



**Generation Interconnection
Feasibility Study Report
for
Queue Project AF2-066
WEST MANCHESTER 69 KV
48 MW Capacity / 80 MW Energy**

July 2020

Table of Contents

- 1 Introduction..... 4
- 2 Preface..... 4
- 3 General 5
- 4 Point of Interconnection 6
- 5 Cost Summary 6
- 6 Transmission Owner Scope of Work 7
 - 6.1 Attachment Facilities 7
 - 6.2 Direct Connection Cost Estimate 7
 - 6.3 Non-Direct Connection Cost Estimate 7
- 7 Schedule..... 8
- 8 Transmission Owner Analysis - Summer Peak - Load Flow Analysis (underlying transmission <100 kV system) 8
- 9 Interconnection Customer Requirements..... 9
- 10 Revenue Metering and SCADA Requirements 10
 - 10.1 PJM Requirements 10
 - 10.2 Meteorological Data Reporting Requirements 10
 - 10.3 Interconnected Transmission Owner Requirements..... 10
- 11 System Protection..... 11
- 12 Compliance Issues and Interconnection Customer Requirements 11
- 13 Power Factor Requirements 11
- 14 Summer Peak - Load Flow Analysis (Transmission System)..... 11
 - 14.1 Generation Deliverability 12
 - 14.2 Multiple Facility Contingency 12
 - 14.3 Contribution to Previously Identified Overloads..... 12
 - 14.4 Potential Congestion due to Local Energy Deliverability 12
 - 14.5 System Reinforcements - Summer Peak Load Flow (Transmission System) 13
- 15 Light Load Analysis 14
- 16 Short Circuit Analysis – Primary POI..... 14
- 17 Stability and Reactive Power Assessment..... 14
- 18 Affected Systems 14
 - 18.1 MISO 14

18.2 LG&E.....14

15 Summer Peak – Load Flow Analysis – Secondary POI.....15

15.1 Generation Deliverability15

15.2 Multiple Facility Contingency15

15.3 Contribution to Previously Identified Overloads.....15

15.4 Potential Congestion due to Local Energy Deliverability15

1 Introduction

This Feasibility Study has been prepared in accordance with the PJM Open Access Transmission Tariff, 36.2, as well as the Feasibility Study Agreement between the Interconnection Customer (IC), and PJM Interconnection, LLC (PJM), Transmission Provider (TP). The Interconnected Transmission Owner (ITO) is Dayton Power & Light.

2 Preface

The intent of the feasibility study is to determine a plan, with ballpark cost and construction time estimates, to connect the subject generation to the PJM network at a location specified by the Interconnection Customer. The Interconnection Customer may request the interconnection of generation as a capacity resource or as an energy-only resource. As a requirement for interconnection, the Interconnection Customer may be responsible for the cost of constructing: (1) Direct Connections, which are new facilities and/or facilities upgrades needed to connect the generator to the PJM network, and (2) Network Upgrades, which are facility additions, or upgrades to existing facilities, that are needed to maintain the reliability of the PJM system.

In some instances a generator interconnection may not be responsible for 100% of the identified network upgrade cost because other transmission network uses, e.g. another generation interconnection, may also contribute to the need for the same network reinforcement. Cost allocation rules for network upgrades can be found in PJM Manual 14A, Attachment B. The possibility of sharing the reinforcement costs with other projects may be identified in the feasibility study, but the actual allocation will be deferred until the impact study is performed.

An Interconnection Customer with a proposed new Customer Facility that has a Maximum Facility Output equal to or greater than 100 MW shall install and maintain, at its expense, phasor measurement units (PMUs). See Section 8.5.3 of Appendix 2 to the Interconnection Service Agreement as well as section 4.3 of PJM Manual 14D for additional information.

The Feasibility Study estimates do not include the feasibility, cost, or time required to obtain property rights and permits for construction of the required facilities. The project developer is responsible for the right of way, real estate, and construction permit issues. For properties currently owned by Transmission Owners, the costs may be included in the study.

