



Generation Interconnection

Feasibility Study Report

for

Queue Project AE2-183

ROGERS ROAD 500KV

0 MW Capacity / 75 MW Energy (winter)

July, 2019

Table of Contents

- 1 Introduction..... 3
- 2 Preface..... 3
- 3 General..... 4
 - 3.1 Point of Interconnection 4
 - 3.2 Cost Summary..... 4
- 4 Non-Direct Connection Cost Estimate..... 5
- 5 Schedule..... 5
- 6 Transmission Owner Analysis..... 6
 - 6.1 Stability Analysis 6
- 7 Interconnection Customer Requirements..... 6
 - 7.1 System Protection..... 6
 - 7.2 Compliance Issues and Interconnection Customer Requirements 6
 - 7.3 Power Factor Requirements..... 7
- 8 Revenue Metering and SCADA Requirements..... 7
 - 8.1 PJM Requirements 7
 - 8.2 Dominion Requirements..... 7
- 9 Network Impacts 7
- 10 Generation Deliverability 9
- 11 Multiple Facility Contingency 9
- 12 Contribution to Previously Identified Overloads 9
- 13 Potential Congestion due to Local Energy Deliverability..... 9
- 14 System Reinforcements..... 9
- 15 Affected Systems 11
 - 15.1 LG&E..... 11
 - 15.2 MISO 11
 - 15.3 TVA..... 11
 - 15.4 Duke Energy Progress..... 11
 - 15.5 NYISO 11
- 16 Short Circuit..... 13
- Attachment 1 14

1 Introduction

This Feasibility Study has been prepared in accordance with the PJM Open Access Transmission Tariff, 36.2, as well as the Feasibility Study Agreement between the Interconnection Customer (IC), and PJM Interconnection, LLC (PJM), Transmission Provider (TP). The Interconnected Transmission Owner (ITO) is Virginia Electric and Power Company (VEPCO).

2 Preface

The intent of the feasibility study is to determine a plan, with ballpark cost and construction time estimates, to connect the subject generation to the PJM network at a location specified by the Interconnection Customer. The Interconnection Customer may request the interconnection of generation as a capacity resource or as an energy-only resource. As a requirement for interconnection, the Interconnection Customer may be responsible for the cost of constructing: (1) Direct Connections, which are new facilities and/or facilities upgrades needed to connect the generator to the PJM network, and (2) Network Upgrades, which are facility additions, or upgrades to existing facilities, that are needed to maintain the reliability of the PJM system.

In some instances a generator interconnection may not be responsible for 100% of the identified network upgrade cost because other transmission network uses, e.g. another generation interconnection, may also contribute to the need for the same network reinforcement. Cost allocation rules for network upgrades can be found in PJM Manual 14A, Attachment B. The possibility of sharing the reinforcement costs with other projects may be identified in the feasibility study, but the actual allocation will be deferred until the impact study is performed.

PJM utilizes manufacturer models to ensure the performance of turbines is properly captured during the simulations performed for stability verification and, where applicable, for compliance with low voltage ride through requirements. Turbine manufacturers provide such models to their customers. The list of manufacturer models PJM has already validated is contained in Attachment B of Manual 14G. Manufacturer models may be updated from time to time, for various reasons such as to reflect changes to the control systems or to more accurately represent the capabilities turbines and controls which are currently available in the field. Additionally, as new turbine models are developed, turbine manufacturers provide such new models which must be used in the conduct of these studies. PJM needs adequate time to evaluate the new models in order to reduce delays to the System Impact Study process timeline for the Interconnection Customer as well as other Interconnection Customers in the study group. Therefore, PJM will require that any Interconnection Customer with a new manufacturer model must supply that model to PJM, along with a \$10,000 fully refundable deposit, no later than three (3) months prior to the starting date of the System Impact Study (See Section 4.3 for starting dates) for the Interconnection Request which shall specify the use of the new model. The Interconnection Customer will be required to submit a completed dynamic model study request form (Attachment B-1 of Manual 14G) in order to document the request for the study.

