of the Interconnection shall include price sensitive demand, Increment Offers and Decrement Bids as demand or supply, as applicable, in the relevant market.

(g) In the Real-time Energy Market, the schedule on which offer capped resources will be placed shall be determined using dispatch cost, where dispatch cost is calculated pursuant to the following formulas:

\[
\text{Dispatch cost for the applicable hour = } (\text{Incremental Energy Offer @ Economic Minimum for the hour} \text{ [$/MWh]} \times \text{Economic Minimum for the hour [MW]} + \text{No-load Cost for the hour [$/H]})
\]

(i) For resources committed in the Real-time Energy Market, the resource is committed on the offer with the lowest Total Dispatch cost at the time of commitment,

where:

\[
\text{Total Dispatch cost = Sum of hourly dispatch cost over a resource’s minimum run time [$] + Start-Up Cost [$]}
\]

(ii) For resources operating in real-time pursuant to a day-ahead or real-time commitment, and whose offers are updated after commitment, the resource is dispatched on the offer with the lowest dispatch cost for the each of the updated hours.

(iii) However, once the resource is dispatched on a cost-based offer, it will remain on a cost-based offer regardless of the determination of the cheapest schedule.

(h) A generation resource that was committed in the Day-ahead Energy Market or Real-time Energy Market, is operating in real time, and may be dispatched out of economic merit order to maintain system reliability as a result of limits on transmission capability, will be offer price capped, subject to the outcome of a three pivotal supplier test, for each hour the resource operates beyond its committed hours or Minimum Run Time, whichever is greater, or in the case of resources self-scheduled in the Real-time Energy Market, for each hour the resource operates beyond its first hour of operation, in accordance with the following provisions.

(i) If the resource is operating on a cost-based offer, it will remain on a cost-based offer regardless of the results of the three pivotal supplier test.
(ii) If the resource is operating on a market-based offer and the Market Seller fails the three pivotal supplier test then the resource will be dispatched on the cheaper of its market-based offer or the cost-based offer representing the offer cap as determined by section 6.4.2, whichever results in the lowest dispatch cost as determined under section 6.4.1(g).

(iii) If the Market Seller passes the three pivotal supplier test and the resource is currently operating on a market-based offer then the resource will remain on that offer, unless the Market Seller elects to not have its market-based offer considered for dispatch and to have only the cost-based offer that represents the offer cap level as determined under section 6.4.2 considered for dispatch in which case the resource will be dispatched on its cost-based offer for the remainder of the Operating Day.

### 6.4.2 Level.

(a) The offer price cap shall be one of the amounts specified below, as specified in advance by the Market Seller for the affected unit:

(i) The weighted average Locational Marginal Price at the generation bus at which energy from the capped resource was delivered during a specified number of hours during which the resource was dispatched for energy in economic merit order, the specified number of hours to be determined by the Office of the Interconnection and to be a number of hours sufficient to result in an offer price cap that reflects reasonably contemporaneous competitive market conditions for that unit;

(ii) For offers of $2,000/MWh or less, the incremental operating cost of the generation resource as determined in accordance with Schedule 2 of the Operating Agreement and the PJM Manuals (“incremental cost”), plus up to the lesser of 10% of such costs or $100 MWh, the sum of which shall not exceed $2,000/MWh; and, for offers greater than $2,000/MWh, the incremental cost of the generation resource;

(iii) For units that are frequently offer capped (“Frequently Mitigated Unit” or “FMU”), and for which the unit’s market-based offer was greater than its cost based offer, the following shall apply:

(a) For units that are offer capped for 60% or more of their run hours, but less than 70% of their run hours, the offer price cap will be the greater of either (i) incremental cost plus 10% or (ii) incremental cost plus $20 per megawatt-hour;

(b) For units that are offer capped for 70% or more of their run hours, but less than 80% of their run hours, the offer price cap will be the greater
of either (i) incremental cost plus 10%, or (ii) incremental cost plus $30 per megawatt-hour;

(c) For units that are offer capped for 80% or more of their run hours, the offer price cap will be the greater of either (i) incremental costs plus 10%; or (ii) incremental cost plus $40 per megawatt-hour.

(b) For purposes of section 6.4.2(a)(iii), a generating unit shall qualify for the specified offer cap upon issuance of written notice from the Market Monitoring Unit, pursuant to Section II.A of the Attachment M-Appendix, that it is a “Frequently Mitigated Unit” because it meets all of the following criteria:

(i) The unit was offer capped for the applicable percentage of its run hours, determined on a rolling 12-month basis, effective with a one month lag.

(ii) The unit’s Projected PJM Market Revenues plus the unit’s PJM capacity market revenues on a rolling 12-month basis, divided by the unit’s MW of installed capacity (in $/MW-year) are less than its accepted unit specific Avoidable Cost Rate (in $/MW-year) (excluding APIR and ARPIR), or its default Avoidable Cost Rate (in $/MW-year) if no unit-specific Avoidable Cost Rate is accepted for the BRAs for the Delivery Years included in the rolling 12-month period, determined pursuant to Sections 6.7 and 6.8 of Attachment DD of the Tariff. (The relevant Avoidable Cost Rate is the weighted average of the Avoidable Cost Rates for each Delivery Year included in the rolling 12-month period, weighted by month.)

(iii) No portion of the unit is included in a FRR Capacity Plan or receiving compensation under Part V of the Tariff.

(iv) The unit is internal to the PJM Region and subject only to PJM dispatch.

(c) Any generating unit, without regard to ownership, located at the same site as a Frequently Mitigated Unit qualifying under Sections 6.4.2(a)(iii) shall become an “Associated Unit” upon issuance of written notice from the Market Monitoring Unit pursuant to Section II.A of Attachment M-Appendix, that it meets all of the following criteria:

1. The unit has the identical electric impact on the transmission system as the FMU;

2. The unit (i) belongs to the same design class (where a design class includes generation that is the same size and utilizes the same technology, without regard to manufacturer) and uses the identical primary fuel as the FMU or (ii) is regularly dispatched by PJM as a substitute for the FMU based on differences in cost that result from the currently applicable FMU adder;

3. The unit (i) has an average daily cost-based offer, as measured over the
preceding 12-month period, that is less than or equal to the FMU’s average daily cost-based offer adjusted to include the currently applicable FMU adder or (ii) is regularly dispatched by PJM as a substitute for the FMU based on differences in cost that result from the currently applicable FMU adder.

The offer cap for an associated unit shall be equal to the incremental operating cost of such unit, as determined in accordance with Schedule 2 of the Operating Agreement and the PJM Manuals, plus the applicable percentage adder or dollar per megawatt-hour adder as specified in Section 6.4.2(a)(iii)(a), (b), or (c) for the unit with which it is associated.

(d) Market Participants shall have exclusive responsibility for preparing and submitting their offers on the basis of accurate information and in compliance with the FERC Market Rules, inclusive of the level of any applicable offer cap, and in no event shall PJM be held liable for the consequences of or make any retroactive adjustment to any clearing price on the basis of any offer submitted on the basis of inaccurate or non-compliant information.

6.4.3 Verification of Cost-Based Offers Over $1,000/Megawatt-hour

(a) If a Market Seller submits a cost-based energy offer for a generation resource that includes an Incremental Energy Offer greater than $1,000/megawatt-hour, then, in order for that offer to be eligible to set the applicable Locational Marginal Price as described in Tariff, Attachment K-Appendix, section 2.5 (for determining Real-time Prices) and Operating Agreement Schedule 1, section 2.6 (for determining Day-ahead Prices), the Office of the Interconnection shall apply a formulaic screen to verify the reasonableness of the Incremental Energy Offer component of such cost-based offer. For each Incremental Energy Offer segment greater than $1,000/megawatt-hour, the Office of the Interconnection shall evaluate whether such offer segment exceeds the reasonably expected costs for that generation resource by determining the Maximum Allowable Incremental Cost for each segment in accordance with the following formula:

Maximum Allowable Incremental Cost ($/MWh segment in accordance with the following formula: @ MW) =

\[
\frac{[ \text{ Maximum Allowable Operating Rate}_i - \text{ ( Bid Production Cost } i-1) ]}{\text{MW}_i - \text{MW}_{i-1}}
\]

where

\[ i = \text{an offer segment within the Incremental Energy Offer, which is comprised of a pairing of price ($/MWh) and a megawatt quantity} \]

Maximum Allowable Operating Rate ($/hour @ MW) =

\[
\left[ \text{Heat Input } i @ \text{MW}_i \right] \times \left( \text{Performance Factor} \right) \times \left( \text{Fuel Cost} \right) \times \left( 1 + A \right)
\]

where

Heat Input = a point on the heat input curve (in MMBtu/hr), determined in accordance with PJM Manual 15, describing the resource’s operational
characteristics for converting the applicable fuel input (MMBtu) into energy (MWh) specified in the Incremental Energy Offer;

Performance Factor = a scaling factor that is a calculated ratio of actual fuel burn to either theoretical fuel burn (i.e., design Heat Input) or other current tested Heat Input, which is determined annually in accordance with the Market Seller’s PJM-approved Fuel Cost Policy, Operating Agreement, Schedule 2, and PJM Manual 15, reflecting the resource’s actual ability to convert fuel into energy (normal operation is 1.0);

Fuel Cost = applicable fuel cost as estimated by the Office of the Interconnection at a geographically appropriate commodity trading hub, plus 10 percent; and

A = Cost adder, in accordance with section 6.4.2(a)(ii) of this Schedule.

**Bid Production Cost ($/hour @ MW)** =
\[\sum_{i=1}^{n}(MW_i - MW_{i-1}) \times (P_i) - \frac{1}{2} \times UBS \times (MW_i - MW_{i-1}) \times (P_i - P_{i-1})\] + No-Load Cost

where

MW = the MW quantity per offer segment within the Incremental Energy Offer;

P = the price (in dollars per megawatt-hour) per offer segment within the Incremental Energy Offer;

UBS = Uses Bid-Slope = 0 for block-offer resources (i.e., a resource with an Incremental Energy Offer that uses a step function curve); and 1 for all other resources (i.e., resources with an Incremental Energy Offer that uses a sloped offer curve); and

If the price submitted for the offer segment is less than or equal to the Maximum Allowable Incremental Cost then that offer segment shall be deemed verified and is eligible to set the applicable Locational Marginal Price. If the price submitted for the offer segment is greater than the Maximum Allowable Incremental Cost, then the Market Seller’s cost-based offer for that segment and all segments at an equal or greater price are deemed not verified and are not eligible to set the applicable Locational Marginal Price and such offer shall be price capped at the greater of $1,000/megawatt-hour or the offer price of the most expensive verified segment on the Incremental Energy Offer for the purpose of setting Locational Marginal Prices; provided however, such Market Seller shall be allowed to submit a challenge to a non-verification determination, including supporting documentation, to the Office of the Interconnection in accordance with the procedures set forth in the PJM Manuals. Upon review of such documentation, the Office of the Interconnection may determine that the Market Seller’s cost-based offer is verified and eligible to set the applicable Locational Marginal Price as described above.
(i) For the first incremental segment (i=1), when the MW in the segment is greater than zero, the first segment shall be screened as a block-loaded segment (UBS=0) as if there was a preceding MW_{i-1} of zero. The Maximum Allowable Incremental Cost calculation for the first incremental would use a preceding Bid Production Cost_{i-1} (at zero MW) equal to the energy No-Load Cost.

(ii) For the first incremental segment (i=1), when the MW in the segment is equal to zero, and is the only bid-in segment to be verified, then the segment shall be deemed not verified and subject to the rules as described above.

(iii) For the first incremental segment (i=1), when the MW in the segment is equal to zero, and there are additional segments to be verified, then the first segment shall be deemed verified only if the second segment is deemed verified. If the second segment is deemed not verified, then the first segment shall also be deemed not verified and subject to the rules as described above.

(b) If an Economic Load Response Participant a cost-based demand reduction offer that includes incremental costs greater than or equal to $1,000/megawatt-hour, in order for that offer to be eligible to determine the applicable Locational Marginal Price as described in Tariff, Attachment K-Appendix, section 2.5 (for determining Real-time Prices) and Tariff, Attachment K-Appendix, section 2.6 (for determining Day-ahead Prices), the Economic Load Response Participant must validate the incremental costs with the end use customer(s) and, upon request, submit to the Office of the Interconnection supporting documentation demonstrating that the end-use customer’s costs in providing such demand reduction are greater than $1,000/megawatt-hour in accordance with the following provisions:

(i) The supporting documentation must explain and support the quantification of the end-use customer’s incremental costs; and

(ii) The end use customer’s incremental costs shall include quantifiable cost incurred for not consuming electricity when dispatched by the Office of the Interconnection, such as wages paid without production, lost sales, damaged products that cannot be sold, or other incremental costs as defined in the PJM Manuals or as approved by the Office of the Interconnection, and may not include shutdown costs.

If upon review of the supporting documentation for the Economic Load Response Participant’s, cost-based offer by the Office of the Interconnection and the Market Monitoring Unit, the Office of the Interconnection and/or the Market Monitoring Unit determines that the offer was not reasonably supported by incremental costs greater than or equal to $1,000/megawatt-hour, the Office of the Interconnection and/or the Market Monitoring Unit may refer the matter to the FERC Office of Enforcement for investigation.
6.4.3A Verification of Fast-Start Resource Composite Energy Offers Over $1,000/Megawatt-hour

(a) If a Market Seller submits a cost-based offer for a generation resource that is a Fast-Start Resource that results in a Composite Energy Offer that is greater than $1,000/megawatt-hour, then, in order for that Composite Energy Offer to be eligible to set the applicable Locational Marginal Price under Tariff, Attachment K-Appendix, section 2.5 (for determining Real-time Prices) and Tariff, Attachment K-Appendix, section 2.6 (for determining Day-ahead Prices), the Office of the Interconnection shall apply a formulaic screen to verify the reasonableness of the offer components:

Incremental Energy Offer and No-load Cost components of each offer segment shall be evaluated for whether it exceeds the reasonably expected costs for that resource by applying the test described in Tariff, Attachment K-Appendix, section 6.4.3.

Start-Up Cost component shall be evaluated for whether it exceeds the reasonably expected costs for that resource by applying the following formula:

\[
\text{Start-Up Cost (}\\$\text{)} = \left[ \left( \text{Performance Factor} \times (\text{Start Fuel}) \times (\text{Fuel Cost}) \right) + \text{Start Maintenance Adder} + \text{Additional Start Labor} + \text{Station Service Cost} \right] \times (1 + A)
\]

Where:

Start Fuel = fuel consumed from first fire of start process to breaker closing plus fuel expended from breaker opening of the previous shutdown to initialization of the (hot) unit start-up, excluding normal plant heating/auxiliary equipment fuel requirements;

Fuel Cost = applicable fuel cost as estimated by the Office of the Interconnection at a geographically appropriate commodity trading hub, plus 10 percent;

Performance Factor = a scaling factor that is a calculated ratio of actual fuel burn to either theoretical fuel burn (i.e., design Heat Input) or other current tested Heat Input, which is determined annually in accordance with the Market Seller’s PJM-approved Fuel Cost Policy under Operating Agreement, Schedule 2 and PJM Manual 15, reflecting the resource’s actual ability to convert fuel into energy (normal operation is 1.0);

Start Maintenance Adder = an adder based on all available maintenance expense history for the defined Maintenance Period regardless of unit ownership. Only expenses incurred as a result of electric production qualify for inclusion. Only Maintenance Adders specified as $/Start,
$/MMBtu, or $/equivalent operating hour can be included in the Start Maintenance Adder;

Start Additional Labor = additional labor costs for startup required above normal station manning levels; and

Station Service Cost = station service usage (MWh) during start-up multiplied by the 12-month rolling average off-peak energy prices as updated quarterly by the Office of the Interconnection.

A = cost adder, in accordance with Tariff, Attachment K-Appendix, section 6.4.2(a)(ii).

(b) Should the submitted Incremental Energy Offer and No-load Cost exceed the reasonably expected costs for that resource as calculated pursuant to subsection (a) above for any segment, then for the determination of Locational Marginal Prices as described in Tariff, Attachment K-Appendix, section 2.5 (for determining Real-time Prices) and Tariff, Attachment K-Appendix, section 2.6 (for determining Day-ahead Prices):

(i) the Incremental Energy Offer for each segment shall be capped at the lesser of the cap described above in Tariff, Attachment K-Appendix, section 6.4.3 or the submitted Incremental Energy Offer; and

(ii) the amortized No-load cost shall be adjusted as described in Tariff, Attachment K-Appendix, section 2.4 (Determination of Energy Offers Used in Calculating Real-time Prices) and Tariff, Attachment K-Appendix, section 2.4A (Determination of Energy Offers Used in Calculating Day-ahead Prices).

(c) Should the submitted Start-Up Cost exceed the reasonably expected costs for that resource as calculated pursuant to subsection (a) above, then for the determination of Locational Marginal Prices as described in Tariff, Attachment K-Appendix, section 2.5 (for determining Real-time Prices) and Tariff, Attachment K-Appendix, section 2.6 (for determining Day-ahead Prices), the Start-Up Costs shall be adjusted as described in Tariff, Attachment K-Appendix, section 2.4 (Determination of Energy Offers Used in Calculating Real-time Prices) and Tariff, Attachment K-Appendix, section 2.4A (Determination of Energy Offers Used in Calculating Day-ahead Prices).

(d) If an Economic Load Response Participant submits an offer to reduce demand for a Fast-Start Resource where the maximum segment of the resulting Composite Energy Offer exceeds $1,000/megawatt-hour, then, in order for that Composite Energy Offer to be eligible to set the applicable Locational Marginal Price under Tariff, Attachment K-Appendix, section 2.5 (for determining Real-time Prices) and Tariff, Attachment K-Appendix, section 2.6 (for determining Day-ahead Prices), the Economic Load Response Participant must validate such costs with the end use customer(s) and, upon request, submit to the Office of the Interconnection supporting documentation demonstrating that the end-use customer’s costs in providing such demand reduction are greater than $1,000/megawatt-hour in accordance with the following provisions:
(i) The supporting documentation must explain and support the quantification of the end-use customer’s incremental costs and shutdown costs; and

(ii) The end use customer’s incremental and shutdown costs shall include quantifiable cost incurred for not consuming electricity when dispatched by the Office of the Interconnection, such as wages paid without production, lost sales, damaged products that cannot be sold, or other incremental costs as defined in the PJM Manuals or as approved by the Office of the Interconnection.

If upon review of the supporting documentation for the Economic Load Response Participant’s, cost-based offer by the Office of the Interconnection and the Market Monitoring Unit, the Office of the Interconnection and/or the Market Monitoring Unit determines that the offer was not reasonably supported by incremental and shutdown costs greater than or equal to $1,000/megawatt-hour, the Office of the Interconnection and/or the Market Monitoring Unit may refer the matter to the FERC Office of Enforcement for investigation.

Should the submitted shutdown cost exceed the reasonably supported costs for that resource, then for the determination of Locational Marginal Prices as described in Tariff, Attachment K-Appendix, section 2.5 (for determining Real-time Prices) and Tariff, Attachment K-Appendix, section 2.6 (for determining Day-ahead Prices), the shutdown costs shall be adjusted as described in Tariff, Attachment K-Appendix, section 2.4 (Determination of Energy Offers Used in Calculating Real-time Prices) and Tariff, Attachment K-Appendix, section 2.4A (Determination of Energy Offers Used in Calculating Day-ahead Prices).
Attachment C

Revisions to the
Operating Agreement

(Marked/Redline Format)
Economic-based Enhancement or Expansion:

“Economic-based Enhancement or Expansion” shall mean an enhancement or expansion described in Operating Agreement, Schedule 6, section 1.5.7(b) (i) – (iii) that is designed to relieve transmission constraints that have an economic impact.

Economic Load Response Participant:

“Economic Load Response Participant” shall mean a Member or Special Member that qualifies under Operating Agreement, Schedule 1, section 1.5A, and the parallel provisions of Tariff, Attachment K-Appendix, section 1.5A to participate in the PJM Interchange Energy Market and/or Ancillary Services markets through reductions in demand.

Economic Maximum:

“Economic Maximum” shall mean the highest incremental MW output level, submitted to PJM market systems by a Market Participant, that a unit can achieve while following economic dispatch.

Economic Minimum:

“Economic Minimum” shall mean the lowest incremental MW output level, submitted to PJM market systems by a Market Participant, that a unit can achieve while following economic dispatch.

Effective Date:

“Effective Date” shall mean August 1, 1997, or such later date that FERC permits the Operating Agreement to go into effect.

Effective FTR Holder:

“Effective FTR Holder” shall mean:

(i) For an FTR Holder that is either a (a) privately held company, or (b) a municipality or electric cooperative, as defined in the Federal Power Act, such FTR Holder, together with any Affiliate, subsidiary or parent of the FTR Holder, any other entity that is under common ownership, wholly or partly, directly or indirectly, or has the ability to influence, directly or indirectly, the management or policies of the FTR Holder; or

(ii) For an FTR Holder that is a publicly traded company including a wholly owned subsidiary of a publicly traded company, such FTR Holder, together with any Affiliate, subsidiary or parent of the FTR Holder, any other PJM Member has over 10% common
ownership with the FTR Holder, wholly or partly, directly or indirectly, or has the ability to influence, directly or indirectly, the management or policies of the FTR Holder; or

(iii) an FTR Holder together with any other PJM Member, including also any Affiliate, subsidiary or parent of such other PJM Member, with which it shares common ownership, wholly or partly, directly or indirectly, in any third entity which is a PJM Member (e.g., a joint venture).

EIDSN, Inc.:

“EIDSN, Inc.” shall mean the nonstock, nonprofit corporation, formerly known as Eastern Interconnection Data Sharing Network, Inc., or any successor thereto, that is operated primarily for the purpose of developing operating tools and the facilitation of the secure, consistent, effective, and efficient sharing of important electric transmission and operational data among reliability coordinators and other relevant parties to help improve electric industry operations and promote the reliable and efficient operation of the bulk electric system in the Eastern Interconnection.

Electric Distributor:

“Electric Distributor” shall mean a Member that: 1) owns or leases with rights equivalent to ownership electric distribution facilities that are used to provide electric distribution service to electric load within the PJM Region; or 2) is a generation and transmission cooperative or a joint municipal agency that has a member that owns electric distribution facilities used to provide electric distribution service to electric load within the PJM Region.

Eligible Fast-Start Resource:

“Eligible Fast-Start Resource” shall mean a Fast-Start Resource that is eligible for the application of Integer Relaxation during the calculation of Locational Marginal Prices as set forth in Operating Agreement, Schedule 1, section 2.2.

Emergency:

“Emergency” shall mean: (i) an abnormal system condition requiring manual or automatic action to maintain system frequency, or to prevent loss of firm load, equipment damage, or tripping of system elements that could adversely affect the reliability of an electric system or the safety of persons or property; or (ii) a fuel shortage requiring departure from normal operating procedures in order to minimize the use of such scarce fuel; or (iii) a condition that requires implementation of emergency procedures as defined in the PJM Manuals.

Emergency Load Response Program:

“Emergency Load Response Program” shall mean the program by which Curtailment Service Providers may be compensated by PJM for Demand Resources that will reduce load when dispatched by PJM during emergency conditions, and is described in Operating Agreement, Schedule 1, section 8 and the parallel provisions of Tariff, Attachment K-Appendix, section 8.
End-Use Customer:

“End-Use Customer” shall mean a Member that is a retail end-user of electricity within the PJM Region. For purposes of Member Committee classification, a Member that is a retail end-user that owns generation may qualify as an End-Use customer if: (1) the average physical unforced capacity owned by the Member and its affiliates in the PJM region over the five Planning Periods immediately preceding the relevant Planning Period does not exceed the average PJM capacity obligation for the Member and its affiliates over the same time period; or (2) the average energy produced by the Member and its affiliates within the PJM region over the five Planning Periods immediately preceding the relevant Planning Period does not exceed the average energy consumed by that Member and its affiliates within the PJM region over the same time period. The foregoing notwithstanding, taking retail service may not be sufficient to qualify a Member as an End-Use Customer.

Energy Market Opportunity Cost:

“Energy Market Opportunity Cost” shall mean the difference between (a) the forecasted cost to operate a specific generating unit when the unit only has a limited number of available run hours due to limitations imposed on the unit by Applicable Laws and Regulations and (b) the forecasted future Locational Marginal Price at which the generating unit could run while not violating such limitations. Energy Market Opportunity Cost therefore is the value associated with a specific generating unit’s lost opportunity to produce energy during a higher valued period of time occurring within the same compliance period, which compliance period is determined by the applicable regulatory authority and is reflected in the rules set forth in PJM Manual 15. Energy Market Opportunity Costs shall be limited to those resources which are specifically delineated in Operating Agreement, Schedule 2.

Energy Storage Resource:

“Energy Storage Resource” shall mean a resource capable of receiving electric energy from the grid and storing it for later injection to the grid that participates in the PJM Energy, Capacity and/or Ancillary Services markets as a Market Participant.

Equivalent Load:

“Equivalent Load” shall mean the sum of a Market Participant’s net system requirements to serve its customer load in the PJM Region, if any, plus its net bilateral transactions.

Extended Primary Reserve Requirement:

“Extended Primary Reserve Requirement” shall equal the Primary Reserve Requirement in a Reserve Zone or Reserve Sub-zone, plus 190 MW, plus any additional reserves scheduled under emergency conditions necessary to address operational uncertainty. The Extended Primary Reserve Requirement is calculated in accordance with the PJM Manuals.
Extended Synchronized Reserve Requirement:

“Extended Synchronized Reserve Requirement” shall equal the Synchronized Reserve Requirement in a Reserve Zone or Reserve Sub-zone, plus 190 MW, plus any additional reserves scheduled under emergency conditions necessary to address operational uncertainty. The Extended Synchronized Reserve Requirement is calculated in accordance with the PJM Manuals.

External Market Buyer:

“External Market Buyer” shall mean a Market Buyer making purchases of energy from the PJM Interchange Energy Market for consumption by end-users outside the PJM Region, or for load in the PJM Region that is not served by Network Transmission Service.

External Resource:

“External Resource” shall mean a generation resource located outside the metered boundaries of the PJM Region.

Fast-Start Resource:

“Fast-Start Resource” shall have the meaning set forth in Tariff, Attachment K-Appendix, section 2.2A, mean a generation resource or Economic Load Response Participant resource that the Office of the Interconnection deems capable of operating with a notification time plus startup time of one hour or less and a Minimum Run Time of one hour or less or minimum down time of one hour or less based on its operating characteristics.

FERC or Commission:

“FERC” or “Commission” shall mean the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission or any successor federal agency, commission or department exercising jurisdiction over the Tariff, Operating Agreement and Reliability Assurance Agreement.

Final Offer:

“Final Offer” shall mean the offer on which a resource was dispatched by the Office of the Interconnection for a particular clock hour for an Operating Day.

Finance Committee:

“Finance Committee” shall mean the body formed pursuant to Operating Agreement, section 7.5.1.

Financial Transmission Right:
“Financial Transmission Right” or “FTR” shall mean a right to receive Transmission Congestion Credits as specified in Operating Agreement, Schedule 1, section 5.2.2, and the parallel provisions of Tariff, Attachment K-Appendix, section 5.2.2.

Financial Transmission Right Obligation:

“Financial Transmission Right Obligation” shall mean a right to receive Transmission Congestion Credits as specified in Operating Agreement, Schedule 1, section 5.2.2(b), and the parallel provisions of Tariff, Attachment K-Appendix, section 5.2.2(c).

Financial Transmission Right Option:

“Financial Transmission Right Option” shall mean a right to receive Transmission Congestion Credits as specified in Operating Agreement, Schedule 1, section 5.2.2(c), and the parallel provisions of Tariff, Attachment K-Appendix, section 5.2.2(c).

Flexible Resource:

“Flexible Resource” shall mean a generating resource that must have a combined Start-up Time and Notification Time of less than or equal to two hours; and a Minimum Run Time of less than or equal to two hours.

Form 715 Planning Criteria:

“Form 715 Planning Criteria” shall mean individual Transmission Owner FERC-filed planning criteria as described in Operating Agreement, Schedule 6, section 1.2(e) and filed with FERC Form No. 715 and posted on the PJM website.

FTR Holder:

“FTR Holder” shall mean the PJM Member that has acquired and possesses an FTR.

Fuel Cost Policy:

“Fuel Cost Policy” shall mean the document provided by a Market Seller to PJM and the Market Monitoring Unit in accordance with PJM Manual 15 and Operating Agreement, Schedule 2, which documents the Market Seller’s method used to price fuel for calculation of the Market Seller’s cost-based offer(s) for a generation resource.
2.2 General.

The Office of the Interconnection calculates Locational Marginal Prices separately from and subsequent to the security-constrained unit commitment and security-constrained economic dispatch of the system, the latter of which is referred to as the dispatch run. The calculation of Locational Marginal Prices, which occurs in a process referred to as the pricing run, is based on the same optimization problem as the security-constrained economic dispatch. The objective of both the dispatch run and the pricing run is to serve load and meet reserve requirements at the least cost while respecting transmission constraints. However, Integer Relaxation is applied only to Eligible Fast-Start Resources committed in the pricing run to provide energy.

In the dispatch run a commitment state of 1 represents a resource is committed and 0 represents a resource is not committed. In the pricing run Integer Relaxation allows the commitment state of a committed Eligible Fast-Start Resource to be lowered to any value between 0 and 1, inclusive of 0 and 1. This in turn allows the optimization problem in the pricing run to use any fraction of a committed Eligible Fast-Start Resource’s output, including an amount less than the resource’s offered Economic Minimum output, in the determination of Locational Marginal Prices.

A Fast-Start Resource shall be an Eligible Fast-Start Resource when the following apply:

(i) A generation resource is committed on an offer with a notification time plus startup time of one hour or less and a Minimum Run Time of one hour or less.

(ii) An Economic Load Response Participant resource is committed on an offer with a notification time of one hour or less and a Minimum Down Time of one hour or less.

(iii) The resource shall not be any of the following:

   a. Self scheduled for Energy in a given interval
   b. A pumped storage hydropower unit scheduled by the Office of the Interconnection pursuant to the hydro optimization tool in the Day-ahead Energy Market
   c. A pseudo-tied resource that does not provide all of their output to PJM
   d. A dynamically scheduled resource.

Only Eligible Fast-Start Resources shall have Integer Relaxation applied in the calculation of Locational Marginal Prices.
2.2A Fast-Start Resources.

(a) A Fast-Start Resource is a resource capable of operating with a notification time plus startup time of one hour or less and a Minimum Run Time of one hour or less or Minimum Down Time of one hour or less based on its operating characteristics. Fast-Start Resources include Economic Load Response Participant resources and the following types of generation resources: fuel cell, combustion turbine, diesel, hydropower, battery, solar, landfill, and wind. Other resources may be considered a Fast-Start Resource by obtaining written approval from the Office of the Interconnection pursuant to subsection (b) below.

(b) The Market Seller of a resource not considered a Fast-Start Resource may obtain approval for such resource to be considered a Fast-Start Resource by submitting to the Office of the Interconnection and the Market Monitoring Unit a written request for approval and provide documentation to support the resource’s capability of operating with a notification time plus startup time of one hour or less and a Minimum Run Time of one hour or less or Minimum Down Time of one hour or less based on its operating characteristics, such as historical operating data showing the ability to provide energy upon an hour’s notice. The Office of the Interconnection and the Market Monitoring Unit shall review, in an open and transparent manner as between the Market Seller, the Market Monitoring Unit, and the Office of the Interconnection, the information and documentation in support of the request for approval for a resource to be a Fast-Start Resource. A Market Seller must submit such a request, and supporting documentation, no later than April 15, and the Office of the Interconnection shall determine, with the advice and input of the Marketing Monitoring Unit, whether the resource will be considered Fast-Start capable and provide no later than the following May 30 written notification to the Market Seller of such determination. If the request is granted, the resource shall be considered a Fast-Start Resource as of the next June 1 following submission of the request. If the request is denied, the Office of the Interconnection shall include in the notice a written explanation for the denial.

(c) To the extent a Fast-Start Resource fails, on a persistent basis, to provide energy or load reduction consistent with offer parameters on which it was committed of a notification time plus startup time of one hour or less and a Minimum Run Time of one hour or less or minimum down time of one hour or less, the Office of the Interconnection may deem, in consultation with the Market Monitoring Unit, that a resource is no longer considered a Fast-Start Resource. The Office of the Interconnection shall provide written notification, with a written explanation, to the Market Seller of such determination. A resource may regain Fast-Start Resource status pursuant to subsection (b) above.

(d) A Fast-Start Resource shall be an Eligible Fast-Start Resource when the following apply:

(i) A generation resource is committed on an offer with a notification time plus startup time of one hour or less and a Minimum Run Time of one hour or less.

(ii) An Economic Load Response Participant resource is committed on an offer with a notification time of one hour or less and a Minimum Down Time of one hour or less.

(iii) The resource shall not be any of the following:

a. Self-scheduled for Energy in a given interval;
b. A pumped storage hydropower unit scheduled by the Office of the Interconnection pursuant to the hydro optimization tool in the Day-ahead Energy Market;

c. A pseudo-tied resource that does not provide all of their output to PJM; or
d. A dynamically scheduled resource.

Only Eligible Fast-Start Resources shall have Integer Relaxation applied in the calculation of Locational Marginal Prices.
2.4 Determination of Energy Offers Used in Calculating Real-time Prices.

(a) During the Operating Day, real-time Locational Marginal Prices derived in accordance with this section shall be determined every five minutes.

(b) To determine the energy offers submitted to the PJM Interchange Energy Market that shall be used during the Operating Day to calculate the Real-time Prices, the Office of the Interconnection shall determine the applicable marginal energy offer of the resources being dispatched by the Office of the Interconnection using the offer schedule on which the resource is committed in the dispatch run.

The Office of the Interconnection will determine a resource’s applicable marginal energy offer by comparing the megawatt output of the resource from the pricing run with the Market Seller’s Incremental Energy Offer curve or, for Eligible Fast-Start Resources, the Market Seller’s Composite Energy Offer. For Eligible Fast-Start Resources, the amortized Start-Up Costs and amortized No-load Costs, expressed in dollars per megawatt-hour, are added to the resource’s Incremental Energy Offer to determine a Composite Energy Offer, as described below:

(i) The amortized Start-Up Cost for a generation resource shall equal the resource’s applicable Start-Up Cost, as determined in accordance with the PJM Manuals, amortized over (A) the resource’s Economic Maximum or Emergency Maximum output, whichever is applicable, and (B) the resource’s Minimum Run Time, rounded up to the nearest twelfth of an hour. The amortized Start-Up Cost is included in the resource’s Composite Energy Offer in each five-minute interval in which the resource is pool-scheduled during the resource’s Minimum Run Time. If the Minimum Run Time is less than 5 minutes, the Minimum Run Time used to calculate the amortized Start-Up Cost is 5 minutes and the amortized Start-Up Cost is added to the Incremental Energy Offer for the first five minute interval in which the resource runs. After the Minimum Run Time has been met, the amortized Start-Up Cost is not included in the Composite Energy Offer. To determine the amortized Start-Up Costs for Economic Load Response Participant resources, the Minimum Down Time is used in place of Minimum Run Time and shutdown cost is used in place of Start-Up Cost in the above equation.

(ii) The amortized No-load Cost shall equal the resource’s applicable No-load Cost, amortized over the resource’s Economic Maximum or Emergency Maximum output, whichever is applicable, and included in the Composite Energy Offer for each interval in which the resource is pool-scheduled.

The amortized No-load Cost, to the extent it is reviewed pursuant to Operating Agreement, Schedule 1, section 6.4.3A, shall be excluded from the Composite Energy Offer adjusted if the resource’s applicable Start-Up Cost exceeds the reasonably expected cost, as described in subsection (iii) below.
Energy Offer adjusted if the resource’s applicable Incremental Energy Offer and No-load Cost exceed the reasonably expected cost, as described in subsection (iii) below.

(iii) To the extent a Composite Energy Offer of a generation resource that is an Eligible Fast-Start Resource is less than $2,000/megawatt-hour and is reviewed pursuant to Operating Agreement, Schedule 1, 6.4.3A, pursuant to which the Office of the Interconnection evaluates the resource’s submitted Start-Up Cost and No-load Cost against the resource’s reasonably expected Start-Up Cost and No-load Cost, adjustments may be applied to yield a Composite Energy Offer no lower than $1,000/megawatt-hour at the Economic Maximum output as follows:

1) If the submitted Start-Up Cost and No-load Cost do not exceed the respective reasonably expected costs, no adjustments shall be made to the submitted Composite Energy Offer.

2) If the submitted Start-Up Cost does not exceed the resource’s reasonably expected Start-Up Cost but the submitted No-load Cost does exceed the reasonably expected No-load Cost, then the Composite Energy offer shall equal the Incremental Energy Offer plus the amortized submitted Start-Up Cost; provided, however, if the resulting Composite Energy Offer at Economic Maximum yields a value less than $1,000/megawatt-hour, then the Composite Energy Offer shall include an amortized No-load Cost value sufficient to make the Composite Energy Offer equal to $1,000/megawatt-hour at Economic Maximum.

3) If the submitted Start-Up Cost exceeds the resource’s reasonably expected Start-Up Cost but the submitted No-load Cost does not exceed the reasonably expected No-load Cost, then the Composite Energy Offer shall equal the Incremental Energy Offer plus the amortized No-load Cost; provided, however, if the resulting Composite Energy Offer at Economic Maximum yields a value less than $1,000/megawatt-hour, then the Composite Energy Offer shall include an amortized Start-Up Cost value sufficient to make the Composite Energy Offer equal to $1,000/megawatt-hour at Economic Maximum.

4) If both the submitted Start-Up Cost and No-load Cost exceed the respective reasonably expected costs and the Incremental Energy Offer is below $1,000 MWh, then the Composite Energy Offer shall equal $1,000/megawatt-hour and be composed of the resource’s: (i) Incremental Energy Offer, (ii) a No-load Cost value equal to the lesser of the resource’s amortized submitted No-load Cost or a value sufficient to make the Composite Energy Offer at Economic Maximum equal to $1,000/megawatt-hour, and (iii) to the extent the sum of the foregoing is less than $1,000/megawatt-hour, an amortized Start-Up Cost value.
sufficient to make the Composite Energy Offer equal to $1,000/megawatt-hour at Economic Maximum.

(c) If a generation resource that is For purposes of calculating Real-time Prices, if an Eligible Fast-Start Resource submits a market-based offer that results in a Composite Energy Offer that exceeds $1,000/megawatt-hour at the resource’s Economic Maximum:

(i) the amortized Start-Up Cost and the amortized No-load Cost for the market-based schedule shall both be excluded from the Composite Energy Offer if the Incremental Energy Offer of the market-based schedule exceeds the Incremental Energy Offer of the associated cost-based offer, then the amortized Start-Up Cost and the amortized No-load Cost for the market-based schedule shall both be considered to exceed their respective reasonably expected cost, and the Composite Energy Offer shall be equal to $1,000/megawatt-hour and be composed of the resource’s (i) Incremental Energy Offer, (ii) a No-load Cost value equal to the lesser of the resource’s amortized submitted No-load Cost or a value sufficient to make the Composite Energy Offer at Economic Maximum equal to $1,000/megawatt-hour, and (iii) to the extent the sum of the foregoing is less than $1,000/megawatt-hour, an amortized Start-Up Cost value sufficient to make the Composite Energy Offer equal to $1,000/megawatt-hour at Economic Maximum.

(ii) If the Incremental Energy Offer of the market-based schedule is not greater than the Incremental Energy Offer of the associated cost-based offer and the amortized Start-Up Cost for the market-based schedule shall be excluded from the Composite Energy Offer if the Start-Up Cost of the associated cost-based offer, to the extent that it is reviewed pursuant to Operating Agreement, Schedule 1, section 6.4.3A, exceeds the reasonably expected cost or if the Start-Up Cost of the market-based offer exceeds the Start-Up Cost specified on the associated cost-based offer.

(iii) If the amortized No-load Cost for the market-based schedule shall be excluded from the Composite Energy Offer if exceeds the No-load Cost of the associated cost-based offer or, to the extent that it is reviewed pursuant to Operating Agreement, Schedule 1, section 6.4.3A, exceeds the reasonably expected cost or if the No-load Cost of the market-based offer exceeds the No-load Cost specified on the associated cost-based offer of such cost-based offer, then the amortized No-load Cost shall be adjusted in the manner set forth in subsections (b)(iii)(2) and (4) above, as applicable.

(2) If the amortized Start-Up Cost for the market-based schedule exceeds the Start-Up Cost of the specified on the associated cost-based offer or exceeds the reasonably expected cost of the Start-Up Cost of such cost-based offer, then the amortized Start-Up Cost shall be adjusted in the manner set forth in subsections (b)(iii)(3) and (4), as applicable.
To the extent the Composite Energy Offer resulting from subsections (c)(ii)(1) and (2) above would exceed $2,000/MWh, then the Composite Energy Offer shall equal $2,000/megawatt-hour and the submitted Start-Up Cost and No-load Cost shall be adjusted in the manner set forth in subsection (e) below.

For purposes of calculating Real-time Prices, the applicable marginal Incremental Energy Offer used in the calculation of Real-time Prices shall not exceed $2,000/megawatt-hour.

Subject to the provisions in Operating Agreement, Schedule 1, section 6.4.3A, if a generation resource that is an Eligible Fast-Start Resource submits an offer that results in a Composite Energy Offer with a maximum segment that exceeds $2,000/megawatt-hour then the amortized Start-up Cost will be excluded from the determination of the Composite Energy Offer. If the maximum segment of resulting Composite Energy Offer is still in excess of $2,000/megawatt-hour, then the amortized No-load Cost shall also be excluded from the determination of the Composite Energy Offer.

Subject to the provisions in Operating Agreement, Schedule 1, section 6.4.3A, if an Economic Load Response Participant resource that is an Eligible Fast-Start Resource submits an offer that results in a Composite Energy Offer with a maximum segment that exceeds $2,000/megawatt-hour and such offer is reviewed pursuant to Operating Agreement, Schedule 1, 6.4.3A, pursuant to which the Office of the Interconnection evaluates the resource’s submitted Start-Up Cost and No-load Cost against the resource’s reasonably expected Start-Up Cost and No-load Cost, the following adjustments will be made to cap the offer at no higher than $2,000/megawatt-hour: then the amortized shutdown cost will be excluded from the determination of the Composite Energy Offer.

If the submitted Start-Up Cost and No-load Cost do not exceed the respective reasonably expected costs, the Composite Energy Offer shall equal $2,000/megawatt-hour and be composed of: (i) the resource’s Incremental Energy Offer, (ii) to the extent the Incremental Energy Offer is less than $2,000/megawatt-hour, a No-load Cost value equal to the lesser of the resource’s amortized submitted No-load Cost or a value sufficient to make the Composite Energy Offer at Economic Maximum equal to $2,000/megawatt-hour, and (iii) to the extent the sum of the foregoing is less than $2,000/megawatt-hour, a Start-Up Cost value an amortized Start-Up Cost value sufficient to make the Composite Energy Offer at Economic Maximum equal to $2,000/megawatt-hour.

If the submitted Start-Up Cost does not exceed the resource’s reasonably expected Start-Up Cost but the submitted No-load Cost does exceed the reasonably expected No-load Cost, then the Composite Energy Offer shall equal the Incremental Energy Offer plus the amortized submitted Start-Up Cost, provided, however, if the resulting Composite Energy Offer at Economic Maximum yields a value greater than $2,000/megawatt-hour, then the Composite Energy Offer shall include an amortized Start-Up Cost value sufficient to make the Composite Energy Offer equal to $2,000/megawatt-hour.
(iii) If the submitted Start-Up Cost exceeds the resource’s reasonably expected Start-Up Cost but the submitted No-load Cost does not exceed the reasonably expected No-load Cost, then the Composite Energy Offer shall equal the Incremental Energy Offer plus the amortized No-load Cost; provided, however, if the resulting Composite Energy Offer at Economic Maximum yields a value greater than $2,000/megawatt-hour, then the Composite Energy Offer shall include an amortized No-load Cost value sufficient to make the Composite Energy Offer equal to $2,000/megawatt-hour.

