



## **Generation Interconnection**

# **Combined Feasibility / System Impact Study Report**

**for**

**Queue Project AF2-044**

**HIGHLAND-SAMMIS AND HIGHLAND-MANSFIELD**

**345 KV**

**20 MW Capacity / 0 MW Energy**

# Table of Contents

1	Introduction.....	4
2	Preface.....	4
3	General.....	5
4	Point of Interconnection.....	6
5	Cost Summary.....	6
6	Transmission Owner Scope of Work.....	7
7	Schedule.....	7
8	Transmission Owner Analysis.....	7
8.1	Power Flow Analysis.....	7
9	Interconnection Customer Requirements.....	7
9.1	System Protection.....	7
9.2	Compliance Issues and Interconnection Customer Requirements.....	8
9.3	Power Factor Requirements.....	8
10	Revenue Metering and SCADA Requirements.....	9
10.1	PJM Requirements.....	9
10.2	ATSI Requirements.....	9
11	Summer Peak Analysis.....	10
11.1	Generation Deliverability.....	10
11.2	Multiple Facility Contingency.....	10
11.3	Contribution to Previously Identified Overloads.....	10
11.4	Steady-State Voltage Requirements.....	10
11.5	Potential Congestion due to Local Energy Deliverability.....	10
11.6	System Reinforcements.....	11
	None.....	11
11.7	Flow Gate Details.....	12
11.8	Queue Dependencies.....	13
11.9	Contingency Descriptions.....	14
12	Light Load Analysis.....	15
13	Short Circuit Analysis.....	15
13.1	System Reinforcements - Short Circuit.....	15
14	Stability and Reactive Power.....	15

15 Affected Systems .....15  
16 Attachment 1: One Line Diagram .....16

## 1 Introduction

This System Impact Study has been prepared in accordance with the PJM Open Access Transmission Tariff, 205, as well as the System Impact Study Agreement between the Interconnection Customer (IC), and PJM Interconnection, LLC (PJM), Transmission Provider (TP). The Interconnected Transmission Owner (ITO) is American Transmission Systems, Inc. (ATSI) (Ohio Edison zone).

## 2 Preface

The intent of the System Impact Study is to determine a plan, with approximate cost and construction time estimates, to connect the subject generation interconnection project to the PJM network at a location specified by the Interconnection Customer. As a requirement for interconnection, the Interconnection Customer may be responsible for the cost of constructing: Network Upgrades, which are facility additions, or upgrades to existing facilities, that are needed to maintain the reliability of the PJM system. All facilities required for interconnection of a generation interconnection project must be designed to meet the technical specifications (on PJM web site) for the appropriate transmission owner.

In some instances an Interconnection Customer may not be responsible for 100% of the identified network upgrade cost because other transmission network uses, e.g. another generation interconnection or merchant transmission upgrade, may also contribute to the need for the same network reinforcement. The possibility of sharing the reinforcement costs with other projects may be identified in the Feasibility Study, but the actual allocation will be deferred until the System Impact Study is performed.

The System Impact Study estimates do not include the feasibility, cost, or time required to obtain property rights and permits for construction of the required facilities. The project developer is responsible for the right of way, real estate, and construction permit issues. For properties currently owned by Transmission Owners, the costs may be included in the study.

An Interconnection Customer with a proposed new Customer Facility that has a Maximum Facility Output equal to or greater than 100 MW shall install and maintain, at its expense, phasor measurement units (PMUs). See Section 8.5.3 of Appendix 2 to the Interconnection Service Agreement as well as section 4.3 of PJM Manual 14D for additional information.

### 3 General

The Interconnection Customer (IC) has proposed an uprate to a planned/existing Natural Gas generating facility located in Trumbull, Ohio. This project is an increase to the Interconnection Customer's Z2-028 and AB1-017 projects, which will share the same point of interconnection. The AF2-044 queue position is a 0 MW uprate (20 MW Capacity uprate) to the previous projects. The total installed facilities will have a capability of 940 MW with 884 MW of this output being recognized by PJM as Capacity. The proposed in-service date for this uprate project is January 01, 2020. This study does not imply a TO commitment to this in-service date.

<b>Queue Number</b>	<b>AF2-044</b>
<b>Project Name</b>	HIGHLAND-SAMMIS AND HIGHLAND-MANSFIELD 345 KV
<b>State</b>	Ohio
<b>County</b>	Trumbull
<b>Transmission Owner</b>	ATSI
<b>MFO</b>	940
<b>MWE</b>	0
<b>MWC</b>	20
<b>Fuel</b>	Natural Gas
<b>Basecase Study Year</b>	2023

Any new service customers who can feasibly be commercially operable prior to June 1st of the basecase study year are required to request interim deliverability analysis.