3 General

The Interconnection Customer (IC), has proposed a Solar generating facility located in Preble County, Ohio. The installed facilities will have a total capability of 80 MW with 48 MW of this output being recognized by PJM as Capacity. The proposed in-service date for this project is October 03, 2022. This study does not imply a TO commitment to this in-service date.

| | |
|----------------------------|------------------------------|
| Queue Number | AF2-066 |
| Project Name | WEST MANCHESTER 69 KV |
| State | Ohio |
| County | Preble |
| Transmission Owner | Dayton |
| MFO | 80 |
| MWE | 80 |
| MWC | 48 |
| Fuel | Solar |
| Basecase Study Year | 2023 |

Any new service customers who can feasibly be commercially operable prior to June 1st of the basecase study year are required to request interim deliverability analysis.

4 Point of Interconnection

The AF2-066 project will be a direct interconnection to the existing West Manchester 69KV substation. The proposal will add two new 69KV circuit breakers that will tie the busses together and connect the generator in the middle position.

Attachment 1 shows a one-line diagram of the proposed connection of the (AF2-066) generation project to the Dayton Power & Light transmission system. Attachment 2 provides the proposed location for the point of interconnection. IC will be responsible for constructing all of the facilities on its side of the POI including the attachment line.

5 Cost Summary

The AF2-066 “West Manchester 69 kV” project is responsible for the interconnection facilities to the Dayton Power and Light system.

| Description | Total Cost |
|---|---------------------|
| Total Physical Interconnection Costs | \$ 2,430,000 |
| Total System Network Upgrade Costs | \$ 9,611,000 |
| Total Costs | \$12,041,000 |

This cost excludes a Federal Income Tax Gross Up charges. This tax may or may not be charged based on whether this project meets the eligibility requirements of IRS Notice 88-129. If at a future date it is determined that the Federal Income Tax Gross charge is required, the Transmission Owner shall be reimbursed by the Interconnection Customer for such taxes.

Cost allocations for any System Upgrades will be provided in the System Impact Study Report.

The required Attachment Facilities, Direct Connection and Non-Direct Connection work for the interconnection of the AF2-066 generation project to the Dayton Transmission System is detailed in the following sections. The associated one-line with the generation project attachment facilities and primary direct and non-direct connection are shown in Attachment 1.

Note that the Dayton findings were made from a conceptual review of this project. A more detailed review of the connection facilities and their cost will be identified in a future study phase. Further note that the cost estimate data contained in this document should be considered high level estimates since it was produced without a detailed engineering review. The applicant will be responsible for the actual cost of construction. Dayton herein reserves the right to return to any issues in this document and, upon appropriate justification, request additional monies to complete any reinforcements to the transmission systems.

6 Transmission Owner Scope of Work

The AF2-066 project will use the existing interconnection facilities, so the only Transmission Owner work associated with this project is to provide engineering oversight and make remote relay setting changes at the AF2-066 interconnection substation and other related adjacent substations.

This report assumes that the Interconnection Customer will construct and own the attachment line from its generating facility into the proposed Point of Interconnection as depicted on the one-line diagram in Attachment 1. The IC will also be responsible for the fiber/OPGW that Dayton requires on the generator line for the communication assisted trip scheme. The costs included below are for the necessary protection system review and any subsequent field changes needed to coordinate with IC attachment facilities.