(iv) If the submitted Start-Up Cost and No-load Cost both exceed the respective reasonably expected costs, the Composite Energy Offer shall equal the lesser of the resource’s Incremental Energy Offer or $2,000/megawatt-hour.

(v) To the extent any of the foregoing subsections (e)(ii) through (e)(iv) would result in a Composite Energy Offer less than $1,000/MWh at Economic Maximum, the Composite Energy Offer shall equal $1,000/megawatt-hour and be composed of the resource’s: (i) Incremental Energy Offer, (ii) a No-load Cost value equal to the lesser of the resource’s amortized submitted No-load Cost or a value sufficient to make the Composite Energy Offer equal to $1,000/megawatt-hour at Economic Maximum, and (iii) to the extent the sum of the foregoing is less than $1,000/megawatt-hour, an amortized Start-Up Cost value sufficient to make the Composite Energy Offer equal to $1,000/megawatt-hour at Economic Maximum.

(f) To the extent an Economic Load Response Participant resource’s Composite Energy Offer is reviewed pursuant to Operating Agreement, Schedule 1, 6.4.3A, pursuant to which the Office of the Interconnection evaluates the resource’s submitted shutdown cost against the resource’s reasonably expected shutdown costs, adjustments may be applied to yield a Composite Energy Offer, at Economic Maximum, no lower than $1,000/megawatt-hour and no greater than $2,000/megawatt-hour as follows:

(i) If a Composite Energy Offer at Economic Maximum is greater than $1,000/megawatt-hour but does not exceed $2,000/megawatt-hour, and the amortized shutdown cost, to the extent that it is reviewed pursuant to Operating Agreement, Schedule 1, section 6.4.3A, does not exceed the resource’s reasonably expected shutdown cost, then no adjustments shall be made to the submitted Composite Energy Offer.

(ii) If the amortized shutdown cost, to the extent that it is reviewed pursuant to Operating Agreement, Schedule 1, section 6.4.3A, exceeds the resource’s reasonably expected shutdown cost, then the Composite Energy Offer shall equal: (i) the resource’s Incremental Energy Offer, and (ii) to the extent the Incremental Energy Offer is less than $1,000/megawatt-hour, a shutdown value equal to the lesser of the resource’s amortized submitted shutdown cost or a value sufficient to make the Composite Energy Offer at Economic Maximum equal to $1,000/megawatt-hour.
(iii) If the Composite Energy Offer at Economic Maximum exceeds $2,000/megawatt-hour and the amortized shutdown cost, to the extent that it is reviewed pursuant to Operating Agreement, Schedule 1, section 6.4.3A, does not exceed the resource’s reasonably expected shutdown cost, then the Composite Energy Offer shall equal $2,000/megawatt-hour: (i) the resource’s Incremental Energy Offer, and (ii) to the extent the Incremental Energy Offer is less than $2,000/megawatt-hour, a shutdown value sufficient to make the Composite Energy Offer equal to $2,000/megawatt-hour.

(g) Units that must be run for local area protection shall not be considered in the calculation of Real-time Prices.
2.4A Determination of Energy Offers Used in Calculating Day-ahead Prices.

(a) Day-ahead Prices derived in accordance with this section shall be determined for every hour.

(b) To determine the energy offers submitted to the PJM Interchange Energy Market that shall be used to calculate the Day-ahead Prices, the Office of the Interconnection shall determine the applicable marginal energy offer of the resources being dispatched by the Office of the Interconnection using the offer schedule on which the resource is committed in the dispatch run.

The Office of the Interconnection will determine a resource’s applicable marginal energy offer by comparing the megawatt output of the resource from the pricing run with the Market Seller’s Incremental Energy Offer curve or, for Eligible Fast-Start Resources, the Market Seller’s Composite Energy Offer. For Eligible Fast-Start Resources, the amortized Start-Up Costs and amortized No-load Costs, expressed in dollars per megawatt-hour, are added to the resource’s Incremental Energy Offer to determine a Composite Energy Offer, as described below:

(i) The amortized Start-Up Cost for a generation resource shall equal the resource’s applicable Start-Up Cost, as determined in accordance with the PJM Manuals, amortized over (A) the resource’s Economic Maximum or Emergency Maximum output, whichever is applicable and (B) the resource’s Minimum Run Time. For the purposes of this calculation, the Minimum Run Time is set to one hour. The amortized Start-Up Cost is included the resource’s Composite Energy Offer during the resource’s Minimum Run Time. After the Minimum Run Time has been met the amortized Start-Up Cost is not included in the Composite Energy Offer. To determine the amortized Start-Up Costs for Economic Load Response Participant resources, the Minimum Down Time is used in place of Minimum Run Time and shutdown cost is used in place of Start-Up Cost in the above equation.

   The amortized Start-Up Cost, to the extent it is reviewed pursuant to Operating Agreement, Schedule 1, section 6.4.3A, shall be excluded from the Composite Energy Offer if the resource’s applicable Start-Up Cost exceeds the reasonably expected cost, as described in subsection (iii) below.

(ii) The amortized No-load Cost shall equal the resource’s applicable No-load Cost, amortized over the resource’s Economic Maximum or Emergency Maximum output, whichever is applicable output and included in the Composite Energy Offer for all intervals in which the resource is pool-scheduled.

   The amortized No-load Cost, to the extent that it is reviewed pursuant to Operating Agreement, Schedule 1, section 6.4.3A, shall be excluded from the Composite Energy Offer if the resource’s applicable Incremental Energy Offer and No-load Cost exceed the reasonably expected cost, as described in subsection (iii) below.
To the extent a Composite Energy Offer of a generation resource that is an Eligible Fast-Start Resource is less than $2,000/megawatt-hour and is reviewed pursuant to Operating Agreement, Schedule 1, 6.4.3A, pursuant to which the Office of the Interconnection evaluates the resource’s submitted Start-Up Cost and No-load Cost against the resource’s reasonably expected Start-Up Cost and No-load Cost, adjustments may be applied to yield a Composite Energy Offer no lower than $1,000/megawatt-hour at Economic Maximum as follows:

1) If the submitted Start-Up Cost and No-load Cost do not exceed the respective reasonably expected costs, no adjustments shall be made to the submitted Composite Energy Offer.

2) If the submitted Start-Up Cost does not exceed the resource’s reasonably expected Start-Up Cost but the submitted No-load Cost does exceed the reasonably expected No-load Cost, then the Composite Energy offer shall equal the Incremental Energy Offer plus the amortized submitted Start-Up Cost; provided, however, if the resulting Composite Energy Offer at Economic Maximum yields a value less than $1,000/megawatt-hour, then the Composite Energy Offer shall include an amortized No-load Cost value sufficient to make the Composite Energy Offer equal to $1,000/megawatt-hour at Economic Maximum.

3) If the submitted Start-Up Cost exceeds the resource’s reasonably expected Start-Up Cost but the submitted No-load Cost does not exceed the reasonably expected No-load Cost, then the Composite Energy Offer shall equal the Incremental Energy Offer plus the amortized No-load Cost; provided, however, if the resulting Composite Energy Offer at Economic Maximum yields a value less than $1,000/megawatt-hour, then the Composite Energy Offer shall include an amortized Start-Up Cost value sufficient to make the Composite Energy Offer equal to $1,000/megawatt-hour at Economic Maximum.

4) If both the submitted Start-Up Cost and No-load Cost exceed the respective reasonably expected costs and the Incremental Energy Offer is below $1,000 MWh, then the Composite Energy Offer shall equal $1,000/megawatt-hour and be composed of the resource’s: (i) Incremental Energy Offer, (ii) a No-load Cost value equal to the lesser of the resource’s amortized submitted No-load Cost or a value sufficient to make the Composite Energy Offer at Economic Maximum equal to $1,000/megawatt-hour, and (iii) to the extent the sum of the foregoing is less than $1,000/megawatt-hour, an amortized Start-Up Cost value sufficient to make the Composite Energy Offer equal to $1,000/megawatt-hour at Economic Maximum.
If a generation resource that is For purposes of calculating Day-ahead Prices, if an Eligible Fast-Start Resource submits a market-based offer that results in a Composite Energy Offer that exceeds $1,000/megawatt-hour at the resource’s Economic Maximum:

(i) the amortized Start-Up Cost and the amortized No-load Cost for the market-based schedule shall both be excluded from the Composite Energy Offer if the Incremental Energy Offer of the market-based schedule exceeds the Incremental Energy Offer of the associated cost-based offer, then the amortized Start-Up Cost and the amortized No-load Cost for the market-based schedule shall both be considered to exceed their respective reasonably expected costs, and the Composite Energy Offer shall be equal to $1,000/megawatt-hour and be composed of the resource’s (i) Incremental Energy Offer, (ii) a No-load Cost value equal to the lesser of the resource’s amortized submitted No-load Cost or a value sufficient to make the Composite Energy Offer at Economic Maximum equal to $1,000/megawatt-hour, and (iii) to the extent the sum of the foregoing is less than $1,000/megawatt-hour, an amortized Start-Up Cost value sufficient to make the Composite Energy Offer equal to $1,000/megawatt-hour at Economic Maximum.

(ii) If the Incremental Energy Offer of the market-based schedule is not greater than the Incremental Energy Offer of the associated cost-based offer and:

(1) If the amortized No-load Cost for the market-based schedule exceeds the No-load Cost specified on the associated cost-based offer or exceeds the reasonably expected cost of the No-load Cost of such cost-based offer, then the amortized No-load Cost shall be adjusted in the manner set forth in subsections (b)(iii)(2) and (4) above, as applicable.

(2) If the amortized Start-Up Cost for the market-based schedule exceeds the Start-Up Cost specified on the associated cost-based offer or exceeds the reasonably expected cost of the Start-Up Cost of such cost-based offer, then the amortized Start-Up Cost shall be adjusted in the manner set forth in subsections (b)(iii)(3) and (4) above, as applicable.

(3) To the extent the Composite Energy Offer resulting from subsections (c)(ii)(1) and (2) would exceed $2,000/MWh, then the Composite Energy Offer shall equal $2,000/megawatt-hour and the submitted Start-Up Cost and No-load Cost shall be adjusted in the manner set forth in subsection (e) below if the amortized Start-Up Cost for the market-based schedule shall be excluded from the Composite Energy Offer if the Start-Up Cost of the associated cost-based offer, to the extent that it is reviewed pursuant to Operating Agreement, Schedule 1, section 6.4.3A, exceeds the reasonably expected cost or if the Start-Up Cost of the market-based offer exceeds the Start-Up Cost specified on the associated cost-based offer.

(iii) the amortized No-load Cost for the market-based schedule shall be excluded from the Composite Energy Offer if the No-load Cost of the associated cost-based offer, to the extent that it is reviewed pursuant to Operating Agreement, Schedule 1, section 6.4.3A, exceeds the reasonably expected cost or if the No-load Cost of the
market-based offer exceeds the No-load Cost specified on the associated cost-based offer.

(d) For purposes of calculating Day-ahead Prices, the applicable marginal Incremental Energy Offer used in the calculation of Day-ahead Prices shall not exceed $2,000/megawatt-hour.

(e) Subject to the provisions in Operating Agreement, Schedule 1, section 6.4.3A, if a generation resource that is an Eligible Fast Start Resource submits an offer that results in a Composite Energy Offer with a maximum segment that exceeds $2,000/megawatt-hour then the amortized Start-Up Cost will be excluded from the determination of the Composite Energy Offer. If the resulting Composite Energy Offer is still in excess of $2,000/megawatt-hour, then the amortized No-load Cost shall also be excluded from the determination of the Composite Energy Offer.

(f) Subject to the provisions in Operating Agreement, Schedule 1, section 6.4.3A, if an Economic Load Response Participant submits an offer that results in a Composite Energy Offer with a maximum segment that exceeds $2,000/megawatt-hour then the amortized shutdown cost will be excluded from the determination of the Composite Energy Offer. and such offer is reviewed pursuant to Operating Agreement, Schedule 1, 6.4.3A, pursuant to which the Office of the Interconnection evaluates the resource’s submitted Start-Up Cost and No-load Cost against the resource’s reasonably expected Start-Up Cost and No-load Cost, the following adjustments will be made to cap the offer at no higher than $2,000/megawatt-hour:

(i) If the submitted Start-Up Cost and No-load Cost do not exceed the respective reasonably expected costs, the Composite Energy Offer shall equal $2,000/megawatt-hour and be composed of: (i) the resource’s Incremental Energy Offer, (ii) to the extent the Incremental Energy Offer is less than $2,000/megawatt-hour, a No-load Cost value equal to the lesser of the resource’s amortized submitted No-load Cost or a value sufficient to make the Composite Energy Offer at Economic Maximum equal to $2,000/megawatt-hour, and (iii) to the extent the sum of the foregoing is less than $2,000/megawatt-hour, a Start-Up Cost value an amortized Start-Up Cost value sufficient to make the Composite Energy Offer at Economic Maximum equal to $2,000/megawatt-hour.

(ii) If the submitted Start-Up Cost does not exceed the resource’s reasonably expected Start-Up Cost but the submitted No-load Cost does exceed the reasonably expected No-load Cost, then the Composite Energy offer shall equal the Incremental Energy Offer plus the amortized submitted Start-Up Cost; provided, however, if the resulting Composite Energy Offer at Economic Maximum yields a value greater than $2,000/megawatt-hour, then the Composite Energy Offer shall include an amortized Start Up Cost value sufficient to make the Composite Energy Offer equal to $2,000/megawatt-hour.

(iii) If the submitted Start-Up Cost exceeds the resource’s reasonably expected Start-Up Cost but the submitted No-load Cost does not exceed the reasonably expected...
No-load Cost, then the Composite Energy Offer shall equal the Incremental Energy Offer plus the amortized No-load Cost; provided, however, if the resulting Composite Energy Offer at Economic Maximum yields a value greater than $2,000/megawatt-hour, then the Composite Energy Offer shall include an amortized No-load Cost value sufficient to make the Composite Energy Offer equal to $2,000/megawatt-hour.

(iv) If the submitted Start-Up Cost and No-load Cost both exceed the respective reasonably expected costs, the Composite Energy Offer shall equal the lesser of the resource’s Incremental Energy Offer or $2,000/megawatt-hour.

(v) To the extent any of the foregoing subsections (e)(ii) through (e)(iv) would result in a Composite Energy Offer less than $1,000/MWh at Economic Maximum, the Composite Energy Offer shall equal $1,000/megawatt-hour and be composed of the resource’s: (i) Incremental Energy Offer, (ii) a No-load Cost value equal to the lesser of the resource’s amortized submitted No-load Cost or a value sufficient to make the Composite Energy Offer equal to $1,000/megawatt-hour at Economic Maximum, and (iii) to the extent the sum of the foregoing is less than $1,000/megawatt-hour, an amortized Start-Up Cost value sufficient to make the Composite Energy Offer equal to $1,000/megawatt-hour at Economic Maximum.

(f) To the extent an Economic Load Response Participant resource’s Composite Energy Offer is reviewed pursuant to Operating Agreement, Schedule 1, 6.4.3A, pursuant to which the Office of the Interconnection evaluates the resource’s submitted shutdown cost against the resource’s reasonably expected shutdown costs, adjustments may be applied to yield a Composite Energy Offer, at Economic Maximum, no lower than $1,000/megawatt-hour and no greater than $2,000/megawatt-hour as follows:

(i) If a Composite Energy Offer at Economic Maximum is greater than $1,000/megawatt-hour but does not exceed $2,000/megawatt-hour, and the amortized shutdown cost, to the extent that it is reviewed pursuant to Operating Agreement, Schedule 1, section 6.4.3A, does not exceed the resource’s reasonably expected shutdown cost, then no adjustments shall be made to the submitted Composite Energy Offer.

(ii) If the amortized shutdown cost, to the extent that it is reviewed pursuant to Operating Agreement, Schedule 1, section 6.4.3A, exceeds the resource’s reasonably expected shutdown cost, then the Composite Energy Offer shall equal: (i) the resource’s Incremental Energy Offer, and (ii) to the extent the Incremental Energy Offer is less than $1,000/megawatt-hour, a shutdown value equal to the lesser of the resource’s amortized submitted shutdown cost or a value sufficient to make the Composite Energy Offer at Economic Maximum equal to $1,000/megawatt-hour.

(iii) If the Composite Energy Offer at Economic Maximum exceeds $2,000/megawatt-hour and the amortized shutdown cost, to the extent that it is reviewed pursuant to
Operating Agreement, Schedule 1, section 6.4.3A, does not exceed the resource’s reasonably expected shutdown cost, then the Composite Energy Offer shall equal $2,000/megawatt-hour: (i) the resource’s Incremental Energy Offer, and (ii) to the extent the Incremental Energy Offer is less than $2,000/megawatt-hour, a shutdown value sufficient to make the Composite Energy Offer equal to $2,000/megawatt-hour.
3.2 Market Settlements.

If a dollar-per-MW-hour value is applied in a calculation under this section 3.2 where the interval of the value produced in that calculation is less than an hour, then for purposes of that calculation the dollar-per-MW hour value is divided by the number of Real-time Settlement Intervals in the hour.

3.2.1 Spot Market Energy.

(a) The Office of the Interconnection shall calculate System Energy Prices in the form of Day-ahead System Energy Prices and Real-time System Energy Prices for the PJM Region, in accordance with Section 2 of this Schedule.


(c) Each Market Participant shall be paid for all of its Market Participant Energy Injections scheduled in the Day-ahead Energy Market at the Day-ahead System Energy Price to be delivered to the PJM Interchange Energy Market.

(d) For each Day-ahead Settlement Interval during an Operating Day, the Office of the Interconnection shall calculate Spot Market Energy charges for each Market Participant as the difference between the sum of its Market Participant Energy Withdrawals scheduled times the Day-ahead System Energy Price and the sum of its Market Participant Energy Injections scheduled times the Day-ahead System Energy Price.

(e) For each Real-time Settlement Interval during an Operating Day, the Office of the Interconnection shall calculate Spot Market Energy charges for each Market Participant as the difference between the sum of its real-time Market Participant Energy Withdrawals less its scheduled Market Participant Energy Withdrawals times the Real-time System Energy Price and the sum of its real-time Market Participant Energy Injections less scheduled Market Participant Energy Injections times the Real-time System Energy Price. The Revenue Data for Settlements determined for each Real-time Settlement Interval in accordance with section 3.1A of this Schedule shall be used in determining the real-time Market Participant Energy Withdrawals and Market Participant Energy Injections used to calculate Spot Market Energy charges under this subsection (e).

(f) For pool External Resources, the Office of the Interconnection shall model, based on an appropriate flow analysis, the megawatts of real-time energy injections to be delivered from each such resource to the corresponding Interface Pricing Point between adjacent Control Areas and the PJM Region.
3.2.2 Regulation.

(a) Each Market Participant that is a Load Serving Entity in a Regulation Zone shall have an hourly Regulation objective equal to its pro rata share of the Regulation requirements of such Regulation Zone for the hour, based on the Market Participant’s total load (net of operating Behind The Meter Generation, but not to be less than zero) in such Regulation Zone for the hour (“Regulation Obligation”). A Market Participant with an hourly Regulation Obligation shall be charged the pro rata share of the sum of the Regulation market performance clearing price credits and Regulation market capability clearing price credits for the Real-time Settlement Intervals in an hour.

\[
\text{Regulation Charge} = \text{Hourly Regulation Obligation Share} \times (\text{sum of the Real-time Settlement Interval Regulation credits in an hour})
\]

(b) Each Market Participant supplying Regulation in a Regulation Zone at the direction of the Office of the Interconnection shall be credited for each of its resources such that the calculated credit for each increment of Regulation provided by each resource shall be the higher of: (i) the Regulation market-clearing price; or (ii) the sum of the applicable Regulation offers for a resource determined pursuant to Section 3.2.2A.1 of this Schedule, the unit-specific shoulder hour opportunity costs described in subsection (e) of this section, the unit-specific inter-temporal opportunity costs, and the unit-specific opportunity costs discussed in subsection (d) of this section.

(c) The total Regulation market-clearing price in each Regulation Zone shall be determined in the Real-time Price software program, which is known as the pricing run, for each Real-time Settlement Interval. The total Regulation market-clearing price shall include: (i) the performance Regulation market-clearing price in a Regulation Zone that shall be calculated in accordance with subsection (g) of this section; (ii) the capability Regulation market-clearing price that shall be calculated in accordance with subsection (h) of this section; and (iii) a Regulation resource’s unit-specific opportunity costs during the 5-minute period, determined as described in subsection (d) below, divided by the unit-specific benefits factor described in subsection (j) of this section and divided by the historic accuracy score of the resource from among the resources selected to provide Regulation. A resource’s Regulation offer by any Market Seller that fails the three-pivotal supplier test set forth in section 3.2.2A.1 of this Schedule shall not exceed the cost of providing Regulation from such resource, plus twelve dollars, as determined pursuant to the formula in section 1.10.1A(e) of this Schedule.

(d) In determining the Regulation 5-minute clearing price for each Regulation Zone, the estimated unit-specific opportunity costs of a generation resource offering to sell Regulation in each regulating hour, except for hydroelectric resources, shall be equal to the product of (i) the deviation of the set point of the generation resource that is expected to be required in order to provide Regulation from the generation resource’s expected output level if it had been dispatched in economic merit order times, (ii) the absolute value of the difference between the expected Locational Marginal Price at the generation bus for the generation resource and the lesser of the available market-based or highest available cost-based energy offer from the
generation resource (at the megawatt level of the Regulation set point for the resource) in the PJM Interchange Energy Market.

For hydroelectric resources offering to sell Regulation in a regulating hour, the estimated unit-specific opportunity costs for each hydroelectric resource in spill conditions as defined in the PJM Manuals will be the full value of the Locational Marginal Price at that generation bus for each megawatt of Regulation capability.

The estimated unit-specific opportunity costs for each hydroelectric resource that is not in spill conditions as defined in the PJM Manuals and has a day-ahead megawatt commitment greater than zero shall be equal to the product of (i) the deviation of the set point of the hydroelectric resource that is expected to be required in order to provide Regulation from the hydroelectric resource’s expected output level if it had been dispatched in economic merit order times (ii) the difference between the expected Locational Marginal Price at the generation bus for the hydroelectric resource and the average of the Locational Marginal Price at the generation bus for the appropriate on-peak or off-peak period as defined in the PJM Manuals, excluding those hours during which all available units at the hydroelectric resource were operating. Estimated opportunity costs shall be zero for hydroelectric resources for which the average Locational Marginal Price at the appropriate on-peak or off-peak period is higher than the actual Locational Marginal Price at the generator bus for the Real-time Settlement Interval.

For the purpose of committing resources and setting Regulation market clearing prices, the Office of the Interconnection shall utilize day-ahead Locational Marginal Prices to calculate opportunity costs for hydroelectric resources. For the purposes of settlements, the Office of the Interconnection shall utilize the real-time Locational Marginal Prices to calculate opportunity costs for hydroelectric resources.

Estimated opportunity costs for Demand Resources to provide Regulation are zero.
(e) In determining the credit under subsection (b) to a Market Participant selected to provide Regulation in a Regulation Zone and that actively follows the Office of the Interconnection’s Regulation signals and instructions, the unit-specific opportunity cost of a generation resource shall be determined for (1) each Real-time Settlement Interval that the Office of the Interconnection requires a generation resource to provide Regulation, and (2) the last three Real-time Settlement Intervals of the preceding shoulder hour and the first three Real-time Settlement Intervals of the following shoulder hour in accordance with the PJM Manuals and below.

The unit-specific opportunity cost incurred during the Real-time Settlement Interval in which the Regulation obligation is fulfilled shall be equal to the product of (i) the deviation of the generation resource’s output necessary to follow the Office of the Interconnection’s Regulation signals from the generation resource’s expected output level if it had been dispatched in economic merit order times (ii) the absolute value of the difference between the Locational Marginal Price at the generation bus for the generation resource and the lesser of the available market-based or highest available cost-based energy offer from the generation resource (at the actual megawatt level of the resource when the actual megawatt level is within the tolerance defined in the PJM Manuals for the Regulation set point, or at the Regulation set point for the resource when it is not within the corresponding tolerance) in the PJM Interchange Energy Market. Opportunity costs for Demand Resources to provide Regulation are zero.

The unit-specific opportunity costs associated with uneconomic operation during each of the preceding three Real-time Settlement Intervals of the shoulder hour shall be equal to the product of (i) the deviation between the set point of the generation resource that is expected to be required in the initial regulating Real-time Settlement Interval in order to provide Regulation and the resource’s expected output in each of the preceding three Real-time Settlement Intervals of the shoulder hour times (ii) the absolute value of the difference between the Locational Marginal Price at the generation bus for the generation resource in each of the preceding three Real-time Settlement Intervals of the shoulder hour and the lesser of the available market-based or highest available cost-based energy offer from the generation resource (at the megawatt level of the Regulation set point for the resource in the initial regulating Real-time Settlement Interval) in the PJM Interchange Energy Market, all as determined by the Office of the Interconnection in accordance with procedures specified in the PJM Manuals.

The unit-specific opportunity costs associated with uneconomic operation during each of the following three Real-time Settlement Intervals of the shoulder hour shall be equal to the product of (i) the deviation between the set point of the generation resource that is expected to be required in the final regulating Real-time Settlement Interval in order to provide Regulation and the resource’s expected output in each of the following three Real-time Settlement Intervals of the shoulder hour times (ii) the absolute value of the difference between the Locational Marginal Price at the generation bus for the generation resource in each of the following three Real-time Settlement Intervals of the shoulder hour and the lesser of the available market-based or highest available cost-based energy offer from the generation resource (at the megawatt level of the Regulation set point for the resource in final regulating hour) in the PJM Interchange Energy Market all as determined by the Office of the Interconnection in accordance with procedures specified in the PJM Manuals.
(f) Any amounts credited for Regulation in an hour in excess of the Regulation market-clearing price in that hour shall be allocated and charged to each Market Participant in a Regulation Zone that does not meet its hourly Regulation obligation in proportion to its purchases of Regulation in such Regulation Zone in megawatt-hours during that hour.

(g) To determine the Regulation market performance-clearing price for each Regulation Zone, the Office of the Interconnection shall adjust the submitted performance offer for each resource in accordance with the historical performance of that resource, the amount of Regulation that resource will be dispatched based on the ratio of control signals calculated by the Office of the Interconnection, and the unit-specific benefits factor described in subsection (j) of this section for which that resource is qualified. The maximum adjusted performance offer of all cleared resources will set the Regulation market performance-clearing price.

The owner of each Regulation resource that actively follows the Office of the Interconnection’s Regulation signals and instructions, will be credited for Regulation performance by multiplying the assigned MW(s) by the Regulation market performance-clearing price, by the ratio between the requested mileage for the Regulation dispatch signal assigned to the Regulation resource and the Regulation dispatch signal assigned to traditional resources, and by the Regulation resource’s accuracy score calculated in accordance with subsection (k) of this section.

(h) The Office of the Interconnection shall divide each Regulation resource’s capability offer by the unit-specific benefits factor described in subsection (j) of this section and divided by the historic accuracy score for the resource for the purposes of committing resources and setting the market clearing prices.

The Office of the Interconnection shall calculate the Regulation market capability-clearing price for each Regulation Zone by subtracting the Regulation market performance-clearing price described in subsection (g) from the total Regulation market clearing price described in subsection (c). This residual sets the Regulation market capability-clearing price for that market Real-time Settlement Interval.

The owner of each Regulation resource that actively follows the Office of the Interconnection’s Regulation signals and instructions will be credited for Regulation capability based on the assigned MW and the capability Regulation market-clearing price multiplied by the Regulation resource’s accuracy score calculated in accordance with subsection (k) of this section.

(i) In accordance with the processes described in the PJM Manuals, the Office of the Interconnection shall: (i) calculate inter-temporal opportunity costs for each applicable resource; (ii) include such inter-temporal opportunity costs in each applicable resource’s offer to sell frequency Regulation service; and (iii) account for such inter-temporal opportunity costs in the Regulation market-clearing price.

(j) The Office of the Interconnection shall calculate a unit-specific benefits factor for each of the dynamic Regulation signal and traditional Regulation signal in accordance with the PJM Manuals. Each resource shall be assigned a unit-specific benefits factor based on their
order in the merit order stack for the applicable Regulation signal. The unit-specific benefits factor is the point on the benefits factor curve that aligns with the last megawatt, adjusted by historical performance, that resource will add to the dynamic resource stack. Resources following the dynamic Regulation signal which have a unit-specific benefits factor less than 0.1 will not be considered for the purposes of committing resources. The unit-specific benefits factor for the traditional Regulation signal shall be equal to one.

(k) The Office of the Interconnection shall calculate each Regulation resource’s accuracy score. The accuracy score shall be the average of a delay score, correlation score, and energy score for each ten second interval. For purposes of setting the interval to be used for the correlation score and delay scores, PJM will use the maximum of the correlation score plus the delay score for each interval.

The Office of the Interconnection shall calculate the correlation score using the following statistical correlation function \( r(\delta, \delta + 5 \text{ Min}) \) that measures the delay in response between the Regulation signal and the resource change in output:

\[
\text{Correlation Score} = r_{\text{Signal}, \text{Response}}(\delta, \delta + 5 \text{ Min});
\]

where \( \delta \) is delay.

The Office of the Interconnection shall calculate the delay score using the following equation:

\[
\text{Delay Score} = \text{Abs} ((\delta - 5 \text{ Minutes}) / (5 \text{ Minutes})).
\]

The Office of the Interconnection shall calculate an energy score as a function of the difference in the energy provided versus the energy requested by the Regulation signal while scaling for the number of samples. The energy score is the absolute error \( (\varepsilon) \) as a function of the resource’s Regulation capacity using the following equations:

\[
\text{Energy Score} = 1 - 1/n \sum \text{Abs} (\text{Error});
\]

\[
\text{Error} = \text{Average of Abs} ((\text{Response} - \text{Regulation Signal}) / (\text{Hourly Average Regulation Signal})); \text{ and}
\]

\[
n = \text{the number of samples in the hour and the energy}.
\]

The Office of the Interconnection shall calculate an accuracy score for each Regulation resource that is the average of the delay score, correlation score, and energy score for a five-minute period using the following equation where the energy score, the delay score, and the correlation score are each weighted equally:

\[
\text{Accuracy Score} = \max ((\text{Delay Score}) + (\text{Correlation Score})) + (\text{Energy Score}).
\]
The historic accuracy score will be based on a rolling average of the Real-time Settlement Interval accuracy scores, with consideration of the qualification score, as defined in the PJM Manuals.

3.2.2A Offer Price Caps.

3.2.2A.1 Applicability.

(a) Each hour, the Office of the Interconnection shall conduct a three-pivotal supplier test as described in this section. Regulation offers from Market Sellers that fail the three-pivotal supplier test shall be capped in the hour in which they failed the test at their cost based offers as determined pursuant to section 1.10.1A(e) of this Schedule. A Regulation supplier fails the three-pivotal supplier test in any hour in which such Regulation supplier and the two largest other Regulation suppliers are jointly pivotal.

(b) For the purposes of conducting the three-pivotal supplier test pursuant to this section, the following applies:

(i) The three-pivotal supplier test will include in the definition of available supply all offers from resources capable of satisfying the Regulation requirement of the PJM Region multiplied by the historic accuracy score of the resource and multiplied by the unit-specific benefits factor for which the capability cost-based offer plus the performance cost-based offer plus any eligible opportunity costs is no greater than 150 percent of the clearing price that would be calculated if all offers were limited to cost (plus eligible opportunity costs).

(ii) The three-pivotal supplier test will apply on a Regulation supplier basis (i.e. not a resource by resource basis) and only the Regulation suppliers that fail the three-pivotal supplier test will have their Regulation offers capped. A Regulation supplier for the purposes of this section includes corporate affiliates. Regulation from resources controlled by a Regulation supplier or its affiliates, whether by contract with unaffiliated third parties or otherwise, will be included as Regulation of that Regulation supplier. Regulation provided by resources owned by a Regulation supplier but controlled by an unaffiliated third party, whether by contract or otherwise, will be included as Regulation of that third party.

(iii) Each supplier shall be ranked from the largest to the smallest offered megawatt of eligible Regulation supply adjusted by the historic performance of each resource and the unit-specific benefits factor. Suppliers are then tested in order, starting with the three largest suppliers. For each iteration of the test, the two largest suppliers are combined with a third supplier, and the combined supply is subtracted from total effective supply. The resulting net amount of eligible supply is divided by the Regulation requirement for the hour to determine the residual supply index. Where the residual supply index for three pivotal suppliers is less than or equal to 1.0, then the three suppliers are jointly pivotal and the suppliers being tested fail the three pivotal supplier test. Iterations of the test continue until the combination of the two largest suppliers and
a third supplier result in a residual supply index greater than 1.0, at which point the remaining suppliers pass the test. Any resource owner that fails the three-pivotal supplier test will be offer-capped.

3.2.3 Operating Reserves.

(a) A Market Seller’s pool-scheduled resources capable of providing Operating Reserves shall be credited as specified below based on the applicable offer for the operation of such resource, provided that the resource was available for the entire time specified in the Offer Data for such resource. To the extent that Section 3.2.3A.01 of Schedule 1 of this Agreement does not meet the Day-ahead Scheduling Reserves Requirement, the Office of the Interconnection shall schedule additional Operating Reserves pursuant to Section 1.7.17 and 1.10 of Schedule 1 of this Agreement. In addition the Office of the Interconnection shall schedule Operating Reserves pursuant to those sections to satisfy any unforeseen Operating Reserve requirements that are not reflected in the Day-ahead Scheduling Reserves Requirement.

(b) The following determination shall be made for each pool-scheduled resource that is scheduled in the Day-ahead Energy Market: the total offered price for Start-up Costs and No-load Costs and energy, determined on the basis of the resource’s scheduled output, shall be compared to the total value of that resource’s energy – as determined by the Day-ahead Energy Market and the Day-ahead Prices applicable to the relevant generation bus in the Day-ahead Energy Market. PJM shall also (i) determine whether any resources were scheduled in the Day-ahead Energy Market to provide Black Start service, Reactive Services or transfer interface control during the Operating Day because they are known or expected to be needed to maintain system reliability in a Zone during the Operating Day in order to minimize the total cost of Operating Reserves associated with the provision of such services and reflect the most accurate possible expectation of real-time operating conditions in the day-ahead model, which resources would not have otherwise been committed in the day-ahead security-constrained dispatch and (ii) report on the day following the Operating Day the megawatt quantities scheduled in the Day-ahead Energy Market for the above-enumerated purposes for the entire RTO.

Except as provided in Section 3.2.3(n), if the total offered price for Start-up Costs (shutdown costs for Demand Resources) and No-load Costs and energy summed over all Day-ahead Settlement Intervals exceeds the total value summed over all Day-ahead Settlement Intervals, the difference shall be credited to the Market Seller as a day-ahead Operating Reserve credit.

However, for the Day-ahead Settlement Intervals in which the resource is scheduled to provide energy in the Operating Day and the resource actually provides energy in at least one Real-time Settlement Interval in an hour that corresponds to such scheduled Day-ahead Settlement Intervals, a resource’s day-ahead Operating Reserve credit shall be reduced by the greater of zero or the difference of the resource’s Day-ahead Operating Reserve Target and the Balancing Operating Reserve Target, as determined below.

A resource’s Day-ahead Operating Reserve Target shall be determined in accordance with the following equation:
(A + B) - C

Where:

A = Start-up Costs

B = the sum of day-ahead No-load Costs and energy over the applicable Real-time Settlement Intervals that correspond with Day-ahead Settlement Intervals in which the resource is scheduled. The day-ahead No-load Costs and energy are divided by twelve to determine the cost for each Real-time Settlement Interval.

C = the sum of the day-ahead revenues calculated for each Real-time Settlement Interval that corresponds with a Day-ahead Settlement Interval in which the resource is scheduled, where the day-ahead revenue for each such Real-time Settlement Interval equals the product of the megawatt amount of energy scheduled in the Day-ahead Energy Market and the Day-ahead Price at the applicable pricing point for the resource divided by twelve.

A resource’s Balancing Operating Reserve Target shall be determined in accordance with the following equation:

D – ( E + F )

Where:

D = the sum of Start-up Costs and No-load Costs and the incremental cost of energy summed over all Real-time Settlement Intervals that correspond to the Day-ahead Settlement Intervals in which the resource was scheduled;

E = the product of \([\text{the megawatt amount of energy provided in the Real-time Energy Market minus the megawatt amount of energy scheduled in the Day-ahead Energy Market}]\) multiplied by the Real-time Price at the applicable pricing point for the resource, plus the sum of the day-ahead revenues as determined in part C of the above formula for determining the Day-ahead Operating Reserve Target, summed over the applicable Real-time Settlement Intervals; and

F = the sum of all revenues earned for providing Day-ahead Scheduling Reserves, Synchronized Reserves, Non-Synchronized Reserves, and Reactive Services over the applicable Real-time Settlement Intervals.

Market Sellers of Virtual Transactions, price sensitive demand, and dispatchable exports that clear in the day-ahead security constrained economic dispatch software program, known as the dispatch run, but would not clear at the Day-ahead Price shall be made whole to the offer that actually cleared in the dispatch run.
The Office of the Interconnection shall apply any balancing Operating Reserve credits allocated pursuant to this section 3.2.3(b) to real-time deviations or real-time load share plus exports, pursuant to Operating Agreement, Schedule 1, section 3.2.3(p), depending on whether the balancing Operating Reserve credits are related to resources scheduled during the reliability analysis for an Operating Day, or during the actual Operating Day.

(i) For resources scheduled by the Office of the Interconnection during the reliability analysis for an Operating Day, the associated balancing Operating Reserve credits shall be allocated based on the reason the resource was scheduled according to the following provisions:

(A) If the Office of the Interconnection determines during the reliability analysis for an Operating Day that a resource was committed to operate in real-time to augment the physical resources committed in the Day-ahead Energy Market to meet the forecasted real-time load plus the Operating Reserve requirement, the associated balancing Operating Reserve credits, identified as RA Credits for Deviations, shall be allocated to real-time deviations.

(B) If the Office of the Interconnection determines during the reliability analysis for an Operating Day that a resource was committed to maintain system reliability, the associated balancing Operating Reserve credits, identified as RA Credits for Reliability, shall be allocated according to ratio share of real time load plus export transactions.

(C) If the Office of the Interconnection determines during the reliability analysis for an Operating Day that a resource with a day-ahead schedule is required to deviate from that schedule to provide balancing Operating Reserves, the associated balancing Operating Reserve credits shall be segmented and separately allocated pursuant to subsections 3.2.3(b)(i)(A) or 3.2.3(b)(i)(B) hereof. Balancing Operating Reserve credits for such resources will be identified in the same manner as units committed during the reliability analysis pursuant to subsections 3.2.3(b)(i)(A) and 3.2.3(b)(i)(B) hereof.

(ii) For resources scheduled during an Operating Day, the associated balancing Operating Reserve credits shall be allocated according to the following provisions:

(A) If the Office of the Interconnection directs a resource to operate during an Operating Day to provide balancing Operating Reserves, the associated balancing Operating Reserve credits, identified as RT Credits for Reliability, shall be allocated according to ratio share of load plus exports. The foregoing notwithstanding, credits will be applied pursuant to this section only if the LMP at the resource's bus does not meet or exceed the applicable offer of the resource for at least four 5-minute intervals during one or more discrete clock hours during each period the resource operated and produced MWs during the relevant Operating Day. If a resource operated and produced MWs for less than four 5-
minute intervals during one or more discrete clock hours during the relevant Operating Day, the credits for that resource during the hour it was operated less than four 5-minute intervals will be identified as being in the same category (RT Credits for Reliability or RT Credits for Deviations) as identified for the Operating Reserves for the other discrete clock hours.

(B) If the Office of the Interconnection directs a resource not covered by Section 3.2.3(b)(ii)(A) hereof to operate in real-time during an Operating Day, the associated balancing Operating Reserve credits, identified as RT Credits for Deviations, shall be allocated according to real-time deviations from day-ahead schedules.

(iii) PJM shall post on its Web site the aggregate amount of MWs committed that meet the criteria referenced in subsections (b)(i) and (b)(ii) hereof.

(c) The sum of the foregoing credits calculated in accordance with Section 3.2.3(b) plus any unallocated charges from Section 3.2.3(h) and 5.1.7, and any shortfalls paid pursuant to the Market Settlement provision of the Day-ahead Economic Load Response Program, shall be the cost of Operating Reserves in the Day-ahead Energy Market.

(d) The cost of Operating Reserves in the Day-ahead Energy Market shall be allocated and charged to each Market Participant in proportion to the sum of its (i) scheduled load (net of Behind The Meter Generation expected to be operating, but not to be less than zero) and accepted Decrement Bids in the Day-ahead Energy Market in megawatt-hours for that Operating Day; and (ii) scheduled energy sales in the Day-ahead Energy Market from within the PJM Region to load outside such region in megawatt-hours for that Operating Day, but not including its bilateral transactions that are Dynamic Transfers to load outside such area pursuant to Section 1.12, except to the extent PJM scheduled resources to provide Black Start service, Reactive Services or transfer interface control. The cost of Operating Reserves in the Day-ahead Energy Market for resources scheduled to provide Black Start service for the Operating Day which resources would not have otherwise been committed in the day-ahead security constrained dispatch shall be allocated by ratio share of the monthly transmission use of each Network Customer or Transmission Customer serving Zone Load or Non-Zone Load, as determined in accordance with the formulas contained in Schedule 6A of the PJM Tariff. The cost of Operating Reserves in the Day-ahead Energy Market for resources scheduled to provide Reactive Services or transfer interface control because they are known or expected to be needed to maintain system reliability in a Zone during the Operating Day and would not have otherwise been committed in the day-ahead security constrained dispatch shall be allocated and charged to each Market Participant in proportion to the sum of its real-time deliveries of energy to load (net of operating Behind The Meter Generation) in such Zone, served under Network Transmission Service, in megawatt-hours during that Operating Day, as compared to all such deliveries for all Market Participants in such Zone.

(e) At the end of each Operating Day, the following determination shall be made for each synchronized pool-scheduled resource of each Market Seller that operates as requested by the Office of the Interconnection. For each calendar day, pool-scheduled resources in the Real-
time Energy Market shall be made whole for each of the following Segments: 1) the greater of their day-ahead schedules and minimum run time specified at the time of commitment (minimum down time specified at the time of commitment for Demand Resources); and 2) any block of Real-time Settlement Intervals the resource operates at PJM’s direction in excess of the greater of its day-ahead schedule and minimum run time specified at the time of commitment (minimum down time specified at the time of commitment for Demand Resources). For each calendar day, and for each synchronized start of a generation resource or PJM-dispatched economic load reduction, there will be a maximum of two Segments for each resource. Segment 1 will be the greater of the day-ahead schedule and minimum run time specified at the time of commitment (minimum down time specified at the time of commitment for Demand Resources) and Segment 2 will include the remainder of the contiguous Real-time Settlement Intervals when the resource is operating at the direction of the Office of the Interconnection, provided that a segment is limited to the Operating Day in which it commenced and cannot include any part of the following Operating Day.

A Generation Capacity Resource that operates outside of its unit-specific parameters will not receive Operating Reserve Credits nor be made whole for such operation when not dispatched by the Office of the Interconnection, unless the Market Seller of the Generation Capacity Resource can justify to the Office of the Interconnection that operation outside of such unit-specific parameters was the result of an actual constraint. Such Market Seller shall provide to the Market Monitoring Unit and the Office of the Interconnection its request to receive Operating Reserve Credits and/or to be made whole for such operation, along with documentation explaining in detail the reasons for operating its resource outside of its unit-specific parameters, within thirty calendar days following the issuance of billing statement for the Operating Day. The Market Seller shall also respond to additional requests for information from the Market Monitoring Unit and the Office of the Interconnection. The Market Monitoring Unit shall evaluate such request for compensation and provide its determination of whether there was an exercise of market power to the Office of the Interconnection by no later than twenty-five calendar days after receiving the Market Seller’s request for compensation. The Office of the Interconnection shall make its determination whether the Market Seller justified that it is entitled to receive Operating Reserve Credits and/or be made whole for such operation of its resource for the day(s) in question, by no later than thirty calendar days after receiving the Market Seller’s request for compensation.