The Feasibility Study estimates do not include the feasibility, cost, or time required to obtain property rights and permits for construction of the required facilities. The project developer is responsible for the right of way, real estate, and construction permit issues. For properties currently owned by Transmission Owners, the costs may be included in the study.

3 General

The Interconnection Customer (IC), has proposed an uprate to an existing Natural Gas generating facility located in Greensville County, Virginia. This project requests a winter-only maximum facility output increase of 75 MW. The installed facilities will a total capability of 1756 MW in winter.

Due to the winter-only, energy uprate nature of the project, summer DC load flow and short circuit studies are not required. Stability analysis is required and will be completed as part of the System Impact Study.

Queue Number	AE2-183
Project Name	ROGERS RD 500KV
Interconnection Customer	
State	Virginia
County	Greensville
Transmission Owner	Dominion
MFO	1756
MWE	75 (Winter)
MWC	0
Fuel	Natural Gas
Basecase Study Year	2022

3.1 Point of Interconnection

AE2-183 is a winter-only MFO uprate to the Rogers Road 500kV combined cycle facility (Greensville County Power Station).

3.2 Cost Summary

The AE2-183 project will be responsible for the following costs:

Description	Total Cost
Attachment Facilities	\$ N/A
Direct Connection Network Upgrade	\$ N/A
Non Direct Connection Network Upgrades	\$ N/A
Total Costs	\$ N/A

In addition, the AE2-183 project may be responsible for a contribution to the following costs

Description	Total Cost
System Upgrades	\$ 0

Cost allocations for these upgrades will be provided in the System Impact Study Report.

The Feasibility Study is used to make a preliminary determination of the type and scope of Attachment Facilities, Local Upgrades, and Network Upgrades that will be necessary to accommodate the Interconnection Request and to provide the Interconnection Customer a preliminary estimate of the time that will be required to construct any necessary facilities and upgrades and the Interconnection Customer’s cost responsibility. The System Impact Study provides refined and comprehensive estimates of cost responsibility and construction lead times for new facilities and system upgrades. Facilities Studies will include, commensurate with the degree of engineering specificity as provided in the Facilities Study Agreement, good faith estimates of the cost, determined in accordance with Section 217 of the Tariff,

- (a) to be charged to each affected New Service Customer for the Facilities and System Upgrades that are necessary to accommodate this queue project;
- (b) the time required to complete detailed design and construction of the facilities and upgrades; and
- (c) a description of any site-specific environmental issues or requirements that could reasonably be anticipated to affect the cost or time required to complete construction of such facilities and upgrades.

4 Non-Direct Connection Cost Estimate

The total preliminary cost estimate for the Non-Direct Connection work is given in the table below. These costs do not include CIAC Tax Gross-up.

Description	Total Cost
	\$ 0
Total Non-Direct Connection Facility Costs	\$ 0

5 Schedule

The schedule for any required Network Impact Reinforcements will be more clearly identified in future study phases. The estimate elapsed time to complete each of the required reinforcements is identified in the “System Reinforcements” section of the report.

6 Transmission Owner Analysis

6.1 Stability Analysis

PJM will complete a dynamic stability analysis, if necessary, as part of the System Impact Study. The results of this analysis will be reviewed by Dominion. Should stability concerns be identified in PJM's study, Dominion will develop appropriate system reinforcement(s) and included the estimated cost of any reinforcement(s) in Dominion's System Impact Study report.

7 Interconnection Customer Requirements

7.1 System Protection

The IC must design its Customer Facilities in accordance with all applicable standards, including the standards in Dominion's "Dominion Energy Electric Transmission Generator Interconnection Requirements" documented in Dominion's Facility Interconnection Requirements "Exhibit C" located at: <https://www.dominionenergy.com/company/moving-energy/electric-transmission-access>. Preliminary Protection requirements will be provided as part of the Facilities Study. Detailed Protection Requirements will be provided once the project enters the construction phase.