The total physical interconnection costs is given in the tables below:

6.1 Attachment Facilities

The total preliminary cost estimate for the Attachment work is given in the table below. These costs do not include CIAC Tax Gross-up.

| Description | Total Cost |
|--|-----------------|
| Engineering review and commissioning | \$15,000 |
| Total Attachment Facility Costs | \$15,000 |

6.2 Direct Connection Cost Estimate

The total preliminary cost estimate for the Direct Connection work is given in the table below. These costs do not include CIAC Tax Gross-up.

| Description | Total Cost |
|---|------------|
| None | \$0 |
| Total Direct Connection Facility Costs | \$0 |

6.3 Non-Direct Connection Cost Estimate

The total preliminary cost estimate for the Non-Direct Connection work is given in the table below. These costs do not include CIAC Tax Gross-up.

| Description | Total Cost |
|--|--------------------|
| West Manchester 69 kV Substation - relay settings changes | \$ 15,000 |
| Install two 69 kV breakers at the West Manchester Substation to interconnect the AF2-066 project. This will include the installation of all physical structures, P&C equipment, communications equipment, metering equipment, and associated facilities. | \$2,400,000 |
| Total Non-Direct Connection Facility Costs | \$2,415,000 |

7 Schedule

Based on the extent of the Dayton primary Attachment Facilities and Non-Direct Connection work required to support the AF2-066 generation project, it is expected to take a minimum of **eighteen (18) months** from the date of a fully executed Interconnection Construction Service Agreement to complete the installation. This includes the requirement for the IC to make a preliminary payment to Dayton which funds the Non-Direct Connection work and the first three months of engineering design that is related to the construction of the Attachment Facilities. It further assumes that the IC will provide all rights-of-way, permits, easements, etc. that will be needed. A further assumption is that there will be no environmental issues with any of the new properties associated with this project, that there will be no delays in acquiring the necessary permits for implementing the defined Attachment Facilities and Non-Direct Connection work, and that all system outages will be allowed when requested.

8 Transmission Owner Analysis - Summer Peak - Load Flow Analysis (underlying transmission <100 kV system)

PJM performed a power flow analysis of the transmission system using a 2023 summer peak load flow model and the results were verified by Dayton. Additionally, Dayton performed an analysis of its underlying transmission <100 kV system. The following issues were found to be existing in the Dayton transmission system.

| Facility | Contingency Description | Existing Upgrade | Cost |
|--|---|--|-------------|
| 253181 09NHOLLN 69 kV - 253201 09ROBINS 69 kV Ckt 1 | Adkins – Beatty 345 kV | PJM Network Upgrade, N5456: From AC1-166, replace wave trap with 2000A wave trap. Project Cost: \$56,000 Time Estimate: 20 weeks | \$56,000 |
| 253099 09ATLNTA 69 kV - 253100 09ATLNTA 345 kV Ckt 1 | Atlanta – New Holland 69 kV Robinson – New Holland 69 kV | Reinforcement Project, r190012: Add a second 250 MVA 345/69kV transformer. Project Cost: \$5,000,000 Time Estimate: 24 months | \$5,000,000 |
| TOTAL COST | | | \$0 |

This project does not currently have a financial responsibility towards these upgrades, but may get an allocation based on projects withdrawing from the queue. Allocations to upgrades are determined in the System Impact phase. The upgrades may need to be completed prior to initial operation of this facility.

At the Primary POI, the AF2-066 project contributes to overloads created by AF2-012 on the Dayton transmission system as shown below. The estimated cost of system reinforcements necessary to mitigate these overloads created by AF2-012 are provided. Allocations to these upgrades will be determined in the System Impact Study Phase.

| From Bus Number | From Bus Name | From Bus Area | To Bus Number | To Bus Name | To Bus Area | CKT ID | kV | Contingency Description |
|-----------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|-------------|-------------|--------|------|---------------------------------|
| 253023 | 09DIXIET | DAY | 253037 | 09KETTER | DAY | 1 | 69.0 | Loss of Tait W – Overlook 69 kV |
| 253023 | 09DIXIET | DAY | 253222 | 09TAIT E | DAY | 1 | 69.0 | Loss of Tait W – Overlook 69 kV |
| 253051 | 09MORAIN | DAY | 253222 | 09TAIT E | DAY | 1 | 69.0 | Loss of Tait E – Dixie 69 kV |
| 253061 | 09OVERLK | DAY | 253223 | 09TAIT W | DAY | 1 | 69.0 | Loss of Tait E – Dixie 69 kV |