Credits received pursuant to this section shall be equal to the positive difference between a resource’s Total Operating Reserve Offer, and the total value of the resource’s energy in the Day-ahead Energy Market plus any credit or change for quantity deviations, at PJM dispatch direction (excluding quantity deviations caused by an increase in the Market Seller’s Real-time Offer), from the Day-ahead Energy Market during the Operating Day at the real-time LMP(s) applicable to the relevant generation bus in the Real-time Energy Market. The foregoing notwithstanding, credits for Segment 2 shall exclude start up (shutdown costs for Demand Resources) costs for generation resources.

Except as provided in Section 3.2.3(m), if the total offered price exceeds the total value, the difference less any credit as determined pursuant to Section 3.2.3(b), and less any amounts credited for Synchronized Reserve in excess of the Synchronized Reserve offer plus the
resource’s opportunity cost, and less any amounts credited for Non-Synchronized Reserve in excess of the Non-Synchronized Reserve offer plus the resource’s opportunity cost, and less any amounts credited for providing Reactive Services as specified in Section 3.2.3B, and less any amounts for Day-ahead Scheduling Reserve in excess of the Day-ahead Scheduling Reserve offer plus the resource’s opportunity cost, and less any credit as determined pursuant to Operating Agreement, Schedule 1, section 3.2.3(e-1), shall be credited to the Market Seller.

Synchronized Reserve, Non-Synchronized Reserve, and Real-time Settlement Interval share of the Day-ahead Scheduling Reserve credits applied against Operating Reserve credits pursuant to this section shall be netted against the Operating Reserve credits earned in the corresponding Real-time Settlement Interval(s) in which the Synchronized Reserve, Non-Synchronized Reserve, and Day-ahead Scheduling Reserve credits accrued, provided that for condensing combustion turbines, Synchronized Reserve credits will be netted against the total Operating Reserve credits accrued during each Real-time Settlement Interval the unit operates in condensing and generation mode.

(e-1) (i) For each Real-time Settlement Interval in which a pool-scheduled resource or a dispatchable self-scheduled resource operates at the Office of the Interconnection’s direction in excess of its day-ahead schedule and the resource’s expected output level based on its resource parameters at the Real-time Price at the applicable pricing point is less than the real-time output level directed by the Office of the Interconnection, the Market Seller of such resource shall receive credits in accordance with the following equation:

\[ A - [ ( B - C) \times D ] \]

Where:

\( A \) = the resource’s Real-time Energy Market offer integrated under the Final Offer between (1) the greater of the resource’s day-ahead schedule and the resource’s expected output level based on its resource parameters at the Real-time Price at the applicable pricing point and (2) the lesser of the real-time output level directed by the Office of the Interconnection and the resource’s actual output level;

\( B \) = the lesser of the real-time output level directed by the Office of the Interconnection and the resource’s actual output level;

\( C \) = the greater of the resource’s day-ahead schedule and the resource’s expected output level based on its resource parameters at the Real-time Price at the applicable pricing point; and

\( D \) = the Real-time Price at the applicable pricing point.

(ii) For each hour in an Operating Day, the total cost of any credits paid pursuant to this subsection (e-1) shall be allocated and charged to each Market Participant in proportion to the sum of its (i) deliveries of energy to load ((a) net of operating Behind The Meter Generation, but not to be less than zero; and (b) excluding Direct Charging Energy) in the
(f) A Market Seller of a unit not defined in subsection (f-1), (f-2), or (f-4) hereof (or self-scheduled, if operating according to Section 1.10.3 (c) hereof), the output of which is reduced or suspended at the request of the Office of the Interconnection due to a transmission constraint or other reliability issue, and for which the real-time LMP at the unit’s bus is higher than the unit’s offer corresponding to the level of output requested by the Office of the Interconnection (as indicated either by the desired MWs of output from the unit determined by PJM’s unit dispatch system or as directed by the PJM dispatcher through a manual override), shall be credited for each Real-time Settlement Interval in an amount equal to the product of (A) the deviation of the generating unit’s output necessary to follow the Office of the Interconnection’s signals and the generating unit’s expected output level if it had been dispatched in economic merit order, times (B) the Locational Marginal Price at the generation bus for the generating unit, minus (C) the Total Lost Opportunity Cost Offer, provided that the resulting outcome is greater than $0.00. This equation is represented as (A*B) - C.

(f-1) With the exception of Market Sellers of Flexible Resources that submit a Real-time Offer greater than their resource’s Committed Offer in the Day-ahead Energy Market, a Market Seller of a Flexible Resource shall be compensated for lost opportunity cost, and shall be limited to the lesser of the unit’s Economic Maximum or the unit’s Generation Resource Maximum Output, if either of the following conditions occur:

(i) if the unit output is reduced at the direction of the Office of the Interconnection and the real time LMP at the unit’s bus is higher than the unit’s offer corresponding to the level of output requested by the Office of the Interconnection (as directed by the PJM dispatcher), then the Market Seller shall be credited in a manner consistent with that described in section 3.2.3 (f).

(ii) If the unit is scheduled to produce energy in the Day-ahead Energy Market for a Day-ahead Settlement Interval, but the unit is not called on by the Office of the Interconnection and does not operate in the corresponding Real-time Settlement Interval(s), then the Market Seller shall be credited in an amount equal to the higher of:

1) the product of (A) the amount of megawatts committed in the Day-ahead Energy Market for the generating unit, and (B) the Real-time Price at the generation bus for the generating unit, minus the sum of (C) the Total Lost Opportunity Cost Offer plus No-load Costs, plus (D) the Start-up Cost, divided by the Real-time Settlement Intervals committed for each set of contiguous hours for which the unit was scheduled in Day-ahead Energy Market. This equation is represented as (A*B) -
The startup cost, \((D)\), shall be excluded from this calculation if the unit operates in real time following the Office of the Interconnection’s direction during any portion of the set of contiguous hours for which the unit was scheduled in Day-ahead Energy Market, or

2) the Real-time Price at the unit’s bus minus the Day-ahead Price at the unit’s bus, multiplied by the number of megawatts committed in the Day-ahead Energy Market for the generating unit.

Market Sellers of Flexible Resources that submit a Real-time Offer greater than their resource’s Committed Offer in the Day-ahead Energy Market shall not be eligible to receive compensation for lost opportunity costs under any applicable provisions of Schedule 1 of this Agreement.

(f-2) A Market Seller of a hydroelectric resource that is pool-scheduled (or self-scheduled, if operating according to Section 1.10.3 (c) hereof), the output of which is altered at the request of the Office of the Interconnection from the schedule submitted by the owner, due to a transmission constraint or other reliability issue, shall be compensated for lost opportunity cost in the same manner as provided in sections 3.2.2(d) and 3.2.3A(f) and further detailed in the PJM Manuals.

(f-3) If a Market Seller believes that, due to specific pre-existing binding commitments to which it is a party, and that properly should be recognized for purposes of this section, the above calculations do not accurately compensate the Market Seller for opportunity cost associated with following PJM dispatch instructions and reducing or suspending a unit’s output due to a transmission constraint or other reliability issue, then the Office of the Interconnection, the Market Monitoring Unit and the individual Market Seller will discuss a mutually acceptable, modified amount of opportunity cost compensation, taking into account the specific circumstances binding on the Market Seller. Following such discussion, if the Office of the Interconnection accepts a modified amount of opportunity cost compensation, the Office of the Interconnection shall invoice the Market Seller accordingly. If the Market Monitoring Unit disagrees with the modified amount of opportunity cost compensation, as accepted by the Office of the Interconnection, it will exercise its powers to inform the Commission staff of its concerns.

(f-4) A Market Seller of a wind generating unit that is pool-scheduled or self-scheduled, has SCADA capability to transmit and receive instructions from the Office of the Interconnection, has provided data and established processes to follow PJM basepoints pursuant to the requirements for wind generating units as further detailed in this Agreement, the Tariff and the PJM Manuals, and which is operating as requested by the Office of the Interconnection, the output of which is reduced or suspended at the request of the Office of the Interconnection due to a transmission constraint or other reliability issue, and for which the, real-time LMP at the unit’s bus is higher than the unit’s offer corresponding to the level of output requested by the Office of the Interconnection (as indicated either by the desired MWs of output from the unit determined by PJM’s unit dispatch system or as directed by the PJM dispatcher through a manual override), shall be credited for each Real-time Settlement Interval in an amount equal to the product of (A)
the deviation of the generating unit’s output necessary to follow the Office of the Interconnection’s signals and the generating unit’s expected output level if it had been dispatched in economic merit order, times (B) the Real-time Price at the generation bus for the generating unit, minus (C) the Total Lost Opportunity Cost Offer, provided that the resulting outcome is greater than $0.00. This equation is represented as (A*B) - C.

(f-5) (i) A Market Seller of a pool-scheduled resource or a dispatchable self-scheduled resource shall receive Dispatch Differential Lost Opportunity Cost credits as calculated under subsection (iv) below if the resource is dispatched to provide energy in the Real-time Energy Market, provided such resource is not committed to provide real-time ancillary services (Regulation, reserves, reactive service) or instructed to reduce or suspend output due to a transmission constraint or other reliability issue pursuant to Operating Agreement, Schedule 1, section 3.2.3(f-1) through Operating Agreement, Schedule 1, section (f-4).

(ii) PJM will calculate the revenue above cost for the pricing run for each Real-time Settlement Interval in accordance with the following equation:

\[( A \times B ) - C \]

Where:

A = the resource’s expected output level based on its resource parameters at the Real-time Price at the applicable pricing point;

B = the Real-time Price at the applicable pricing point; and

C = the sum of the resource’s Real-time Energy Market offer integrated under the Final Offer for the resource’s expected output level based on its resource parameters at the Real-time Price at the applicable pricing point.

(iii) PJM will calculate the revenue above cost for the dispatch run for each Real-time Settlement Interval in accordance with the following equation:

\[( \text{greater of } A \text{ and } B ) - ( \text{lesser of } C \text{ and } D ) \]

Where:

A = the product of the amount of megawatts of energy dispatched in the Real-time Energy Market dispatch run for the resource in that Real-time Settlement Interval and the Real-time Price at the applicable pricing point;

B = the product of the amount of megawatts of energy the resource actually provided in that Real-time Settlement Interval and the Real-time Price at the applicable pricing point;
C = the resource’s Real-time Energy Market offer integrated under the Final Offer for the amount of megawatts dispatched in the Real-time Energy Market dispatch run;

D = the resource’s Real-time Energy Market offer integrated under the Final Offer for the amount of megawatts the resource actually provided in that Real-time Settlement Interval.

(iv) The Dispatch Differential Lost Opportunity Cost credit shall equal the greater of (A) the difference between the revenue above cost based on the pricing run determined in subsection (f-5)(ii) and the revenue above cost based on the dispatch run determined in subsection (f-5)(iii) or (B) zero.

(v) For each hour in an Operating Day, the total cost of the Dispatch Differential Lost Opportunity Cost credits shall be allocated and charged to each Market Participant in proportion to the sum of its (i) deliveries of energy to load ((a) net of operating Behind The Meter Generation, but not to be less than zero; and (b) excluding Direct Charging Energy) in the PJM Region, served under Network Transmission Service, in megawatt-hours; and (ii) deliveries of energy sales from within the PJM Region to load outside such region in megawatt-hours but not including its bilateral transactions that are Dynamic Transfers to load outside the PJM Region pursuant to Operating Agreement, Schedule 1, section 1.12, as compared to the sum of all such deliveries for all Market Participants.

(g) The sum of the foregoing credits in Operating Agreement, Schedule 1, section 3.2.3(f-1) through Operating Agreement, Schedule 1, section 3.2.3(f-4), plus any cancellation fees paid in accordance with Section 1.10.2(d), such cancellation fees to be applied to the Operating Day for which the unit was scheduled, plus any shortfalls paid pursuant to the Market Settlement provision of the real-time Economic Load Response Program, less any payments received from another Control Area for Operating Reserves shall be the cost of Operating Reserves for the Real-time Energy Market in each Operating Day.

(h) The cost of Operating Reserves for the Real-time Energy Market for each Operating Day, except those associated with the scheduling of units for Black Start service or testing of Black Start Units as provided in Schedule 6A of the PJM Tariff, shall be allocated and charged to each Market Participant based on their daily total of hourly deviations determined in accordance with the following equation:

\[ \sum_h (A + B + C) \]

Where:

h = the hours in the applicable Operating Day;

A = For each Real-time Settlement Interval in an hour, the sum of the absolute value of the withdrawal deviations (in MW) between the quantities scheduled in the Day-ahead Energy Market and the Market Participant’s energy withdrawals (net of operating Behind
The Meter Generation) in the Real-Time Energy Market, except as noted in subsection (h)(ii) below and in the PJM Manuals divided by the number of Real-time Settlement Intervals for that hour. The summation of each Real-time Settlement Interval’s withdrawal deviation in an hour will be the Market Participant’s total hourly withdrawal deviations. Market Participant bilateral transactions that are Dynamic Transfers to load outside the PJM Region pursuant to section 1.12 of this Schedule are not included in the determination of withdrawal deviations;

\[ B = \text{For each Real-time Settlement Interval in an hour, the sum of the absolute value of generation deviations (in MW and not including deviations in Behind The Meter Generation) as determined in subsection (o) divided by the number of Real-Time Settlement Intervals for that hour;} \]

\[ C = \text{For each Real-time Settlement Interval in an hour, the sum of the absolute value of the injection deviations (in MW) between the quantities scheduled in the Day-ahead Energy Market and the Market Participant’s energy injections in the Real-Time Energy Market divided by the number of Real-time Settlement Intervals for that hour. The summation of the injection deviations for each Real-time Settlement Interval in an hour will be the Market Participant’s total hourly injection deviations. The determination of injection deviations does not include generation resources.} \]

The Revenue Data for Settlements determined for each Real-time Settlement Interval in accordance with section 3.1A of this Schedule shall be used in determining the real-time withdrawal deviations, generation deviations and injection deviations used to calculate Operating Reserve under this subsection (e).

The costs associated with scheduling of units for Black Start service or testing of Black Start Units shall be allocated by ratio share of the monthly transmission use of each Network Customer or Transmission Customer serving Zone Load or Non-Zone Load, as determined in accordance with the formulas contained in Schedule 6A of the PJM Tariff.

Notwithstanding section (h)(1) above, as more fully set forth in the PJM Manuals, load deviations from the Day-ahead Energy Market shall not be assessed Operating Reserves charges to the extent attributable to reductions in the load of Price Responsive Demand that is in response to an increase in Locational Marginal Price from the Day-ahead Energy Market to the Real-time Energy Market and that is in accordance with a properly submitted PRD Curve.

Deviations that occur within a single Zone shall be associated with the Eastern or Western Region, as defined in Section 3.2.3(q) of this Schedule, and shall be subject to the regional balancing Operating Reserve rate determined in accordance with Section 3.2.3(q). Deviations at a hub shall be associated with the Eastern or Western Region if all the buses that define the hub are located in the region. Deviations at an Interface Pricing Point shall be associated with whichever region, the Eastern or Western Region, with which the majority of the buses that define that Interface Pricing Point are most closely electrically associated. If deviations at interfaces and hubs are associated with the Eastern or Western region, they shall be subject to the regional balancing Operating Reserve rate. Demand and supply deviations shall be based on
total activity in a Zone, including all aggregates and hubs defined by buses that are wholly contained within the same Zone.

The foregoing notwithstanding, netting deviations shall be allowed for each Real-time Settlement Interval in accordance with the following provisions:

(i) Generation resources with multiple units located at a single bus shall be able to offset deviations in accordance with the PJM Manuals to determine the net deviation MW at the relevant bus.

(ii) Demand deviations will be assessed by comparing all day-ahead demand transactions at a single transmission zone, hub, or interface against the real-time demand transactions at that same transmission zone, hub, or interface; except that the positive values of demand deviations, as set forth in the PJM Manuals, will not be assessed Operating Reserve charges in the event of a Primary Reserve or Synchronized Reserve shortage in real-time or where PJM initiates the request for emergency load reductions in real-time in order to avoid a Primary Reserve or Synchronized Reserve shortage.

(iii) Supply deviations will be assessed by comparing all day-ahead transactions at a single transmission zone, hub, or interface against the real-time transactions at that same transmission zone, hub, or interface.

(iv) Bilateral transactions inside the PJM Region, as defined in Operating Agreement, Schedule 1, section 1.7.10, will not be included in the determination of Supply or Demand deviations.

(i) At the end of each Operating Day, Market Sellers shall be credited on the basis of their offered prices for synchronous condensing for purposes other than providing Synchronized Reserve or Reactive Services, as well as the credits calculated as specified in Section 3.2.3(b) for those generators committed solely for the purpose of providing synchronous condensing for purposes other than providing Synchronized Reserve or Reactive Services, at the request of the Office of the Interconnection.

(j) The sum of the foregoing credits as specified in Section 3.2.3(i) shall be the cost of Operating Reserves for synchronous condensing for the PJM Region for purposes other than providing Synchronized Reserve or Reactive Services, or in association with post-contingency operation for the Operating Day and shall be separately determined for the PJM Region.

(k) The cost of Operating Reserves for synchronous condensing for purposes other than providing Synchronized Reserve or Reactive Services, or in association with post-contingency operation for each Operating Day shall be allocated and charged to each Market Participant in proportion to the sum of its (i) deliveries of energy to load (net of operating Behind The Meter Generation, but not to be less than zero) in the PJM Region, served under Network Transmission Service, in megawatt-hours during that Operating Day; and (ii) deliveries of energy sales from within the PJM Region to load outside such region in megawatt-hours during that Operating Day, but not including its bilateral transactions that are Dynamic Transfers to load
outside the PJM Region pursuant to Section 1.12, as compared to the sum of all such deliveries for all Market Participants.

(l) For any Operating Day in either, as applicable, the Day-ahead Energy Market or the Real-time Energy Market for which, for all or any part of such Operating Day, the Office of the Interconnection: (i) declares a Maximum Generation Emergency; (ii) issues an alert that a Maximum Generation Emergency may be declared (“Maximum Generation Emergency Alert”); or (iii) schedules units based on the anticipation of a Maximum Generation Emergency or a Maximum Generation Emergency Alert, the Operating Reserves credit otherwise provided by Section 3.2.3(b) or Section 3.2.3(e) in connection with market-based offers shall be limited as provided in subsections (n) or (m), respectively. The Office of the Interconnection shall provide timely notice on its internet site of the commencement and termination of any of the actions described in subsection (i), (ii), or (iii) of this subsection (l) (collectively referred to as “MaxGen Conditions”). Following the posting of notice of the commencement of a MaxGen Condition, a Market Seller may elect to submit a cost-based offer in accordance with Schedule 2 of the Operating Agreement, in which case subsections (m) and (n) shall not apply to such offer; provided, however, that such offer must be submitted in accordance with the deadlines in Section 1.10 for the submission of offers in the Day-ahead Energy Market or Real-time Energy Market, as applicable. Submission of a cost-based offer under such conditions shall not be precluded by Section 1.9.7(b); provided, however, that the Market Seller must return to compliance with Section 1.9.7(b) when it submits its bid for the first Operating Day after termination of the MaxGen Condition.

(m) For the Real-time Energy Market, if the Effective Offer Price (as defined below) for a market-based offer is greater than $1,000/MWh and greater than the Market Seller’s lowest available and applicable cost-based offer, the Market Seller shall not receive any credit for Operating Reserves. For purposes of this subsection (m), the Effective Offer Price shall be the amount that, absent subsections (l) and (m), would have been credited for Operating Reserves for such Operating Day pursuant to Section 3.2.3(e) plus the Real-time Energy Market revenues for the Real-time Settlement Intervals that the offer is economic divided by the megawatt hours of energy provided during the Real-time Settlement Intervals that the offer is economic. The Real-time Settlement Intervals that the offer is economic shall be: (i) the Real-time Settlement Intervals that the offer price for energy is less than or equal to the Real-time Price for the relevant generation bus, (ii) the Real-time Settlement Intervals in which the offer for energy is greater than Locational Marginal Price and the unit is operated at the direction of the Office of the Interconnection that are in addition to any Real-time Settlement Intervals required due to the minimum run time or other operating constraint of the unit, and (iii) for any unit with a minimum run time of one hour or less and with more than one start available per day, any hours the unit operated at the direction of the Office of the Interconnection.

(n) For the Day-ahead Energy Market, if notice of a MaxGen Condition is provided prior to 11:00 a.m. on the day before the Operating Day for which transactions are being scheduled and the Effective Offer Price for a market-based offer is greater than $1,000/MWh and greater than the Market Seller’s lowest available and applicable cost-based offer, the Market Seller shall not receive any credit for Operating Reserves. If notice of a MaxGen Condition is provided after 11:00 a.m. on the day before the Operating Day for which transactions are being
scheduled and the Effective Offer Price is greater than $1,000/MWh, the Market Seller shall receive credit for Operating Reserves determined in accordance with Section 3.2.3(b), subject to the limit on total compensation stated below. If the Effective Offer Price is less than or equal to $1,000/MWh, regardless of when notice of a MaxGen Condition is provided, the Market Seller shall receive credit for Operating Reserves determined in accordance with Section 3.2.3(b), subject to the limit on total compensation stated below. For purposes of this subsection (n), the Effective Offer Price shall be the amount that, absent subsections (l) and (n), would have been credited for Operating Reserves for such Operating Day divided by the megawatt hours of energy offered during the Specified Hours, plus the offer for energy during such hours. The Specified Hours shall be the lesser of: (1) the minimum run hours stated by the Market Seller in its Offer Data; and (2) either (i) for steam-electric generating units and for combined-cycle units when such units are operating in combined-cycle mode, the six consecutive hours of highest Day-ahead Price during such Operating Day when such units are running or (ii) for combustion turbine units and for combined-cycle units when such units are operating in combustion turbine mode, the two consecutive hours of highest Day-ahead Price during such Operating Day when such units are running. Notwithstanding any other provision in this subsection, the total compensation to a Market Seller on any Operating Day that includes a MaxGen Condition shall not exceed $1,000/MWh during the Specified Hours, where such total compensation in each such hour is defined as the amount that, absent subsections (l) and (n), would have been credited for Operating Reserves for such Operating Day pursuant to Section 3.2.3(b) divided by the Specified Hours, plus the Day-ahead Price for such hour, and no Operating Reserves payments shall be made for any other hour of such Operating Day. If a unit operates in real time at the direction of the Office of the Interconnection consistently with its day-ahead clearing, then subsection (m) does not apply.

(o) Dispatchable pool-scheduled generation resources and dispatchable self-scheduled generation resources that follow dispatch shall not be assessed balancing Operating Reserve deviations. Pool-scheduled generation resources and dispatchable self-scheduled generation resources that do not follow dispatch shall be assessed balancing Operating Reserve deviations in accordance with the calculations described below and in the PJM Manuals.

The Office of the Interconnection shall calculate a ramp-limited desired MW value for generation resources where the economic minimum and economic maximum are at least as far apart in real-time as they are in day-ahead according to the following parameters:

(i) real-time economic minimum $\leq 105\%$ of day-ahead economic minimum or day-ahead economic minimum plus 5 MW, whichever is greater.

(ii) real-time economic maximum $\geq 95\%$ day-ahead economic maximum or day-ahead economic maximum minus 5 MW, whichever is lower.

The ramp-limited desired MW value for a generation resource shall be equal to:

\[
Ramp\_Request_{t} = \frac{(UD\_Target_{t-1} - AOutput_{t-1})}{(UDSL\_Time_{t-1})}
\]

\[
RL\_Desired_{t} = AOutput_{t-1} \left( Ramp\_Request_{t} * Case\_Eff\_time_{t-1} \right)
\]
where:

1. UDStarget = UDS basepoint for the previous UDS case
2. AOutput = Unit’s output at case solution time
3. UDSLAtime = UDS look ahead time
4. Case_Eff_time = Time between base point changes
5. RL_Desired = Ramp-limited desired MW

To determine if a generation resource is following dispatch the Office of the Interconnection shall determine the unit’s MW off dispatch and % off dispatch by using the lesser of the difference between the actual output and the UDS Basepoint or the actual output and ramp-limited desired MW value for each Real-time Settlement Interval. If the UDS Basepoint and the ramp-limited desired MW for the resource are unavailable, the Office of the Interconnection will determine the unit’s MW off dispatch and % off dispatch by calculating the lesser of the difference between the actual output and the UDS LMP Desired MW for each Real-time Settlement Interval.

A pool-scheduled or dispatchable self-scheduled resource is considered to be following dispatch if its actual output is between its ramp-limited desired MW value and UDS Basepoint, or if its % off dispatch is <= 10, or its Real-time Settlement Interval MWh is within 5% of the Real-time Settlement Interval ramp-limited desired MW. A self-scheduled generator must also be dispatched above economic minimum. The degree of deviations for resources that are not following dispatch shall be determined for each Real-time Settlement Interval in accordance with the following provisions:

- A dispatchable self-scheduled resource that is not dispatched above economic minimum shall be assessed balancing Operating Reserve deviations according to the following formula: Real-time Settlement Interval MWh – Day-Ahead MWh.

- A resource that is dispatchable day-ahead but is Fixed Gen in real-time shall be assessed balancing Operating Reserve deviations according to the following formula: Real-time Settlement Interval MWh – UDS LMP Desired MW.

- Pool-scheduled generators that are not following dispatch shall be assessed balancing Operating Reserve deviations according to the following formula: Real-time Settlement Interval MWh – Ramp-Limited Desired MW.

- If a resource’s real-time economic minimum is greater than its day-ahead economic minimum by 5% or 5 MW, whichever is greater, or its real-time economic maximum is less than its Day Ahead economic maximum by 5% or 5 MW, whichever is lower, and UDS LMP Desired MWh for the Real-time Settlement Interval is either below the real time economic minimum or above the real time economic maximum, then balancing Operating Reserve deviations for the resource shall be assessed according
to the following formula: Real time Settlement Interval MWh – UDS LMP Desired MWh.

- If a resource is not following dispatch and its % Off Dispatch is <= 20%, balancing Operating Reserve deviations shall be assessed according to the following formula: Real-time Settlement Interval MWh – Ramp-Limited Desired MW. If deviation value is within 5% of Ramp-Limited Desired MW, balancing Operating Reserve deviations shall not be assessed.

- If a resource is not following dispatch and its % Off Dispatch is > 20%, balancing Operating Reserve deviations shall be assessed according to the following formula: Real time Settlement Interval MWh – UDS LMP Desired MWh.

- If a resource is not following dispatch, and the resource has tripped, for the Real-time Settlement Interval the resource tripped and the Real-time Settlement Intervals it remains offline throughout its day-ahead schedule balancing Operating Reserve deviations shall be assessed according to the following formula: Real time Settlement Interval MWh – Day-Ahead MWh.

- For resources that are not dispatchable in both the Day-Ahead and Real-time Energy Markets balancing Operating Reserve deviations shall be assessed according to the following formula: Real-time Settlement Interval MWh - Day-Ahead MWh.

If a resource has a sum of the absolute value of generator deviations for an hour that is less than 5 MWh, then the resource shall not be assessed balancing Operating Reserve deviations for that hour.

(o-1) Dispatchable economic load reduction resources that follow dispatch shall not be assessed balancing Operating Reserve deviations. Economic load reduction resources that do not follow dispatch shall be assessed balancing Operating Reserve deviations as described in this subsection and as further specified in the PJM Manuals.

The Desired MW quantity for such resources for each hour shall be the hourly integrated MW quantity to which the load reduction resource was dispatched for each hour (where the hourly integrated value is the average of the dispatched values as determined by the Office of the Interconnection for the resource for each hour).

If the actual reduction quantity for the load reduction resource for a given hour deviates by no more than 20% above or below the Desired MW quantity, then no balancing Operating Reserve deviation will accrue for that hour. If the actual reduction quantity for the load reduction resource for a given hour is outside the 20% bandwidth, the balancing Operating Reserve deviations will accrue for that hour in the amount of the absolute value of (Desired MW – actual reduction quantity). For those hours where the actual reduction quantity is within the 20% bandwidth specified above, the load reduction resource will be eligible to be made whole for the total value of its offer as defined in section 3.3A of this Appendix. Hours for which the actual reduction quantity is outside the 20% bandwidth will not be eligible for the make-whole.
payment. If at least one hour is not eligible for make-whole payment based on the 20% criteria, then the resource will also not be made whole for its shutdown cost.

(p) The Office of the Interconnection shall allocate the charges assessed pursuant to Section 3.2.3(h) of Schedule 1 of this Agreement except those associated with the scheduling of units for Black Start service or testing of Black Start Units as provided in Schedule 6A of the PJM Tariff, to real-time deviations from day-ahead schedules or real-time load share plus exports depending on whether the underlying balancing Operating Reserve credits are related to resources scheduled during the reliability analysis for an Operating Day, or during the actual Operating Day.

(i) For resources scheduled by the Office of the Interconnection during the reliability analysis for an Operating Day, the associated balancing Operating Reserve charges shall be allocated based on the reason the resource was scheduled according to the following provisions:

(A) If the Office of the Interconnection determines during the reliability analysis for an Operating Day that a resource was committed to operate in real-time to augment the physical resources committed in the Day-ahead Energy Market to meet the forecasted real-time load plus the Operating Reserve requirement, the associated balancing Operating Reserve charges shall be allocated to real-time deviations from day-ahead schedules.

(B) If the Office of the Interconnection determines during the reliability analysis for an Operating Day that a resource was committed to maintain system reliability, the associated balancing Operating Reserve charges shall be allocated according to ratio share of real time load plus export transactions.

(C) If the Office of the Interconnection determines during the reliability analysis for an Operating Day that a resource with a day-ahead schedule is required to deviate from that schedule to provide balancing Operating Reserves, the associated balancing Operating Reserve charges shall be allocated pursuant to (A) or (B) above.

(ii) For resources scheduled during an Operating Day, the associated balancing Operating Reserve charges shall be allocated according to the following provisions:

(A) If the Office of the Interconnection directs a resource to operate during an Operating Day to provide balancing Operating Reserves, the associated balancing Operating Reserve charges shall be allocated according to ratio share of load plus exports. The foregoing notwithstanding, charges will be assessed pursuant to this section only if the LMP at the resource’s bus does not meet or exceed the applicable offer of the resource for at least four-5-minute intervals during one or more discrete clock hours during each period the resource operated
and produced MWs during the relevant Operating Day. If a resource operated and produced MWs for less than four 5-minute intervals during one or more discrete clock hours during the relevant Operating Day, the charges for that resource during the hour it was operated less than four 5-minute intervals will be identified as being in the same category as identified for the Operating Reserves for the other discrete clock hours.

(B) If the Office of the Interconnection directs a resource not covered by Section 3.2.3(h)(ii)(A) of Schedule 1 of this Agreement to operate in real-time during an Operating Day, the associated balancing Operating Reserve charges shall be allocated according to real-time deviations from day-ahead schedules.

(q) The Office of the Interconnection shall determine regional balancing Operating Reserve rates for the Western and Eastern Regions of the PJM Region. For the purposes of this section, the Western Region shall be the AEP, APS, ComEd, Duquesne, Dayton, ATSI, DEOK, EKPC, OVEC transmission Zones, and the Eastern Region shall be the AEC, BGE, Dominion, PENELEC, PEPCO, ME, PPL, JCPL, PECO, DPL, PSEG, RE transmission Zones. The regional balancing Operating Reserve rates shall be determined in accordance with the following provisions:

(i) The Office of the Interconnection shall calculate regional adder rates for the Eastern and Western Regions. Regional adder rates shall be equal to the total balancing Operating Reserve credits paid to generators for transmission constraints that occur on transmission system capacity equal to or less than 345kv. The regional adder rates shall be separated into reliability and deviation charges, which shall be allocated to real-time load or real-time deviations, respectively. Whether the underlying credits are designated as reliability or deviation charges shall be determined in accordance with Section 3.2.3(p).

(ii) The Office of the Interconnection shall calculate RTO balancing Operating Reserve rates. RTO balancing Operating Reserve rates shall be equal to balancing Operating Reserve credits except those associated with the scheduling of units for Black Start service or testing of Black Start Units as provided in Schedule 6A of the PJM Tariff, in excess of the regional adder rates calculated pursuant to Section 3.2.3(q)(i) of Schedule 1 of this Agreement. The RTO balancing Operating Reserve rates shall be separated into reliability and deviation charges, which shall be allocated to real-time load or real-time deviations, respectively. Whether the underlying credits are allocated as reliability or deviation charges shall be determined in accordance with Section 3.2.3(p).

(iii) Reliability and deviation regional balancing Operating Reserve rates shall be determined by summing the relevant RTO balancing Operating Reserve rates and regional adder rates.

(iv) If the Eastern and/or Western Regions do not have regional adder rates, the relevant regional balancing Operating Reserve rate shall be the reliability and/or deviation RTO balancing Operating Reserve rate.
(r) Market Sellers that incur incremental operating costs for a generation resource that are either greater than $1,000/MWh as determined in accordance with the Market Seller’s PJM-approved Fuel Cost Policy, Schedule 2 of the Operating Agreement and PJM Manual 15, but are not verified at the time of dispatch of the resource under section 6.4.3 of this Schedule, or greater than $2,000/MWh as determined in accordance with the Market Seller’s PJM-approved Fuel Cost Policy, Schedule 2 of the Operating Agreement, and PJM Manual 15, will be eligible to receive credit for Operating Reserves upon review of the Market Monitoring Unit and the Office of the Interconnection, and approval of the Office of the Interconnection. Market Sellers must submit to the Office of the Interconnection and the Market Monitoring Unit all relevant documentation demonstrating the calculation of costs greater than $2,000/MWh, and costs greater than $1,000/MWh which were not verified at the time of dispatch of the resource under section 6.4.3 of this Schedule. The Office of the Interconnection must approve any Operating Reserve credits paid to a Market Seller under this subsection (r).

3.2.3A Synchronized Reserve.

(a) Each Market Participant that is a Load Serving Entity that is not part of an agreement to share reserves with external entities subject to the requirements in BAL-002 shall have an obligation for hourly Synchronized Reserve equal to its pro rata share of Synchronized Reserve requirements for the hour for each Reserve Zone and Reserve Sub-zone of the PJM Region, based on the Market Participant’s total load (net of operating Behind The Meter Generation, but not to be less than zero) in such Reserve Zone or Reserve Sub-zone for the hour (“Synchronized Reserve Obligation”), less any amount obtained from condensers associated with provision of Reactive Services as described in section 3.2.3B(i) and any amount obtained from condensers associated with post-contingency operations, as described in section 3.2.3C(b). Those entities that participate in an agreement to share reserves with external entities subject to the requirements in BAL-002 shall have their reserve obligations determined based on the stipulations in such agreement. A Market Participant with an hourly Synchronized Reserve Obligation shall be charged the pro rata share of the sum of the quantity of Synchronized Reserves provided in each Real-time Settlement Interval times the clearing price for all Real-time Settlement Intervals in the hour associated with that obligation.

(b) A resource supplying Synchronized Reserve at the direction of the Office of the Interconnection, in excess of its hourly Synchronized Reserve Obligation, shall be credited as follows:

i) Credits for Synchronized Reserve provided by generation resources that are then subject to the energy dispatch signals and instructions of the Office of the Interconnection and that increase their current output or Demand Resources that reduce their load in response to a Synchronized Reserve Event (‘‘Tier 1 Synchronized Reserve’’) shall be at the Synchronized Energy Premium Price, as described in 3.2.3A (c), with the exception of those Real-time Settlement Intervals in which the Non-Synchronized Reserve Market Clearing Price for the applicable Reserve Zone or Reserve Sub-zone is not equal to zero. During such hours, Tier 1 Synchronized Reserve resources shall be compensated at the Synchronized Reserve Market Clearing Price for the applicable Reserve Zone or Reserve Sub-zone for the lesser of the amount of Tier 1 Synchronized Reserve energy supplied and the difference between the Synchronized Energy Premium Price and the Non-Synchronized Reserve Market Clearing Price for the applicable Reserve Zone or Reserve Sub-zone.
Reserve attributed to the resource as calculated by the Office of the Interconnection, or the actual amount of Tier 1 Synchronized Reserve provided should a Synchronized Reserve Event occur in a Real-time Settlement Interval.

ii) Credits for Synchronized Reserve provided by generation resources that are synchronized to the grid but, at the direction of the Office of the Interconnection, are operating at a point that deviates from the Office of the Interconnection energy dispatch signals and instructions ("Tier 2 Synchronized Reserve") shall be the higher of (i) the Synchronized Reserve Market Clearing Price or (ii) the sum of (A) the Synchronized Reserve offer, and (B) the specific opportunity cost of the generation resource supplying the increment of Synchronized Reserve, as determined by the Office of the Interconnection to a Synchronized Reserve Event in a Real-time Settlement Interval in accordance with procedures specified in the PJM Manuals.

iii) Credits for Synchronized Reserve provided by Demand Resources that are synchronized to the grid and accept the obligation to reduce load in response to a Synchronized Reserve Event in a Real-time Settlement Interval initiated by the Office of the Interconnection shall be the sum of (i) the higher of (A) the Synchronized Reserve offer or (B) the Synchronized Reserve Market Clearing Price and (ii) if a Synchronized Reserve Event is actually initiated by the Office of the Interconnection and the Demand Resource reduced its load in response to the event, the fixed costs associated with achieving the load reduction, as specified in the PJM Manuals.

(c) The Synchronized Reserve Energy Premium Price is an adder in an amount to be determined periodically by the Office of the Interconnection not less than fifty dollars and not to exceed one hundred dollars per megawatt hour.

(d) The Synchronized Reserve Market Clearing Price shall be determined for each Reserve Zone and Reserve Sub-zone by the Office of the Interconnection in the Real-time Price software program, which is known as the pricing run, for each Real-time Settlement Interval of the Operating Day. The hourly Synchronized Reserve Market Clearing Price shall be calculated as the 5-minute clearing price. Each 5-minute clearing price shall be calculated as the marginal cost of serving the next increment of demand for Synchronized Reserve in each Reserve Zone or Reserve Sub-zone, inclusive of Synchronized Reserve offer prices and opportunity costs. When the Synchronized Reserve Requirement or Extended Synchronized Reserve Requirement in a Reserve Zone or Reserve Sub-zone cannot be met in the pricing run, the 5-minute clearing price shall be at least greater than or equal to the applicable Reserve Penalty Factor for the Reserve Zone or Reserve Sub-zone, but less than or equal to the sum of the Reserve Penalty Factors for the Synchronized Reserve Requirement and Primary Reserve Requirement for the Reserve Zone or Reserve Sub-zone. If the Office of the Interconnection has initiated in a Reserve Zone or Reserve Sub-zone either a Voltage Reduction Action as described in the PJM Manuals or a Manual Load Dump Action as described in the PJM Manuals, the 5-minute clearing price shall be the sum of the Reserve Penalty Factors for the Primary Reserve Requirement and the Synchronized Reserve Requirement for that Reserve Zone or Reserve Sub-zone.

The Reserve Penalty Factor for the Synchronized Reserve Requirement shall be $850/MWh.
The Reserve Penalty Factor for the Extended Synchronized Reserve Requirement shall be $300/MWh.

By no later than April 30 of each year, the Office of the Interconnection will analyze Market Participants’ response to prices exceeding $1,000/MWh on an annual basis and will provide its analysis to PJM stakeholders. The Office of the Interconnection will also review this analysis to determine whether any changes to the Synchronized Reserve Penalty Factors are warranted for subsequent Delivery Year(s).

(e) For each Real-time Settlement Interval and for determining the 5-minute Synchronized Reserve clearing price, the estimated unit-specific opportunity cost for a generation resource will be determined in accordance with the following equation:

\[(A \times B) + (C \times D)\]

Where

\(A = \) The Locational Marginal Price at the generation bus for the generation resource;

\(B = \) The megawatts of energy used to provide Synchronized Reserve submitted as part of the Synchronized Reserve offer;

\(C = \) The deviation of the set point of the generation resource that is expected to be required in order to provide Synchronized Reserve from the generation resource’s expected output level if it had been dispatched in economic merit order; and

\(D = \) The difference between the Locational Marginal Price at the generation bus for the generation resource and the offer price for energy from the generation resource (at the megawatt level of the Synchronized Reserve set point for the resource) in the PJM Interchange Energy Market when the Locational Marginal Price at the generation bus is greater than the offer price for energy from the generation resource.

The opportunity costs for a Demand Resource shall be zero.

(f) In determining the credit under subsection (b) to a resource selected to provide Tier 2 Synchronized Reserve and that actively follows the Office of the Interconnection’s signals and instructions, the unit-specific opportunity cost of a generation resource shall be determined for each Real-time Settlement Interval that the Office of the Interconnection requires a generation resource to provide Tier 2 Synchronized Reserve and shall be in accordance with the following equation:

\[(A \times B) + (C \times D)\]

Where:

\(A = \) The megawatts of energy used by the resource to provide Synchronized Reserve as submitted as part of the generation resource’s Synchronized Reserve offer;
B = The Locational Marginal Price at the generation bus of the generation resource;

C = The deviation of the generation resource’s output necessary to follow the Office of the Interconnection’s signals and instructions from the generation resource’s expected output level if it had been dispatched in economic merit order; and

D = The difference between the Locational Marginal Price at the generation bus for the generation resource and the offer price for energy from the generation resource (at the megawatt level of the Synchronized Reserve set point for the generation resource) in the PJM Interchange Energy Market when the Locational Marginal Price at the generation bus is greater than the offer price for energy from the generation resource.

The opportunity costs for a Demand Resource shall be zero.

(g) Charges for Tier 1 Synchronized Reserve will be allocated in proportion to the amount of Tier 1 Synchronized Reserve applied to each Synchronized Reserve Obligation. In the event Tier 1 Synchronized Reserve is provided by a Market Participant in excess of that Market Participant’s Synchronized Reserve Obligation, the Tier 1 Synchronized Reserve that is not utilized to fulfill the Market Participant’s obligation will be allocated proportionately among all other Synchronized Reserve Obligations.

(h) Any amounts credited for Tier 2 Synchronized Reserve in a Real-time Settlement Interval in excess of the Synchronized Reserve Market Clearing Price in that Real-time Settlement Interval shall be allocated and charged to each Market Participant that does not meet its hourly Synchronized Reserve Obligation in proportion to its purchases of Synchronized Reserve in megawatt-hours during that hour.

(i) In the event the Office of the Interconnection needs to assign more Tier 2 Synchronized Reserve during a Real-time Settlement Interval than was estimated as needed at the time the Synchronized Reserve Market Clearing Price was calculated for that Real-time Settlement Interval due to a reduction in available Tier 1 Synchronized Reserve, the costs of the excess Tier 2 Synchronized Reserve shall be allocated and charged to those providers of Tier 1 Synchronized Reserve whose available Tier 1 Synchronized Reserve was reduced from the needed amount estimated during the Synchronized Reserve Market Clearing Price calculation, in proportion to the amount of the reduction in Tier 1 Synchronized Reserve availability.

(j) In the event a generation resource or Demand Resource that either has been assigned by the Office of the Interconnection or self-scheduled to provide Tier 2 Synchronized Reserve fails to provide the assigned or self-scheduled amount of Tier 2 Synchronized Reserve in response to a Synchronized Reserve Event, the resource will be credited for Tier 2 Synchronized Reserve capacity in the amount that actually responded for all Real-time Settlement Intervals the resource was assigned or self-scheduled Tier 2 Synchronized Reserve on the Operating Day during which the event occurred. The determination of the amount of Synchronized Reserve credited to a resource shall be on an individual resource basis, not on an aggregate basis.
The resource shall refund payments received for Tier 2 Synchronized Reserve it failed to provide. For purposes of determining the amount of the payments to be refunded by a Market Participant, the Office of the Interconnection shall calculate the shortfall of Tier 2 Synchronized Reserve on an individual resource basis unless the Market Participant had multiple resources that were assigned or self-scheduled to provide Tier 2 Synchronized Reserve, in which case the shortfall will be determined on an aggregate basis. For performance determined on an aggregate basis, the response of any resource that provided more Tier 2 Synchronized Reserve than it was assigned or self-scheduled to provide will be used to offset the performance of other resources that provided less Tier 2 Synchronized Reserve than they were assigned or self-scheduled to provide during a Synchronized Reserve Event, as calculated in the PJM Manuals. The determination of a Market Participant’s aggregate response shall not be taken into consideration in the determination of the amount of Tier 2 Synchronized Reserve credited to each individual resource.