## 4 Point of Interconnection

AF2-044 will interconnect with the ATSI transmission system Lordstown 345 kV substation. AF2-044 is an uprate to the existing Z2-028/AB1-017 projects interconnected to the ATSI transmission system.

Attachment 1 shows a one-line diagram of the existing direct connection facilities for the Z2-028/AB1-017 generation project connected to the FirstEnergy (“FE”) transmission system.

## 5 Cost Summary

The AF2-044 project will be responsible for the following costs:

Description	Total Cost
<b>Total Physical Interconnection Costs</b>	\$0
<b>System Network Upgrade Costs</b>	\$0
<b>Total Costs</b>	<b>\$0</b>

This cost excludes a Federal Income Tax Gross Up charges. This tax may or may not be charged based on whether this project meets the eligibility requirements of IRS Notice 88-129. If at a future date it is determined that the Federal Income Tax Gross charge is required, the Transmission Owner shall be reimbursed by the Interconnection Customer for such taxes.

Note 1: PJM Open Access Transmission Tariff (OATT) section 217.3A outline cost allocation rules. The rules are further clarified in PJM Manual 14A Attachment B. The allocation of costs for a network upgrade will start with the first Queue project to cause the need for the upgrade. Later queue projects will receive cost allocation contingent on their contribution to the violation and are allocated to the queues that have not closed less than 5 years following the execution of the first Interconnection Service Agreement which identifies the need for this upgrade.

Note 2: For customers with System Reinforcements listed: If your present cost allocation to a System Reinforcement indicates \$0, then please be aware that as changes to the interconnection process occur, such as prior queued projects withdrawing from the queue, reducing in size, etc, the cost responsibilities can change and a cost allocation may be assigned to your project. In addition, although your present cost allocation to a System Reinforcement is presently \$0, your project may need this system reinforcement completed to be deliverable to the PJM system. If your project comes into service prior to completion of the system reinforcement, an interim deliverability study for your project will be required.

## 6 Transmission Owner Scope of Work

AF2-044 is an update to the existing Z2-028/AB1-017 projects interconnected to the ATSI transmission system.

There are no physical interconnection costs for project AF2-044.

## 7 Schedule

Based on the scope of work for the Attachment Facilities and the Direct and/or Non-Direct Connection facilities, it is expected to take a minimum of **one (1) month** after the signing of an Interconnection Construction Service Agreement to complete the installation. This includes the requirement for the IC to make a preliminary payment that compensates FE for the first three months of the engineering design work that is related to the construction of the interconnection substation. This assumes that there will be no environmental issues with any of the new properties associated with this project, that there will be no delays in acquiring the necessary permits for implementing the defined direct connection and network upgrades, and that all transmission system outages will be allowed when requested.

The schedule for the required Network Impact Reinforcements will be more clearly identified in future study phases. The estimate elapsed time to complete each of the required reinforcements is identified in the “System Reinforcements” section of the report.

## 8 Transmission Owner Analysis

### 8.1 Power Flow Analysis

FE performed an analysis of its underlying transmission <100 kV system. The AF2-044 project did not contribute to any overloads on the FE Transmission System.

## 9 Interconnection Customer Requirements

### 9.1 System Protection

The IC must design its Customer Facilities in accordance with all applicable standards, including the standards in FE’s “Requirements for Transmission Connected Facilities” document located at: <http://www.pjm.com/planning/design-engineering/to-tech-standards/private-firstenergy.aspx>. Preliminary Protection requirements will be provided as part of the Facilities Study. Detailed Protection Requirements will be provided once the project enters the construction phase.

## 9.2 Compliance Issues and Interconnection Customer Requirements

The proposed Customer Facilities must be designed in accordance with FE's "Requirements for Transmission Connected Facilities" document located at: <http://www.pjm.com/planning/design-engineering/to-tech-standards/private-firstenergy.aspx>. In particular, the IC is responsible for the following:

1. Compliance with the FE and PJM generator power factor and voltage control requirements.
2. The execution of a back-up service agreement to serve the customer load supplied from the AF2-044 generation project metering point when the units are out-of-service. This assumes the intent of the IC is to net the generation with the load.

The IC will also be required to meet all PJM, ReliabilityFirst, and NERC reliability criteria and operating procedures for standards compliance. For example, the IC will need to properly locate and report the over and under voltage and over and under frequency system protection elements for its units as well as the submission of the generator model and protection data required to satisfy the PJM and ReliabilityFirst audits. Failure to comply with these requirements may result in a disconnection of service if the violation is found to compromise the reliability of the FE Transmission System.