| Facility | Upgrade Description | Cost |
|---|--|--------------------|
| 253023 09DIXIET 69.0 kV - 253037 09KETTER 69.0 kV Ckt 1 | Reinforcement ID, DAYr20004: Relay loadability to increase line rating Project Cost: \$58,000 Time Estimate: 24 Months | \$58,000 |
| 253023 09DIXIET 69.0 kV - 253222 09TAIT E 69.0 kV Ckt 1 | Reinforcement ID, DAYr20005: Relay loadability to increase line rating Project Cost: \$58,000 Time Estimate: 24 Months | \$58,000 |
| 253051 09MORAIN 69.0 kV - 253222 09TAIT E 69.0 kV Ckt 1 | Reinforcement ID, DAYr20006: Replace transmission conductor to increase line rating. Project Cost: \$1,859,000 Time Estimate: 18 Months | \$1,859,000 |
| 253061 09OVERLK 69.0 kV - 253223 09TAIT W 69.0 kV Ckt 1 | Reinforcement ID, DAYr20007: Replace transmission and underground conductor to increase line rating. Project Cost: \$ 7,636,000 Time Estimate: 18 Months | \$7,636,000 |
| TOTAL COST | | \$9,611,000 |

Note: Only the most severely overloaded conditions are listed above.

9 Interconnection Customer Requirements

It is understood that the Interconnection Customer (IC) is responsible for all costs associated with this interconnection. The costs above are reimbursable to the Transmission Owner. The cost of the IC's generating plant and the costs for the line connecting the generating plant to the Point of Interconnection are not included in this report; these are assumed to be the IC's responsibility.

The Generation Interconnection Agreement does not in or by itself establish a requirement for the Transmission Owner to provide power for consumption at the developer's facilities. A separate agreement may be reached with the local utility that provides service in the area to ensure that infrastructure is in place to meet this demand and proper metering equipment is installed. It is the responsibility of the developer to contact the local service provider to determine if a local service agreement is required.

1. An Interconnection Customer entering the New Services Queue on or after October 1, 2012 with a proposed new Customer Facility that has a Maximum Facility Output equal to or greater than 100 MW shall install and maintain, at its expense, phasor measurement units (PMUs). See Section 8.5.3 of Appendix 2 to the Interconnection Service Agreement as well as section 4.3 of PJM Manual 14D for additional information.

2. The Interconnection Customer may be required to install and/or pay for metering as necessary to properly track real time output of the facility as well as installing metering which shall be used for billing purposes. See Section 8 of Appendix 2 to the Interconnection Service Agreement as well as Section 4 of PJM Manual 14D for additional information.

10 Revenue Metering and SCADA Requirements

10.1 PJM Requirements

The Interconnection Customer will be required to install equipment necessary to provide Revenue Metering (KWH, KVARH) and real time data (KW, KVAR) for IC's generating Resource. See PJM Manuals M-01 and M-14D, and PJM Tariff Section 8 of Attachment O.

10.2 Meteorological Data Reporting Requirements

Solar generation facilities shall provide the Transmission Provider with site-specific meteorological data including:

- Back Panel temperature (Fahrenheit)
- Irradiance (Watts/meter²)
- Ambient air temperature (Fahrenheit) – (Accepted, not required)
- Wind speed (meters/second) – (Accepted, not required)
- Wind direction (decimal degrees from true north) – (Accepted, not required)

10.3 Interconnected Transmission Owner Requirements

The IC will be required to comply with all Interconnected Transmission Owner's revenue metering requirements for generation interconnection customers located at the following link:

<http://www.pjm.com/planning/design-engineering/to-tech-standards/>

11 System Protection

The IC must design its Customer Facilities in accordance with all applicable standards, including the standards in Dayton’s “Requirements for the connection of Facilities to the Dayton Power & Light company Transmission System” document located at: <https://www.pjm.com/planning/design-engineering/to-tech-standards/private-dayton.aspx>. Preliminary Protection requirements will be provided as part of the Facilities Study. Detailed Protection Requirements will be provided once the project enters the construction phase.