The amount refunded shall be determined by multiplying the Synchronized Reserve Market Clearing Price by the amount of the shortfall of Tier 2 Synchronized Reserve, measured in megawatts, for all intervals the resource was assigned or self-scheduled to provide Tier 2 Synchronized Reserve for a period of time immediately preceding the Synchronized Reserve Event equal to the lesser of the average number of days between Synchronized Reserve Events, or the number of days since the resource last failed to provide the amount of Tier 2 Synchronized Reserve it was assigned or self-scheduled to provide in response to a Synchronized Reserve Event. The average number of days between Synchronized Reserve Events for purposes of this calculation shall be determined by an annual review of the twenty-four month period ending October 31 of the calendar year in which the review is performed, and shall be rounded down to a whole day value. The Office of the Interconnection shall report the results of its annual review to stakeholders by no later than December 31, and the average number of days between Synchronized Reserve Events shall be effective as of the following January 1. The refunded charges shall be allocated as credits to Market Participants based on its pro rata share of the Synchronized Reserve Obligation megawatts less any Tier 1 Synchronized Reserve applied to its Synchronized Reserve Obligation in the hour(s) of the Synchronized Reserve Event for the Reserve Sub-zone or Reserve Zone, except that Market Participants that incur a refund obligation and also have an applicable Synchronized Reserve Obligation during the hour(s) of the Synchronized Reserve Event shall not be included in the allocation of such refund credits. If the event spans multiple hours, the refund credits will be prorated hourly based on the duration of the event within each clock hour.

(k) The magnitude of response to a Synchronized Reserve Event by a generation resource or a Demand Resource, except for Batch Load Demand Resources covered by section 3.2.3A(l), is the difference between the generation resource’s output or the Demand Resource’s consumption at the start of the event and its output or consumption 10 minutes after the start of the event. In order to allow for small fluctuations and possible telemetry delays, generation resource output or Demand Resource consumption at the start of the event is defined as the lowest telemetered generator resource output or greatest Demand Resource consumption between one minute prior to and one minute following the start of the event. Similarly, a generation resource's output or a Demand Resource's consumption 10 minutes after the event is
defined as the greatest generator resource output or lowest Demand Resource consumption achieved between 9 and 11 minutes after the start of the event. The response actually credited to a generation resource will be reduced by the amount the megawatt output of the generation resource falls below the level achieved after 10 minutes by either the end of the event or after 30 minutes from the start of the event, whichever is shorter. The response actually credited to a Demand Resource will be reduced by the amount the megawatt consumption of the Demand Resource exceeds the level achieved after 10 minutes by either the end of the event or after 30 minutes from the start of the event, whichever is shorter.

(l) The magnitude of response by a Batch Load Demand Resource that is at the stage in its production cycle when its energy consumption is less than the level of megawatts in its offer at the start of a Synchronized Reserve Event shall be the difference between (i) the Batch Load Demand Resource’s consumption at the end of the Synchronized Reserve Event and (ii) the Batch Load Demand Resource’s consumption during the minute within the ten minutes after the end of the Synchronized Reserve Event in which the Batch Load Demand Resource’s consumption was highest and for which its consumption in all subsequent minutes within the ten minutes was not less than fifty percent of the consumption in such minute; provided that, the magnitude of the response shall be zero if, when the Synchronized Reserve Event commences, the scheduled off-cycle stage of the production cycle is greater than ten minutes.

3.2.3A.001 Non-Synchronized Reserve.

(a) Each Market Participant that is a Load Serving Entity that is not part of an agreement to share reserves with external entities subject to the requirements in BAL-002 shall have an obligation for hourly Non-Synchronized Reserve equal to its pro rata share of Non-Synchronized Reserve assigned for the hour for each Reserve Zone and Reserve Sub-zone of the PJM Region, based on the Market Participant’s total load (net of operating Behind The Meter Generation, but not to be less than zero) in such Reserve Zone and Reserve Sub-zone for the hour (“Non-Synchronized Reserve Obligation”). Those entities that participate in an agreement to share reserves with external entities subject to the requirements in BAL-002 shall have their reserve obligations determined based on the stipulations in such agreement. A Market Participant with an hourly Non-Synchronized Reserve Obligation shall be charged the pro rata share of the sum of the quantity of Non-Synchronized Reserves provided in each Real-time Settlement Interval times the clearing price for all Real-time Settlement Intervals in the hour associated with that obligation.

(b) Credits for Non-Synchronized Reserve provided by generation resources that are not operating for energy at the direction of the Office of the Interconnection specifically for the purpose of providing Non-Synchronized Reserve shall be the higher of (i) the Non-Synchronized Reserve Market Clearing Price or (ii) the specific opportunity cost of the generation resource supplying the increment of Non-Synchronized Reserve, as determined by the Office of the Interconnection in accordance with procedures specified in the PJM Manuals.

(c) The Non-Synchronized Reserve Market Clearing Price shall be determined for each Reserve Zone and Reserve Sub-zone by the Office of the Interconnection in the Real-time Price software program, which is known as the pricing run, for each Real-time Settlement Interval of the Operating Day. The Non-Synchronized Reserve Market Clearing Price shall be
calculated as the 5-minute clearing price. Each 5-minute clearing price shall be calculated as the marginal cost of procuring sufficient Non-Synchronized Reserves and/or Synchronized Reserves in each Reserve Zone or Reserve Sub-zone inclusive of opportunity costs associated with meeting the Primary Reserve Requirement or Extended Primary Reserve Requirement. When the Primary Reserve Requirement or Extended Primary Reserve Requirement in a Reserve Zone or Reserve Sub-zone cannot be met in the pricing run at a price less than or equal to the applicable Reserve Penalty Factor, the 5-minute clearing price for Non-Synchronized Reserve shall be at least greater than or equal to the applicable Reserve Penalty Factor for the Reserve Zone or Reserve Sub-zone, but less than or equal to the Reserve Penalty Factor for the Primary Reserve Requirement for the Reserve Zone or Reserve Sub-zone. If the Office of the Interconnection has initiated in a Reserve Zone or Reserve Sub-zone either a Voltage Reduction Action as described in the PJM Manuals or a Manual Load Dump Action as described in the PJM Manuals, the 5-minute clearing price shall be the Reserve Penalty Factor for the Primary Reserve Requirement for that Reserve Zone or Reserve Sub-zone.

The Reserve Penalty Factor for the Synchronized Reserve Requirement shall be $850/MWh.

The Reserve Penalty Factor for the Extended Primary Reserve Requirement shall be $300/MWh.

By no later than April 30 of each year, the Office of the Interconnection will analyze Market Participants’ response to prices exceeding $1,000/MWh on an annual basis and will provide its analysis to PJM stakeholders. The Office of the Interconnection will also review this analysis to determine whether any changes to the Primary Reserve Penalty Factors are warranted for subsequent Delivery Year(s).

(d) For each Real-time Settlement Interval and for determining the 5-minute Non-Synchronized Reserve clearing price, the unit-specific opportunity cost for a generation resource that is not providing energy because they are providing Non-Synchronized Reserves will be determined in accordance with the following equation:

\[(A \times B) - C\]

Where:
\(A\) = The deviation of the generation resource’s output necessary to follow the Office of the Interconnection’s signals and instructions from the generation resource’s expected output level if it had been dispatched in economic merit order;
\(B\) = The Locational Marginal Price at the generation bus for the generation resource; and
\(C\) = The applicable offer for energy from the generation resource in the PJM Interchange Energy Market.

(e) In determining the credit under subsection (b) to a resource selected to provide Non-Synchronized Reserve and that follows the Office of the Interconnection’s signals and instructions, the unit-specific opportunity cost of a generation resource shall be determined for each Real-time Settlement Interval that the Office of the Interconnection requires a generation resource to provide Non-Synchronized Reserve and shall be in accordance with the following equation:
\[(A \times B) - C\]

Where:
- \(A\) = The deviation of the generation resource’s output necessary to follow the Office of the Interconnection’s signals and instructions from the generation resource’s expected output level if it had been dispatched in economic merit order;
- \(B\) = The Locational Marginal Price at the generation bus for the generation resource; and
- \(C\) = The applicable offer for energy from the generation resource in the PJM Interchange Energy Market.

(f) Any amounts credited for Non-Synchronized Reserve in a Real-time Settlement Interval in excess of the Non-Synchronized Reserve Market Clearing Price in that Real-time Settlement Interval shall be allocated and charged to each Market Participant that does not meet its hourly Non-Synchronized Reserve Obligation in proportion to its purchases of Non-Synchronized Reserve in megawatt-hours during that hour.

(g) The magnitude of response to a Non-Synchronized Reserve Event by a generation resource is the difference between the generation resource’s output at the start of the event and its output 10 minutes after the start of the event. In order to allow for small fluctuations and possible telemetry delays, generation resource output at the start of the event is defined as the lowest telemetered generator resource output between one minute prior to and one minute following the start of the event. Similarly, a generation resource’s output 10 minutes after the start of the event is defined as the greatest generator resource output achieved between 9 and 11 minutes after the start of the event. The response actually credited to a generation resource will be reduced by the amount the megawatt output of the generation resource falls below the level achieved after 10 minutes by either the end of the event or after 30 minutes from the start of the event, whichever is shorter.

(h) In the event a generation resource that has been assigned by the Office of the Interconnection to provide Non-Synchronized Reserve fails to provide the assigned amount of Non-Synchronized Reserve in response to a Non-Synchronized Reserve Event, the resource will be credited for Non-Synchronized Reserve capacity in the amount that actually responded for the contiguous Real-time Settlement Interval the resource was assigned Non-Synchronized Reserve during which the event occurred.

3.2.3A.01 Day-ahead Scheduling Reserves.

(a) The Office of the Interconnection shall satisfy the Day-ahead Scheduling Reserves Requirement by procuring Day-ahead Scheduling Reserves in the Day-ahead Scheduling Reserves Market from Day-ahead Scheduling Reserves Resources, provided that Demand Resources shall be limited to providing the lesser of any limit established by the Reliability First Corporation or SERC, as applicable, or twenty-five percent of the total Day-ahead Scheduling Reserves Requirement. Day-ahead Scheduling Reserves Resources that clear
in the Day-ahead Scheduling Reserves Market shall receive a Day-ahead Scheduling Reserves schedule from the Office of the Interconnection for the relevant Operating Day. PJMSettlement shall be the Counterparty to the purchases and sales of Day-ahead Scheduling Reserves in the PJM Interchange Energy Market; provided that PJMSettlement shall not be a contracting party to bilateral transactions between Market Participants or with respect to a self-schedule or self-supply of generation resources by a Market Buyer to satisfy its Day-ahead Scheduling Reserves Requirement.

(b) (i) A Day-ahead Scheduling Reserves Resource that receives a Day-ahead Scheduling Reserves schedule pursuant to subsection (a) of this section shall be paid the hourly Day-ahead Scheduling Reserves Market clearing price, as determined in the day-ahead pricing run set forth in Operating Agreement, Schedule 1, section 2.6, for the cleared megawatt quantity of Day-ahead Scheduling Reserves in each hour of the schedule, subject to meeting the requirements of subsection (c) of this section.

(ii) A Day-ahead Scheduling Reserves Resource shall receive Day-ahead Scheduling Reserve Lost Opportunity Cost credits for each hour in which the dollar amount due to the resource under subsection (b)(i) above is less than the sum of (A) Day-ahead Scheduling Reserve price offer multiplied by the cleared megawatt quantity of Day-ahead Scheduling Reserves and (B) the resource’s Day-ahead Scheduling Reserve Lost Opportunity Cost, as determined in subsection (b)(iii) below. Day-ahead Scheduling Reserve Lost Opportunity Cost credits shall equal any positive difference in the foregoing equation.

(iii) The Day-ahead Scheduling Reserve Lost Opportunity Cost of a resource shall be determined for each operating hour that the Office of the Interconnection requires a resource to provide Day-ahead Scheduling Reserves and shall be in accordance with the following equation:

\[(A \times B) - C\]

Where:

A = The Day-ahead Price at the generation bus of the generation resource;

B = The deviation of the resource’s day-ahead scheduled energy megawatts from the resource’s expected energy output if it had been assigned in economic merit order in the dispatch run to provide energy; and

C = The Day-ahead Energy Market offer integrated under the applicable energy offer curve between the resource’s day-ahead scheduled energy megawatts and the resource’s expected energy output if it had been assigned in economic merit order in the dispatch run to provide energy.

The Day-ahead Scheduling Reserve Lost Opportunity Cost of an Economic Load Response Participant resource is zero.
To be eligible for payment pursuant to subsection (b) of this section, Day-ahead Scheduling Reserves Resources shall comply with the following provisions:

(i) Generation resources with a start time greater than thirty minutes are required to be synchronized and operating at the direction of the Office of the Interconnection during the resource’s Day-ahead Scheduling Reserves schedule and shall have a dispatchable range equal to or greater than the Day-ahead Scheduling Reserves schedule.

(ii) Generation resources and Demand Resources with start times or shut-down times, respectively, equal to or less than 30 minutes are required to respond to dispatch directives from the Office of the Interconnection during the resource’s Day-ahead Scheduling Reserves schedule. To meet this requirement the resource shall be required to start or shut down within the specified notification time plus its start or shut down time, provided that such time shall be less than thirty minutes.

(iii) Demand Resources with a Day-ahead Scheduling Reserves schedule shall be credited based on the difference between the resource’s MW consumption at the time the resource is directed by the Office of the Interconnection to reduce its load (starting MW usage) and the resource’s MW consumption at the time when the Demand Resource is no longer dispatched by PJM (ending MW usage). For the purposes of this subsection, a resource’s starting MW usage shall be the greatest telemetered consumption between one minute prior to and one minute following the issuance of a dispatch instruction from the Office of the Interconnection, and a resource’s ending MW usage shall be the lowest consumption between one minute before and one minute after a dispatch instruction from the Office of the Interconnection that is no longer necessary to reduce.

(iv) Notwithstanding subsection (iii) above, the credit for a Batch Load Demand Resource that is at the stage in its production cycle when its energy consumption is less than the level of megawatts in its offer at the time the resource is directed by the Office of the Interconnection to reduce its load shall be the difference between (i) the “ending MW usage” (as defined above) and (ii) the Batch Load Demand Resource’s consumption during the minute within the ten minutes after the time of the “ending MW usage” in which the Batch Load Demand Resource’s consumption was highest and for which its consumption in all subsequent minutes within the ten minutes was not less than fifty percent of the consumption in such minute; provided that, the credit shall be zero if, at the time the resource is directed by the Office of the Interconnection to reduce its load, the scheduled off-cycle stage of the production cycle is greater than the timeframe for which the resource was dispatched by PJM.

Resources that do not comply with the provisions of this subsection (c) shall not be eligible to receive credits pursuant to subsection (b) of this section.

(d) The hourly credits paid to Day-ahead Scheduling Reserves Resources satisfying the Base Day-ahead Scheduling Reserves Requirement (“Base Day-ahead Scheduling Reserves credits”) shall equal the ratio of the Base Day-ahead Scheduling Reserves Requirement to the Day-ahead
Scheduling Reserves Requirement, multiplied by the total credits paid to Day-ahead Scheduling Reserves Resources, and are allocated as Base Day-ahead Scheduling Reserves charges per paragraph (i) below. The hourly credits paid to Day-ahead Scheduling Reserve Resources satisfying the Additional Day-ahead Scheduling Reserve Requirement (“Additional Day-ahead Scheduling Reserves credits”) shall equal the ratio of the Additional Day-ahead Scheduling Reserves Requirement to the Day-ahead Scheduling Reserves Requirement, multiplied by the total credits paid to Day-ahead Scheduling Reserves Resources and are allocated as Additional Day-ahead Scheduling Reserves charges per paragraph (ii) below.

(i) A Market Participant’s Base Day-ahead Scheduling Reserves charge is equal to the ratio of the Market Participant’s hourly obligation to the total hourly obligation of all Market Participants in the PJM Region, multiplied by the Base Day-ahead Scheduling Reserves credits. The hourly obligation for each Market Participant is a megawatt representation of the portion of the Base Day-ahead Scheduling Reserves credits that the Market Participant is responsible for paying to PJM. The hourly obligation is equal to the Market Participant’s load ratio share of the total megawatt volume of Base Day-ahead Scheduling Reserves resources (described below), based on the Market Participant’s total hourly load (net of operating Behind The Meter Generation, but not to be less than zero) to the total hourly load of all Market Participants in the PJM Region. The total megawatt volume of Base Day-ahead Scheduling Reserves resources equals the ratio of the Base Day-ahead Scheduling Reserves Requirement to the Day-ahead Scheduling Reserves Requirement multiplied by the total volume of Day-ahead Scheduling Reserves megawatts paid pursuant to paragraph (c) of this section. A Market Participant’s hourly Day-ahead Scheduling Reserves obligation can be further adjusted by any Day-ahead Scheduling Reserve bilateral transactions.

(ii) Additional Day-ahead Scheduling Reserves credits shall be charged hourly to Market Participants that are net purchasers in the Day-ahead Energy Market based on its positive demand difference ratio share. The positive demand difference for each Market Participant is the difference between its real-time load (net of operating Behind The Meter Generation, but not to be less than zero) and cleared Demand Bids in the Day-ahead Energy Market, net of cleared Increment Offers and cleared Decrement Bids in the Day-ahead Energy Market, when such value is positive. Net purchasers in the Day-ahead Energy Market are those Market Participants that have cleared Demand Bids plus cleared Decrement Bids in excess of its amount of cleared Increment Offers in the Day-ahead Energy Market. If there are no Market Participants with a positive demand difference, the Additional Day-ahead Scheduling Reserves charges are allocated according to paragraph (i) above.

(e) If the Day-ahead Scheduling Reserves Requirement is not satisfied through the operation of subsection (a) of this section, any additional Operating Reserves required to meet the requirement shall be scheduled by the Office of the Interconnection pursuant to Section 3.2.3 of Schedule 1 of this Agreement.

3.2.3B Reactive Services.
(a) A Market Seller providing Reactive Services at the direction of the Office of the Interconnection shall be credited as specified below for the operation of its resource. These provisions are intended to provide payments to generating units when the LMP dispatch algorithms would not result in the dispatch needed for the required reactive service. LMP will be used to compensate generators that are subject to redispatch for reactive transfer limits.

(b) At the end of each Operating Day, where the active energy output of a Market Seller’s resource is reduced or suspended at the request of the Office of the Interconnection for the purpose of maintaining reactive reliability within the PJM Region, the Market Seller shall be credited according to Sections 3.2.3B(c) & 3.2.3B(d).

(c) A Market Seller providing Reactive Services from either a steam-electric generating unit or combined cycle unit operating in combined cycle mode, where such unit is pool-scheduled (or self-scheduled, if operating according to Section 1.10.3 (c) hereof), and where the real time LMP at the unit’s bus is higher than the price offered by the Market Seller for energy from the unit at the level of output requested by the Office of the Interconnection (as indicated either by the desired MWs of output from the unit determined by PJM’s unit dispatch system or as directed by the PJM dispatcher through a manual override) shall be compensated for lost opportunity cost by receiving a credit in an amount equal to the product of (A) the deviation of the generating unit’s output necessary to follow the Office of the Interconnection’s signals and the generating unit’s expected output level if it had been dispatched in economic merit order, times (B) the Real-time Price at the generation bus for the generating unit, minus (C) the Total Lost Opportunity Cost Offer, provided that the resulting outcome is greater than $0.00. This equation is represented as (A*B) - C.

(d) A Market Seller providing Reactive Services from either a combustion turbine unit or combined cycle unit operating in simple cycle mode that is pool-scheduled (or self-scheduled, if operating according to Section 1.10.3 (c) hereof), operated as requested by the Office of the Interconnection, shall be compensated for lost opportunity cost, limited to the lesser of the unit’s Economic Maximum or the unit’s Generation Resource Maximum Output, if the unit output is reduced at the direction of the Office of the Interconnection and the real time LMP at the unit’s bus is higher than the price offered by the Market Seller for energy from the unit at the level of output requested by the Office of the Interconnection as directed by the PJM dispatcher, then the Market Seller shall be credited in a manner consistent with that described above in Section 3.2.3B(c) for a steam unit or a combined cycle unit operating in combined cycle mode.

(e) At the end of each Operating Day, where the active energy output of a Market Seller’s unit is increased at the request of the Office of the Interconnection for the purpose of maintaining reactive reliability within the PJM Region and the offered price of the energy is above the real-time LMP at the unit’s bus, the Market Seller shall be credited according to Section 3.2.3B(f).

(f) A Market Seller providing Reactive Services from either a steam-electric generating unit, combined cycle unit or combustion turbine unit, where such unit is pool
scheduled (or self-scheduled, if operating according to Section 1.10.3 (c) hereof), and where the real time LMP at the unit’s bus is lower than the price offered by the Market Seller for energy from the unit at the level of output requested by the Office of the Interconnection (as indicated either by the desired MWs of output from the unit determined by PJM’s unit dispatch system or as directed by the PJM dispatcher through a manual override), shall receive a credit hourly in an amount equal to \{(AG - LMPDMW) \times (UB - URTLMP)\} where:

AG equals the actual output of the unit;

LMPDMW equals the level of output for the unit determined according to the point on the scheduled offer curve on which the unit was operating corresponding to the real time LMP at the unit’s bus and adjusted for any Regulation or Tier 2 Synchronized Reserve assignments;

UB equals the unit offer for that unit for which output is increased, determined according to the lesser of the Final Offer or Committed Offer;

URTLMP equals the real time LMP at the unit’s bus; and

where UB - URTLMP shall not be negative.

(g) A Market Seller providing Reactive Services from a hydroelectric resource where such resource is pool scheduled (or self-scheduled, if operating according to Section 1.10.3 (c) hereof), and where the output of such resource is altered from the schedule submitted by the Market Seller for the purpose of maintaining reactive reliability at the request of the Office of the Interconnection, shall be compensated for lost opportunity cost in the same manner as provided in sections 3.2.2(d) and 3.2.3A(f) and further detailed in the PJM Manuals.

(h) If a Market Seller believes that, due to specific pre-existing binding commitments to which it is a party, and that properly should be recognized for purposes of this section, the above calculations do not accurately compensate the Market Seller for lost opportunity cost associated with following the Office of the Interconnection’s dispatch instructions to reduce or suspend a unit’s output for the purpose of maintaining reactive reliability, then the Office of the Interconnection, the Market Monitoring Unit and the individual Market Seller will discuss a mutually acceptable, modified amount of such alternate lost opportunity cost compensation, taking into account the specific circumstances binding on the Market Seller. Following such discussion, if the Office of the Interconnection accepts a modified amount of alternate lost opportunity cost compensation, the Office of the Interconnection shall invoice the Market Participant accordingly. If the Market Monitoring Unit disagrees with the modified amount of alternate lost opportunity cost compensation, as accepted by the Office of the Interconnection, it will exercise its powers to inform the Commission staff of its concerns.

(i) The amount of Synchronized Reserve provided by generating units maintaining reactive reliability shall be counted as Synchronized Reserve satisfying the overall PJM Synchronized Reserve requirements. Operators of these generating units shall be notified of such provision, and to the extent a generating unit’s operator indicates that the generating unit is
capable of providing Synchronized Reserve, shall be subject to the same requirements contained in Section 3.2.3A regarding provision of Tier 2 Synchronized Reserve. At the end of each Operating Day, to the extent a condenser operated to provide Reactive Services also provided Synchronized Reserve, a Market Seller shall be credited for providing synchronous condensing for the purpose of maintaining reactive reliability at the request of the Office of the Interconnection, in an amount equal to the higher of (i) the Synchronized Reserve Market Clearing Price for each Real-time Settlement Interval a generating unit provided synchronous condensing multiplied by the amount of Synchronized reserve provided by the synchronous condenser or (ii) the sum of (A) the generating unit’s cost to provide synchronous condensing, calculated in accordance with the PJM Manuals, (B) the product of MW energy usage for providing synchronous condensing multiplied by the real time LMP at the generating unit’s bus, (C) the generating unit’s startup-cost of providing synchronous condensing, and (D) the unit-specific lost opportunity cost of the generating resource supplying the increment of Synchronized Reserve as determined by the Office of the Interconnection in accordance with procedures specified in the PJM Manuals. To the extent a condenser operated to provide Reactive Services was not also providing Synchronized Reserve, the Market Seller shall be credited only for the generating unit’s cost to condense, as described in (ii) above. The total Synchronized Reserve Obligations of all Load Serving Entities under section 3.2.3A(a) in the zone where these condensers are located shall be reduced by the amount counted as satisfying the PJM Synchronized Reserve requirements. The Synchronized Reserve Obligation of each Load Serving Entity in the zone under section 3.2.3A(a) shall be reduced to the same extent that the costs of such condensers counted as Synchronized Reserve are allocated to such Load Serving Entity pursuant to subsection (l) below.

(j) A Market Seller’s pool scheduled steam-electric generating unit or combined cycle unit operating in combined cycle mode, that is not committed to operate in the Day-ahead Market, but that is directed by the Office of the Interconnection to operate solely for the purpose of maintaining reactive reliability, at the request of the Office of the Interconnection, shall be credited in the amount of the unit’s offered price for start-up and no-load fees. The unit also shall receive, if applicable, compensation in accordance with Sections 3.2.3B(e)-(f).

(k) The sum of the foregoing credits as specified in Sections 3.2.3B(b)-(j) shall be the cost of Reactive Services for the purpose of maintaining reactive reliability for the Operating Day and shall be separately determined for each transmission zone in the PJM Region based on whether the resource was dispatched for the purpose of maintaining reactive reliability in such transmission zone.

(l) The cost of Reactive Services for the purpose of maintaining reactive reliability in a transmission zone in the PJM Region for each Operating Day shall be allocated and charged to each Market Participant in proportion to its deliveries of energy to load (net of operating Behind The Meter Generation) in such transmission zone, served under Network Transmission Service, in megawatt-hours during that Operating Day, as compared to all such deliveries for all Market Participants in such transmission zone.

(m) Generating units receiving dispatch instructions from the Office of the Interconnection under the expectation of increased actual or reserve reactive shall inform the
Office of the Interconnection dispatcher if the requested reactive capability is not achievable. Should the operator of a unit receiving such instructions realize at any time during which said instruction is effective that the unit is not, or likely would not be able to, provide the requested amount of reactive support, the operator shall as soon as practicable inform the Office of the Interconnection dispatcher of the unit’s inability, or expected inability, to provide the required reactive support, so that the associated dispatch instruction may be cancelled. PJM Performance Compliance personnel will audit operations after-the-fact to determine whether a unit that has altered its active power output at the request of the Office of the Interconnection has provided the actual reactive support or the reactive reserve capability requested by the Office of the Interconnection. PJM shall utilize data including, but not limited to, historical reactive performance and stated reactive capability curves in order to make this determination, and may withhold such compensation as described above if reactive support as requested by the Office of the Interconnection was not or could not have been provided.

3.2.3C Synchronous Condensing for Post-Contingency Operation.

(a) Under normal circumstances, PJM operates generation out of merit order to control contingency overloads when the flow on the monitored element for loss of the contingent element (“contingency flow”) exceeds the long-term emergency rating for that facility, typically a 4-hour or 2-hour rating. At times however, and under certain, specific system conditions, PJM does not operate generation out of merit order for certain contingency overloads until the contingency flow on the monitored element exceeds the 30-minute rating for that facility (“post-contingency operation”). In conjunction with such operation, when the contingency flow on such element exceeds the long-term emergency rating, PJM operates synchronous condensers in the areas affected by such constraints, to the extent they are available, to provide greater certainty that such resources will be capable of producing energy in sufficient time to reduce the flow on the monitored element below the normal rating should such contingency occur.

(b) The amount of Synchronized Reserve provided by synchronous condensers associated with post-contingency operation shall be counted as Synchronized Reserve satisfying the PJM Synchronized Reserve requirements. Operators of these generation units shall be notified of such provision, and to the extent a generation unit’s operator indicates that the generation unit is capable of providing Synchronized Reserve, shall be subject to the same requirements contained in Section 3.2.3A regarding provision of Tier 2 Synchronized Reserve. At the end of each Operating Day, to the extent a condenser operated in conjunction with post-contingency operation also provided Synchronized Reserve, a Market Seller shall be credited for providing synchronous condensing in conjunction with post-contingency operation at the request of the Office of the Interconnection, in an amount equal to the higher of (i) the Synchronized Reserve Market Clearing Price for each applicable interval a generation resource provided synchronous condensing multiplied by the amount of Synchronized Reserve provided by the synchronous condenser or (ii) the sum of (A) the generation resource’s applicable interval cost to provide synchronous condensing, calculated in accordance with the PJM Manuals, (B) the applicable interval product of the megawatts of energy used to provide synchronous condensing multiplied by the real-time LMP at the generation bus of the generation resource, (C) the generation resource’s start-up cost of providing synchronous condensing, and (D) the unit-specific lost opportunity cost of the generation resource supplying the increment of Synchronized
Reserve as determined by the Office of the Interconnection in accordance with procedures specified in the PJM Manuals. To the extent a condenser operated in association with post-contingency constraint control was not also providing Synchronized Reserve, the Market Seller shall be credited only for the generation unit’s cost to condense, as described in (ii) above. The total Synchronized Reserve Obligations of all Load Serving Entities under section 3.2.3A(a) in the zone where these condensers are located shall be reduced by the amount counted as satisfying the PJM Synchronized Reserve requirements. The Synchronized Reserve Obligation of each Load Serving Entity in the zone under section 3.2.3A(a) shall be reduced to the same extent that the costs of such condensers counted as Synchronized Reserve are allocated to such Load Serving Entity pursuant to subsection (d) below.

(c) The sum of the foregoing credits as specified in section 3.2.3C(b) shall be the cost of synchronous condensers associated with post-contingency operations for the Operating Day and shall be separately determined for each transmission zone in the PJM Region based on whether the resource was dispatched in association with post-contingency operation in such transmission zone.

(d) The cost of synchronous condensers associated with post-contingency operations in a transmission zone in the PJM Region for each Operating Day shall be allocated and charged to each Market Participant in proportion to its deliveries of energy to load (net of operating Behind The Meter Generation) in such transmission zone, served under Network Transmission Service, in megawatt-hours during that Operating Day, as compared to all such deliveries for all Market Participants in such transmission zone.

3.2.4 Transmission Congestion Charges.

Each Market Buyer shall be assessed Transmission Congestion Charges as specified in Section 5 of this Schedule.

3.2.5 Transmission Loss Charges.

Each Market Buyer shall be assessed Transmission Loss Charges as specified in Section 5 of this Schedule.

3.2.6 Emergency Energy.

(a) When the Office of the Interconnection has implemented Emergency procedures, resources offering Emergency energy are eligible to set real-time Locational Marginal Prices, capped at the energy offer cap plus the sum of the applicable Reserve Penalty Factors for the Synchronized Reserve Requirement and Primary Reserve Requirement, provided that the Emergency energy is needed to meet demand in the PJM Region.

(b) Market Participants shall be allocated a proportionate share of the net cost of Emergency energy purchased by the Office of the Interconnection. Such allocated share during each applicable interval of such Emergency energy purchase shall be in proportion to the amount of each Market Participant’s real-time deviation from its net withdrawals and injections in the Day-
ahead Energy Market, whenever that deviation increases the Market Participant’s spot market purchases or decreases its spot market sales. This deviation shall not include any reduction or suspension of output of pool scheduled resources requested by PJM to manage an Emergency within the PJM Region.

(c) Net revenues in excess of Real-time Prices attributable to sales of energy in connection with Emergencies to other Control Areas shall be credited to Market Participants during each applicable interval of such Emergency energy sale in proportion to the sum of (i) each Market Participant’s real-time deviation from its net withdrawals and injections in the Day-ahead Energy Market, whenever that deviation increases the Market Participant’s spot market purchases or decreases its spot market sales, and (ii) each Market Participant’s energy sales from within the PJM Region to entities outside the PJM Region that have been curtailed by PJM.

(d) The net costs or net revenues associated with sales or purchases of energy in connection with a Minimum Generation Emergency in the PJM Region, or in another Control Area, shall be allocated during each applicable interval of such Emergency sale or purchase to each Market Participant in proportion to the amount of each Market Participant’s real-time deviation from its net withdrawals and injections in the Day-ahead Market, whenever that deviation increases the Market Participant’s spot market sales or decreases its spot market purchases.

3.2.7 Billing.

(a) PJMSettlement shall prepare a billing statement each billing cycle for each Market Participant in accordance with the charges and credits specified in Sections 3.2.1 through 3.2.6 of this Schedule, and showing the net amount to be paid or received by the Market Participant. Billing statements shall provide sufficient detail, as specified in the PJM Manuals, to allow verification of the billing amounts and completion of the Market Participant’s internal accounting.

(b) If deliveries to a Market Participant that has PJM Interchange meters in accordance with Section 14 of the Operating Agreement include amounts delivered for a Market Participant that does not have PJM Interchange meters separate from those of the metered Market Participant, PJMSettlement shall prepare a separate billing statement for the unmetered Market Participant based on the allocation of deliveries agreed upon between the Market Participant and the unmetered Market Participant specified by them to the Office of the Interconnection.
6.4 Offer Price Caps.

6.4.1 Applicability.

(a) If, at any time, it is determined by the Office of the Interconnection in accordance with Sections 1.10.8 or 6.1 of this Schedule that any generation resource may be dispatched out of economic merit order to maintain system reliability as a result of limits on transmission capability, the offer prices for energy from such resource shall be capped as specified below. For such generation resources committed in the Day-ahead Energy Market, if the Office of the Interconnection is able to do so, such offer prices shall be capped for the entire commitment period, and such offer prices will be capped at a cost-based offer in accordance with section 6.4.2 and committed at the market-based offer or cost-based offer which results in the lowest overall system production cost. For such generation resources committed in the Real-time Energy Market such offer prices shall be capped at a cost-based offer in accordance with section 6.4.2 and dispatched on the market-based offer or cost-based offer which results in the lowest dispatch cost in accordance with 6.4.1(g) until the earlier of: (i) the resource is released from its commitment by the Office of the Interconnection; (ii) the end of the Operating Day; or (iii) the start of the generation resource’s next pre-existing commitment.

The offer on which a resource is committed shall initially be determined at the time of the commitment. If any of the resource’s Incremental Energy Offer, No-load Cost or Start-Up Cost are updated for any portion of the offer capped hours subsequent to commitment, the Office of the Interconnection will redetermine the level of the offer cap using the updated offer values. The Office of the Interconnection will dispatch the resource on the market-based offer or cost-based offer which results in the lowest dispatch cost as determined in accordance with section 6.4.1(g).

Resources that are self-scheduled to run in either the Day-ahead Energy Market or in the Real-time Energy Market are subject to the provisions of this section 6.4. The offer on which a resource is dispatched shall be used to determine any Locational Marginal Price affected by the offer price of such resource and as further limited as described in Operating Agreement, Schedule 1, section 2.4 and Operating Agreement, Schedule 1, section 2.4A.

In accordance with section 6.4.1(h), a generation resource that is offer capped in the Real-time Energy Market but released from its commitment by the Office of the Interconnection will be subject to the three pivotal supplier test and further offer capping, as applicable, if the resource is committed for a period later in the same Operating Day.

(b) The energy offer price by any generation resource requested to be dispatched in accordance with Section 6.3 of this Schedule shall be capped at the levels specified in Section 6.4.2 of this Schedule. If the Office of the Interconnection is able to do so, such offer prices shall be capped only during each hour when the affected resource is so scheduled, and otherwise shall be capped for the entire Operating Day. Energy offer prices as capped shall be used to determine any Locational Marginal Price affected by the price of such resource.

(c) Generation resources subject to an offer price cap shall be paid for energy at the applicable Locational Marginal Price.
(e) Offer price caps under section 6.4 of this Schedule shall be suspended for a generation resource with respect to transmission limit(s) for any period in which a generation resource is committed by the Office of the Interconnection for the Operating Day or any period for which the generation resource has been self-scheduled where (1) there are not three or fewer generation suppliers available for redispatch under subsection (a) that are jointly pivotal with respect to such transmission limit(s), and (2) the Market Seller of the generation resource, when combined with the two largest other generation suppliers, is not pivotal (“three pivotal supplier test”). In the event the Office of the Interconnection system is unable to perform the three pivotal supplier test for a Market Seller, generation resources of that Market Seller that are dispatched to control transmission constraints will be dispatched on the resource’s market-based offer or cost-based offer which results in the lowest dispatch cost as determined in accordance with section 6.4.1(g).

(f) For the purposes of conducting the three pivotal supplier test in subsection (e), the following applies:

(i) All megawatts of available incremental supply, including available self-scheduled supply for which the power distribution factor ("dfax") has an absolute value equal to or greater than the dfax used by the Office of the Interconnection’s system operators when evaluating the impact of generation with respect to the constraint ("effective megawatts") will be included in the available supply analysis at costs equal to the cost-based offers of the available incremental supply adjusted for dfax ("effective costs"). The Office of the Interconnection will post on the PJM website the dfax value used by operators with respect to a constraint when it varies from three percent.

(ii) The three pivotal supplier test will include in the definition of the relevant market incremental supply up to and including all such supply available at an effective cost equal to 150% of the cost-based clearing price calculated using effective costs and effective megawatts and the need for megawatts to solve the constraint.

(iii) Offer price caps will apply on a generation supplier basis (i.e. not a generating unit by generating unit basis) and only the generation suppliers that fail the three pivotal supplier test with respect to any hour in the relevant period will have their units that are dispatched with respect to the constraint offer capped. A generation supplier for the purposes of this section includes corporate affiliates. Supply controlled by a generation supplier or its affiliates by contract with unaffiliated third parties or otherwise will be included as supply of that generation supplier; supply owned by a generation supplier but controlled by an unaffiliated third party by contract or otherwise will be included as supply of that third party.
A generation supplier’s units, including self-scheduled units, are offer capped if, when combined with the two largest other generation suppliers, the generation supplier is pivotal.

(iv) In the Day-ahead Energy Market, the Office of the Interconnection shall include price sensitive demand, Increment Offers and Decrement Bids as demand or supply, as applicable, in the relevant market.

(v) The three pivotal supplier test is not executed in the pricing run (as such pricing run is described in Operating Agreement, Schedule 1, section 2.5 and Operating Agreement, Schedule 1, section 2.6).

(g) In the Real-time Energy Market, the schedule on which offer capped resources will be placed shall be determined using dispatch cost, where dispatch cost is calculated pursuant to the following formulas:

\[
\text{Dispatch cost for the applicable hour} = (\text{Incremental Energy Offer @ Economic Minimum for the hour [$/MWh]} \times \text{Economic Minimum for the hour [MW]} + \text{No-load Cost for the hour [$/H]})
\]

(i) For resources committed in the Real-time Energy Market, the resource is committed on the offer with the lowest Total Dispatch cost at the time of commitment,

where:

\[
\text{Total Dispatch cost} = \text{Sum of hourly dispatch cost over a resource’s minimum run time [\$]} + \text{Start-Up Cost [\$]}
\]

(ii) For resources operating in real-time pursuant to a day-ahead or real-time commitment, and whose offers are updated after commitment, the resource is dispatched on the offer with the lowest dispatch cost for the each of the updated hours.

(iii) However, once the resource is dispatched on a cost-based offer, it will remain on a cost-based offer regardless of the determination of the cheapest schedule.

(h) A generation resource that was committed in the Day-ahead Energy Market or Real-time Energy Market, is operating in real time, and may be dispatched out of economic merit order to maintain system reliability as a result of limits on transmission capability, will be offer price capped, subject to the outcome of a three pivotal supplier test, for each hour the resource operates beyond its committed hours or Minimum Run Time, whichever is greater, or in the case of resources self-scheduled in the Real-time Energy Market, for each hour the resource operates beyond its first hour of operation, in accordance with the following provisions.
(i) If the resource is operating on a cost-based offer, it will remain on a cost-based offer regardless of the results of the three pivotal supplier test.

(ii) If the resource is operating on a market-based offer and the Market Seller fails the three pivotal supplier test then the resource will be dispatched on the cheaper of its market-based offer or the cost-based offer representing the offer cap as determined by section 6.4.2, whichever results in the lowest dispatch cost as determined under section 6.4.1(g).

(iii) If the Market Seller passes the three pivotal supplier test and the resource is currently operating on a market-based offer then the resource will remain on that offer, unless the Market Seller elects to not have its market-based offer considered for dispatch and to have only the cost-based offer that represents the offer cap level as determined under section 6.4.2 considered for dispatch in which case the resource will be dispatched on its cost-based offer for the remainder of the Operating Day.

6.4.2 Level.

(a) The offer price cap shall be one of the amounts specified below, as specified in advance by the Market Seller for the affected unit:

(i) The weighted average Locational Marginal Price at the generation bus at which energy from the capped resource was delivered during a specified number of hours during which the resource was dispatched for energy in economic merit order, the specified number of hours to be determined by the Office of the Interconnection and to be a number of hours sufficient to result in an offer price cap that reflects reasonably contemporaneous competitive market conditions for that unit;

(ii) For offers of $2,000/MWh or less, the incremental operating cost of the generation resource as determined in accordance with Schedule 2 of the Operating Agreement and the PJM Manuals (“incremental cost”), plus up to the lesser of 10% of such costs or $100 MWh, the sum of which shall not exceed $2,000/MWh; and, for offers greater than $2,000/MWh, the incremental cost of the generation resource;

(iii) For units that are frequently offer capped (“Frequently Mitigated Unit” or “FMU”), and for which the unit’s market-based offer was greater than its cost based offer, the following shall apply:

(a) For units that are offer capped for 60% or more of their run hours, but less than 70% of their run hours, the offer price cap will be the greater of either (i) incremental cost plus 10% or (ii) incremental cost plus $20 per megawatt-hour;
(b) For units that are offer capped for 70% or more of their run hours, but less than 80% of their run hours, the offer price cap will be the greater of either (i) incremental cost plus 10%, or (ii) incremental cost plus $30 per megawatt-hour;

(c) For units that are offer capped for 80% or more of their run hours, the offer price cap will be the greater of either (i) incremental costs plus 10%; or (ii) incremental cost plus $40 per megawatt-hour.

(b) For purposes of section 6.4.2(a)(iii), a generating unit shall qualify for the specified offer cap upon issuance of written notice from the Market Monitoring Unit, pursuant to Section II.A of the Attachment M-Appendix, that it is a “Frequently Mitigated Unit” because it meets all of the following criteria:

(i) The unit was offer capped for the applicable percentage of its run hours, determined on a rolling 12-month basis, effective with a one month lag.

(ii) The unit’s Projected PJM Market Revenues plus the unit’s PJM capacity market revenues on a rolling 12-month basis, divided by the unit’s MW of installed capacity (in $/MW-year) are less than its accepted unit specific Avoidable Cost Rate (in $/MW-year) (excluding APIR and ARPIR), or its default Avoidable Cost Rate (in $/MW-year) if no unit-specific Avoidable Cost Rate is accepted for the BRAs for the Delivery Years included in the rolling 12-month period, determined pursuant to Sections 6.7 and 6.8 of Attachment DD of the Tariff. (The relevant Avoidable Cost Rate is the weighted average of the Avoidable Cost Rates for each Delivery Year included in the rolling 12-month period, weighted by month.)

(iii) No portion of the unit is included in a FRR Capacity Plan or receiving compensation under Part V of the Tariff:

(iv) The unit is internal to the PJM Region and subject only to PJM dispatch.

(c) Any generating unit, without regard to ownership, located at the same site as a Frequently Mitigated Unit qualifying under Sections 6.4.2(a)(iii) shall become an “Associated Unit” upon issuance of written notice from the Market Monitoring Unit pursuant to Section II.A of Attachment M-Appendix, that it meets all of the following criteria:

1. The unit has the identical electric impact on the transmission system as the FMU;

2. The unit (i) belongs to the same design class (where a design class includes generation that is the same size and utilizes the same technology, without regard to manufacturer) and uses the identical primary fuel as the FMU or (ii) is regularly dispatched by PJM as a substitute for the FMU based on differences in cost that result from the currently applicable FMU adder;
3. The unit (i) has an average daily cost-based offer, as measured over the preceding 12-month period, that is less than or equal to the FMU’s average daily cost-based offer adjusted to include the currently applicable FMU adder or (ii) is regularly dispatched by PJM as a substitute for the FMU based on differences in cost that result from the currently applicable FMU adder.