7.2 Compliance Issues and Interconnection Customer Requirements

The proposed Customer Facilities must be designed in accordance with Dominion's "Dominion's Facility Interconnection Requirements" document located at: <https://www.dominionenergy.com/company/moving-energy/electric-transmission-access>. In particular, the IC is responsible for the following:

1. The purchase and installation of a fully rated protection device (circuit breaker, circuit switcher, fuse) to protect the IC's GSU transformer(s).
2. The purchase and installation of the minimum required Dominion generation interconnection relaying and control facilities as described in the System Protection noted above. This includes over/under voltage protection, over/under frequency protection, and zero sequence voltage protection relays.
3. The purchase and installation of supervisory control and data acquisition ("SCADA") equipment to provide information in a compatible format to the Dominion Transmission System Control Center.
4. Compliance with the Dominion and PJM generator power factor and voltage control requirements.

The GSU(s) associated with the IC queue request shall meet the grounding requirements as noted in Dominion's "Dominion's Facility Interconnection Requirements" document located at: <https://www.dominionenergy.com/company/moving-energy/electric-transmission-access>.

The IC will also be required to meet all PJM, SERC, and NERC reliability criteria and operating procedures for standards compliance. For example, the IC will need to properly locate and report the over and under voltage and over and under frequency system protection elements for its units as well as the submission of the generator model and protection data required to satisfy the PJM and SERC audits. Failure to comply with these requirements may result in a disconnection of service if the violation is found to compromise the reliability of the Dominion system.

7.3 Power Factor Requirements

The IC shall design its non-synchronous Customer Facility with the ability to maintain a power factor of at least 0.95 leading (absorbing VARs) to 0.95 lagging (supplying VARs) measured at the high-side of the facility substation transformer(s) connected to the Dominion transmission system.

8 Revenue Metering and SCADA Requirements

8.1 PJM Requirements

The Interconnection Customer will be required to install equipment necessary to provide Revenue Metering (KWH, KVARH) and real time data (KW, KVAR) for IC's generating Resource. See PJM Manuals M-01 and M-14D, and PJM Tariff Section 8 of Attachment O.

8.2 Dominion Requirements

See Section 3.4.6 “Metering and Telecommunications” of Dominion’s “Dominion’s Facility Interconnection Requirements” document located at: <https://www.dominionenergy.com/company/moving-energy/electric-transmission-access>.

9 Network Impacts

The Queue Project AE2-183 was evaluated as a winter-only maximum facility output increase of 75 MW to the Rogers Rd. 500kV generating facility (Greenville County Power Station) in the Dominion area. Project AE2-183 was evaluated for compliance with applicable reliability planning criteria (PJM, NERC, NERC Regional Reliability Councils, and Transmission Owners). Potential network impacts were as follows:

Summer Peak Load Flow

10 Generation Deliverability

(Single or N-1 contingencies for the Capacity portion only of the interconnection)

None

11 Multiple Facility Contingency

(Double Circuit Tower Line, Fault with a Stuck Breaker, and Bus Fault contingencies for the full energy output)

None

12 Contribution to Previously Identified Overloads

(This project contributes to the following contingency overloads, i.e. "Network Impacts", identified for earlier generation or transmission interconnection projects in the PJM Queue)

None

13 Potential Congestion due to Local Energy Deliverability

PJM also studied the delivery of the energy portion of this interconnection request. Any problems identified below are likely to result in operational restrictions to the project under study. The developer can proceed with network upgrades to eliminate the operational restriction at their discretion by submitting a Merchant Transmission Interconnection request.

Note: Only the most severely overloaded conditions are listed below. There is no guarantee of full delivery of energy for this project by fixing only the conditions listed in this section. With a Transmission Interconnection Request, a subsequent analysis will be performed which shall study all overload conditions associated with the overloaded element(s) identified.

None

14 System Reinforcements

None

Affected Systems

15 Affected Systems

15.1 LG&E

LG&E Impacts to be determined during later study phases (as applicable).

15.2 MISO

MISO Impacts to be determined during later study phases (as applicable).

15.3 TVA

TVA Impacts to be determined during later study phases (as applicable).

15.4 Duke Energy Progress

Duke Energy Progress Impacts to be determined during later study phases (as applicable).

15.5 NYISO

NYISO Impacts to be determined during later study phases (as applicable).

Short Circuit

16 Short Circuit

The following Breakers are overduty: None

Attachment 1

System Configuration