## 9.3 Power Factor Requirements

The IC shall design its solar-powered, non-synchronous Customer Facility with the ability to maintain a power factor of at least 0.95 leading (absorbing VARs) to 0.95 lagging (supplying VARs) measured at the high-side of the facility substation transformer(s) connected to the ATSI transmission system.

If high voltage, low voltage, or objectionable voltage flicker arises due to the operation, frequent tripping, and/or frequent starting and stopping of the generator, the generator owner may be required to disconnect its generation equipment from the FirstEnergy system until the problem has been fully investigated and resolved.

## 10 Revenue Metering and SCADA Requirements

### 10.1 PJM Requirements

The Interconnection Customer will be required to install equipment necessary to provide Revenue Metering (KWH, KVARH) and real time data (KW, KVAR) for IC's generating Resource. See PJM Manuals M-01 and M-14D, and PJM Tariff Section 8 of Attachment O.

### 10.2 ATSI Requirements

The IC will be required to comply with all FE revenue metering requirements for generation interconnection customers which can be found in FE's "Requirements for Transmission Connected Facilities" document located at: <http://www.pjm.com/planning/design-engineering/to-tech-standards/private-firstenergy.aspx>

## 11 Summer Peak Analysis

The Queue Project AF2-044 was evaluated as a 20.0 MW (Capacity 20.0 MW) as an uprate to Z2-028 & AB1-017 which is an injection at the Lordstown 345 kV substation in the ATSI area. Project AF2-044 was evaluated for compliance with applicable reliability planning criteria (PJM, NERC, NERC Regional Reliability Councils, and Transmission Owners). Project AF2-044 was studied with a commercial probability of 100.0 %. Potential network impacts were as follows:

### 11.1 Generation Deliverability

(Single or N-1 contingencies for the Capacity portion only of the interconnection)

None.

### 11.2 Multiple Facility Contingency

(Double Circuit Tower Line, Fault with a Stuck Breaker, and Bus Fault contingencies for the full energy output)

None.

### 11.3 Contribution to Previously Identified Overloads

(This project contributes to the following contingency overloads, i.e. "Network Impacts", identified for earlier generation or transmission interconnection projects in the PJM Queue)

None.

### 11.4 Steady-State Voltage Requirements

None.

### 11.5 Potential Congestion due to Local Energy Deliverability

PJM also studied the delivery of the energy portion of this interconnection request. Any problems identified below are likely to result in operational restrictions to the project under study. The developer can proceed with network upgrades to eliminate the operational restriction at their discretion by submitting a Merchant Transmission Interconnection request.

Note: Only the most severely overloaded conditions are listed below. There is no guarantee of full delivery of energy for this project by fixing only the conditions listed in this section. With a Transmission Interconnection Request, a subsequent analysis will be performed which shall study all overload conditions associated with the overloaded element(s) identified.

None

## 11.6 System Reinforcements

None.

## 11.7 Flow Gate Details

The following indices contain additional information about each facility presented in the body of the report. For each index, a description of the flowgate and its contingency was included for convenience. The intent of the indices is to provide more details on which projects/generators have contributions to the flowgate in question. All New Service Queue Requests, through the end of the Queue under study, that are contributors to a flowgate will be listed in the indices. Please note that there may be contributors that are subsequently queued after the queue under study that are not listed in the indices. Although this information is not used "as is" for cost allocation purposes, it can be used to gage the impact of other projects/generators. It should be noted the project/generator MW contributions presented in the body of the report are Full MW Impact contributions which are also noted in the indices column named "Full MW Impact", whereas the loading percentages reported in the body of the report, take into consideration the PJM Generator Deliverability Test rules such as commercial probability of each project as well as the ramping impact of "Adder" contributions. The MW Impact found and used in the analysis is shown in the indices column named "Gendeliv MW Impact".

None.

—

## 11.8 Queue Dependencies

The Queue Projects below are listed in one or more indices for the overloads identified in your report. These projects contribute to the loading of the overloaded facilities identified in your report. The percent overload of a facility and cost allocation you may have towards a particular reinforcement could vary depending on the action of these earlier projects. The status of each project at the time of the analysis is presented in the table. This list may change as earlier projects withdraw or modify their requests.

None.

## 11.9 Contingency Descriptions

None.

## 12 Light Load Analysis

Not required for this project.

## 13 Short Circuit Analysis

The following Breakers are overdutied:

None.

### 13.1 System Reinforcements - Short Circuit

None.

## 14 Stability and Reactive Power

(Summary of the VAR requirements based upon the results of the dynamic studies)

No impacts.

## 15 Affected Systems

None.

## 16 Attachment 1: One Line Diagram