The offer cap for an associated unit shall be equal to the incremental operating cost of such unit, as determined in accordance with Schedule 2 of the Operating Agreement and the PJM Manuals, plus the applicable percentage adder or dollar per megawatt-hour adder as specified in Section 6.4.2(a)(iii)(a), (b), or (c) for the unit with which it is associated.

(d) Market Participants shall have exclusive responsibility for preparing and submitting their offers on the basis of accurate information and in compliance with the FERC Market Rules, inclusive of the level of any applicable offer cap, and in no event shall PJM be held liable for the consequences of or make any retroactive adjustment to any clearing price on the basis of any offer submitted on the basis of inaccurate or non-compliant information.

6.4.3 Verification of Cost-Based Offers Over $1,000/Megawatt-hour

(a) If a Market Seller submits a cost-based energy offer for a generation resource that includes an Incremental Energy Offer greater than $1,000/megawatt-hour, then, in order for that offer to be eligible to set the applicable Locational Marginal Price as described in Operating Agreement, Schedule 1, section 2.5 (for determining Real-time Prices) and Operating Agreement Schedule 1, section 2.6 (for determining Day-ahead Prices), the Office of the Interconnection shall apply a formulaic screen to verify the reasonableness of the Incremental Energy Offer component of such cost-based offer. For each Incremental Energy Offer segment greater than $1,000/megawatt-hour, the Office of the Interconnection shall evaluate whether such offer segment exceeds the reasonably expected costs for that generation resource by determining the Maximum Allowable Incremental Cost for each segment in accordance with the following formula:

Maximum Allowable Incremental Cost ($/MWh segment in accordance with the following formula: @ MW) =
\[ \frac{[ ( \text{Maximum Allowable Operating Rate}_i ) - ( \text{Bid Production Cost} \_i-1) ]}{(\text{MW}_i - \text{MW}_{i-1})} \]

where

\[ i = \text{an offer segment within the Incremental Energy Offer, which is comprised of a pairing of price ($/MWh) and a megawatt quantity} \]

Maximum Allowable Operating Rate ($/hour @ MW) =
\[ [ ( \text{Heat Input}_i \@ \text{MW}_i ) \times ( \text{Performance Factor} \times \text{Fuel Cost} ) ] \times (1 + A) \]

where
Heat Input = a point on the heat input curve (in MMBtu/hr), determined in accordance with PJM Manual 15, describing the resource’s operational characteristics for converting the applicable fuel input (MMBtu) into energy (MWh) specified in the Incremental Energy Offer;

Performance Factor = a scaling factor that is a calculated ratio of actual fuel burn to either theoretical fuel burn (i.e., design Heat Input) or other current tested Heat Input, which is determined annually in accordance with the Market Seller’s PJM-approved Fuel Cost Policy, Operating Agreement, Schedule 2, and PJM Manual 15, reflecting the resource’s actual ability to convert fuel into energy (normal operation is 1.0);

Fuel Cost = applicable fuel cost as estimated by the Office of the Interconnection at a geographically appropriate commodity trading hub, plus 10 percent; and

A = Cost adder, in accordance with section 6.4.2(a)(ii) of this Schedule.

Bid Production Cost ($/hour @ MW) =
\[ \sum_{i=1}^{n}(MW_i - MW_{i-1}) \times (P_i) - \frac{1}{2} \times UBS \times (MW_i - MW_{i-1}) \times (P_i - P_{i-1}) \] + No-Load Cost

where

MW = the MW quantity per offer segment within the Incremental Energy Offer;

P = the price (in dollars per megawatt-hour) per offer segment within the Incremental Energy Offer;

UBS = Uses Bid-Slope = 0 for block-offer resources (i.e., a resource with an Incremental Energy Offer that uses a step function curve); and 1 for all other resources (i.e., resources with an Incremental Energy Offer that uses a sloped offer curve); and

If the price submitted for the offer segment is less than or equal to the Maximum Allowable Incremental Cost then that offer segment shall be deemed verified and is eligible to set the applicable Locational Marginal Price. If the price submitted for the offer segment is greater than the Maximum Allowable Incremental Cost, then the Market Seller’s cost-based offer for that segment and all segments at an equal or greater price are deemed not verified and are not eligible to set the applicable Locational Marginal Price and such offer shall be price capped at the greater of $1,000/megawatt-hour or the offer price of the most expensive verified segment on the Incremental Energy Offer for the purpose of setting Locational Marginal Prices; provided however, such Market Seller shall be allowed to submit a challenge to a non-verification determination, including supporting documentation, to the Office of the Interconnection in accordance with the procedures set forth in the PJM Manuals. Upon review of such documentation, the Office of the Interconnection may determine that the Market Seller’s cost-
The office is verified and eligible to set the applicable Locational Marginal Price as described above.

(i) For the first incremental segment (i=1), when the MW in the segment is greater than zero, the first segment shall be screened as a block-loaded segment (UBS=0) as if there was a preceding MW_i-1 of zero. The Maximum Allowable Incremental Cost calculation for the first incremental would use a preceding Bid Production Cost i-1 (at zero MW) equal to the energy No-Load Cost.

(ii) For the first incremental segment (i=1), when the MW in the segment is equal to zero, and is the only bid-in segment to be verified, then the segment shall be deemed not verified and subject to the rules as described above.

(iii) For the first incremental segment (i=1), when the MW in the segment is equal to zero, and there are additional segments to be verified, then the first segment shall be deemed verified only if the second segment is deemed verified. If the second segment is deemed not verified, then the first segment shall also be deemed not verified and subject to the rules as described above.

(b) If an Economic Load Response Participant a cost-based demand reduction offer that includes incremental costs greater than or equal to $1,000/megawatt-hour, in order for that offer to be eligible to determine the applicable Locational Marginal Price as described in Operating Agreement, Schedule 1, section 2.5 (for determining Real-time Prices) and Operating Agreement, Schedule 1, section 2.6 (for determining Day-ahead Prices), the Economic Load Response Participant must validate the incremental costs with the end use customer(s) and, upon request, submit to the Office of the Interconnection supporting documentation demonstrating that the end-use customer’s costs in providing such demand reduction are greater than $1,000/megawatt-hour in accordance with the following provisions:

(i) The supporting documentation must explain and support the quantification of the end-use customer’s incremental costs; and

(ii) The end-use customer’s incremental costs shall include quantifiable cost incurred for not consuming electricity when dispatched by the Office of the Interconnection, such as wages paid without production, lost sales, damaged products that cannot be sold, or other incremental costs as defined in the PJM Manuals or as approved by the Office of the Interconnection, and may not include shutdown costs.

If upon review of the supporting documentation for the Economic Load Response Participant’s, cost-based offer by the Office of the Interconnection and the Market Monitoring Unit, the Office of the Interconnection and/or the Market Monitoring Unit determines that the offer was not reasonably supported by incremental costs greater than or equal to $1,000/megawatt-hour, the Office of the Interconnection and/or the Market Monitoring Unit may refer the matter to the FERC Office of Enforcement for investigation.
6.4.3A Verification of Fast-Start Resource Composite Energy Offers Over $1,000/Megawatt-hour

(a) If a Market Seller submits a cost-based offer for a generation resource that is a Fast-Start Resource that results in a Composite Energy Offer that is greater than $1,000/megawatt-hour, then, in order for that Composite Energy Offer to be eligible to set the applicable Locational Marginal Price under Operating Agreement, Schedule 1, section 2.5 (for determining Real-time Prices) and Operating Agreement, Schedule 1, section 2.6 (for determining Day-ahead Prices), the Office of the Interconnection shall apply a formulaic screen to verify the reasonableness of the offer components:

Incremental Energy Offer and No-load Cost components of each offer segment shall be evaluated for whether it exceeds the reasonably expected costs for that resource by applying the test described in Operating Agreement, Schedule 1, section 6.4.3.

Start-Up Cost component shall be evaluated for whether it exceeds the reasonably expected costs for that resource by applying the following formula:

\[
\text{Start-Up Cost (}) = [ \left( \text{(Performance Factor) x (Start Fuel) x (Fuel Cost)} \right) + \text{Start Maintenance Adder + Additional Start Labor + Station Service Cost}] \times (1 + A)
\]

Where:

Start Fuel = fuel consumed from first fire of start process to breaker closing plus fuel expended from breaker opening of the previous shutdown to initialization of the (hot) unit start-up, excluding normal plant heating/auxiliary equipment fuel requirements;

Fuel Cost = applicable fuel cost as estimated by the Office of the Interconnection at a geographically appropriate commodity trading hub, plus 10 percent;

Performance Factor = a scaling factor that is a calculated ratio of actual fuel burn to either theoretical fuel burn (i.e., design Heat Input) or other current tested Heat Input, which is determined annually in accordance with the Market Seller’s PJM-approved Fuel Cost Policy under Operating Agreement, Schedule 2 and PJM Manual 15, reflecting the resource’s actual ability to convert fuel into energy (normal operation is 1.0);

Start Maintenance Adder = an adder based on all available maintenance expense history for the defined Maintenance Period regardless of unit ownership. Only expenses incurred as a result of electric production qualify for inclusion. Only Maintenance Adders specified as $/Start,
$/MMBtu, or $/equivalent operating hour can be included in the Start Maintenance Adder;

Start Additional Labor = additional labor costs for startup required above normal station manning levels; and

Station Service Cost = station service usage (MWh) during start-up multiplied by the 12-month rolling average off-peak energy prices as updated quarterly by the Office of the Interconnection.

A = cost adder, in accordance with Operating Agreement, Schedule 1, section 6.4.2(a)(ii).

(b) Should the submitted Incremental Energy Offer and No-load Cost exceed the reasonably expected costs for that resource as calculated pursuant to subsection (a) above for any segment, then for the determination of Locational Marginal Prices as described in Operating Agreement, Schedule 1, section 2.5 (for determining Real-time Prices) and Operating Agreement, Schedule 1, section 2.6 (for determining Day-ahead Prices):

(i) the Incremental Energy Offer for each segment shall be capped at the lesser of the cap described above in Operating Agreement, Schedule 1, section 6.4.3 or the submitted Incremental Energy Offer; and

(ii) the amortized No-load cost shall be adjusted as described in Operating Agreement, Schedule 1, section 2.4 (Determination of Energy Offers Used in Calculating Real-time Prices) and Operating Agreement, Schedule 1, section 2.4A (Determination of Energy Offers Used in Calculating Day-ahead Prices) excluded from the Composite Energy Offer.

(c) Should the submitted Start-Up Cost exceed the reasonably expected costs for that resource as calculated pursuant to subsection (a) above, then for the determination of Locational Marginal Prices as described in Operating Agreement, Schedule 1, section 2.5 (for determining Real-time Prices) and Operating Agreement, Schedule 1, section 2.6 (for determining Day-ahead Prices), the Start-Up Costs shall be adjusted as described in Operating Agreement, Schedule 1, section 2.4 (Determination of Energy Offers Used in Calculating Real-time Prices) and Operating Agreement, Schedule 1, section 2.4A (Determination of Energy Offers Used in Calculating Day-ahead Prices) excluded from the Composite Energy Offer.

(d) If an Economic Load Response Participant submits an offer to reduce demand for a Fast-Start Resource where the maximum segment of the resulting Composite Energy Offer exceeds $1,000/megawatt-hour, then, in order for that Composite Energy Offer to be eligible to set the applicable Locational Marginal Price under Operating Agreement, Schedule 1, section 2.5 (for determining Real-time Prices) and Operating Agreement, Schedule 1, section 2.6 (for determining Day-ahead Prices), the Economic Load Response Participant must validate such costs with the end use customer(s) and, upon request, submit to the Office of the Interconnection supporting
documentation demonstrating that the end-use customer’s costs in providing such demand reduction are greater than $1,000/megawatt-hour in accordance with the following provisions:

(i) The supporting documentation must explain and support the quantification of the end-use customer’s incremental costs and shutdown costs; and

(ii) The end use customer’s incremental and shutdown costs shall include quantifiable cost incurred for not consuming electricity when dispatched by the Office of the Interconnection, such as wages paid without production, lost sales, damaged products that cannot be sold, or other incremental costs as defined in the PJM Manuals or as approved by the Office of the Interconnection.

If upon review of the supporting documentation for the Economic Load Response Participant’s, cost-based offer by the Office of the Interconnection and the Market Monitoring Unit, the Office of the Interconnection and/or the Market Monitoring Unit determines that the offer was not reasonably supported by incremental and shutdown costs greater than or equal to $1,000/megawatt-hour, the Office of the Interconnection and/or the Market Monitoring Unit may refer the matter to the FERC Office of Enforcement for investigation.

Should the submitted shutdown cost exceed the reasonably supported costs for that resource, then for the determination of Locational Marginal Prices as described in Operating Agreement, Schedule 1, section 2.5 (for determining Real-time Prices) and Operating Agreement, Schedule 1, section 2.6 (for determining Day-ahead Prices), the shutdown costs shall be adjusted as described in Operating Agreement, Schedule 1, section 2.4 (Determination of Energy Offers Used in Calculating Real-time Prices) and Operating Agreement, Schedule 1, section 2.4A (Determination of Energy Offers Used in Calculating Day-ahead Prices) excluded from the Composite Energy Offer.
Attachment D

Revisions to the Operating Agreement

(Clean Format)
Definitions E - F

**Economic-based Enhancement or Expansion:**

“Economic-based Enhancement or Expansion” shall mean an enhancement or expansion described in Operating Agreement, Schedule 6, section 1.5.7(b) (i) – (iii) that is designed to relieve transmission constraints that have an economic impact.

**Economic Load Response Participant:**

“Economic Load Response Participant” shall mean a Member or Special Member that qualifies under Operating Agreement, Schedule 1, section 1.5A, and the parallel provisions of Tariff, Attachment K-Appendix, section 1.5A to participate in the PJM Interchange Energy Market and/or Ancillary Services markets through reductions in demand.

**Economic Maximum:**

“Economic Maximum” shall mean the highest incremental MW output level, submitted to PJM market systems by a Market Participant, that a unit can achieve while following economic dispatch.

**Economic Minimum:**

“Economic Minimum” shall mean the lowest incremental MW output level, submitted to PJM market systems by a Market Participant, that a unit can achieve while following economic dispatch.

**Effective Date:**

“Effective Date” shall mean August 1, 1997, or such later date that FERC permits the Operating Agreement to go into effect.

**Effective FTR Holder:**

“Effective FTR Holder” shall mean:

(i) For an FTR Holder that is either a (a) privately held company, or (b) a municipality or electric cooperative, as defined in the Federal Power Act, such FTR Holder, together with any Affiliate, subsidiary or parent of the FTR Holder, any other entity that is under common ownership, wholly or partly, directly or indirectly, or has the ability to influence, directly or indirectly, the management or policies of the FTR Holder; or

(ii) For an FTR Holder that is a publicly traded company including a wholly owned subsidiary of a publicly traded company, such FTR Holder, together with any Affiliate, subsidiary or parent of the FTR Holder, any other PJM Member has over 10% common
ownership with the FTR Holder, wholly or partly, directly or indirectly, or has the ability to influence, directly or indirectly, the management or policies of the FTR Holder; or

(iii) an FTR Holder together with any other PJM Member, including also any Affiliate, subsidiary or parent of such other PJM Member, with which it shares common ownership, wholly or partly, directly or indirectly, in any third entity which is a PJM Member (e.g., a joint venture).

**EIDSN, Inc.:**

“EIDSN, Inc.” shall mean the nonstock, nonprofit corporation, formerly known as Eastern Interconnection Data Sharing Network, Inc., or any successor thereto, that is operated primarily for the purpose of developing operating tools and the facilitation of the secure, consistent, effective, and efficient sharing of important electric transmission and operational data among reliability coordinators and other relevant parties to help improve electric industry operations and promote the reliable and efficient operation of the bulk electric system in the Eastern Interconnection.

**Electric Distributor:**

“Electric Distributor” shall mean a Member that: 1) owns or leases with rights equivalent to ownership electric distribution facilities that are used to provide electric distribution service to electric load within the PJM Region; or 2) is a generation and transmission cooperative or a joint municipal agency that has a member that owns electric distribution facilities used to provide electric distribution service to electric load within the PJM Region.

**Eligible Fast-Start Resource:**

“Eligible Fast-Start Resource” shall mean a Fast-Start Resource that is eligible for the application of Integer Relaxation during the calculation of Locational Marginal Prices as set forth in Operating Agreement, Schedule 1, section 2.2.

**Emergency:**

“Emergency” shall mean: (i) an abnormal system condition requiring manual or automatic action to maintain system frequency, or to prevent loss of firm load, equipment damage, or tripping of system elements that could adversely affect the reliability of an electric system or the safety of persons or property; or (ii) a fuel shortage requiring departure from normal operating procedures in order to minimize the use of such scarce fuel; or (iii) a condition that requires implementation of emergency procedures as defined in the PJM Manuals.

**Emergency Load Response Program:**

“Emergency Load Response Program” shall mean the program by which Curtailment Service Providers may be compensated by PJM for Demand Resources that will reduce load when dispatched by PJM during emergency conditions, and is described in Operating Agreement, Schedule 1, section 8 and the parallel provisions of Tariff, Attachment K-Appendix, section 8.
End-Use Customer:

“End-Use Customer” shall mean a Member that is a retail end-user of electricity within the PJM Region. For purposes of Member Committee classification, a Member that is a retail end-user that owns generation may qualify as an End-Use customer if: (1) the average physical unforced capacity owned by the Member and its affiliates in the PJM region over the five Planning Periods immediately preceding the relevant Planning Period does not exceed the average PJM capacity obligation for the Member and its affiliates over the same time period; or (2) the average energy produced by the Member and its affiliates within the PJM region over the five Planning Periods immediately preceding the relevant Planning Period does not exceed the average energy consumed by that Member and its affiliates within the PJM region over the same time period. The foregoing notwithstanding, taking retail service may not be sufficient to qualify a Member as an End-Use Customer.

Energy Market Opportunity Cost:

“Energy Market Opportunity Cost” shall mean the difference between (a) the forecasted cost to operate a specific generating unit when the unit only has a limited number of available run hours due to limitations imposed on the unit by Applicable Laws and Regulations and (b) the forecasted future Locational Marginal Price at which the generating unit could run while not violating such limitations. Energy Market Opportunity Cost therefore is the value associated with a specific generating unit’s lost opportunity to produce energy during a higher valued period of time occurring within the same compliance period, which compliance period is determined by the applicable regulatory authority and is reflected in the rules set forth in PJM Manual 15. Energy Market Opportunity Costs shall be limited to those resources which are specifically delineated in Operating Agreement, Schedule 2.

Energy Storage Resource:

“Energy Storage Resource” shall mean a resource capable of receiving electric energy from the grid and storing it for later injection to the grid that participates in the PJM Energy, Capacity and/or Ancillary Services markets as a Market Participant.

Equivalent Load:

“Equivalent Load” shall mean the sum of a Market Participant’s net system requirements to serve its customer load in the PJM Region, if any, plus its net bilateral transactions.

Extended Primary Reserve Requirement:

“Extended Primary Reserve Requirement” shall equal the Primary Reserve Requirement in a Reserve Zone or Reserve Sub-zone, plus 190 MW, plus any additional reserves scheduled under emergency conditions necessary to address operational uncertainty. The Extended Primary Reserve Requirement is calculated in accordance with the PJM Manuals.
**Extended Synchronized Reserve Requirement:**

“Extended Synchronized Reserve Requirement” shall equal the Synchronized Reserve Requirement in a Reserve Zone or Reserve Sub-zone, plus 190 MW, plus any additional reserves scheduled under emergency conditions necessary to address operational uncertainty. The Extended Synchronized Reserve Requirement is calculated in accordance with the PJM Manuals.

**External Market Buyer:**

“External Market Buyer” shall mean a Market Buyer making purchases of energy from the PJM Interchange Energy Market for consumption by end-users outside the PJM Region, or for load in the PJM Region that is not served by Network Transmission Service.

**External Resource:**

“External Resource” shall mean a generation resource located outside the metered boundaries of the PJM Region.

**Fast-Start Resource:**

“Fast-Start Resource” shall have the meaning set forth in Tariff, Attachment K-Appendix, section 2.2A.

**FERC or Commission:**

“FERC” or “Commission” shall mean the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission or any successor federal agency, commission or department exercising jurisdiction over the Tariff, Operating Agreement and Reliability Assurance Agreement.

**Final Offer:**

“Final Offer” shall mean the offer on which a resource was dispatched by the Office of the Interconnection for a particular clock hour for an Operating Day.

**Finance Committee:**

“Finance Committee” shall mean the body formed pursuant to Operating Agreement, section 7.5.1.

**Financial Transmission Right:**

“Financial Transmission Right” or “FTR” shall mean a right to receive Transmission Congestion Credits as specified in Operating Agreement, Schedule 1, section 5.2.2, and the parallel provisions of Tariff, Attachment K-Appendix, section 5.2.2.

**Financial Transmission Right Obligation:**
“Financial Transmission Right Obligation” shall mean a right to receive Transmission Congestion Credits as specified in Operating Agreement, Schedule 1, section 5.2.2(b), and the parallel provisions of Tariff, Attachment K-Appendix, section 5.2.2(c).

**Financial Transmission Right Option:**

“Financial Transmission Right Option” shall mean a right to receive Transmission Congestion Credits as specified in Operating Agreement, Schedule 1, section 5.2.2(c), and the parallel provisions of Tariff, Attachment K-Appendix, section 5.2.2(c).

**Flexible Resource:**

“Flexible Resource” shall mean a generating resource that must have a combined Start-up Time and Notification Time of less than or equal to two hours; and a Minimum Run Time of less than or equal to two hours.

**Form 715 Planning Criteria:**

“Form 715 Planning Criteria” shall mean individual Transmission Owner FERC-filed planning criteria as described in Operating Agreement, Schedule 6, section 1.2(e) and filed with FERC Form No. 715 and posted on the PJM website.

**FTR Holder:**

“FTR Holder” shall mean the PJM Member that has acquired and possesses an FTR.

**Fuel Cost Policy:**

“Fuel Cost Policy” shall mean the document provided by a Market Seller to PJM and the Market Monitoring Unit in accordance with PJM Manual 15 and Operating Agreement, Schedule 2, which documents the Market Seller’s method used to price fuel for calculation of the Market Seller’s cost-based offer(s) for a generation resource.
2.2 General.

The Office of the Interconnection calculates Locational Marginal Prices separately from and subsequent to the security-constrained unit commitment and security-constrained economic dispatch of the system, the latter of which is referred to as the dispatch run. The calculation of Locational Marginal Prices, which occurs in a process referred to as the pricing run, is based on the same optimization problem as the security-constrained economic dispatch. The objective of both the dispatch run and the pricing run is to serve load and meet reserve requirements at the least cost while respecting transmission constraints. However, Integer Relaxation is applied only to Eligible Fast-Start Resources committed in the pricing run to provide energy.

In the dispatch run a commitment state of 1 represents a resource is committed and 0 represents a resource is not committed. In the pricing run Integer Relaxation allows the commitment state of a committed Eligible Fast-Start Resource to be lowered to any value between 0 and 1, inclusive of 0 and 1. This in turn allows the optimization problem in the pricing run to use any fraction of a committed Eligible Fast-Start Resource’s output, including an amount less than the resource’s offered Economic Minimum output, in the determination of Locational Marginal Prices.
2.2A Fast-Start Resources.

(a) A Fast-Start Resource is a resource capable of operating with a notification time plus startup time of one hour or less and a Minimum Run Time of one hour or less or Minimum Down Time of one hour or less based on its operating characteristics. Fast-Start Resources include Economic Load Response Participant resources and the following types of generation resources: fuel cell, combustion turbine, diesel, hydropower, battery, solar, landfill, and wind. Other resources may be considered a Fast-Start Resource by obtaining written approval from the Office of the Interconnection pursuant to subsection (b) below.

(b) The Market Seller of a resource not considered a Fast-Start Resource may obtain approval for such resource to be considered a Fast-Start Resource by submitting to the Office of the Interconnection and the Market Monitoring Unit a written request for approval and provide documentation to support the resource’s capability of operating with a notification time plus startup time of one hour or less and a Minimum Run Time of one hour or less or Minimum Down Time of one hour or less based on its operating characteristics, such as historical operating data showing the ability to provide energy upon an hour’s notice. The Office of the Interconnection and the Market Monitoring Unit shall review, in an open and transparent manner as between the Market Seller, the Market Monitoring Unit, and the Office of the Interconnection, the information and documentation in support of the request for approval for a resource to be a Fast-Start Resource. A Market Seller must submit such a request, and supporting documentation, no later than April 15, and the Office of the Interconnection shall determine, with the advice and input of the Marketing Monitoring Unit, whether the resource will be considered Fast-Start capable and provide no later than the following May 30 written notification to the Market Seller of such determination. If the request is granted, the resource shall be considered a Fast-Start Resource as of the next June 1 following submission of the request. If the request is denied, the Office of the Interconnection shall include in the notice a written explanation for the denial.

(c) To the extent a Fast-Start Resource fails, on a persistent basis, to provide energy or load reduction consistent with offer parameters on which it was committed of a notification time plus startup time of one hour or less and a Minimum Run Time of one hour or less or minimum down time of one hour or less, the Office of the Interconnection may deem, in consultation with the Market Monitoring Unit, that a resource is no longer considered a Fast-Start Resource. The Office of the Interconnection shall provide written notification, with a written explanation, to the Market Seller of such determination. A resource may regain Fast-Start Resource status pursuant to subsection (b) above.

(d) A Fast-Start Resource shall be an Eligible Fast-Start Resource when the following apply:

(i) A generation resource is committed on an offer with a notification time plus startup time of one hour or less and a Minimum Run Time of one hour or less.

(ii) An Economic Load Response Participant resource is committed on an offer with a notification time of one hour or less and a Minimum Down Time of one hour or less.

(iii) The resource shall not be any of the following:
   a. Self-scheduled for Energy in a given interval;
b. A pumped storage hydropower unit scheduled by the Office of the Interconnection pursuant to the hydro optimization tool in the Day-ahead Energy Market;
c. A pseudo-tied resource that does not provide all of their output to PJM; or
d. A dynamically scheduled resource.

Only Eligible Fast-Start Resources shall have Integer Relaxation applied in the calculation of Locational Marginal Prices.
2.4 Determination of Energy Offers Used in Calculating Real-time Prices.

(a) During the Operating Day, real-time Locational Marginal Prices derived in accordance with this section shall be determined every five minutes.

(b) To determine the energy offers submitted to the PJM Interchange Energy Market that shall be used during the Operating Day to calculate the Real-time Prices, the Office of the Interconnection shall determine the applicable marginal energy offer of the resources being dispatched by the Office of the Interconnection using the offer schedule on which the resource is committed in the dispatch run.

The Office of the Interconnection will determine a resource’s applicable marginal energy offer by comparing the megawatt output of the resource from the pricing run with the Market Seller’s Incremental Energy Offer curve or, for Eligible Fast-Start Resources, the Market Seller’s Composite Energy Offer. For Eligible Fast-Start Resources, the amortized Start-Up Costs and amortized No-load Costs, expressed in dollars per megawatt-hour, are added to the resource’s Incremental Energy Offer to determine a Composite Energy Offer, as described below:

(i) The amortized Start-Up Cost for a generation resource shall equal the resource’s applicable Start-Up Cost, as determined in accordance with the PJM Manuals, amortized over (A) the resource’s Economic Maximum or Emergency Maximum output, whichever is applicable, and (B) the resource’s Minimum Run Time, rounded up to the nearest twelfth of an hour. The amortized Start-Up Cost is included in the resource’s Composite Energy Offer in each five-minute interval in which the resource is pool-scheduled during the resource’s Minimum Run Time. If the Minimum Run Time is less than 5 minutes, the Minimum Run Time used to calculate the amortized Start-Up Cost is 5 minutes and the amortized Start-Up Cost is added to the Incremental Energy Offer for the first five minute interval in which the resource runs. After the Minimum Run Time has been met, the amortized Start-Up Cost is not included in the Composite Energy Offer. To determine the amortized Start-Up Cost for Economic Load Response Participant resources, the Minimum Down Time is used in place of Minimum Run Time and shutdown cost is used in place of Start-Up Cost in the above equation.

The amortized Start-Up Cost, to the extent it is reviewed pursuant to Operating Agreement, Schedule 1, section 6.4.3A, shall be adjusted if the resource’s applicable Start-Up Cost exceeds the reasonably expected cost, as described in subsection (iii) below.

(ii) The amortized No-load Cost shall equal the resource’s applicable No-load Cost, amortized over the resource’s Economic Maximum or Emergency Maximum output, whichever is applicable, and included in the Composite Energy Offer for each interval in which the resource is pool-scheduled.

The amortized No-load Cost, to the extent it is reviewed pursuant to Operating Agreement, Schedule 1, section 6.4.3A, shall be adjusted if the resource’s
applicable Incremental Energy Offer and No-load Cost exceed the reasonably expected cost, as described in subsection (iii) below.

(iii) To the extent a Composite Energy Offer of a generation resource that is an Eligible Fast-Start Resource is less than $2,000/megawatt-hour and is reviewed pursuant to Operating Agreement, Schedule 1, 6.4.3A, pursuant to which the Office of the Interconnection evaluates the resource’s submitted Start-Up Cost and No-load Cost against the resource’s reasonably expected Start-Up Cost and No-load Cost, adjustments may be applied to yield a Composite Energy Offer no lower than $1,000/megawatt-hour at the Economic Maximum output as follows:

1) If the submitted Start-Up Cost and No-load Cost do not exceed the respective reasonably expected costs, no adjustments shall be made to the submitted Composite Energy Offer.

2) If the submitted Start-Up Cost does not exceed the resource’s reasonably expected Start-Up Cost but the submitted No-load Cost does exceed the reasonably expected No-load Cost, then the Composite Energy Offer shall equal the Incremental Energy Offer plus the amortized submitted Start-Up Cost; provided, however, if the resulting Composite Energy Offer at Economic Maximum yields a value less than $1,000/megawatt-hour, then the Composite Energy Offer shall include an amortized No-load Cost value sufficient to make the Composite Energy Offer equal to $1,000/megawatt-hour at Economic Maximum.

3) If the submitted Start-Up Cost exceeds the resource’s reasonably expected Start-Up Cost but the submitted No-load Cost does not exceed the reasonably expected No-load Cost, then the Composite Energy Offer shall equal the Incremental Energy Offer plus the amortized No-load Cost; provided, however, if the resulting Composite Energy Offer at Economic Maximum yields a value less than $1,000/megawatt-hour, then the Composite Energy Offer shall include an amortized Start-Up Cost value sufficient to make the Composite Energy Offer equal to $1,000/megawatt-hour at Economic Maximum.

4) If both the submitted Start-Up Cost and No-load Cost exceed the respective reasonably expected costs and the Incremental Energy Offer is below $1,000 MWh, then the Composite Energy Offer shall equal $1,000/megawatt-hour and be composed of the resource’s: (i) Incremental Energy Offer, (ii) a No-load Cost value equal to the lesser of the resource’s amortized submitted No-load Cost or a value sufficient to make the Composite Energy Offer at Economic Maximum equal to $1,000/megawatt-hour, and (iii) to the extent the sum of the foregoing is less than $1,000/megawatt-hour, an amortized Start-Up Cost value sufficient to make the Composite Energy Offer equal to $1,000/megawatt-hour at Economic Maximum.
(c) If a generation resource that is an Eligible Fast-Start Resource submits a market-based offer that results in a Composite Energy Offer that exceeds $1,000/megawatt-hour at the resource’s Economic Maximum:

(i) If the Incremental Energy Offer of the market-based schedule exceeds the Incremental Energy Offer of the associated cost-based offer, then the amortized Start-Up Cost and the amortized No-load Cost for the market-based schedule shall both be considered to exceed their respective reasonably expected cost, and the Composite Energy Offer shall be equal to $1,000/megawatt-hour and be composed of the resource’s (i) Incremental Energy Offer, (ii) a No-load Cost value equal to the lesser of the resource’s amortized submitted No-load Cost or a value sufficient to make the Composite Energy Offer at EconomicMaximum equal to $1,000/megawatt-hour, and (iii) to the extent the sum of the foregoing is less than $1,000/megawatt-hour, an amortized Start-Up Cost value sufficient to make the Composite Energy Offer equal to $1,000/megawatt-hour at Economic Maximum.

(ii) If the Incremental Energy Offer of the market-based schedule is not greater than the Incremental Energy Offer of the associated cost-based offer and:

(1) If the amortized No-load Cost for the market-based schedule exceeds the No-load Cost of the associated cost-based offer or, exceeds the reasonably expected cost of such cost-based offer, then the amortized No-load Cost shall be adjusted in the matter set forth in subsections (b)(iii)(2) and (4) above, as applicable.

(2) If the amortized Start-Up Cost for the market-based schedule exceeds the Start-Up Cost of the specified on the associated cost-based offer or exceeds the reasonably expected cost of the Start-Up Cost of such cost-based offer, then the amortized Start-Up Cost shall be adjusted in the manner set forth in subsections (b)(iii)(3) and (4), as applicable.

(3) To the extent the Composite Energy Offer resulting from subsections (c)(ii)(1) and (2) above would exceed $2,000/MWh, then the Composite Energy Offer shall equal $2,000/megawatt-hour and the submitted Start-Up Cost and No-load Cost shall be adjusted in the manner set forth in subsection (e) below.

(d) For purposes of calculating Real-time Prices, the applicable marginal Incremental Energy Offer used in the calculation of Real-time Prices shall not exceed $2,000/megawatt-hour.

(e) If a generation resource that is an Eligible Fast-Start Resource submits an offer that results in a Composite Energy Offer with a maximum segment that exceeds $2,000/megawatt-hour and such offer is reviewed pursuant to Operating Agreement, Schedule 1, 6.4.3A, pursuant to which the Office of the Interconnection evaluates the resource’s submitted Start-Up Cost and
No-load Cost against the resource’s reasonably expected Start-Up Cost and No-load Cost, the following adjustments will be made to cap the offer at no higher than $2,000/megawatt-hour:

(i) If the submitted Start-Up Cost and No-load Cost do not exceed the respective reasonably expected costs, the Composite Energy Offer shall equal $2,000/megawatt-hour and be composed of: (i) the resource’s Incremental Energy Offer, (ii) to the extent the Incremental Energy Offer is less than $2,000/megawatt-hour, a No-load Cost value equal to the lesser of the resource’s amortized submitted No-load Cost or a value sufficient to make the Composite Energy Offer at Economic Maximum equal to $2,000/megawatt-hour, and (iii) to the extent the sum of the foregoing is less than $2,000/megawatt-hour, a Start-Up Cost value an amortized Start-Up Cost value sufficient to make the Composite Energy Offer at Economic Maximum equal to $2,000/megawatt-hour.

(ii) If the submitted Start-Up Cost does not exceed the resource’s reasonably expected Start-Up Cost but the submitted No-load Cost does exceed the reasonably expected No-load Cost, then the Composite Energy offer shall equal the Incremental Energy Offer plus the amortized submitted Start-Up Cost; provided, however, if the resulting Composite Energy Offer at Economic Maximum yields a value greater than $2,000/megawatt-hour, then the Composite Energy Offer shall include an amortized Start Up Cost value sufficient to make the Composite Energy Offer equal to $2,000/megawatt-hour.

(iii) If the submitted Start-Up Cost exceeds the resource’s reasonably expected Start-Up Cost but the submitted No-load Cost does not exceed the reasonably expected No-load Cost, then the Composite Energy Offer shall equal the Incremental Energy Offer plus the amortized No-load Cost; provided, however, if the resulting Composite Energy Offer at Economic Maximum yields a value greater than $2,000/megawatt-hour, then the Composite Energy Offer shall include an amortized No-load Cost value sufficient to make the Composite Energy Offer equal to $2,000/megawatt-hour.

(iv) If the submitted Start-Up Cost and No-load Cost both exceed the respective reasonably expected costs, the Composite Energy Offer shall equal the lesser of the resource’s Incremental Energy Offer or $2,000/megawatt-hour.

(v) To the extent any of the foregoing subsections (e)(ii) through (e)(iv) would result in a Composite Energy Offer less than $1,000/MWh at Economic Maximum, the Composite Energy Offer shall equal $1,000/megawatt-hour and be composed of the resource’s: (i) Incremental Energy Offer, (ii) a No-load Cost value equal to the lesser of the resource’s amortized submitted No-load Cost or a value sufficient to make the Composite Energy Offer equal to $1,000/megawatt-hour at Economic Maximum, and (iii) to the extent the sum of the foregoing is less than $1,000/megawatt-hour, an amortized Start-Up Cost value sufficient to make the Composite Energy Offer equal to $1,000/megawatt-hour at Economic Maximum.
(f) To the extent an Economic Load Response Participant resource’s Composite Energy Offer is reviewed pursuant to Operating Agreement, Schedule 1, 6.4.3A, pursuant to which the Office of the Interconnection evaluates the resource’s submitted shutdown cost against the resource’s reasonably expected shutdown costs, adjustments may be applied to yield a Composite Energy Offer, at Economic Maximum, no lower than $1,000/megawatt-hour and no greater than $2,000/megawatt-hour as follows:

(i) If a Composite Energy Offer at Economic Maximum is greater than $1,000/megawatt-hour but does not exceed $2,000/megawatt-hour, and the amortized shutdown cost, to the extent that it is reviewed pursuant to Operating Agreement, Schedule 1, section 6.4.3A, does not exceed the resource’s reasonably expected shutdown cost, then no adjustments shall be made to the submitted Composite Energy Offer.

(ii) If the amortized shutdown cost, to the extent that it is reviewed pursuant to Operating Agreement, Schedule 1, section 6.4.3A, exceeds the resource’s reasonably expected shutdown cost, then the Composite Energy Offer shall equal: (i) the resource’s Incremental Energy Offer, and (ii) to the extent the Incremental Energy Offer is less than $1,000/megawatt-hour, a shutdown value equal to the lesser of the resource’s amortized submitted shutdown cost or a value sufficient to make the Composite Energy Offer at Economic Maximum equal to $1,000/megawatt-hour.

(iii) If the Composite Energy Offer at Economic Maximum exceeds $2,000/megawatt-hour and the amortized shutdown cost, to the extent that it is reviewed pursuant to Operating Agreement, Schedule 1, section 6.4.3A, does not exceed the resource’s reasonably expected shutdown cost, then the Composite Energy Offer shall equal $2,000/megawatt-hour: (i) the resource’s Incremental Energy Offer, and (ii) to the extent the Incremental Energy Offer is less than $2,000/megawatt-hour, a shutdown value sufficient to make the Composite Energy Offer equal to $2,000/megawatt-hour.

(g) Units that must be run for local area protection shall not be considered in the calculation of Real-time Prices.
2.4A Determination of Energy Offers Used in Calculating Day-ahead Prices.

(a) Day-ahead Prices derived in accordance with this section shall be determined for every hour.

(b) To determine the energy offers submitted to the PJM Interchange Energy Market that shall be used to calculate the Day-ahead Prices, the Office of the Interconnection shall determine the applicable marginal energy offer of the resources being dispatched by the Office of the Interconnection using the offer schedule on which the resource is committed in the dispatch run.

The Office of the Interconnection will determine a resource’s applicable marginal energy offer by comparing the megawatt output of the resource from the pricing run with the Market Seller’s Incremental Energy Offer curve or, for Eligible Fast-Start Resources, the Market Seller’s Composite Energy Offer. For Eligible Fast-Start Resources, the amortized Start-Up Costs and amortized No-load Costs, expressed in dollars per megawatt-hour, are added to the resource’s Incremental Energy Offer to determine a Composite Energy Offer, as described below:

(i) The amortized Start-Up Cost for a generation resource shall equal the resource’s applicable Start-Up Cost, as determined in accordance with the PJM Manuals, amortized over (A) the resource’s Economic Maximum or Emergency Maximum output, whichever is applicable and (B) the resource’s Minimum Run Time. For the purposes of this calculation, the Minimum Run Time is set to one hour. The amortized Start-Up Cost is included the resource’s Composite Energy Offer during the resource’s Minimum Run Time. After the Minimum Run Time has been met the amortized Start-Up Cost is not included in the Composite Energy Offer. To determine the amortized Start-Up Cost for Economic Load Response Participant resources, the Minimum Down Time is used in place of Minimum Run Time and shutdown cost is used in place of Start-Up Cost in the above equation.

The amortized Start-Up Cost, to the extent it is reviewed pursuant to Operating Agreement, Schedule 1, section 6.4.3A, shall be adjusted if the resource’s applicable Start-Up Cost exceeds the reasonably expected cost, as described in subsection (iii) below.

(ii) The amortized No-load Cost shall equal the resource’s applicable No-load Cost, amortized over the resource’s Economic Maximum or Emergency Maximum output, whichever is applicable output and included in the Composite Energy Offer for all intervals in which the resource is pool-scheduled.

The amortized No-load Cost, to the extent that it is reviewed pursuant to Operating Agreement, Schedule 1, section 6.4.3A, shall be adjusted if the resource’s applicable Incremental Energy Offer and No-load Cost exceed the reasonably expected cost, as described in subsection (iii) below.

(iii) To the extent a Composite Energy Offer of a generation resource that is an Eligible Fast-Start Resource is less than $2,000/megawatt-hour and is reviewed pursuant to
Operating Agreement, Schedule 1, 6.4.3A, pursuant to which the Office of the Interconnection evaluates the resource’s submitted Start-Up Cost and No-load Cost against the resource’s reasonably expected Start-Up Cost and No-load Cost, adjustments may be applied to yield a Composite Energy Offer no lower than $1,000/megawatt-hour at Economic Maximum as follows:

1) If the submitted Start-Up Cost and No-load Cost do not exceed the respective reasonably expected costs, no adjustments shall be made to the submitted Composite Energy Offer.

2) If the submitted Start-Up Cost does not exceed the resource’s reasonably expected Start-Up Cost but the submitted No-load Cost does exceed the reasonably expected No-load Cost, then the Composite Energy offer shall equal the Incremental Energy Offer plus the amortized submitted Start-Up Cost; provided, however, if the resulting Composite Energy Offer at Economic Maximum yields a value less than $1,000/megawatt-hour, then the Composite Energy Offer shall include an amortized No-load Cost value sufficient to make the Composite Energy Offer equal to $1,000/megawatt-hour at Economic Maximum.

3) If the submitted Start-Up Cost exceeds the resource’s reasonably expected Start-Up Cost but the submitted No-load Cost does not exceed the reasonably expected No-load Cost, then the Composite Energy Offer shall equal the Incremental Energy Offer plus the amortized No-load Cost; provided, however, if the resulting Composite Energy Offer at Economic Maximum yields a value less than $1,000/megawatt-hour, then the Composite Energy Offer shall include an amortized Start-Up Cost value sufficient to make the Composite Energy Offer equal to $1,000/megawatt-hour at Economic Maximum.

4) If both the submitted Start-Up Cost and No-load Cost exceed the respective reasonably expected costs and the Incremental Energy Offer is below $1,000 MWh, then the Composite Energy Offer shall equal $1,000/megawatt-hour and be composed of the resource’s: (i) Incremental Energy Offer, (ii) a No-load Cost value equal to the lesser of the resource’s amortized submitted No-load Cost or a value sufficient to make the Composite Energy Offer at Economic Maximum equal to $1,000/megawatt-hour, and (iii) to the extent the sum of the foregoing is less than $1,000/megawatt-hour, an amortized Start-Up Cost value sufficient to make the Composite Energy Offer equal to $1,000/megawatt-hour at Economic Maximum.

(c) If a generation resource that is an Eligible Fast-Start Resource submits a market-based offer that results in a Composite Energy Offer that exceeds $1,000/megawatt-hour at the resource’s Economic Maximum:
(i) If the Incremental Energy Offer of the market-based schedule exceeds the Incremental Energy Offer of the associated cost-based offer, then the amortized Start-Up Cost and the amortized No-load Cost for the market-based schedule shall both be considered to exceed their respective reasonably expected costs, and the Composite Energy Offer shall be equal to $1,000/megawatt-hour and be composed of the resource’s (i) Incremental Energy Offer, (ii) a No-load Cost value equal to the lesser of the resource’s amortized submitted No-load Cost or a value sufficient to make the Composite Energy Offer at Economic Maximum equal to $1,000/megawatt-hour, and (iii) to the extent the sum of the foregoing is less than $1,000/megawatt-hour, an amortized Start-Up Cost value sufficient to make the Composite Energy Offer equal to $1,000/megawatt-hour at Economic Maximum.

(ii) If the Incremental Energy Offer of the market-based schedule is not greater than the Incremental Energy Offer of the associated cost-based offer and:

1. If the amortized No-load Cost for the market-based schedule exceeds the No-load Cost specified on the associated cost-based offer or exceeds the reasonably expected cost of the No-load Cost of such cost-based offer, then the amortized No-load Cost shall be adjusted in the manner set forth in subsections (b)(iii)(2) and (4) above, as applicable.

2. If the amortized Start-Up Cost for the market-based schedule exceeds the Start-Up Cost specified on the associated cost-based offer or exceeds the reasonably expected cost of the Start-Up Cost of such cost-based offer, then the amortized Start-Up Cost shall be adjusted in the manner set forth in subsections (b)(iii)(3) and (4) above, as applicable.

3. To the extent the Composite Energy Offer resulting from subsections (c)(ii)(1) and (2) would exceed $2,000/MWh, then the Composite Energy Offer shall equal $2,000/megawatt-hour and the submitted Start-Up Cost and No-load Cost shall be adjusted in the manner set forth in subsection (e) below.

(d) For purposes of calculating Day-ahead Prices, the applicable marginal Incremental Energy Offer used in the calculation of Day-ahead Prices shall not exceed $2,000/megawatt-hour.

(e) If a generation resource that is an Eligible Fast-Start Resource submits an offer that results in a Composite Energy Offer with a maximum segment that exceeds $2,000/megawatt-hour and such offer is reviewed pursuant to Operating Agreement, Schedule 1, 6.4.3A, pursuant to which the Office of the Interconnection evaluates the resource’s submitted Start-Up Cost and No-load Cost against the resource’s reasonably expected Start-Up Cost and No-load Cost, the following adjustments will be made to cap the offer at no higher than $2,000/megawatt-hour:

(i) If the submitted Start-Up Cost and No-load Cost do not exceed the respective reasonably expected costs, the Composite Energy Offer shall equal $2,000/megawatt-hour and be composed of: (i) the resource’s Incremental Energy
Offer, (ii) to the extent the Incremental Energy Offer is less than $2,000/megawatt-hour, a No-load Cost value equal to the lesser of the resource’s amortized submitted No-load Cost or a value sufficient to make the Composite Energy Offer at Economic Maximum equal to $2,000/megawatt-hour, and (iii) to the extent the sum of the foregoing is less than $2,000/megawatt-hour, a Start-Up Cost value an amortized Start-Up Cost value sufficient to make the Composite Energy Offer at Economic Maximum equal to $2,000/megawatt-hour.

(ii) If the submitted Start-Up Cost does not exceed the resource’s reasonably expected Start-Up Cost but the submitted No-load Cost does exceed the reasonably expected No-load Cost, then the Composite Energy offer shall equal the Incremental Energy Offer plus the amortized submitted Start-Up Cost; provided, however, if the resulting Composite Energy Offer at Economic Maximum yields a value greater than $2,000/megawatt-hour, then the Composite Energy Offer shall include an amortized Start-Up Cost value sufficient to make the Composite Energy Offer equal to $2,000/megawatt-hour.

(iii) If the submitted Start-Up Cost exceeds the resource’s reasonably expected Start-Up Cost but the submitted No-load Cost does not exceed the reasonably expected No-load Cost, then the Composite Energy Offer shall equal the Incremental Energy Offer plus the amortized No-load Cost; provided, however, if the resulting Composite Energy Offer at Economic Maximum yields a value greater than $2,000/megawatt-hour, then the Composite Energy Offer shall include an amortized No-load Cost value sufficient to make the Composite Energy Offer equal to $2,000/megawatt-hour.

(iv) If the submitted Start-Up Cost and No-load Cost both exceed the respective reasonably expected costs, the Composite Energy Offer shall equal the lesser of the resource’s Incremental Energy Offer or $2,000/megawatt-hour.

(v) To the extent any of the foregoing subsections (e)(ii) through (e)(iv) would result in a Composite Energy Offer less than $1,000/MWh at Economic Maximum, the Composite Energy Offer shall equal $1,000/megawatt-hour and be composed of the resource’s: (i) Incremental Energy Offer, (ii) a No-load Cost value equal to the lesser of the resource’s amortized submitted No-load Cost or a value sufficient to make the Composite Energy Offer equal to $1,000/megawatt-hour at Economic Maximum, and (iii) to the extent the sum of the foregoing is less than $1,000/megawatt-hour, an amortized Start-Up Cost value sufficient to make the Composite Energy Offer equal to $1,000/megawatt-hour at Economic Maximum.

(f) To the extent an Economic Load Response Participant resource’s Composite Energy Offer is reviewed pursuant to Operating Agreement, Schedule 1, 6.4.3A, pursuant to which the Office of the Interconnection evaluates the resource’s submitted shutdown cost against the resource’s reasonably expected shutdown costs, adjustments may be applied to yield a Composite Energy Offer, at Economic Maximum, no lower than $1,000/megawatt-hour and no greater than $2,000/megawatt-hour as follows:
(i) If a Composite Energy Offer at Economic Maximum is greater than $1,000/megawatt-hour but does not exceed $2,000/megawatt-hour, and the amortized shutdown cost, to the extent that it is reviewed pursuant to Operating Agreement, Schedule 1, section 6.4.3A, does not exceed the resource’s reasonably expected shutdown cost, then no adjustments shall be made to the submitted Composite Energy Offer.

(ii) If the amortized shutdown cost, to the extent that it is reviewed pursuant to Operating Agreement, Schedule 1, section 6.4.3A, exceeds the resource’s reasonably expected shutdown cost, then the Composite Energy Offer shall equal: (i) the resource’s Incremental Energy Offer, and (ii) to the extent the Incremental Energy Offer is less than $1,000/megawatt-hour, a shutdown value equal to the lesser of the resource’s amortized submitted shutdown cost or a value sufficient to make the Composite Energy Offer at Economic Maximum equal to $1,000/megawatt-hour.

(iii) If the Composite Energy Offer at Economic Maximum exceeds $2,000/megawatt-hour and the amortized shutdown cost, to the extent that it is reviewed pursuant to Operating Agreement, Schedule 1, section 6.4.3A, does not exceed the resource’s reasonably expected shutdown cost, then the Composite Energy Offer shall equal $2,000/megawatt-hour: (i) the resource’s Incremental Energy Offer, and (ii) to the extent the Incremental Energy Offer is less than $2,000/megawatt-hour, a shutdown value sufficient to make the Composite Energy Offer equal to $2,000/megawatt-hour.
3.2 Market Settlements.

If a dollar-per-MW-hour value is applied in a calculation under this section 3.2 where the interval of the value produced in that calculation is less than an hour, then for purposes of that calculation the dollar-per-MW-hour value is divided by the number of Real-time Settlement Intervals in the hour.

3.2.1 Spot Market Energy.

(a) The Office of the Interconnection shall calculate System Energy Prices in the form of Day-ahead System Energy Prices and Real-time System Energy Prices for the PJM Region, in accordance with Section 2 of this Schedule.


(c) Each Market Participant shall be paid for all of its Market Participant Energy Injections scheduled in the Day-ahead Energy Market at the Day-ahead System Energy Price to be delivered to the PJM Interchange Energy Market.

(d) For each Day-ahead Settlement Interval during an Operating Day, the Office of the Interconnection shall calculate Spot Market Energy charges for each Market Participant as the difference between the sum of its Market Participant Energy Withdrawals scheduled times the Day-ahead System Energy Price and the sum of its Market Participant Energy Injections scheduled times the Day-ahead System Energy Price.

(e) For each Real-time Settlement Interval during an Operating Day, the Office of the Interconnection shall calculate Spot Market Energy charges for each Market Participant as the difference between the sum of its real-time Market Participant Energy Withdrawals less its scheduled Market Participant Energy Withdrawals times the Real-time System Energy Price and the sum of its real-time Market Participant Energy Injections less scheduled Market Participant Energy Injections times the Real-time System Energy Price. The Revenue Data for Settlements determined for each Real-time Settlement Interval in accordance with section 3.1A of this Schedule shall be used in determining the real-time Market Participant Energy Withdrawals and Market Participant Energy Injections used to calculate Spot Market Energy charges under this subsection (e).

(f) For pool External Resources, the Office of the Interconnection shall model, based on an appropriate flow analysis, the megawatts of real-time energy injections to be delivered from each such resource to the corresponding Interface Pricing Point between adjacent Control Areas and the PJM Region.
3.2.2 Regulation.

(a) Each Market Participant that is a Load Serving Entity in a Regulation Zone shall have an hourly Regulation objective equal to its pro rata share of the Regulation requirements of such Regulation Zone for the hour, based on the Market Participant’s total load (net of operating Behind The Meter Generation, but not to be less than zero) in such Regulation Zone for the hour ("Regulation Obligation"). A Market Participant with an hourly Regulation Obligation shall be charged the pro rata share of the sum of the Regulation market performance clearing price credits and Regulation market capability clearing price credits for the Real-time Settlement Intervals in an hour.

\[
\text{Regulation Charge} = \text{Hourly Regulation Obligation Share} \times (\text{sum of the Real-time Settlement Interval Regulation credits in an hour})
\]

(b) Each Market Participant supplying Regulation in a Regulation Zone at the direction of the Office of the Interconnection shall be credited for each of its resources such that the calculated credit for each increment of Regulation provided by each resource shall be the higher of: (i) the Regulation market-clearing price; or (ii) the sum of the applicable Regulation offers for a resource determined pursuant to Section 3.2.2A.1 of this Schedule, the unit-specific shoulder hour opportunity costs described in subsection (e) of this section, the unit-specific inter-temporal opportunity costs, and the unit-specific opportunity costs discussed in subsection (d) of this section.

(c) The total Regulation market-clearing price in each Regulation Zone shall be determined in the Real-time Price software program, which is known as the pricing run, for each Real-time Settlement Interval. The total Regulation market-clearing price shall include: (i) the performance Regulation market-clearing price in a Regulation Zone that shall be calculated in accordance with subsection (g) of this section; (ii) the capability Regulation market-clearing price that shall be calculated in accordance with subsection (h) of this section; and (iii) a Regulation resource’s unit-specific opportunity costs during the 5-minute period, determined as described in subsection (d) below, divided by the unit-specific benefits factor described in subsection (j) of this section and divided by the historic accuracy score of the resource from among the resources selected to provide Regulation. A resource’s Regulation offer by any Market Seller that fails the three-pivotal supplier test set forth in section 3.2.2A.1 of this Schedule shall not exceed the cost of providing Regulation from such resource, plus twelve dollars, as determined pursuant to the formula in section 1.10.1A(e) of this Schedule.

(d) In determining the Regulation 5-minute clearing price for each Regulation Zone, the estimated unit-specific opportunity costs of a generation resource offering to sell Regulation in each regulating hour, except for hydroelectric resources, shall be equal to the product of (i) the deviation of the set point of the generation resource that is expected to be required in order to provide Regulation from the generation resource’s expected output level if it had been dispatched in economic merit order times, (ii) the absolute value of the difference between the expected Locational Marginal Price at the generation bus for the generation resource and the lesser of the available market-based or highest available cost-based energy offer from the
For hydroelectric resources offering to sell Regulation in a regulating hour, the estimated unit-specific opportunity costs for each hydroelectric resource in spill conditions as defined in the PJM Manuals will be the full value of the Locational Marginal Price at that generation bus for each megawatt of Regulation capability.

The estimated unit-specific opportunity costs for each hydroelectric resource that is not in spill conditions as defined in the PJM Manuals and has a day-ahead megawatt commitment greater than zero shall be equal to the product of (i) the deviation of the set point of the hydroelectric resource that is expected to be required in order to provide Regulation from the hydroelectric resource’s expected output level if it had been dispatched in economic merit order times (ii) the difference between the expected Locational Marginal Price at the generation bus for the hydroelectric resource and the average of the Locational Marginal Price at the generation bus for the appropriate on-peak or off-peak period as defined in the PJM Manuals, excluding those hours during which all available units at the hydroelectric resource were operating. Estimated opportunity costs shall be zero for hydroelectric resources for which the average Locational Marginal Price at the generation bus for the appropriate on-peak or off-peak period is higher than the actual Locational Marginal Price at the generator bus for the Real-time Settlement Interval.

The estimated unit-specific opportunity costs for each hydroelectric resource that is not in spill conditions as defined in the PJM Manuals and does not have a day-ahead megawatt commitment greater than zero shall be equal to the product of (i) the deviation of the set point of the hydroelectric resource that is expected to be required in order to provide Regulation from the hydroelectric resource’s expected output level if it had been dispatched in economic merit order times (ii) the difference between the expected Locational Marginal Price at the generation bus for the appropriate on-peak or off-peak period as defined in the PJM Manuals, excluding those hours during which all available units at the hydroelectric resource were operating and the expected Locational Marginal Price at the generation bus for the hydroelectric resource. Estimated opportunity costs shall be zero for hydroelectric resources for which the actual Locational Marginal Price at the generator bus for the Real-time Settlement Interval is higher than the average Locational Marginal Price at the generation bus for the appropriate on-peak or off-peak period, excluding those Real-time Settlement Intervals during which all available units at the hydroelectric resource were operating.

For the purpose of committing resources and setting Regulation market clearing prices, the Office of the Interconnection shall utilize day-ahead Locational Marginal Prices to calculate opportunity costs for hydroelectric resources. For the purposes of settlements, the Office of the Interconnection shall utilize the real-time Locational Marginal Prices to calculate opportunity costs for hydroelectric resources.

Estimated opportunity costs for Demand Resources to provide Regulation are zero.
(e) In determining the credit under subsection (b) to a Market Participant selected to provide Regulation in a Regulation Zone and that actively follows the Office of the Interconnection’s Regulation signals and instructions, the unit-specific opportunity cost of a generation resource shall be determined for (1) each Real-time Settlement Interval that the Office of the Interconnection requires a generation resource to provide Regulation, and (2) the last three Real-time Settlement Intervals of the preceding shoulder hour and the first three Real-time Settlement Intervals of the following shoulder hour in accordance with the PJM Manuals and below.

The unit-specific opportunity cost incurred during the Real-time Settlement Interval in which the Regulation obligation is fulfilled shall be equal to the product of (i) the deviation of the generation resource’s output necessary to follow the Office of the Interconnection’s Regulation signals from the generation resource’s expected output level if it had been dispatched in economic merit order times (ii) the absolute value of the difference between the Locational Marginal Price at the generation bus for the generation resource and the lesser of the available market-based or highest available cost-based energy offer from the generation resource (at the actual megawatt level of the resource when the actual megawatt level is within the tolerance defined in the PJM Manuals for the Regulation set point, or at the Regulation set point for the resource when it is not within the corresponding tolerance) in the PJM Interchange Energy Market. Opportunity costs for Demand Resources to provide Regulation are zero.

The unit-specific opportunity costs associated with uneconomic operation during each of the preceding three Real-time Settlement Intervals of the shoulder hour shall be equal to the product of (i) the deviation between the set point of the generation resource that is expected to be required in the initial regulating Real-time Settlement Interval in order to provide Regulation and the resource’s expected output in each of the preceding three Real-time Settlement Intervals of the shoulder hour times (ii) the absolute value of the difference between the Locational Marginal Price at the generation bus for the generation resource in each of the preceding three Real-time Settlement Intervals of the shoulder hour and the lesser of the available market-based or highest available cost-based energy offer from the generation resource (at the megawatt level of the Regulation set point for the resource in the initial regulating Real-time Settlement Interval) in the PJM Interchange Energy Market, all as determined by the Office of the Interconnection in accordance with procedures specified in the PJM Manuals.

The unit-specific opportunity costs associated with uneconomic operation during each of the following three Real-time Settlement Intervals of the shoulder hour shall be equal to the product of (i) the deviation between the set point of the generation resource that is expected to be required in the final regulating Real-time Settlement Interval in order to provide Regulation and the resource’s expected output in each of the following three Real-time Settlement Intervals of the shoulder hour times (ii) the absolute value of the difference between the Locational Marginal Price at the generation bus for the generation resource in each of the following three Real-time Settlement Intervals of the shoulder hour and the lesser of the available market-based or highest available cost-based energy offer from the generation resource (at the megawatt level of the Regulation set point for the resource in final regulating hour) in the PJM Interchange Energy Market all as determined by the Office of the Interconnection in accordance with procedures specified in the PJM Manuals.
(f) Any amounts credited for Regulation in an hour in excess of the Regulation market-clearing price in that hour shall be allocated and charged to each Market Participant in a Regulation Zone that does not meet its hourly Regulation obligation in proportion to its purchases of Regulation in such Regulation Zone in megawatt-hours during that hour.

(g) To determine the Regulation market performance-clearing price for each Regulation Zone, the Office of the Interconnection shall adjust the submitted performance offer for each resource in accordance with the historical performance of that resource, the amount of Regulation that resource will be dispatched based on the ratio of control signals calculated by the Office of the Interconnection, and the unit-specific benefits factor described in subsection (j) of this section for which that resource is qualified. The maximum adjusted performance offer of all cleared resources will set the Regulation market performance-clearing price.

The owner of each Regulation resource that actively follows the Office of the Interconnection’s Regulation signals and instructions, will be credited for Regulation performance by multiplying the assigned MW(s) by the Regulation market performance-clearing price, by the ratio between the requested mileage for the Regulation dispatch signal assigned to the Regulation resource and the Regulation dispatch signal assigned to traditional resources, and by the Regulation resource’s accuracy score calculated in accordance with subsection (k) of this section.

(h) The Office of the Interconnection shall divide each Regulation resource’s capability offer by the unit-specific benefits factor described in subsection (j) of this section and divided by the historic accuracy score for the resource for the purposes of committing resources and setting the market clearing prices.

The Office of the Interconnection shall calculate the Regulation market capability-clearing price for each Regulation Zone by subtracting the Regulation market performance-clearing price described in subsection (g) from the total Regulation market clearing price described in subsection (c). This residual sets the Regulation market capability-clearing price for that market Real-time Settlement Interval.

The owner of each Regulation resource that actively follows the Office of the Interconnection’s Regulation signals and instructions will be credited for Regulation capability based on the assigned MW and the capability Regulation market-clearing price multiplied by the Regulation resource’s accuracy score calculated in accordance with subsection (k) of this section.

(i) In accordance with the processes described in the PJM Manuals, the Office of the Interconnection shall: (i) calculate inter-temporal opportunity costs for each applicable resource; (ii) include such inter-temporal opportunity costs in each applicable resource’s offer to sell frequency Regulation service; and (iii) account for such inter-temporal opportunity costs in the Regulation market-clearing price.

(j) The Office of the Interconnection shall calculate a unit-specific benefits factor for each of the dynamic Regulation signal and traditional Regulation signal in accordance with the PJM Manuals. Each resource shall be assigned a unit-specific benefits factor based on their
order in the merit order stack for the applicable Regulation signal. The unit-specific benefits factor is the point on the benefits factor curve that aligns with the last megawatt, adjusted by historical performance, that resource will add to the dynamic resource stack. Resources following the dynamic Regulation signal which have a unit-specific benefits factor less than 0.1 will not be considered for the purposes of committing resources. The unit-specific benefits factor for the traditional Regulation signal shall be equal to one.

(k) The Office of the Interconnection shall calculate each Regulation resource’s accuracy score. The accuracy score shall be the average of a delay score, correlation score, and energy score for each ten second interval. For purposes of setting the interval to be used for the correlation score and delay scores, PJM will use the maximum of the correlation score plus the delay score for each interval.

The Office of the Interconnection shall calculate the correlation score using the following statistical correlation function (r) that measures the delay in response between the Regulation signal and the resource change in output:

\[ \text{Correlation Score} = r_{\text{Signal,Response}}(\delta, \delta + 5 \text{ Min}); \]

where \( \delta \) is delay.

The Office of the Interconnection shall calculate the delay score using the following equation:

\[ \text{Delay Score} = \text{Abs} \left( \frac{\delta - 5 \text{ Minutes}}{5 \text{ Minutes}} \right). \]

The Office of the Interconnection shall calculate an energy score as a function of the difference in the energy provided versus the energy requested by the Regulation signal while scaling for the number of samples. The energy score is the absolute error (\( \varepsilon \)) as a function of the resource’s Regulation capacity using the following equations:

\[ \text{Energy Score} = 1 - \frac{1}{n} \sum \text{Abs(Error)}; \]

\[ \text{Error} = \text{Average of Abs((Response - Regulation Signal) / (Hourly Average Regulation Signal))}; \]

\[ n = \text{the number of samples in the hour and the energy.} \]

The Office of the Interconnection shall calculate an accuracy score for each Regulation resource that is the average of the delay score, correlation score, and energy score for a five-minute period using the following equation where the energy score, the delay score, and the correlation score are each weighted equally:

\[ \text{Accuracy Score} = \max ((\text{Delay Score}) + (\text{Correlation Score})) + (\text{Energy Score}). \]
The historic accuracy score will be based on a rolling average of the Real-time Settlement Interval accuracy scores, with consideration of the qualification score, as defined in the PJM Manuals.

3.2.2A Offer Price Caps.

3.2.2A.1 Applicability.

(a) Each hour, the Office of the Interconnection shall conduct a three-pivotal supplier test as described in this section. Regulation offers from Market Sellers that fail the three-pivotal supplier test shall be capped in the hour in which they failed the test at their cost based offers as determined pursuant to section 1.10.1A(e) of this Schedule. A Regulation supplier fails the three-pivotal supplier test in any hour in which such Regulation supplier and the two largest other Regulation suppliers are jointly pivotal.

(b) For the purposes of conducting the three-pivotal supplier test pursuant to this section, the following applies:

(i) The three-pivotal supplier test will include in the definition of available supply all offers from resources capable of satisfying the Regulation requirement of the PJM Region multiplied by the historic accuracy score of the resource and multiplied by the unit-specific benefits factor for which the capability cost-based offer plus the performance cost-based offer plus any eligible opportunity costs is no greater than 150 percent of the clearing price that would be calculated if all offers were limited to cost (plus eligible opportunity costs).

(ii) The three-pivotal supplier test will apply on a Regulation supplier basis (i.e. not a resource by resource basis) and only the Regulation suppliers that fail the three-pivotal supplier test will have their Regulation offers capped. A Regulation supplier for the purposes of this section includes corporate affiliates. Regulation from resources controlled by a Regulation supplier or its affiliates, whether by contract with unaffiliated third parties or otherwise, will be included as Regulation of that Regulation supplier. Regulation provided by resources owned by a Regulation supplier but controlled by an unaffiliated third party, whether by contract or otherwise, will be included as Regulation of that third party.

(iii) Each supplier shall be ranked from the largest to the smallest offered megawatt of eligible Regulation supply adjusted by the historic performance of each resource and the unit-specific benefits factor. Suppliers are then tested in order, starting with the three largest suppliers. For each iteration of the test, the two largest suppliers are combined with a third supplier, and the combined supply is subtracted from total effective supply. The resulting net amount of eligible supply is divided by the Regulation requirement for the hour to determine the residual supply index. Where the residual supply index for three pivotal suppliers is less than or equal to 1.0, then the three suppliers are jointly pivotal and the suppliers being tested fail the three pivotal supplier test. Iterations of the test continue until the combination of the two largest suppliers and
a third supplier result in a residual supply index greater than 1.0, at which point the remaining suppliers pass the test. Any resource owner that fails the three-pivotal supplier test will be offer-capped.

3.2.3 Operating Reserves.

(a) A Market Seller’s pool-scheduled resources capable of providing Operating Reserves shall be credited as specified below based on the applicable offer for the operation of such resource, provided that the resource was available for the entire time specified in the Offer Data for such resource. To the extent that Section 3.2.3A.01 of Schedule 1 of this Agreement does not meet the Day-ahead Scheduling Reserves Requirement, the Office of the Interconnection shall schedule additional Operating Reserves pursuant to Section 1.7.17 and 1.10 of Schedule 1 of this Agreement. In addition the Office of the Interconnection shall schedule Operating Reserves pursuant to those sections to satisfy any unforeseen Operating Reserve requirements that are not reflected in the Day-ahead Scheduling Reserves Requirement.

(b) The following determination shall be made for each pool-scheduled resource that is scheduled in the Day-ahead Energy Market: the total offered price for Start-up Costs and No-load Costs and energy, determined on the basis of the resource’s scheduled output, shall be compared to the total value of that resource’s energy – as determined by the Day-ahead Energy Market and the Day-ahead Prices applicable to the relevant generation bus in the Day-ahead Energy Market. PJM shall also (i) determine whether any resources were scheduled in the Day-ahead Energy Market to provide Black Start service, Reactive Services or transfer interface control during the Operating Day because they are known or expected to be needed to maintain system reliability in a Zone during the Operating Day in order to minimize the total cost of Operating Reserves associated with the provision of such services and reflect the most accurate possible expectation of real-time operating conditions in the day-ahead model, which resources would not have otherwise been committed in the day-ahead security-constrained dispatch and (ii) report on the day following the Operating Day the megawatt quantities scheduled in the Day-ahead Energy Market for the above-enumerated purposes for the entire RTO.

Except as provided in Section 3.2.3(n), if the total offered price for Start-up Costs (shutdown costs for Demand Resources) and No-load Costs and energy summed over all Day-ahead Settlement Intervals exceeds the total value summed over all Day-ahead Settlement Intervals, the difference shall be credited to the Market Seller as a day-ahead Operating Reserve credit.

However, for the Day-ahead Settlement Intervals in which the resource is scheduled to provide energy in the Operating Day and the resource actually provides energy in at least one Real-time Settlement Interval in an hour that corresponds to such scheduled Day-ahead Settlement Intervals, a resource’s day-ahead Operating Reserve credit shall be reduced by the greater of zero or the difference of the resource’s Day-ahead Operating Reserve Target and the Balancing Operating Reserve Target, as determined below.

A resource’s Day-ahead Operating Reserve Target shall be determined in accordance with the following equation:
\[(A + B) - C\]

Where:

\(A = \text{Start-up Costs}\)

\(B = \text{the sum of day-ahead No-load Costs and energy over the applicable Real-time Settlement Intervals that correspond with Day-ahead Settlement Intervals in which the resource is scheduled. The day-ahead No-load Costs and energy are divided by twelve to determine the cost for each Real-time Settlement Interval.}\)

\(C = \text{the sum of the day-ahead revenues calculated for each Real-time Settlement Interval that corresponds with a Day-ahead Settlement Interval in which the resource is scheduled, where the day-ahead revenue for each such Real-time Settlement Interval equals the product of the megawatt amount of energy scheduled in the Day-ahead Energy Market and the Day-ahead Price at the applicable pricing point for the resource divided by twelve.}\)

A resource’s Balancing Operating Reserve Target shall be determined in accordance with the following equation:

\[D - (E + F)\]

Where:

\(D = \text{the sum of Start-up Costs and No-load Costs and the incremental cost of energy summed over all Real-time Settlement Intervals that correspond to the Day-ahead Settlement Intervals in which the resource was scheduled;}\)

\(E = [(\text{the megawatt amount of energy provided in the Real-time Energy Market minus the megawatt amount of energy scheduled in the Day-ahead Energy Market}) \times \text{the Real-time Price at the applicable pricing point for the resource}] + \text{the sum of the day-ahead revenues as determined in part C of the above formula for determining the Day-ahead Operating Reserve Target, summed over the applicable Real-time Settlement Intervals;}\)

\(F = \text{the sum of all revenues earned for providing Day-ahead Scheduling Reserves, Synchronized Reserves, Non-Synchronized Reserves, and Reactive Services over the applicable Real-time Settlement Intervals.}\)

The Office of the Interconnection shall apply any balancing Operating Reserve credits allocated pursuant to this section 3.2.3(b) to real-time deviations or real-time load share plus exports, pursuant to Operating Agreement, Schedule 1, section 3.2.3(p), depending on whether the balancing Operating Reserve credits are related to resources scheduled during the reliability analysis for an Operating Day, or during the actual Operating Day.
(i) For resources scheduled by the Office of the Interconnection during the reliability analysis for an Operating Day, the associated balancing Operating Reserve credits shall be allocated based on the reason the resource was scheduled according to the following provisions:

(A) If the Office of the Interconnection determines during the reliability analysis for an Operating Day that a resource was committed to operate in real-time to augment the physical resources committed in the Day-ahead Energy Market to meet the forecasted real-time load plus the Operating Reserve requirement, the associated balancing Operating Reserve credits, identified as RA Credits for Deviations, shall be allocated to real-time deviations.

(B) If the Office of the Interconnection determines during the reliability analysis for an Operating Day that a resource was committed to maintain system reliability, the associated balancing Operating Reserve credits, identified as RA Credits for Reliability, shall be allocated according to ratio share of real time load plus export transactions.

(C) If the Office of the Interconnection determines during the reliability analysis for an Operating Day that a resource with a day-ahead schedule is required to deviate from that schedule to provide balancing Operating Reserves, the associated balancing Operating Reserve credits shall be segmented and separately allocated pursuant to subsections 3.2.3(b)(i)(A) or 3.2.3(b)(i)(B) hereof. Balancing Operating Reserve credits for such resources will be identified in the same manner as units committed during the reliability analysis pursuant to subsections 3.2.3(b)(i)(A) and 3.2.3(b)(i)(B) hereof.

(ii) For resources scheduled during an Operating Day, the associated balancing Operating Reserve credits shall be allocated according to the following provisions:

(A) If the Office of the Interconnection directs a resource to operate during an Operating Day to provide balancing Operating Reserves, the associated balancing Operating Reserve credits, identified as RT Credits for Reliability, shall be allocated according to ratio share of load plus exports. The foregoing notwithstanding, credits will be applied pursuant to this section only if the LMP at the resource's bus does not meet or exceed the applicable offer of the resource for at least four 5-minute intervals during one or more discrete clock hours during each period the resource operated and produced MWs during the relevant Operating Day. If a resource operated and produced MWs for less than four 5-minute intervals during one or more discrete clock hours during the relevant Operating Day, the credits for that resource during the hour it was operated less than four 5-minute intervals will be identified as being in the same category (RT Credits for Reliability or RT Credits for Deviations) as identified for the Operating Reserves for the other discrete clock hours.
(B) If the Office of the Interconnection directs a resource not covered by Section 3.2.3(b)(ii)(A) hereof to operate in real-time during an Operating Day, the associated balancing Operating Reserve credits, identified as RT Credits for Deviations, shall be allocated according to real-time deviations from day-ahead schedules.

(iii) PJM shall post on its Web site the aggregate amount of MWs committed that meet the criteria referenced in subsections (b)(i) and (b)(ii) hereof.

(c) The sum of the foregoing credits calculated in accordance with Section 3.2.3(b) plus any unallocated charges from Section 3.2.3(h) and 5.1.7, and any shortfalls paid pursuant to the Market Settlement provision of the Day-ahead Economic Load Response Program, shall be the cost of Operating Reserves in the Day-ahead Energy Market.

(d) The cost of Operating Reserves in the Day-ahead Energy Market shall be allocated and charged to each Market Participant in proportion to the sum of its (i) scheduled load (net of Behind The Meter Generation expected to be operating, but not to be less than zero) and accepted Decrement Bids in the Day-ahead Energy Market in megawatt-hours for that Operating Day; and (ii) scheduled energy sales in the Day-ahead Energy Market from within the PJM Region to load outside such region in megawatt-hours for that Operating Day, but not including its bilateral transactions that are Dynamic Transfers to load outside such area pursuant to Section 1.12, except to the extent PJM scheduled resources to provide Black Start service, Reactive Services or transfer interface control. The cost of Operating Reserves in the Day-ahead Energy Market for resources scheduled to provide Black Start service for the Operating Day which resources would not have otherwise been committed in the day-ahead security constrained dispatch shall be allocated by ratio share of the monthly transmission use of each Network Customer or Transmission Customer serving Zone Load or Non-Zone Load, as determined in accordance with the formulas contained in Schedule 6A of the PJM Tariff. The cost of Operating Reserves in the Day-ahead Energy Market for resources scheduled to provide Reactive Services or transfer interface control because they are known or expected to be needed to maintain system reliability in a Zone during the Operating Day and would not have otherwise been committed in the day-ahead security constrained dispatch shall be allocated and charged to each Market Participant in proportion to the sum of its real-time deliveries of energy to load (net of operating Behind The Meter Generation) in such Zone, served under Network Transmission Service, in megawatt-hours during that Operating Day, as compared to all such deliveries for all Market Participants in such Zone.

(e) At the end of each Operating Day, the following determination shall be made for each synchronized pool-scheduled resource of each Market Seller that operates as requested by the Office of the Interconnection. For each calendar day, pool-scheduled resources in the Real-time Energy Market shall be made whole for each of the following Segments: 1) the greater of their day-ahead schedules and minimum run time specified at the time of commitment (minimum down time specified at the time of commitment for Demand Resources); and 2) any block of Real-time Settlement Intervals the resource operates at PJM’s direction in excess of the greater of its day-ahead schedule and minimum run time specified at the time of commitment (minimum down time specified at the time of commitment for Demand Resources). For each calendar day,
and for each synchronized start of a generation resource or PJM-dispatched economic load reduction, there will be a maximum of two Segments for each resource. Segment 1 will be the greater of the day-ahead schedule and minimum run time specified at the time of commitment (minimum down time specified at the time of commitment for Demand Resources) and Segment 2 will include the remainder of the contiguous Real-time Settlement Intervals when the resource is operating at the direction of the Office of the Interconnection, provided that a segment is limited to the Operating Day in which it commenced and cannot include any part of the following Operating Day.

A Generation Capacity Resource that operates outside of its unit-specific parameters will not receive Operating Reserve Credits nor be made whole for such operation when not dispatched by the Office of the Interconnection, unless the Market Seller of the Generation Capacity Resource can justify to the Office of the Interconnection that operation outside of such unit-specific parameters was the result of an actual constraint. Such Market Seller shall provide to the Market Monitoring Unit and the Office of the Interconnection its request to receive Operating Reserve Credits and/or to be made whole for such operation, along with documentation explaining in detail the reasons for operating its resource outside of its unit-specific parameters, within thirty calendar days following the issuance of billing statement for the Operating Day. The Market Seller shall also respond to additional requests for information from the Market Monitoring Unit and the Office of the Interconnection. The Market Monitoring Unit shall evaluate such request for compensation and provide its determination of whether there was an exercise of market power to the Office of the Interconnection by no later than twenty-five calendar days after receiving the Market Seller’s request for compensation. The Office of the Interconnection shall make its determination whether the Market Seller justified that it is entitled to receive Operating Reserve Credits and/or be made whole for such operation of its resource for the day(s) in question, by no later than thirty calendar days after receiving the Market Seller’s request for compensation.

Credits received pursuant to this section shall be equal to the positive difference between a resource’s Total Operating Reserve Offer, and the total value of the resource’s energy in the Day-ahead Energy Market plus any credit or change for quantity deviations, at PJM dispatch direction (excluding quantity deviations caused by an increase in the Market Seller’s Real-time Offer), from the Day-ahead Energy Market during the Operating Day at the real-time LMP(s) applicable to the relevant generation bus in the Real-time Energy Market. The foregoing notwithstanding, credits for Segment 2 shall exclude start up (shutdown costs for Demand Resources) costs for generation resources.

Except as provided in Section 3.2.3(m), if the total offered price exceeds the total value, the difference less any credit as determined pursuant to Section 3.2.3(b), and less any amounts credited for Synchronized Reserve in excess of the Synchronized Reserve offer plus the resource’s opportunity cost, and less any amounts credited for Non-Synchronized Reserve in excess of the Non-Synchronized Reserve offer plus the resource’s opportunity cost, and less any amounts credited for providing Reactive Services as specified in Section 3.2.3B, and less any amounts for Day-ahead Scheduling Reserve in excess of the Day-ahead Scheduling Reserve offer plus the resource’s opportunity cost, shall be credited to the Market Seller.
Synchronized Reserve, Non-Synchronized Reserve, and Real-time Settlement Interval share of the Day-ahead Scheduling Reserve credits applied against Operating Reserve credits pursuant to this section shall be netted against the Operating Reserve credits earned in the corresponding Real-time Settlement Interval(s) in which the Synchronized Reserve, Non-Synchronized Reserve, and Day-ahead Scheduling Reserve credits accrued, provided that for condensing combustion turbines, Synchronized Reserve credits will be netted against the total Operating Reserve credits accrued during each Real-time Settlement Interval the unit operates in condensing and generation mode.

(f) A Market Seller of a unit not defined in subsection (f-1), (f-2), or (f-4) hereof (or self-scheduled, if operating according to Section 1.10.3 (c) hereof), the output of which is reduced or suspended at the request of the Office of the Interconnection due to a transmission constraint or other reliability issue, and for which the real-time LMP at the unit’s bus is higher than the unit’s offer corresponding to the level of output requested by the Office of the Interconnection (as indicated either by the desired MWs of output from the unit determined by PJM’s unit dispatch system or as directed by the PJM dispatcher through a manual override), shall be credited for each Real-time Settlement Interval in an amount equal to the product of (A) the deviation of the generating unit’s output necessary to follow the Office of the Interconnection’s signals and the generating unit’s expected output level if it had been dispatched in economic merit order, times (B) the Locational Marginal Price at the generation bus for the generating unit, minus (C) the Total Lost Opportunity Cost Offer, provided that the resulting outcome is greater than $0.00. This equation is represented as (A*B) - C.

(f-1) With the exception of Market Sellers of Flexible Resources that submit a Real-time Offer greater than their resource’s Committed Offer in the Day-ahead Energy Market, a Market Seller of a Flexible Resource shall be compensated for lost opportunity cost, and shall be limited to the lesser of the unit’s Economic Maximum or the unit’s Generation Resource Maximum Output, if either of the following conditions occur:

(i) if the unit output is reduced at the direction of the Office of the Interconnection and the real time LMP at the unit’s bus is higher than the unit’s offer corresponding to the level of output requested by the Office of the Interconnection (as directed by the PJM dispatcher), then the Market Seller shall be credited in a manner consistent with that described in section 3.2.3 (f).

(ii) If the unit is scheduled to produce energy in the Day-ahead Energy Market for a Day-ahead Settlement Interval, but the unit is not called on by the Office of the Interconnection and does not operate in the corresponding Real-time Settlement Interval(s), then the Market Seller shall be credited in an amount equal to the higher of:

1) the product of (A) the amount of megawatts committed in the Day-ahead Energy Market for the generating unit, and (B) the Real-time Price at the generation bus for the generating unit, minus the sum of (C) the Total Lost Opportunity Cost Offer plus No-load Costs, plus (D) the Start-up Cost, divided by the
Real-time Settlement Intervals committed for each set of contiguous hours for which the unit was scheduled in Day-ahead Energy Market. This equation is represented as \((A\times B) - (C+D)\). The startup cost, \((D)\), shall be excluded from this calculation if the unit operates in real time following the Office of the Interconnection’s direction during any portion of the set of contiguous hours for which the unit was scheduled in Day-ahead Energy Market, or

2) the Real-time Price at the unit’s bus minus the Day-ahead Price at the unit’s bus, multiplied by the number of megawatts committed in the Day-ahead Energy Market for the generating unit.

Market Sellers of Flexible Resources that submit a Real-time Offer greater than their resource’s Committed Offer in the Day-ahead Energy Market shall not be eligible to receive compensation for lost opportunity costs under any applicable provisions of Schedule 1 of this Agreement.

(f-2) A Market Seller of a hydroelectric resource that is pool-scheduled (or self-scheduled, if operating according to Section 1.10.3 (c) hereof), the output of which is altered at the request of the Office of the Interconnection from the schedule submitted by the owner, due to a transmission constraint or other reliability issue, shall be compensated for lost opportunity cost in the same manner as provided in sections 3.2.2(d) and 3.2.3A(f) and further detailed in the PJM Manuals.

(f-3) If a Market Seller believes that, due to specific pre-existing binding commitments to which it is a party, and that properly should be recognized for purposes of this section, the above calculations do not accurately compensate the Market Seller for opportunity cost associated with following PJM dispatch instructions and reducing or suspending a unit’s output due to a transmission constraint or other reliability issue, then the Office of the Interconnection, the Market Monitoring Unit and the individual Market Seller will discuss a mutually acceptable, modified amount of opportunity cost compensation, taking into account the specific circumstances binding on the Market Seller. Following such discussion, if the Office of the Interconnection accepts a modified amount of opportunity cost compensation, the Office of the Interconnection shall invoice the Market Seller accordingly. If the Market Monitoring Unit disagrees with the modified amount of opportunity cost compensation, as accepted by the Office of the Interconnection, it will exercise its powers to inform the Commission staff of its concerns.

(f-4) A Market Seller of a wind generating unit that is pool-scheduled or self-scheduled, has SCADA capability to transmit and receive instructions from the Office of the Interconnection, has provided data and established processes to follow PJM basepoints pursuant to the requirements for wind generating units as further detailed in this Agreement, the Tariff and the PJM Manuals, and which is operating as requested by the Office of the Interconnection, the output of which is reduced or suspended at the request of the Office of the Interconnection due to a transmission constraint or other reliability issue, and for which the real-time LMP at the unit’s bus is higher than the unit’s offer corresponding to the level of output requested by the Office of
the Interconnection (as indicated either by the desired MWs of output from the unit determined by PJM’s unit dispatch system or as directed by the PJM dispatcher through a manual override), shall be credited for each Real-time Settlement Interval in an amount equal to the product of (A) the deviation of the generating unit’s output necessary to follow the Office of the Interconnection’s signals and the generating unit’s expected output level if it had been dispatched in economic merit order, times (B) the Real-time Price at the generation bus for the generating unit, minus (C) the Total Lost Opportunity Cost Offer, provided that the resulting outcome is greater than $0.00. This equation is represented as (A*B) - C.

(f-5) (i) A Market Seller of a pool-scheduled resource or a dispatchable self-scheduled resource shall receive Dispatch Differential Lost Opportunity Cost credits as calculated under subsection (iv) below if the resource is dispatched to provide energy in the Real-time Energy Market, provided such resource is not committed to provide real-time ancillary services (Regulation, reserves, reactive service) or instructed to reduce or suspend output due to a transmission constraint or other reliability issue pursuant to Operating Agreement, Schedule 1, section 3.2.3(f-1) through Operating Agreement, Schedule 1, section (f-4).

(ii) PJM will calculate the revenue above cost for the pricing run for each Real-time Settlement Interval in accordance with the following equation:

( A x B ) - C

Where:

A = the resource’s expected output level based on its resource parameters at the Real-time Price at the applicable pricing point;

B = the Real-time Price at the applicable pricing point; and

C = the sum of the resource’s Real-time Energy Market offer integrated under the Final Offer for the resource’s expected output level based on its resource parameters at the Real-time Price at the applicable pricing point.

(iii) PJM will calculate the revenue above cost for the dispatch run for each Real-time Settlement Interval in accordance with the following equation:

( greater of A and B ) – ( lesser of C and D )

Where:

A = the product of the amount of megawatts of energy dispatched in the Real-time Energy Market dispatch run for the resource in that Real-time Settlement Interval and the Real-time Price at the applicable pricing point;
B = the product of the amount of megawatts of energy the resource actually provided in that Real-time Settlement Interval and the Real-time Price at the applicable pricing point;

C = the resource’s Real-time Energy Market offer integrated under the Final Offer for the amount of megawatts dispatched in the Real-time Energy Market dispatch run;

D = the resource’s Real-time Energy Market offer integrated under the Final Offer for the amount of megawatts the resource actually provided in that Real-time Settlement Interval.

(iv) The Dispatch Differential Lost Opportunity Cost credit shall equal the greater of (A) the difference between the revenue above cost based on the pricing run determined in subsection (f-5)(ii) and the revenue above cost based on the dispatch run determined in subsection (f-5)(iii) or (B) zero.

(v) For each hour in an Operating Day, the total cost of the Dispatch Differential Lost Opportunity Cost credits shall be allocated and charged to each Market Participant in proportion to the sum of its (i) deliveries of energy to load ((a) net of operating Behind The Meter Generation, but not to be less than zero; and (b) excluding Direct Charging Energy) in the PJM Region, served under Network Transmission Service, in megawatt-hours; and (ii) deliveries of energy sales from within the PJM Region to load outside such region in megawatt-hours but not including its bilateral transactions that are Dynamic Transfers to load outside the PJM Region pursuant to Operating Agreement, Schedule 1, section 1.12, as compared to the sum of all such deliveries for all Market Participants.

(g) The sum of the foregoing credits in Operating Agreement, Schedule 1, section 3.2.3(f-1) through Operating Agreement, Schedule 1, section 3.2.3(f-4), plus any cancellation fees paid in accordance with Section 1.10.2(d), such cancellation fees to be applied to the Operating Day for which the unit was scheduled, plus any shortfalls paid pursuant to the Market Settlement provision of the real-time Economic Load Response Program, less any payments received from another Control Area for Operating Reserves shall be the cost of Operating Reserves for the Real-time Energy Market in each Operating Day.

(h) The cost of Operating Reserves for the Real-time Energy Market for each Operating Day, except those associated with the scheduling of units for Black Start service or testing of Black Start Units as provided in Schedule 6A of the PJM Tariff, shall be allocated and charged to each Market Participant based on their daily total of hourly deviations determined in accordance with the following equation:

\[ \sum_h (A + B + C) \]

Where:

h = the hours in the applicable Operating Day;
A = For each Real-time Settlement Interval in an hour, the sum of the absolute value of the withdrawal deviations (in MW) between the quantities scheduled in the Day-ahead Energy Market and the Market Participant’s energy withdrawals (net of operating Behind The Meter Generation) in the Real-Time Energy Market, except as noted in subsection (h)(ii) below and in the PJM Manuals divided by the number of Real-time Settlement Intervals for that hour. The summation of each Real-time Settlement Interval’s withdrawal deviation in an hour will be the Market Participant’s total hourly withdrawal deviations. Market Participant bilateral transactions that are Dynamic Transfers to load outside the PJM Region pursuant to section 1.12 of this Schedule are not included in the determination of withdrawal deviations;

B = For each Real-time Settlement Interval in an hour, the sum of the absolute value of generation deviations (in MW and not including deviations in Behind The Meter Generation) as determined in subsection (o) divided by the number of Real-Time Settlement Intervals for that hour;

C = For each Real-time Settlement Interval in an hour, the sum of the absolute value of the injection deviations (in MW) between the quantities scheduled in the Day-ahead Energy Market and the Market Participant’s energy injections in the Real-Time Energy Market divided by the number of Real-time Settlement Intervals for that hour. The summation of the injection deviations for each Real-time Settlement Interval in an hour will be the Market Participant’s total hourly injection deviations. The determination of injection deviations does not include generation resources.

The Revenue Data for Settlements determined for each Real-time Settlement Interval in accordance with section 3.1A of this Schedule shall be used in determining the real-time withdrawal deviations, generation deviations and injection deviations used to calculate Operating Reserve under this subsection (e).

The costs associated with scheduling of units for Black Start service or testing of Black Start Units shall be allocated by ratio share of the monthly transmission use of each Network Customer or Transmission Customer serving Zone Load or Non-Zone Load, as determined in accordance with the formulas contained in Schedule 6A of the PJM Tariff.

Notwithstanding section (h)(1) above, as more fully set forth in the PJM Manuals, load deviations from the Day-ahead Energy Market shall not be assessed Operating Reserves charges to the extent attributable to reductions in the load of Price Responsive Demand that is in response to an increase in Locational Marginal Price from the Day-ahead Energy Market to the Real-time Energy Market and that is in accordance with a properly submitted PRD Curve.

Deviations that occur within a single Zone shall be associated with the Eastern or Western Region, as defined in Section 3.2.3(q) of this Schedule, and shall be subject to the regional balancing Operating Reserve rate determined in accordance with Section 3.2.3(q). Deviations at a hub shall be associated with the Eastern or Western Region if all the buses that define the hub are located in the region. Deviations at an Interface Pricing Point shall be associated with whichever region, the Eastern or Western Region, with which the majority of the buses that
define that Interface Pricing Point are most closely electrically associated. If deviations at interfaces and hubs are associated with the Eastern or Western region, they shall be subject to the regional balancing Operating Reserve rate. Demand and supply deviations shall be based on total activity in a Zone, including all aggregates and hubs defined by buses that are wholly contained within the same Zone.

The foregoing notwithstanding, netting deviations shall be allowed for each Real-time Settlement Interval in accordance with the following provisions:

(i) Generation resources with multiple units located at a single bus shall be able to offset deviations in accordance with the PJM Manuals to determine the net deviation MW at the relevant bus.

(ii) Demand deviations will be assessed by comparing all day-ahead demand transactions at a single transmission zone, hub, or interface against the real-time demand transactions at that same transmission zone, hub, or interface; except that the positive values of demand deviations, as set forth in the PJM Manuals, will not be assessed Operating Reserve charges in the event of a Primary Reserve or Synchronized Reserve shortage in real-time or where PJM initiates the request for emergency load reductions in real-time in order to avoid a Primary Reserve or Synchronized Reserve shortage.

(iii) Supply deviations will be assessed by comparing all day-ahead transactions at a single transmission zone, hub, or interface against the real-time transactions at that same transmission zone, hub, or interface.

(iv) Bilateral transactions inside the PJM Region, as defined in Operating Agreement, Schedule 1, section 1.7.10, will not be included in the determination of Supply or Demand deviations.

(i) At the end of each Operating Day, Market Sellers shall be credited on the basis of their offered prices for synchronous condensing for purposes other than providing Synchronized Reserve or Reactive Services, as well as the credits calculated as specified in Section 3.2.3(b) for those generators committed solely for the purpose of providing synchronous condensing for purposes other than providing Synchronized Reserve or Reactive Services, at the request of the Office of the Interconnection.

(j) The sum of the foregoing credits as specified in Section 3.2.3(i) shall be the cost of Operating Reserves for synchronous condensing for the PJM Region for purposes other than providing Synchronized Reserve or Reactive Services, or in association with post-contingency operation for the Operating Day and shall be separately determined for the PJM Region.

(k) The cost of Operating Reserves for synchronous condensing for purposes other than providing Synchronized Reserve or Reactive Services, or in association with post-contingency operation for each Operating Day shall be allocated and charged to each Market Participant in proportion to the sum of its (i) deliveries of energy to load (net of operating Behind The Meter Generation, but not to be less than zero) in the PJM Region, served under Network
Transmission Service, in megawatt-hours during that Operating Day; and (ii) deliveries of energy sales from within the PJM Region to load outside such region in megawatt-hours during that Operating Day, but not including its bilateral transactions that are Dynamic Transfers to load outside the PJM Region pursuant to Section 1.12, as compared to the sum of all such deliveries for all Market Participants.

(l) For any Operating Day in either, as applicable, the Day-ahead Energy Market or the Real-time Energy Market for which, for all or any part of such Operating Day, the Office of the Interconnection: (i) declares a Maximum Generation Emergency; (ii) issues an alert that a Maximum Generation Emergency may be declared ("Maximum Generation Emergency Alert"); or (iii) schedules units based on the anticipation of a Maximum Generation Emergency or a Maximum Generation Emergency Alert, the Operating Reserves credit otherwise provided by Section 3.2.3.(b) or Section 3.2.3(e) in connection with market-based offers shall be limited as provided in subsections (n) or (m), respectively. The Office of the Interconnection shall provide timely notice on its internet site of the commencement and termination of any of the actions described in subsection (i), (ii), or (iii) of this subsection (l) (collectively referred to as "MaxGen Conditions"). Following the posting of notice of the commencement of a MaxGen Condition, a Market Seller may elect to submit a cost-based offer in accordance with Schedule 2 of the Operating Agreement, in which case subsections (m) and (n) shall not apply to such offer; provided, however, that such offer must be submitted in accordance with the deadlines in Section 1.10 for the submission of offers in the Day-ahead Energy Market or Real-time Energy Market, as applicable. Submission of a cost-based offer under such conditions shall not be precluded by Section 1.9.7(b); provided, however, that the Market Seller must return to compliance with Section 1.9.7(b) when it submits its bid for the first Operating Day after termination of the MaxGen Condition.

(m) For the Real-time Energy Market, if the Effective Offer Price (as defined below) for a market-based offer is greater than $1,000/MWh and greater than the Market Seller’s lowest available and applicable cost-based offer, the Market Seller shall not receive any credit for Operating Reserves. For purposes of this subsection (m), the Effective Offer Price shall be the amount that, absent subsections (l) and (m), would have been credited for Operating Reserves for such Operating Day pursuant to Section 3.2.3(e) plus the Real-time Energy Market revenues for the Real-time Settlement Intervals that the offer is economic divided by the megawatt hours of energy provided during the Real-time Settlement Intervals that the offer is economic. The Real-time Settlement Intervals that the offer is economic shall be: (i) the Real-time Settlement Intervals that the offer price for energy is less than or equal to the Real-time Price for the relevant generation bus, (ii) the Real-time Settlement Intervals in which the offer for energy is greater than Locational Marginal Price and the unit is operated at the direction of the Office of the Interconnection that are in addition to any Real-time Settlement Intervals required due to the minimum run time or other operating constraint of the unit, and (iii) for any unit with a minimum run time of one hour or less and with more than one start available per day, any hours the unit operated at the direction of the Office of the Interconnection.

(n) For the Day-ahead Energy Market, if notice of a MaxGen Condition is provided prior to 11:00 a.m. on the day before the Operating Day for which transactions are being scheduled and the Effective Offer Price for a market-based offer is greater than $1,000/MWh and
greater than the Market Seller’s lowest available and applicable cost-based offer, the Market Seller shall not receive any credit for Operating Reserves. If notice of a MaxGen Condition is provided after 11:00 a.m. on the day before the Operating Day for which transactions are being scheduled and the Effective Offer Price is greater than $1,000/MWh, the Market Seller shall receive credit for Operating Reserves determined in accordance with Section 3.2.3(b), subject to the limit on total compensation stated below. If the Effective Offer Price is less than or equal to $1,000/MWh, regardless of when notice of a MaxGen Condition is provided, the Market Seller shall receive credit for Operating Reserves determined in accordance with Section 3.2.3(b), subject to the limit on total compensation stated below. For purposes of this subsection (n), the Effective Offer Price shall be the amount that, absent subsections (l) and (n), would have been credited for Operating Reserves for such Operating Day divided by the megawatt hours of energy offered during the Specified Hours, plus the offer for energy during such hours. The Specified Hours shall be the lesser of: (1) the minimum run hours stated by the Market Seller in its Offer Data; and (2) either (i) for steam-electric generating units and for combined-cycle units when such units are operating in combined-cycle mode, the six consecutive hours of highest Day-ahead Price during such Operating Day when such units are running or (ii) for combustion turbine units and for combined-cycle units when such units are operating in combustion turbine mode, the two consecutive hours of highest Day-ahead Price during such Operating Day when such units are running. Notwithstanding any other provision in this subsection, the total compensation to a Market Seller on any Operating Day that includes a MaxGen Condition shall not exceed $1,000/MWh during the Specified Hours, where such total compensation in each such hour is defined as the amount that, absent subsections (l) and (n), would have been credited for Operating Reserves for such Operating Day pursuant to Section 3.2.3(b) divided by the Specified Hours, plus the Day-ahead Price for such hour, and no Operating Reserves payments shall be made for any other hour of such Operating Day. If a unit operates in real time at the direction of the Office of the Interconnection consistently with its day-ahead clearing, then subsection (m) does not apply.

(o) Dispatchable pool-scheduled generation resources and dispatchable self-scheduled generation resources that follow dispatch shall not be assessed balancing Operating Reserve deviations. Pool-scheduled generation resources and dispatchable self-scheduled generation resources that do not follow dispatch shall be assessed balancing Operating Reserve deviations in accordance with the calculations described below and in the PJM Manuals.

The Office of the Interconnection shall calculate a ramp-limited desired MW value for generation resources where the economic minimum and economic maximum are at least as far apart in real-time as they are in day-ahead according to the following parameters:

(i) real-time economic minimum <= 105% of day-ahead economic minimum or day-ahead economic minimum plus 5 MW, whichever is greater.

(ii) real-time economic maximum >= 95% day-ahead economic maximum or day-ahead economic maximum minus 5 MW, whichever is lower.

The ramp-limited desired MW value for a generation resource shall be equal to:
To determine if a generation resource is following dispatch the Office of the Interconnection shall determine the unit’s MW off dispatch and % off dispatch by using the lesser of the difference between the actual output and the UDS Basepoint or the actual output and ramp-limited desired MW value for each Real-time Settlement Interval. If the UDS Basepoint and the ramp-limited desired MW for the resource are unavailable, the Office of the Interconnection will determine the unit’s MW off dispatch and % off dispatch by calculating the lesser of the difference between the actual output and the UDS LMP Desired MW for each Real-time Settlement Interval.

A pool-scheduled or dispatchable self-scheduled resource is considered to be following dispatch if its actual output is between its ramp-limited desired MW value and UDS Basepoint, or if its % off dispatch is <= 10, or its Real-time Settlement Interval MWh is within 5% of the Real-time Settlement Interval ramp-limited desired MW. A self-scheduled generator must also be dispatched above economic minimum. The degree of deviations for resources that are not following dispatch shall be determined for each Real-time Settlement Interval in accordance with the following provisions:

- A dispatchable self-scheduled resource that is not dispatched above economic minimum shall be assessed balancing Operating Reserve deviations according to the following formula: Real-time Settlement Interval MWh – Day-Ahead MWh.

- A resource that is dispatchable day-ahead but is Fixed Gen in real-time shall be assessed balancing Operating Reserve deviations according to the following formula: Real-time Settlement Interval MWh – UDS LMP Desired MW.

- Pool-scheduled generators that are not following dispatch shall be assessed balancing Operating Reserve deviations according to the following formula: Real-time Settlement Interval MWh – Ramp-Limited Desired MW.

- If a resource’s real-time economic minimum is greater than its day-ahead economic minimum by 5% or 5 MW, whichever is greater, or its real-time economic maximum is less than its Day Ahead economic maximum by 5% or 5 MW, whichever is lower, and UDS LMP Desired MWh for the Real-time Settlement Interval is either below
the real time economic minimum or above the real time economic maximum, then balancing Operating Reserve deviations for the resource shall be assessed according to the following formula: Real time Settlement Interval MWh – UDS LMP Desired MWh.

- If a resource is not following dispatch and its % Off Dispatch is <= 20%, balancing Operating Reserve deviations shall be assessed according to the following formula: Real-time Settlement Interval MWh – Ramp-Limited Desired MW. If deviation value is within 5% of Ramp-Limited Desired MW, balancing Operating Reserve deviations shall not be assessed.

- If a resource is not following dispatch and its % off Dispatch is > 20%, balancing Operating Reserve deviations shall be assessed according to the following formula: Real-time Settlement Interval MWh – UDS LMP Desired MWh.

- If a resource is not following dispatch, and the resource has tripped, for the Real-time Settlement Interval the resource tripped and the Real-time Settlement Intervals it remains offline throughout its day-ahead schedule balancing Operating Reserve deviations shall be assessed according to the following formula: Real time Settlement Interval MWh – Day-Ahead MWh.

- For resources that are not dispatchable in both the Day-Ahead and Real-time Energy Markets balancing Operating Reserve deviations shall be assessed according to the following formula: Real-time Settlement Interval MWh - Day-Ahead MWh.

If a resource has a sum of the absolute value of generator deviations for an hour that is less than 5 MWh, then the resource shall not be assessed balancing Operating Reserve deviations for that hour.

**o-1** Dispatchable economic load reduction resources that follow dispatch shall not be assessed balancing Operating Reserve deviations. Economic load reduction resources that do not follow dispatch shall be assessed balancing Operating Reserve deviations as described in this subsection and as further specified in the PJM Manuals.

The Desired MW quantity for such resources for each hour shall be the hourly integrated MW quantity to which the load reduction resource was dispatched for each hour (where the hourly integrated value is the average of the dispatched values as determined by the Office of the Interconnection for the resource for each hour).

If the actual reduction quantity for the load reduction resource for a given hour deviates by no more than 20% above or below the Desired MW quantity, then no balancing Operating Reserve deviation will accrue for that hour. If the actual reduction quantity for the load reduction resource for a given hour is outside the 20% bandwidth, the balancing Operating Reserve deviations will accrue for that hour in the amount of the absolute value of (Desired MW – actual reduction quantity). For those hours where the actual reduction quantity is within the 20% bandwidth specified above, the load reduction resource will be eligible to be made whole for the
total value of its offer as defined in section 3.3A of this Appendix. Hours for which the actual reduction quantity is outside the 20% bandwidth will not be eligible for the make-whole payment. If at least one hour is not eligible for make-whole payment based on the 20% criteria, then the resource will also not be made whole for its shutdown cost.

(p) The Office of the Interconnection shall allocate the charges assessed pursuant to Section 3.2.3(h) of Schedule 1 of this Agreement except those associated with the scheduling of units for Black Start service or testing of Black Start Units as provided in Schedule 6A of the PJM Tariff, to real-time deviations from day-ahead schedules or real-time load share plus exports depending on whether the underlying balancing Operating Reserve credits are related to resources scheduled during the reliability analysis for an Operating Day, or during the actual Operating Day.

(i) For resources scheduled by the Office of the Interconnection during the reliability analysis for an Operating Day, the associated balancing Operating Reserve charges shall be allocated based on the reason the resource was scheduled according to the following provisions:

(A) If the Office of the Interconnection determines during the reliability analysis for an Operating Day that a resource was committed to operate in real-time to augment the physical resources committed in the Day-ahead Energy Market to meet the forecasted real-time load plus the Operating Reserve requirement, the associated balancing Operating Reserve charges shall be allocated to real-time deviations from day-ahead schedules.

(B) If the Office of the Interconnection determines during the reliability analysis for an Operating Day that a resource was committed to maintain system reliability, the associated balancing Operating Reserve charges shall be allocated according to ratio share of real time load plus export transactions.

(C) If the Office of the Interconnection determines during the reliability analysis for an Operating Day that a resource with a day-ahead schedule is required to deviate from that schedule to provide balancing Operating Reserves, the associated balancing Operating Reserve charges shall be allocated pursuant to (A) or (B) above.

(ii) For resources scheduled during an Operating Day, the associated balancing Operating Reserve charges shall be allocated according to the following provisions:

(A) If the Office of the Interconnection directs a resource to operate during an Operating Day to provide balancing Operating Reserves, the associated balancing Operating Reserve charges shall be allocated according to ratio share of load plus exports. The foregoing notwithstanding, charges will be assessed pursuant to this section only if the LMP at the resource’s bus does not meet or
exceed the applicable offer of the resource for at least four 5-minute intervals during one or more discrete clock hours during each period the resource operated and produced MWs during the relevant Operating Day. If a resource operated and produced MWs for less than four 5-minute intervals during one or more discrete clock hours during the relevant Operating Day, the charges for that resource during the hour it was operated less than four 5-minute intervals will be identified as being in the same category as identified for the Operating Reserves for the other discrete clock hours.

(B) If the Office of the Interconnection directs a resource not covered by Section 3.2.3(h)(ii)(A) of Schedule 1 of this Agreement to operate in real-time during an Operating Day, the associated balancing Operating Reserve charges shall be allocated according to real-time deviations from day-ahead schedules.

(q) The Office of the Interconnection shall determine regional balancing Operating Reserve rates for the Western and Eastern Regions of the PJM Region. For the purposes of this section, the Western Region shall be the AEP, APS, ComEd, Duquesne, Dayton, ATSI, DEOK, EKPC, OVEC transmission Zones, and the Eastern Region shall be the AEC, BGE, Dominion, PENELEC, PEPCO, ME, PPL, JCPL, PECO, DPL, PSEG, RE transmission Zones. The regional balancing Operating Reserve rates shall be determined in accordance with the following provisions:

(i) The Office of the Interconnection shall calculate regional adder rates for the Eastern and Western Regions. Regional adder rates shall be equal to the total balancing Operating Reserve credits paid to generators for transmission constraints that occur on transmission system capacity equal to or less than 345kv. The regional adder rates shall be separated into reliability and deviation charges, which shall be allocated to real-time load or real-time deviations, respectively. Whether the underlying credits are designated as reliability or deviation charges shall be determined in accordance with Section 3.2.3(p).

(ii) The Office of the Interconnection shall calculate RTO balancing Operating Reserve rates. RTO balancing Operating Reserve rates shall be equal to balancing Operating Reserve credits except those associated with the scheduling of units for Black Start service or testing of Black Start Units as provided in Schedule 6A of the PJM Tariff, in excess of the regional adder rates calculated pursuant to Section 3.2.3(q)(i) of Schedule 1 of this Agreement. The RTO balancing Operating Reserve rates shall be separated into reliability and deviation charges, which shall be allocated to real-time load or real-time deviations, respectively. Whether the underlying credits are allocated as reliability or deviation charges shall be determined in accordance with Section 3.2.3(p).

(iii) Reliability and deviation regional balancing Operating Reserve rates shall be determined by summing the relevant RTO balancing Operating Reserve rates and regional adder rates.
(iv) If the Eastern and/or Western Regions do not have regional adder rates, the relevant regional balancing Operating Reserve rate shall be the reliability and/or deviation RTO balancing Operating Reserve rate.

(r) Market Sellers that incur incremental operating costs for a generation resource that are either greater than $1,000/MWh as determined in accordance with the Market Seller’s PJM-approved Fuel Cost Policy, Schedule 2 of the Operating Agreement and PJM Manual 15, but are not verified at the time of dispatch of the resource under section 6.4.3 of this Schedule, or greater than $2,000/MWh as determined in accordance with the Market Seller’s PJM-approved Fuel Cost Policy, Schedule 2 of the Operating Agreement, and PJM Manual 15, will be eligible to receive credit for Operating Reserves upon review of the Market Monitoring Unit and the Office of the Interconnection, and approval of the Office of the Interconnection. Market Sellers must submit to the Office of the Interconnection and the Market Monitoring Unit all relevant documentation demonstrating the calculation of costs greater than $2,000/MWh, and costs greater than $1,000/MWh which were not verified at the time of dispatch of the resource under section 6.4.3 of this Schedule. The Office of the Interconnection must approve any Operating Reserve credits paid to a Market Seller under this subsection (r).

3.2.3A Synchronized Reserve.

(a) Each Market Participant that is a Load Serving Entity that is not part of an agreement to share reserves with external entities subject to the requirements in BAL-002 shall have an obligation for hourly Synchronized Reserve equal to its pro rata share of Synchronized Reserve requirements for the hour for each Reserve Zone and Reserve Sub-zone of the PJM Region, based on the Market Participant’s total load (net of operating Behind The Meter Generation, but not to be less than zero) in such Reserve Zone or Reserve Sub-zone for the hour (“Synchronized Reserve Obligation”), less any amount obtained from condensers associated with provision of Reactive Services as described in section 3.2.3B(i) and any amount obtained from condensers associated with post-contingency operations, as described in section 3.2.3C(b). Those entities that participate in an agreement to share reserves with external entities subject to the requirements in BAL-002 shall have their reserve obligations determined based on the stipulations in such agreement. A Market Participant with an hourly Synchronized Reserve Obligation shall be charged the pro rata share of the sum of the quantity of Synchronized Reserves provided in each Real-time Settlement Interval times the clearing price for all Real-time Settlement Intervals in the hour associated with that obligation.

(b) A resource supplying Synchronized Reserve at the direction of the Office of the Interconnection, in excess of its hourly Synchronized Reserve Obligation, shall be credited as follows:

i) Credits for Synchronized Reserve provided by generation resources that are then subject to the energy dispatch signals and instructions of the Office of the Interconnection and that increase their current output or Demand Resources that reduce their load in response to a Synchronized Reserve Event (“Tier 1 Synchronized Reserve”) shall be at the Synchronized Energy Premium Price, as described in 3.2.3A (c), with the exception of those Real-time Settlement Intervals in which the Non-Synchronized Reserve Market Clearing Price for the applicable Reserve Zone or Reserve Sub-zone is
not equal to zero. During such hours, Tier 1 Synchronized Reserve resources shall be
compensated at the Synchronized Reserve Market Clearing Price for the applicable
Reserve Zone or Reserve Sub-zone for the lesser of the amount of Tier 1 Synchronized
Reserve attributed to the resource as calculated by the Office of the Interconnection, or
the actual amount of Tier 1 Synchronized Reserve provided should a Synchronized
Reserve Event occur in a Real-time Settlement Interval.

ii) Credits for Synchronized Reserve provided by generation resources that
are synchronized to the grid but, at the direction of the Office of the Interconnection, are
operating at a point that deviates from the Office of the Interconnection energy dispatch
signals and instructions (“Tier 2 Synchronized Reserve”) shall be the higher of (i) the
Synchronized Reserve Market Clearing Price or (ii) the sum of (A) the Synchronized
Reserve offer, and (B) the specific opportunity cost of the generation resource supplying
the increment of Synchronized Reserve, as determined by the Office of the
Interconnection to a Synchronized Reserve Event in a Real-time Settlement Interval in
accordance with procedures specified in the PJM Manuals.

iii) Credits for Synchronized Reserve provided by Demand Resources that are
synchronized to the grid and accept the obligation to reduce load in response to a
Synchronized Reserve Event in a Real-time Settlement Interval initiated by the Office of
the Interconnection shall be the sum of (i) the higher of (A) the Synchronized Reserve
offer or (B) the Synchronized Reserve Market Clearing Price and (ii) if a Synchronized
Reserve Event is actually initiated by the Office of the Interconnection and the Demand
Resource reduced its load in response to the event, the fixed costs associated with
achieving the load reduction, as specified in the PJM Manuals.

(c) The Synchronized Reserve Energy Premium Price is an adder in an amount to be
determined periodically by the Office of the Interconnection not less than fifty dollars and not to
exceed one hundred dollars per megawatt hour.

(d) The Synchronized Reserve Market Clearing Price shall be determined for each
Reserve Zone and Reserve Sub-zone by the Office of the Interconnection in the Real-time Price
software program, which is known as the pricing run, for each Real-time Settlement Interval of
the Operating Day. The hourly Synchronized Reserve Market Clearing Price shall be calculated
as the 5-minute clearing price. Each 5-minute clearing price shall be calculated as the marginal
cost of serving the next increment of demand for Synchronized Reserve in each Reserve Zone or
Reserve Sub-zone, inclusive of Synchronized Reserve offer prices and opportunity costs. When
the Synchronized Reserve Requirement or Extended Synchronized Reserve Requirement in a
Reserve Zone or Reserve Sub-zone cannot be met in the pricing run, the 5-minute clearing price
shall be at least greater than or equal to the applicable Reserve Penalty Factor for the Reserve
Zone or Reserve Sub-zone, but less than or equal to the sum of the Reserve Penalty Factors for
the Synchronized Reserve Requirement and Primary Reserve Requirement for the Reserve Zone
or Reserve Sub-zone. If the Office of the Interconnection has initiated in a Reserve Zone or
Reserve Sub-zone either a Voltage Reduction Action as described in the PJM Manuals or a
Manual Load Dump Action as described in the PJM Manuals, the 5-minute clearing price shall
be the sum of the Reserve Penalty Factors for the Primary Reserve Requirement and the Synchronized Reserve Requirement for that Reserve Zone or Reserve Sub-zone.

The Reserve Penalty Factor for the Synchronized Reserve Requirement shall be $850/MWh.

The Reserve Penalty Factor for the Extended Synchronized Reserve Requirement shall be $300/MWh.

By no later than April 30 of each year, the Office of the Interconnection will analyze Market Participants’ response to prices exceeding $1,000/MWh on an annual basis and will provide its analysis to PJM stakeholders. The Office of the Interconnection will also review this analysis to determine whether any changes to the Synchronized Reserve Penalty Factors are warranted for subsequent Delivery Year(s).

(e) For each Real-time Settlement Interval and for determining the 5-minute Synchronized Reserve clearing price, the estimated unit-specific opportunity cost for a generation resource will be determined in accordance with the following equation:

\[(A \times B) + (C \times D)\]

Where

\(A = \) The Locational Marginal Price at the generation bus for the generation resource;

\(B = \) The megawatts of energy used to provide Synchronized Reserve submitted as part of the Synchronized Reserve offer;

\(C = \) The deviation of the set point of the generation resource that is expected to be required in order to provide Synchronized Reserve from the generation resource’s expected output level if it had been dispatched in economic merit order; and

\(D = \) The difference between the Locational Marginal Price at the generation bus for the generation resource and the offer price for energy from the generation resource (at the megawatt level of the Synchronized Reserve set point for the resource) in the PJM Interchange Energy Market when the Locational Marginal Price at the generation bus is greater than the offer price for energy from the generation resource.

The opportunity costs for a Demand Resource shall be zero.

(f) In determining the credit under subsection (b) to a resource selected to provide Tier 2 Synchronized Reserve and that actively follows the Office of the Interconnection’s signals and instructions, the unit-specific opportunity cost of a generation resource shall be determined for each Real-time Settlement Interval that the Office of the Interconnection requires a generation resource to provide Tier 2 Synchronized Reserve and shall be in accordance with the following equation:

\[(A \times B) + (C \times D)\]
Where:

A = The megawatts of energy used by the resource to provide Synchronized Reserve as submitted as part of the generation resource’s Synchronized Reserve offer;

B = The Locational Marginal Price at the generation bus of the generation resource;

C = The deviation of the generation resource’s output necessary to follow the Office of the Interconnection’s signals and instructions from the generation resource’s expected output level if it had been dispatched in economic merit order; and

D = The difference between the Locational Marginal Price at the generation bus for the generation resource and the offer price for energy from the generation resource (at the megawatt level of the Synchronized Reserve set point for the generation resource) in the PJM Interchange Energy Market when the Locational Marginal Price at the generation bus is greater than the offer price for energy from the generation resource.

The opportunity costs for a Demand Resource shall be zero.

(g) Charges for Tier 1 Synchronized Reserve will be allocated in proportion to the amount of Tier 1 Synchronized Reserve applied to each Synchronized Reserve Obligation. In the event Tier 1 Synchronized Reserve is provided by a Market Participant in excess of that Market Participant’s Synchronized Reserve Obligation, the Tier 1 Synchronized Reserve that is not utilized to fulfill the Market Participant’s obligation will be allocated proportionately among all other Synchronized Reserve Obligations.

(h) Any amounts credited for Tier 2 Synchronized Reserve in a Real-time Settlement Interval in excess of the Synchronized Reserve Market Clearing Price in that Real-time Settlement Interval shall be allocated and charged to each Market Participant that does not meet its hourly Synchronized Reserve Obligation in proportion to its purchases of Synchronized Reserve in megawatt-hours during that hour.

(i) In the event the Office of the Interconnection needs to assign more Tier 2 Synchronized Reserve during a Real-time Settlement Interval than was estimated as needed at the time the Synchronized Reserve Market Clearing Price was calculated for that Real-time Settlement Interval due to a reduction in available Tier 1 Synchronized Reserve, the costs of the excess Tier 2 Synchronized Reserve shall be allocated and charged to those providers of Tier 1 Synchronized Reserve whose available Tier 1 Synchronized Reserve was reduced from the needed amount estimated during the Synchronized Reserve Market Clearing Price calculation, in proportion to the amount of the reduction in Tier 1 Synchronized Reserve availability.

(j) In the event a generation resource or Demand Resource that either has been assigned by the Office of the Interconnection or self-scheduled to provide Tier 2 Synchronized Reserve fails to provide the assigned or self-scheduled amount of Tier 2 Synchronized Reserve in response to a Synchronized Reserve Event, the resource will be credited for Tier 2 Synchronized Reserve capacity in the amount that actually responded for all Real-time
Settlement Intervals the resource was assigned or self-scheduled Tier 2 Synchronized Reserve on the Operating Day during which the event occurred. The determination of the amount of Synchronized Reserve credited to a resource shall be on an individual resource basis, not on an aggregate basis.

The resource shall refund payments received for Tier 2 Synchronized Reserve it failed to provide. For purposes of determining the amount of the payments to be refunded by a Market Participant, the Office of the Interconnection shall calculate the shortfall of Tier 2 Synchronized Reserve on an individual resource basis unless the Market Participant had multiple resources that were assigned or self-scheduled to provide Tier 2 Synchronized Reserve, in which case the shortfall will be determined on an aggregate basis. For performance determined on an aggregate basis, the response of any resource that provided more Tier 2 Synchronized Reserve than it was assigned or self-scheduled to provide will be used to offset the performance of other resources that provided less Tier 2 Synchronized Reserve than they were assigned or self-scheduled to provide during a Synchronized Reserve Event, as calculated in the PJM Manuals. The determination of a Market Participant’s aggregate response shall not be taken into consideration in the determination of the amount of Tier 2 Synchronized Reserve credited to each individual resource.

The amount refunded shall be determined by multiplying the Synchronized Reserve Market Clearing Price by the amount of the shortfall of Tier 2 Synchronized Reserve, measured in megawatts, for all intervals the resource was assigned or self-scheduled to provide Tier 2 Synchronized Reserve for a period of time immediately preceding the Synchronized Reserve Event equal to the lesser of the average number of days between Synchronized Reserve Events, or the number of days since the resource last failed to provide the amount of Tier 2 Synchronized Reserve it was assigned or self-scheduled to provide in response to a Synchronized Reserve Event. The average number of days between Synchronized Reserve Events for purposes of this calculation shall be determined by an annual review of the twenty-four month period ending October 31 of the calendar year in which the review is performed, and shall be rounded down to a whole day value. The Office of the Interconnection shall report the results of its annual review to stakeholders by no later than December 31, and the average number of days between Synchronized Reserve Events shall be effective as of the following January 1. The refunded charges shall be allocated as credits to Market Participants based on its pro rata share of the Synchronized Reserve Obligation megawatts less any Tier 1 Synchronized Reserve applied to its Synchronized Reserve Obligation in the hour(s) of the Synchronized Reserve Event for the Reserve Sub-zone or Reserve Zone, except that Market Participants that incur a refund obligation and also have an applicable Synchronized Reserve Obligation during the hour(s) of the Synchronized Reserve Event shall not be included in the allocation of such refund credits. If the event spans multiple hours, the refund credits will be prorated hourly based on the duration of the event within each clock hour.

(k) The magnitude of response to a Synchronized Reserve Event by a generation resource or a Demand Resource, except for Batch Load Demand Resources covered by section 3.2.3A(l), is the difference between the generation resource’s output or the Demand Resource’s consumption at the start of the event and its output or consumption 10 minutes after the start of the event. In order to allow for small fluctuations and possible telemetry delays, generation
resource output or Demand Resource consumption at the start of the event is defined as the lowest telemetered generator resource output or greatest Demand Resource consumption between one minute prior to and one minute following the start of the event. Similarly, a generation resource's output or a Demand Resource's consumption 10 minutes after the event is defined as the greatest generator resource output or lowest Demand Resource consumption achieved between 9 and 11 minutes after the start of the event. The response actually credited to a generation resource will be reduced by the amount the megawatt output of the generation resource falls below the level achieved after 10 minutes by either the end of the event or after 30 minutes from the start of the event, whichever is shorter. The response actually credited to a Demand Resource will be reduced by the amount the megawatt consumption of the Demand Resource exceeds the level achieved after 10 minutes by either the end of the event or after 30 minutes from the start of the event, whichever is shorter.

(i) The magnitude of response by a Batch Load Demand Resource that is at the stage in its production cycle when its energy consumption is less than the level of megawatts in its offer at the start of a Synchronized Reserve Event shall be the difference between (i) the Batch Load Demand Resource’s consumption at the end of the Synchronized Reserve Event and (ii) the Batch Load Demand Resource’s consumption during the minute within the ten minutes after the end of the Synchronized Reserve Event in which the Batch Load Demand Resource’s consumption was highest and for which its consumption in all subsequent minutes within the ten minutes was not less than fifty percent of the consumption in such minute; provided that, the magnitude of the response shall be zero if, when the Synchronized Reserve Event commences, the scheduled off-cycle stage of the production cycle is greater than ten minutes.

3.2.3A.001 Non-Synchronized Reserve.

(a) Each Market Participant that is a Load Serving Entity that is not part of an agreement to share reserves with external entities subject to the requirements in BAL-002 shall have an obligation for hourly Non-Synchronized Reserve equal to its pro rata share of Non-Synchronized Reserve assigned for the hour for each Reserve Zone and Reserve Sub-zone of the PJM Region, based on the Market Participant’s total load (net of operating Behind The Meter Generation, but not to be less than zero) in such Reserve Zone and Reserve Sub-zone for the hour (“Non-Synchronized Reserve Obligation”). Those entities that participate in an agreement to share reserves with external entities subject to the requirements in BAL-002 shall have their reserve obligations determined based on the stipulations in such agreement. A Market Participant with an hourly Non-Synchronized Reserve Obligation shall be charged the pro rata share of the sum of the quantity of Non-Synchronized Reserves provided in each Real-time Settlement Interval times the clearing price for all Real-time Settlement Intervals in the hour associated with that obligation.

(b) Credits for Non-Synchronized Reserve provided by generation resources that are not operating for energy at the direction of the Office of the Interconnection specifically for the purpose of providing Non-Synchronized Reserve shall be the higher of (i) the Non-Synchronized Reserve Market Clearing Price or (ii) the specific opportunity cost of the generation resource supplying the increment of Non-Synchronized Reserve, as determined by the Office of the Interconnection in accordance with procedures specified in the PJM Manuals.
(c) The Non-Synchronized Reserve Market Clearing Price shall be determined for each Reserve Zone and Reserve Sub-zone by the Office of the Interconnection in the Real-time Price software program, which is known as the pricing run, for each Real-time Settlement Interval of the Operating Day. The Non-Synchronized Reserve Market Clearing Price shall be calculated as the 5-minute clearing price. Each 5-minute clearing price shall be calculated as the marginal cost of procuring sufficient Non-Synchronized Reserves and/or Synchronized Reserves in each Reserve Zone or Reserve Sub-zone inclusive of opportunity costs associated with meeting the Primary Reserve Requirement or Extended Primary Reserve Requirement. When the Primary Reserve Requirement or Extended Primary Reserve Requirement in a Reserve Zone or Reserve Sub-zone cannot be met in the pricing run at a price less than or equal to the applicable Reserve Penalty Factor, the 5-minute clearing price for Non-Synchronized Reserve shall be at least greater than or equal to the applicable Reserve Penalty Factor for the Reserve Zone or Reserve Sub-zone, but less than or equal to the Reserve Penalty Factor for the Primary Reserve Requirement for the Reserve Zone or Reserve Sub-zone. If the Office of the Interconnection has initiated in a Reserve Zone or Reserve Sub-zone either a Voltage Reduction Action as described in the PJM Manuals or a Manual Load Dump Action as described in the PJM Manuals, the 5-minute clearing price shall be the Reserve Penalty Factor for the Primary Reserve Requirement for that Reserve Zone or Reserve Sub-zone.

The Reserve Penalty Factor for the Synchronized Reserve Requirement shall be $850/MWh. The Reserve Penalty Factor for the Extended Primary Reserve Requirement shall be $300/MWh.

By no later than April 30 of each year, the Office of the Interconnection will analyze Market Participants’ response to prices exceeding $1,000/MWh on an annual basis and will provide its analysis to PJM stakeholders. The Office of the Interconnection will also review this analysis to determine whether any changes to the Primary Reserve Penalty Factors are warranted for subsequent Delivery Year(s).

(d) For each Real-time Settlement Interval and for determining the 5-minute Non-Synchronized Reserve clearing price, the unit-specific opportunity cost for a generation resource that is not providing energy because they are providing Non-Synchronized Reserves will be determined in accordance with the following equation:

\[(A \times B) - C\]

Where:
A = The deviation of the generation resource’s output necessary to follow the Office of the Interconnection’s signals and instructions from the generation resource’s expected output level if it had been dispatched in economic merit order;

B = The Locational Marginal Price at the generation bus for the generation resource; and

C = The applicable offer for energy from the generation resource in the PJM Interchange Energy Market.

(e) In determining the credit under subsection (b) to a resource selected to provide Non-Synchronized Reserve and that follows the Office of the Interconnection’s signals and
instructions, the unit-specific opportunity cost of a generation resource shall be determined for each Real-time Settlement Interval that the Office of the Interconnection requires a generation resource to provide Non-Synchronized Reserve and shall be in accordance with the following equation:

\[(A \times B) - C\]

Where:
A = The deviation of the generation resource’s output necessary to follow the Office of the Interconnection’s signals and instructions from the generation resource’s expected output level if it had been dispatched in economic merit order;

B = The Locational Marginal Price at the generation bus for the generation resource; and

C = The applicable offer for energy from the generation resource in the PJM Interchange Energy Market.

(f) Any amounts credited for Non-Synchronized Reserve in a Real-time Settlement Interval in excess of the Non-Synchronized Reserve Market Clearing Price in that Real-time Settlement Interval shall be allocated and charged to each Market Participant that does not meet its hourly Non-Synchronized Reserve Obligation in proportion to its purchases of Non-Synchronized Reserve in megawatt-hours during that hour.

(g) The magnitude of response to a Non-Synchronized Reserve Event by a generation resource is the difference between the generation resource’s output at the start of the event and its output 10 minutes after the start of the event. In order to allow for small fluctuations and possible telemetry delays, generation resource output at the start of the event is defined as the lowest telemetered generator resource output between one minute prior to and one minute following the start of the event. Similarly, a generation resource's output 10 minutes after the start of the event is defined as the greatest generator resource output achieved between 9 and 11 minutes after the start of the event. The response actually credited to a generation resource will be reduced by the amount the megawatt output of the generation resource falls below the level achieved after 10 minutes by either the end of the event or after 30 minutes from the start of the event, whichever is shorter.

(h) In the event a generation resource that has been assigned by the Office of the Interconnection to provide Non-Synchronized Reserve fails to provide the assigned amount of Non-Synchronized Reserve in response to a Non-Synchronized Reserve Event, the resource will be credited for Non-Synchronized Reserve capacity in the amount that actually responded for the contiguous Real-time Settlement Interval the resource was assigned Non-Synchronized Reserve during which the event occurred.

3.2.3A.01 Day-ahead Scheduling Reserves.

(a) The Office of the Interconnection shall satisfy the Day-ahead Scheduling Reserves Requirement by procuring Day-ahead Scheduling Reserves in the Day-ahead
Scheduling Reserves Market from Day-ahead Scheduling Reserves Resources, provided that Demand Resources shall be limited to providing the lesser of any limit established by the Reliability First Corporation or SERC, as applicable, or twenty-five percent of the total Day-ahead Scheduling Reserves Requirement. Day-ahead Scheduling Reserves Resources that clear in the Day-ahead Scheduling Reserves Market shall receive a Day-ahead Scheduling Reserves schedule from the Office of the Interconnection for the relevant Operating Day. PJMSettlement shall be the Counterparty to the purchases and sales of Day-ahead Scheduling Reserves in the PJM Interchange Energy Market; provided that PJMSettlement shall not be a contracting party to bilateral transactions between Market Participants or with respect to a self-schedule or self-supply of generation resources by a Market Buyer to satisfy its Day-ahead Scheduling Reserves Requirement.

(b) A Day-ahead Scheduling Reserves Resource that receives a Day-ahead Scheduling Reserves schedule pursuant to subsection (a) of this section shall be paid the hourly Day-ahead Scheduling Reserves Market clearing price, as determined in the day-ahead pricing run set forth in Operating Agreement, Schedule 1, section 2.6, for the cleared megawatt quantity of Day-ahead Scheduling Reserves in each hour of the schedule, subject to meeting the requirements of subsection (c) of this section.

(c) To be eligible for payment pursuant to subsection (b) of this section, Day-ahead Scheduling Reserves Resources shall comply with the following provisions:

(i) Generation resources with a start time greater than thirty minutes are required to be synchronized and operating at the direction of the Office of the Interconnection during the resource’s Day-ahead Scheduling Reserves schedule and shall have a dispatchable range equal to or greater than the Day-ahead Scheduling Reserves schedule.

(ii) Generation resources and Demand Resources with start times or shut-down times, respectively, equal to or less than 30 minutes are required to respond to dispatch directives from the Office of the Interconnection during the resource’s Day-ahead Scheduling Reserves schedule. To meet this requirement the resource shall be required to start or shut down within the specified notification time plus its start or shut down time, provided that such time shall be less than thirty minutes.

(iii) Demand Resources with a Day-ahead Scheduling Reserves schedule shall be credited based on the difference between the resource’s MW consumption at the time the resource is directed by the Office of the Interconnection to reduce its load (starting MW usage) and the resource’s MW consumption at the time when the Demand Resource is no longer dispatched by PJM (ending MW usage). For the purposes of this subsection, a resource’s starting MW usage shall be the greatest telemetered consumption between one minute prior to and one minute following the issuance of a dispatch instruction from the Office of the Interconnection, and a resource’s ending MW usage shall be the lowest consumption between one minute before and one minute after a dispatch instruction from the Office of the Interconnection that is no longer necessary to reduce.
(iv) Notwithstanding subsection (iii) above, the credit for a Batch Load Demand Resource that is at the stage in its production cycle when its energy consumption is less than the level of megawatts in its offer at the time the resource is directed by the Office of the Interconnection to reduce its load shall be the difference between (i) the “ending MW usage” (as defined above) and (ii) the Batch Load Demand Resource’s consumption during the minute within the ten minutes after the time of the “ending MW usage” in which the Batch Load Demand Resource’s consumption was highest and for which its consumption in all subsequent minutes within the ten minutes was not less than fifty percent of the consumption in such minute; provided that, the credit shall be zero if, at the time the resource is directed by the Office of the Interconnection to reduce its load, the scheduled off-cycle stage of the production cycle is greater than the timeframe for which the resource was dispatched by PJM.

Resources that do not comply with the provisions of this subsection (c) shall not be eligible to receive credits pursuant to subsection (b) of this section.

(d) The hourly credits paid to Day-ahead Scheduling Reserves Resources satisfying the Base Day-ahead Scheduling Reserves Requirement (“Base Day-ahead Scheduling Reserves credits”) shall equal the ratio of the Base Day-ahead Scheduling Reserves Requirement to the Day-ahead Scheduling Reserves Requirement, multiplied by the total credits paid to Day-ahead Scheduling Reserves Resources, and are allocated as Base Day-ahead Scheduling Reserves charges per paragraph (i) below. The hourly credits paid to Day-ahead Scheduling Reserve Resources satisfying the Additional Day-ahead Scheduling Reserve Requirement (“Additional Day-ahead Scheduling Reserves credits”) shall equal the ratio of the Additional Day-ahead Scheduling Reserves Requirement to the Day-ahead Scheduling Reserves Requirement, multiplied by the total credits paid to Day-ahead Scheduling Reserves Resources and are allocated as Additional Day-ahead Scheduling Reserves charges per paragraph (ii) below.

(i) A Market Participant’s Base Day-ahead Scheduling Reserves charge is equal to the ratio of the Market Participant’s hourly obligation to the total hourly obligation of all Market Participants in the PJM Region, multiplied by the Base Day-ahead Scheduling Reserves credits. The hourly obligation for each Market Participant is a megawatt representation of the portion of the Base Day-ahead Scheduling Reserves credits that the Market Participant is responsible for paying to PJM. The hourly obligation is equal to the Market Participant’s load ratio share of the total megawatt volume of Base Day-ahead Scheduling Reserves resources (described below), based on the Market Participant’s total hourly load (net of operating Behind The Meter Generation, but not to be less than zero) to the total hourly load of all Market Participants in the PJM Region. The total megawatt volume of Base Day-ahead Scheduling Reserves resources equals the ratio of the Base Day-ahead Scheduling Reserves Requirement to the Day-ahead Scheduling Reserves Requirement multiplied by the total volume of Day-ahead Scheduling Reserves megawatts paid pursuant to paragraph (c) of this section. A Market Participant’s hourly Day-ahead Scheduling Reserves obligation can be further adjusted by any Day-ahead Scheduling Reserve bilateral transactions.
(ii) Additional Day-ahead Scheduling Reserves credits shall be charged hourly to Market Participants that are net purchasers in the Day-ahead Energy Market based on its positive demand difference ratio share. The positive demand difference for each Market Participant is the difference between its real-time load (net of operating Behind The Meter Generation, but not to be less than zero) and cleared Demand Bids in the Day-ahead Energy Market, net of cleared Increment Offers and cleared Decrement Bids in the Day-ahead Energy Market, when such value is positive. Net purchasers in the Day-ahead Energy Market are those Market Participants that have cleared Demand Bids plus cleared Decrement Bids in excess of its amount of cleared Increment Offers in the Day-ahead Energy Market. If there are no Market Participants with a positive demand difference, the Additional Day-ahead Scheduling Reserves credits are allocated according to paragraph (i) above.

(e) If the Day-ahead Scheduling Reserves Requirement is not satisfied through the operation of subsection (a) of this section, any additional Operating Reserves required to meet the requirement shall be scheduled by the Office of the Interconnection pursuant to Section 3.2.3 of Schedule 1 of this Agreement.

3.2.3B Reactive Services.

(a) A Market Seller providing Reactive Services at the direction of the Office of the Interconnection shall be credited as specified below for the operation of its resource. These provisions are intended to provide payments to generating units when the LMP dispatch algorithms would not result in the dispatch needed for the required reactive service. LMP will be used to compensate generators that are subject to redispatch for reactive transfer limits.

(b) At the end of each Operating Day, where the active energy output of a Market Seller’s resource is reduced or suspended at the request of the Office of the Interconnection for the purpose of maintaining reactive reliability within the PJM Region, the Market Seller shall be credited according to Sections 3.2.3B(c) & 3.2.3B(d).

(c) A Market Seller providing Reactive Services from either a steam-electric generating unit or combined cycle unit operating in combined cycle mode, where such unit is pool-scheduled (or self-scheduled, if operating according to Section 1.10.3 (c) hereof), and where the real time LMP at the unit’s bus is higher than the price offered by the Market Seller for energy from the unit at the level of output requested by the Office of the Interconnection (as indicated either by the desired MWs of output from the unit determined by PJM’s unit dispatch system or as directed by the PJM dispatcher through a manual override) shall be compensated for lost opportunity cost by receiving a credit in an amount equal to the product of (A) the deviation of the generating unit’s output necessary to follow the Office of the Interconnection’s signals and the generating unit’s expected output level if it had been dispatched in economic merit order, times (B) the Real-time Price at the generation bus for the generating unit, minus (C) the Total Lost Opportunity Cost Offer, provided that the resulting outcome is greater than $0.00. This equation is represented as (A*B) - C.
(d) A Market Seller providing Reactive Services from either a combustion turbine unit or combined cycle unit operating in simple cycle mode that is pool scheduled (or self-scheduled, if operating according to Section 1.10.3 (c) hereof), operated as requested by the Office of the Interconnection, shall be compensated for lost opportunity cost, limited to the lesser of the unit’s Economic Maximum or the unit’s Generation Resource Maximum Output, if the unit output is reduced at the direction of the Office of the Interconnection and the real time LMP at the unit’s bus is higher than the price offered by the Market Seller for energy from the unit at the level of output requested by the Office of the Interconnection as directed by the PJM dispatcher, then the Market Seller shall be credited in a manner consistent with that described above in Section 3.2.3B(c) for a steam unit or a combined cycle unit operating in combined cycle mode.

(e) At the end of each Operating Day, where the active energy output of a Market Seller’s unit is increased at the request of the Office of the Interconnection for the purpose of maintaining reactive reliability within the PJM Region and the offered price of the energy is above the real-time LMP at the unit’s bus, the Market Seller shall be credited according to Section 3.2.3B(f).

(f) A Market Seller providing Reactive Services from either a steam-electric generating unit, combined cycle unit or combustion turbine unit, where such unit is pool scheduled (or self-scheduled, if operating according to Section 1.10.3 (c) hereof), and where the real time LMP at the unit’s bus is lower than the price offered by the Market Seller for energy from the unit at the level of output requested by the Office of the Interconnection (as indicated either by the desired MWs of output from the unit determined by PJM’s unit dispatch system or as directed by the PJM dispatcher through a manual override), shall receive a credit hourly in an amount equal to \((AG - LMPDMW) \times (UB - URTLMP)\) where:

AG equals the actual output of the unit;

LMPDMW equals the level of output for the unit determined according to the point on the scheduled offer curve on which the unit was operating corresponding to the real time LMP at the unit’s bus and adjusted for any Regulation or Tier 2 Synchronized Reserve assignments;

UB equals the unit offer for that unit for which output is increased, determined according to the lesser of the Final Offer or Committed Offer;

URTLMP equals the real time LMP at the unit’s bus; and

where UB - URTLMP shall not be negative.

(g) A Market Seller providing Reactive Services from a hydroelectric resource where such resource is pool scheduled (or self-scheduled, if operating according to Section 1.10.3 (c) hereof), and where the output of such resource is altered from the schedule submitted by the Market Seller for the purpose of maintaining reactive reliability at the request of the Office of the
Interconnection, shall be compensated for lost opportunity cost in the same manner as provided in sections 3.2.2(d) and 3.2.3A(f) and further detailed in the PJM Manuals.

(h) If a Market Seller believes that, due to specific pre-existing binding commitments to which it is a party, and that properly should be recognized for purposes of this section, the above calculations do not accurately compensate the Market Seller for lost opportunity cost associated with following the Office of the Interconnection’s dispatch instructions to reduce or suspend a unit’s output for the purpose of maintaining reactive reliability, then the Office of the Interconnection, the Market Monitoring Unit and the individual Market Seller will discuss a mutually acceptable, modified amount of such alternate lost opportunity cost compensation, taking into account the specific circumstances binding on the Market Seller. Following such discussion, if the Office of the Interconnection accepts a modified amount of alternate lost opportunity cost compensation, the Office of the Interconnection shall invoice the Market Participant accordingly. If the Market Monitoring Unit disagrees with the modified amount of alternate lost opportunity cost compensation, as accepted by the Office of the Interconnection, it will exercise its powers to inform the Commission staff of its concerns.

(i) The amount of Synchronized Reserve provided by generating units maintaining reactive reliability shall be counted as Synchronized Reserve satisfying the overall PJM Synchronized Reserve requirements. Operators of these generating units shall be notified of such provision, and to the extent a generating unit’s operator indicates that the generating unit is capable of providing Synchronized Reserve, shall be subject to the same requirements contained in Section 3.2.3A regarding provision of Tier 2 Synchronized Reserve. At the end of each Operating Day, to the extent a condenser operated to provide Reactive Services also provided Synchronized Reserve, a Market Seller shall be credited for providing synchronous condensing for the purpose of maintaining reactive reliability at the request of the Office of the Interconnection, in an amount equal to the higher of (i) the Synchronized Reserve Market Clearing Price for each Real-time Settlement Interval a generating unit provided synchronous condensing multiplied by the amount of Synchronized reserve provided by the synchronous condenser or (ii) the sum of (A) the generating unit’s cost to provide synchronous condensing, calculated in accordance with the PJM Manuals, (B) the product of MW energy usage for providing synchronous condensing multiplied by the real time LMP at the generating unit’s bus, (C) the generating unit’s startup-cost of providing synchronous condensing, and (D) the unit-specific lost opportunity cost of the generating resource supplying the increment of Synchronized Reserve as determined by the Office of the Interconnection in accordance with procedures specified in the PJM Manuals. To the extent a condenser operated to provide Reactive Services was not also providing Synchronized Reserve, the Market Seller shall be credited only for the generating unit’s cost to condense, as described in (ii) above. The total Synchronized Reserve Obligations of all Load Serving Entities under section 3.2.3A(a) in the zone where these condensers are located shall be reduced by the amount counted as satisfying the PJM Synchronized Reserve requirements. The Synchronized Reserve Obligation of each Load Serving Entity in the zone under section 3.2.3A(a) shall be reduced to the same extent that the costs of such condensers counted as Synchronized Reserve are allocated to such Load Serving Entity pursuant to subsection (l) below.
(j) A Market Seller’s pool scheduled steam-electric generating unit or combined cycle unit operating in combined cycle mode, that is not committed to operate in the Day-ahead Market, but that is directed by the Office of the Interconnection to operate solely for the purpose of maintaining reactive reliability, at the request of the Office of the Interconnection, shall be credited in the amount of the unit’s offered price for start-up and no-load fees. The unit also shall receive, if applicable, compensation in accordance with Sections 3.2.3B(e)-(f).

(k) The sum of the foregoing credits as specified in Sections 3.2.3B(b)-(j) shall be the cost of Reactive Services for the purpose of maintaining reactive reliability for the Operating Day and shall be separately determined for each transmission zone in the PJM Region based on whether the resource was dispatched for the purpose of maintaining reactive reliability in such transmission zone.

(l) The cost of Reactive Services for the purpose of maintaining reactive reliability in a transmission zone in the PJM Region for each Operating Day shall be allocated and charged to each Market Participant in proportion to its deliveries of energy to load (net of operating Behind The Meter Generation) in such transmission zone, served under Network Transmission Service, in megawatt-hours during that Operating Day, as compared to all such deliveries for all Market Participants in such transmission zone.

(m) Generating units receiving dispatch instructions from the Office of the Interconnection under the expectation of increased actual or reserve reactive shall inform the Office of the Interconnection dispatcher if the requested reactive capability is not achievable. Should the operator of a unit receiving such instructions realize at any time during which said instruction is effective that the unit is not, or likely would not be able to, provide the requested amount of reactive support, the operator shall as soon as practicable inform the Office of the Interconnection dispatcher of the unit’s inability, or expected inability, to provide the required reactive support, so that the associated dispatch instruction may be cancelled. PJM Performance Compliance personnel will audit operations after-the-fact to determine whether a unit that has altered its active power output at the request of the Office of the Interconnection has provided the actual reactive support or the reactive reserve capability requested by the Office of the Interconnection. PJM shall utilize data including, but not limited to, historical reactive performance and stated reactive capability curves in order to make this determination, and may withhold such compensation as described above if reactive support as requested by the Office of the Interconnection was not or could not have been provided.

3.2.3C Synchronous Condensing for Post-Contingency Operation.

(a) Under normal circumstances, PJM operates generation out of merit order to control contingency overloads when the flow on the monitored element for loss of the contingent element (“contingency flow”) exceeds the long-term emergency rating for that facility, typically a 4-hour or 2-hour rating. At times however, and under certain, specific system conditions, PJM does not operate generation out of merit order for certain contingency overloads until the contingency flow on the monitored element exceeds the 30-minute rating for that facility (“post-contingency operation”). In conjunction with such operation, when the contingency flow on such element exceeds the long-term emergency rating, PJM operates synchronous condensers in
the areas affected by such constraints, to the extent they are available, to provide greater certainty that such resources will be capable of producing energy in sufficient time to reduce the flow on the monitored element below the normal rating should such contingency occur.

(b) The amount of Synchronized Reserve provided by synchronous condensers associated with post-contingency operation shall be counted as Synchronized Reserve satisfying the PJM Synchronized Reserve requirements. Operators of these generation units shall be notified of such provision, and to the extent a generation unit’s operator indicates that the generation unit is capable of providing Synchronized Reserve, shall be subject to the same requirements contained in Section 3.2.3A regarding provision of Tier 2 Synchronized Reserve. At the end of each Operating Day, to the extent a condenser operated in conjunction with post-contingency operation also provided Synchronized Reserve, a Market Seller shall be credited for providing synchronous condensing in conjunction with post-contingency operation at the request of the Office of the Interconnection, in an amount equal to the higher of (i) the Synchronized Reserve Market Clearing Price for each applicable interval a generation resource provided synchronous condensing multiplied by the amount of Synchronized Reserve provided by the synchronous condenser or (ii) the sum of (A) the generation resource’s applicable interval cost to provide synchronous condensing, calculated in accordance with the PJM Manuals, (B) the applicable interval product of the megawatts of energy used to provide synchronous condensing multiplied by the real-time LMP at the generation bus of the generation resource, (C) the generation resource’s start-up cost of providing synchronous condensing, and (D) the unit-specific lost opportunity cost of the generation resource supplying the increment of Synchronized Reserve as determined by the Office of the Interconnection in accordance with procedures specified in the PJM Manuals. To the extent a condenser operated in association with post-contingency constraint control was not also providing Synchronized Reserve, the Market Seller shall be credited only for the generation unit’s cost to condense, as described in (ii) above. The total Synchronized Reserve Obligations of all Load Serving Entities under section 3.2.3A(a) in the zone where these condensers are located shall be reduced by the amount counted as satisfying the PJM Synchronized Reserve requirements. The Synchronized Reserve Obligation of each Load Serving Entity in the zone under section 3.2.3A(a) shall be reduced to the same extent that the costs of such condensers counted as Synchronized Reserve are allocated to such Load Serving Entity pursuant to subsection (d) below.

(c) The sum of the foregoing credits as specified in section 3.2.3C(b) shall be the cost of synchronous condensers associated with post-contingency operations for the Operating Day and shall be separately determined for each transmission zone in the PJM Region based on whether the resource was dispatched in association with post-contingency operation in such transmission zone.

(d) The cost of synchronous condensers associated with post-contingency operations in a transmission zone in the PJM Region for each Operating Day shall be allocated and charged to each Market Participant in proportion to its deliveries of energy to load (net of operating Behind The Meter Generation) in such transmission zone, served under Network Transmission Service, in megawatt-hours during that Operating Day, as compared to all such deliveries for all Market Participants in such transmission zone.
3.2.4 Transmission Congestion Charges.

Each Market Buyer shall be assessed Transmission Congestion Charges as specified in Section 5 of this Schedule.

3.2.5 Transmission Loss Charges.

Each Market Buyer shall be assessed Transmission Loss Charges as specified in Section 5 of this Schedule.

3.2.6 Emergency Energy.

(a) When the Office of the Interconnection has implemented Emergency procedures, resources offering Emergency energy are eligible to set real-time Locational Marginal Prices, capped at the energy offer cap plus the sum of the applicable Reserve Penalty Factors for the Synchronized Reserve Requirement and Primary Reserve Requirement, provided that the Emergency energy is needed to meet demand in the PJM Region.

(b) Market Participants shall be allocated a proportionate share of the net cost of Emergency energy purchased by the Office of the Interconnection. Such allocated share during each applicable interval of such Emergency energy purchase shall be in proportion to the amount of each Market Participant’s real-time deviation from its net withdrawals and injections in the Day-ahead Energy Market, whenever that deviation increases the Market Participant’s spot market purchases or decreases its spot market sales. This deviation shall not include any reduction or suspension of output of pool scheduled resources requested by PJM to manage an Emergency within the PJM Region.

(c) Net revenues in excess of Real-time Prices attributable to sales of energy in connection with Emergencies to other Control Areas shall be credited to Market Participants during each applicable interval of such Emergency energy sale in proportion to the sum of (i) each Market Participant’s real-time deviation from its net withdrawals and injections in the Day-ahead Energy Market, whenever that deviation increases the Market Participant’s spot market purchases or decreases its spot market sales, and (ii) each Market Participant’s energy sales from within the PJM Region to entities outside the PJM Region that have been curtailed by PJM.

(d) The net costs or net revenues associated with sales or purchases of energy in connection with a Minimum Generation Emergency in the PJM Region, or in another Control Area, shall be allocated during each applicable interval of such Emergency sale or purchase to each Market Participant in proportion to the amount of each Market Participant’s real-time deviation from its net withdrawals and injections in the Day-ahead Market, whenever that deviation increases the Market Participant’s spot market sales or decreases its spot market purchases.

3.2.7 Billing.

(a) PJMSettlement shall prepare a billing statement each billing cycle for each Market Participant in accordance with the charges and credits specified in Sections 3.2.1 through
3.2.6 of this Schedule, and showing the net amount to be paid or received by the Market Participant. Billing statements shall provide sufficient detail, as specified in the PJM Manuals, to allow verification of the billing amounts and completion of the Market Participant’s internal accounting.

(b) If deliveries to a Market Participant that has PJM Interchange meters in accordance with Section 14 of the Operating Agreement include amounts delivered for a Market Participant that does not have PJM Interchange meters separate from those of the metered Market Participant, PJMSettlement shall prepare a separate billing statement for the unmetered Market Participant based on the allocation of deliveries agreed upon between the Market Participant and the unmetered Market Participant specified by them to the Office of the Interconnection.
6.4 Offer Price Caps.

6.4.1 Applicability.

(a) If, at any time, it is determined by the Office of the Interconnection in accordance with Sections 1.10.8 or 6.1 of this Schedule that any generation resource may be dispatched out of economic merit order to maintain system reliability as a result of limits on transmission capability, the offer prices for energy from such resource shall be capped as specified below. For such generation resources committed in the Day-ahead Energy Market, if the Office of the Interconnection is able to do so, such offer prices shall be capped for the entire commitment period, and such offer prices will be capped at a cost-based offer in accordance with section 6.4.2 and committed at the market-based offer or cost-based offer which results in the lowest overall system production cost. For such generation resources committed in the Real-time Energy Market such offer prices shall be capped at a cost-based offer in accordance with section 6.4.2 and dispatched on the market-based offer or cost-based offer which results in the lowest dispatch cost in accordance with 6.4.1(g) until the earlier of: (i) the resource is released from its commitment by the Office of the Interconnection; (ii) the end of the Operating Day; or (iii) the start of the generation resource’s next pre-existing commitment.

The offer on which a resource is committed shall initially be determined at the time of the commitment. If any of the resource’s Incremental Energy Offer, No-load Cost or Start-Up Cost are updated for any portion of the offer capped hours subsequent to commitment, the Office of the Interconnection will redetermine the level of the offer cap using the updated offer values. The Office of the Interconnection will dispatch the resource on the market-based offer or cost-based offer which results in the lowest dispatch cost as determined in accordance with section 6.4.1(g).

Resources that are self-scheduled to run in either the Day-ahead Energy Market or in the Real-time Energy Market are subject to the provisions of this section 6.4. The offer on which a resource is dispatched shall be used to determine any Locational Marginal Price affected by the offer price of such resource and as further limited as described in Operating Agreement, Schedule 1, section 2.4 and Operating Agreement, Schedule 1, section 2.4A.

In accordance with section 6.4.1(h), a generation resource that is offer capped in the Real-time Energy Market but released from its commitment by the Office of the Interconnection will be subject to the three pivotal supplier test and further offer capping, as applicable, if the resource is committed for a period later in the same Operating Day.

(b) The energy offer price by any generation resource requested to be dispatched in accordance with Section 6.3 of this Schedule shall be capped at the levels specified in Section 6.4.2 of this Schedule. If the Office of the Interconnection is able to do so, such offer prices shall be capped only during each hour when the affected resource is so scheduled, and otherwise shall be capped for the entire Operating Day. Energy offer prices as capped shall be used to determine any Locational Marginal Price affected by the price of such resource.

(c) Generation resources subject to an offer price cap shall be paid for energy at the applicable Locational Marginal Price.
(d) [Reserved for Future Use]

(e) Offer price caps under section 6.4 of this Schedule shall be suspended for a generation resource with respect to transmission limit(s) for any period in which a generation resource is committed by the Office of the Interconnection for the Operating Day or any period for which the generation resource has been self-scheduled where (1) there are not three or fewer generation suppliers available for redispatch under subsection (a) that are jointly pivotal with respect to such transmission limit(s), and (2) the Market Seller of the generation resource, when combined with the two largest other generation suppliers, is not pivotal (“three pivotal supplier test”). In the event the Office of the Interconnection system is unable to perform the three pivotal supplier test for a Market Seller, generation resources of that Market Seller that are dispatched to control transmission constraints will be dispatched on the resource’s market-based offer or cost-based offer which results in the lowest dispatch cost as determined in accordance with section 6.4.1(g).

(f) For the purposes of conducting the three pivotal supplier test in subsection (e), the following applies:

(i) All megawatts of available incremental supply, including available self-scheduled supply for which the power distribution factor (“dfax”) has an absolute value equal to or greater than the dfax used by the Office of the Interconnection’s system operators when evaluating the impact of generation with respect to the constraint (“effective megawatts”) will be included in the available supply analysis at costs equal to the cost-based offers of the available incremental supply adjusted for dfax (“effective costs”). The Office of the Interconnection will post on the PJM website the dfax value used by operators with respect to a constraint when it varies from three percent.

(ii) The three pivotal supplier test will include in the definition of the relevant market incremental supply up to and including all such supply available at an effective cost equal to 150% of the cost-based clearing price calculated using effective costs and effective megawatts and the need for megawatts to solve the constraint.

(iii) Offer price caps will apply on a generation supplier basis (i.e. not a generating unit by generating unit basis) and only the generation suppliers that fail the three pivotal supplier test with respect to any hour in the relevant period will have their units that are dispatched with respect to the constraint offer capped. A generation supplier for the purposes of this section includes corporate affiliates. Supply controlled by a generation supplier or its affiliates by contract with unaffiliated third parties or otherwise will be included as supply of that generation supplier; supply owned by a generation supplier but controlled by an unaffiliated third party by contract or otherwise will be included as supply of that third party.
A generation supplier’s units, including self-scheduled units, are offer capped if, when combined with the two largest other generation suppliers, the generation supplier is pivotal.

(iv) In the Day-ahead Energy Market, the Office of the Interconnection shall include price sensitive demand, Increment Offers and Decrement Bids as demand or supply, as applicable, in the relevant market.

(g) In the Real-time Energy Market, the schedule on which offer capped resources will be placed shall be determined using dispatch cost, where dispatch cost is calculated pursuant to the following formulas:

\[
\text{Dispatch cost for the applicable hour} = ((\text{Incremental Energy Offer} @ \text{Economic Minimum for the hour} \ [\$/MWh] \times \text{Economic Minimum for the hour} \ [\text{MW}]) + \text{No-load Cost for the hour} \ [\$/H])
\]

(i) For resources committed in the Real-time Energy Market, the resource is committed on the offer with the lowest Total Dispatch cost at the time of commitment,

where:

\[
\text{Total Dispatch cost} = \text{Sum of hourly dispatch cost over a resource’s minimum run time} \ [\$] + \text{Start-Up Cost} \ [\$
\]

(ii) For resources operating in real-time pursuant to a day-ahead or real-time commitment, and whose offers are updated after commitment, the resource is dispatched on the offer with the lowest dispatch cost for the each of the updated hours.

(iii) However, once the resource is dispatched on a cost-based offer, it will remain on a cost-based offer regardless of the determination of the cheapest schedule.

(h) A generation resource that was committed in the Day-ahead Energy Market or Real-time Energy Market, is operating in real time, and may be dispatched out of economic merit order to maintain system reliability as a result of limits on transmission capability, will be offer price capped, subject to the outcome of a three pivotal supplier test, for each hour the resource operates beyond its committed hours or Minimum Run Time, whichever is greater, or in the case of resources self-scheduled in the Real-time Energy Market, for each hour the resource operates beyond its first hour of operation, in accordance with the following provisions.

(i) If the resource is operating on a cost-based offer, it will remain on a cost-based offer regardless of the results of the three pivotal supplier test.
(ii) If the resource is operating on a market-based offer and the Market Seller fails the three pivotal supplier test then the resource will be dispatched on the cheaper of its market-based offer or the cost-based offer representing the offer cap as determined by section 6.4.2, whichever results in the lowest dispatch cost as determined under section 6.4.1(g).

(iii) If the Market Seller passes the three pivotal supplier test and the resource is currently operating on a market-based offer then the resource will remain on that offer, unless the Market Seller elects to not have its market-based offer considered for dispatch and to have only the cost-based offer that represents the offer cap level as determined under section 6.4.2 considered for dispatch in which case the resource will be dispatched on its cost-based offer for the remainder of the Operating Day.

6.4.2 Level.

(a) The offer price cap shall be one of the amounts specified below, as specified in advance by the Market Seller for the affected unit:

(i) The weighted average Locational Marginal Price at the generation bus at which energy from the capped resource was delivered during a specified number of hours during which the resource was dispatched for energy in economic merit order, the specified number of hours to be determined by the Office of the Interconnection and to be a number of hours sufficient to result in an offer price cap that reflects reasonably contemporaneous competitive market conditions for that unit;

(ii) For offers of $2,000/MWh or less, the incremental operating cost of the generation resource as determined in accordance with Schedule 2 of the Operating Agreement and the PJM Manuals (“incremental cost”), plus up to the lesser of 10% of such costs or $100 MWh, the sum of which shall not exceed $2,000/MWh; and, for offers greater than $2,000/MWh, the incremental cost of the generation resource;

(iii) For units that are frequently offer capped (“Frequently Mitigated Unit” or “FMU”), and for which the unit’s market-based offer was greater than its cost based offer, the following shall apply:

(a) For units that are offer capped for 60% or more of their run hours, but less than 70% of their run hours, the offer price cap will be the greater of either (i) incremental cost plus 10% or (ii) incremental cost plus $20 per megawatt-hour;

(b) For units that are offer capped for 70% or more of their run hours, but less than 80% of their run hours, the offer price cap will be the greater of either (i) incremental cost plus 10%, or (ii) incremental cost plus $30
per megawatt-hour;

(c) For units that are offer capped for 80% or more of their run hours, the offer price cap will be the greater of either (i) incremental costs plus 10%; or (ii) incremental cost plus $40 per megawatt-hour.

(b) For purposes of section 6.4.2(a)(iii), a generating unit shall qualify for the specified offer cap upon issuance of written notice from the Market Monitoring Unit, pursuant to Section II.A of the Attachment M-Appendix, that it is a “Frequently Mitigated Unit” because it meets all of the following criteria:

(i) The unit was offer capped for the applicable percentage of its run hours, determined on a rolling 12-month basis, effective with a one month lag.

(ii) The unit’s Projected PJM Market Revenues plus the unit’s PJM capacity market revenues on a rolling 12-month basis, divided by the unit’s MW of installed capacity (in $/MW-year) are less than its accepted unit specific Avoidable Cost Rate (in $/MW-year) (excluding APIR and ARPIR), or its default Avoidable Cost Rate (in $/MW-year) if no unit-specific Avoidable Cost Rate is accepted for the BRAs for the Delivery Years included in the rolling 12-month period, determined pursuant to Sections 6.7 and 6.8 of Attachment DD of the Tariff. (The relevant Avoidable Cost Rate is the weighted average of the Avoidable Cost Rates for each Delivery Year included in the rolling 12-month period, weighted by month.)

(iii) No portion of the unit is included in a FRR Capacity Plan or receiving compensation under Part V of the Tariff.

(iv) The unit is internal to the PJM Region and subject only to PJM dispatch.

(c) Any generating unit, without regard to ownership, located at the same site as a Frequently Mitigated Unit qualifying under Sections 6.4.2(a)(iii) shall become an “Associated Unit” upon issuance of written notice from the Market Monitoring Unit pursuant to Section II.A of Attachment M-Appendix, that it meets all of the following criteria:

1. The unit has the identical electric impact on the transmission system as the FMU;

2. The unit (i) belongs to the same design class (where a design class includes generation that is the same size and utilizes the same technology, without regard to manufacturer) and uses the identical primary fuel as the FMU or (ii) is regularly dispatched by PJM as a substitute for the FMU based on differences in cost that result from the currently applicable FMU adder;

3. The unit (i) has an average daily cost-based offer, as measured over the preceding 12-month period, that is less than or equal to the FMU’s
average daily cost-based offer adjusted to include the currently applicable FMU adder or (ii) is regularly dispatched by PJM as a substitute for the FMU based on differences in cost that result from the currently applicable FMU adder.

The offer cap for an associated unit shall be equal to the incremental operating cost of such unit, as determined in accordance with Schedule 2 of the Operating Agreement and the PJM Manuals, plus the applicable percentage adder or dollar per megawatt-hour adder as specified in Section 6.4.2(a)(iii)(a), (b), or (c) for the unit with which it is associated.

(d) Market Participants shall have exclusive responsibility for preparing and submitting their offers on the basis of accurate information and in compliance with the FERC Market Rules, inclusive of the level of any applicable offer cap, and in no event shall PJM be held liable for the consequences of or make any retroactive adjustment to any clearing price on the basis of any offer submitted on the basis of inaccurate or non-compliant information.

6.4.3 Verification of Cost-Based Offers Over $1,000/Megawatt-hour

(a) If a Market Seller submits a cost-based energy offer for a generation resource that includes an Incremental Energy Offer greater than $1,000/megawatt-hour, then, in order for that offer to be eligible to set the applicable Locational Marginal Price as described in Operating Agreement, Schedule 1, section 2.5 (for determining Real-time Prices) and Operating Agreement Schedule 1, section 2.6 (for determining Day-ahead Prices), the Office of the Interconnection shall apply a formulaic screen to verify the reasonableness of the Incremental Energy Offer component of such cost-based offer. For each Incremental Energy Offer segment greater than $1,000/megawatt-hour, the Office of the Interconnection shall evaluate whether such offer segment exceeds the reasonably expected costs for that generation resource by determining the Maximum Allowable Incremental Cost for each segment in accordance with the following formula:

 Maximum Allowable Incremental Cost ($/MWh segment in accordance with the following formula: @ MW) =
 [ ( Maximum Allowable Operating Rate$i$ ) – ( Bid Production Cost$i-1$ ) ] / (MW$i$ – MW$i-1$ )

where

 $ i$ = an offer segment within the Incremental Energy Offer, which is comprised of a pairing of price ($/MWh) and a megawatt quantity

 Maximum Allowable Operating Rate ($/hour @ MW) =
 [ ( Heat Input$i$ @ MW$i$ ) x ( Performance Factor ) x ( Fuel Cost ) ] x ( 1 + A )

where

Heat Input = a point on the heat input curve (in MMBtu/hr), determined in accordance with PJM Manual 15, describing the resource’s operational
characteristics for converting the applicable fuel input (MMBtu) into energy (MWh) specified in the Incremental Energy Offer;

Performance Factor = a scaling factor that is a calculated ratio of actual fuel burn to either theoretical fuel burn (i.e., design Heat Input) or other current tested Heat Input, which is determined annually in accordance with the Market Seller’s PJM-approved Fuel Cost Policy, Operating Agreement, Schedule 2, and PJM Manual 15, reflecting the resource’s actual ability to convert fuel into energy (normal operation is 1.0);

Fuel Cost = applicable fuel cost as estimated by the Office of the Interconnection at a geographically appropriate commodity trading hub, plus 10 percent; and

A = Cost adder, in accordance with section 6.4.2(a)(ii) of this Schedule.

\[
\text{Bid Production Cost ($/hour @ MW) = } \left[ \sum_{i=1}^{n} (MW_i - MW_{i-1}) \times (P_i - P_{i-1}) \right] - \frac{1}{2} \times \text{UBS} \times (MW_i - MW_{i-1}) \times (P_i - P_{i-1}) + \text{No-Load Cost}
\]

where

MW = the MW quantity per offer segment within the Incremental Energy Offer;

P = the price (in dollars per megawatt-hour) per offer segment within the Incremental Energy Offer;

UBS = Uses Bid-Slope = 0 for block-offer resources (i.e., a resource with an Incremental Energy Offer that uses a step function curve); and 1 for all other resources (i.e., resources with an Incremental Energy Offer that uses a sloped offer curve); and

If the price submitted for the offer segment is less than or equal to the Maximum Allowable Incremental Cost then that offer segment shall be deemed verified and is eligible to set the applicable Locational Marginal Price. If the price submitted for the offer segment is greater than the Maximum Allowable Incremental Cost, then the Market Seller’s cost-based offer for that segment and all segments at an equal or greater price are deemed not verified and are not eligible to set the applicable Locational Marginal Price and such offer shall be price capped at the greater of $1,000/megawatt-hour or the offer price of the most expensive verified segment on the Incremental Energy Offer for the purpose of setting Locational Marginal Prices; provided however, such Market Seller shall be allowed to submit a challenge to a non-verification determination, including supporting documentation, to the Office of the Interconnection in accordance with the procedures set forth in the PJM Manuals. Upon review of such documentation, the Office of the Interconnection may determine that the Market Seller’s cost-based offer is verified and eligible to set the applicable Locational Marginal Price as described above.
(i) For the first incremental segment (i=1), when the MW in the segment is greater than zero, the first segment shall be screened as a block-loaded segment (UBS=0) as if there was a preceding MW_{i-1} of zero. The Maximum Allowable Incremental Cost calculation for the first incremental would use a preceding Bid Production Cost_{i-1} (at zero MW) equal to the energy No-Load Cost.

(ii) For the first incremental segment (i=1), when the MW in the segment is equal to zero, and is the only bid-in segment to be verified, then the segment shall be deemed not verified and subject to the rules as described above.

(iii) For the first incremental segment (i=1), when the MW in the segment is equal to zero, and there are additional segments to be verified, then the first segment shall be deemed verified only if the second segment is deemed verified. If the second segment is deemed not verified, then the first segment shall also be deemed not verified and subject to the rules as described above.

(b) If an Economic Load Response Participant a cost-based demand reduction offer that includes incremental costs greater than or equal to $1,000/megawatt-hour, in order for that offer to be eligible to determine the applicable Locational Marginal Price as described in Operating Agreement, Schedule 1, section 2.5 (for determining Real-time Prices) and Operating Agreement, Schedule 1, section 2.6 (for determining Day-ahead Prices), the Economic Load Response Participant must validate the incremental costs with the end use customer(s) and, upon request, submit to the Office of the Interconnection supporting documentation demonstrating that the end-use customer’s costs in providing such demand reduction are greater than $1,000/megawatt-hour in accordance with the following provisions:

(i) The supporting documentation must explain and support the quantification of the end-use customer’s incremental costs; and

(ii) The end use customer’s incremental costs shall include quantifiable cost incurred for not consuming electricity when dispatched by the Office of the Interconnection, such as wages paid without production, lost sales, damaged products that cannot be sold, or other incremental costs as defined in the PJM Manuals or as approved by the Office of the Interconnection, and may not include shutdown costs.

If upon review of the supporting documentation for the Economic Load Response Participant’s, cost-based offer by the Office of the Interconnection and the Market Monitoring Unit, the Office of the Interconnection and/or the Market Monitoring Unit determines that the offer was not reasonably supported by incremental costs greater than or equal to $1,000/megawatt-hour, the Office of the Interconnection and/or the Market Monitoring Unit may refer the matter to the FERC Office of Enforcement for investigation.

6.4.3A Verification of Fast-Start Resource Composite Energy Offers Over $1,000/Megawatt-hour
(a) If a Market Seller submits a cost-based offer for a generation resource that is a Fast-Start Resource that results in a Composite Energy Offer that is greater than $1,000/megawatt-hour, then, in order for that Composite Energy Offer to be eligible to set the applicable Locational Marginal Price under Operating Agreement, Schedule 1, section 2.5 (for determining Real-time Prices) and Operating Agreement, Schedule 1, section 2.6 (for determining Day-ahead Prices), the Office of the Interconnection shall apply a formulaic screen to verify the reasonableness of the offer components:

Incremental Energy Offer and No-load Cost components of each offer segment shall be evaluated for whether it exceeds the reasonably expected costs for that resource by applying the test described in Operating Agreement, Schedule 1, section 6.4.3.

Start-Up Cost component shall be evaluated for whether it exceeds the reasonably expected costs for that resource by applying the following formula:

\[
\text{Start-Up Cost ($)} = \left[ \left( \text{Performance Factor} \times \text{Start Fuel} \times \text{Fuel Cost} \right) + \text{Start Maintenance Adder} + \text{Additional Start Labor} + \text{Station Service Cost} \right] \times (1 + A)
\]

Where:

Start Fuel = fuel consumed from first fire of start process to breaker closing plus fuel expended from breaker opening of the previous shutdown to initialization of the (hot) unit start-up, excluding normal plant heating/auxiliary equipment fuel requirements;

Fuel Cost = applicable fuel cost as estimated by the Office of the Interconnection at a geographically appropriate commodity trading hub, plus 10 percent;

Performance Factor = a scaling factor that is a calculated ratio of actual fuel burn to either theoretical fuel burn (i.e., design Heat Input) or other current tested Heat Input, which is determined annually in accordance with the Market Seller’s PJM-approved Fuel Cost Policy under Operating Agreement, Schedule 2 and PJM Manual 15, reflecting the resource’s actual ability to convert fuel into energy (normal operation is 1.0);

Start Maintenance Adder = an adder based on all available maintenance expense history for the defined Maintenance Period regardless of unit ownership. Only expenses incurred as a result of electric production qualify for inclusion. Only Maintenance Adders specified as $/Start, $/MMBtu, or $/equivalent operating hour can be included in the Start Maintenance Adder;
Start Additional Labor = additional labor costs for startup required above normal station manning levels; and

Station Service Cost = station service usage (MWh) during start-up multiplied by the 12-month rolling average off-peak energy prices as updated quarterly by the Office of the Interconnection.

A = cost adder, in accordance with Operating Agreement, Schedule 1, section 6.4.2(a)(ii).

(b) Should the submitted Incremental Energy Offer and No-load Cost exceed the reasonably expected costs for that resource as calculated pursuant to subsection (a) above for any segment, then for the determination of Locational Marginal Prices as described in Operating Agreement, Schedule 1, section 2.5 (for determining Real-time Prices) and Operating Agreement, Schedule 1, section 2.6 (for determining Day-ahead Prices):

(i) the Incremental Energy Offer for each segment shall be capped at the lesser of the cap described above in Operating Agreement, Schedule 1, section 6.4.3 or the submitted Incremental Energy Offer; and

(ii) the amortized No-load cost shall be adjusted as described in Operating Agreement, Schedule 1, section 2.4 (Determination of Energy Offers Used in Calculating Real-time Prices) and Operating Agreement, Schedule 1, section 2.4A (Determination of Energy Offers Used in Calculating Day-ahead Prices).

(c) Should the submitted Start-Up Cost exceed the reasonably expected costs for that resource as calculated pursuant to subsection (a) above, then for the determination of Locational Marginal Prices as described in Operating Agreement, Schedule 1, section 2.5 (for determining Real-time Prices) and Operating Agreement, Schedule 1, section 2.6 (for determining Day-ahead Prices), the Start-Up Costs shall be adjusted as described in Operating Agreement, Schedule 1, section 2.4 (Determination of Energy Offers Used in Calculating Real-time Prices) and Operating Agreement, Schedule 1, section 2.4A (Determination of Energy Offers Used in Calculating Day-ahead Prices).

(d) If an Economic Load Response Participant submits an offer to reduce demand for a Fast-Start Resource where the maximum segment of the resulting Composite Energy Offer exceeds $1,000/megawatt-hour, then, in order for that Composite Energy Offer to be eligible to set the applicable Locational Marginal Price under Operating Agreement, Schedule 1, section 2.5 (for determining Real-time Prices) and Operating Agreement, Schedule 1, section 2.6 (for determining Day-ahead Prices), the Economic Load Response Participant must validate such costs with the end use customer(s) and, upon request, submit to the Office of the Interconnection supporting documentation demonstrating that the end-use customer’s costs in providing such demand reduction are greater than $1,000/megawatt-hour in accordance with the following provisions:

(i) The supporting documentation must explain and support the quantification of the end-use customer’s incremental costs and shutdown costs; and
(ii) The end use customer’s incremental and shutdown costs shall include quantifiable cost incurred for not consuming electricity when dispatched by the Office of the Interconnection, such as wages paid without production, lost sales, damaged products that cannot be sold, or other incremental costs as defined in the PJM Manuals or as approved by the Office of the Interconnection.

If upon review of the supporting documentation for the Economic Load Response Participant’s, cost-based offer by the Office of the Interconnection and the Market Monitoring Unit, the Office of the Interconnection and/or the Market Monitoring Unit determines that the offer was not reasonably supported by incremental and shutdown costs greater than or equal to $1,000/megawatt-hour, the Office of the Interconnection and/or the Market Monitoring Unit may refer the matter to the FERC Office of Enforcement for investigation.

Should the submitted shutdown cost exceed the reasonably supported costs for that resource, then for the determination of Locational Marginal Prices as described in Operating Agreement, Schedule 1, section 2.5 (for determining Real-time Prices) and Operating Agreement, Schedule 1, section 2.6 (for determining Day-ahead Prices), the shutdown costs shall be adjusted as described in Operating Agreement, Schedule 1, section 2.4 (Determination of Energy Offers Used in Calculating Real-time Prices) and Operating Agreement, Schedule 1, section 2.4A (Determination of Energy Offers Used in Calculating Day-ahead Prices).