12 Compliance Issues and Interconnection Customer Requirements

The Dayton Power and Light Company (DP&L) has prepared this Facilities Connection Requirements document to ensure compliance with North American Electric Reliability Council (NERC) Reliability Standards and applicable Regional Reliability Organization, sub regional, Power Pool, and individual Transmission Owner planning criteria and facility connection requirements in compliance to NERC Standard FAC-001-2. These connection requirements apply to all generation facilities, transmission facilities, and end-users connecting to the DP&L transmission system. Detailed information outlining DP&L interconnection requirements can be reviewed utilizing the following link:

<https://www.pjm.com/~media/planning/plan-standards/private-dayton/dayton-facilities-connection-requirements.ashx>

13 Power Factor Requirements

The IC shall design its non-synchronous Customer Facility with the ability to maintain a power factor of at least 0.95 leading (absorbing VARs) to 0.95 lagging (supplying VARs) measured at the high-side of the facility substation transformer(s) connected to the Dayton transmission system.

14 Summer Peak - Load Flow Analysis (Transmission System)

The Queue Project AF2-066 was evaluated as a 80.0 MW (Capacity 48.0 MW) injection at the West Manchester 69 kV substation in the Dayton area. Project AF2-066 was evaluated for compliance with applicable reliability planning criteria (PJM, NERC, NERC Regional Reliability Councils, and Transmission Owners). Project AF2-066 was studied with a commercial probability of 53.0 %. Potential network impacts were as follows:

14.1 Generation Deliverability

(Single or N-1 contingencies for the Capacity portion only of the interconnection)

None

14.2 Multiple Facility Contingency

(Double Circuit Tower Line, Fault with a Stuck Breaker, and Bus Fault contingencies for the full energy output)

None

14.3 Contribution to Previously Identified Overloads

(This project contributes to the following contingency overloads, i.e. "Network Impacts", identified for earlier generation or transmission interconnection projects in the PJM Queue)

None

14.4 Potential Congestion due to Local Energy Deliverability

PJM also studied the delivery of the energy portion of this interconnection request. Any problems identified below are likely to result in operational restrictions to the project under study. The developer can proceed with network upgrades to eliminate the operational restriction at their discretion by submitting a Merchant Transmission Interconnection request.

Note: Only the most severely overloaded conditions are listed below. There is no guarantee of full delivery of energy for this project by fixing only the conditions listed in this section. With a Transmission Interconnection Request, a subsequent analysis will be performed which shall study all overload conditions associated with the overloaded element(s) identified.

None

14.5 System Reinforcements - Summer Peak Load Flow (Transmission System)

None

15 Light Load Analysis

Light Load Studies (As applicable)

Not applicable.

16 Short Circuit Analysis – Primary POI

The following Breakers are overdutied:

To be determined during later study phases.

17 Stability and Reactive Power Assessment

(Summary of the VAR requirements based upon the results of the dynamic studies)

To be determined during later study phases.

18 Affected Systems

18.1 MISO

MISO Impacts to be determined during later study phases (as applicable).

18.2 LG&E

LG&E Impacts to be determined during later study phases (as applicable).

15 Summer Peak – Load Flow Analysis – Secondary POI

The Queue Project AF2-066 was evaluated as a 80.0 MW (Capacity 48.0 MW) injection at the Lewisburg Tap 69 kV substation in the Dayton area. Project AF2-066 was evaluated for compliance with applicable reliability planning criteria (PJM, NERC, NERC Regional Reliability Councils, and Transmission Owners). Project AF2-066 was studied with a commercial probability of 53.0 %. Potential network impacts were as follows:

15.1 Generation Deliverability

(Single or N-1 contingencies for the Capacity portion only of the interconnection)

None

15.2 Multiple Facility Contingency

(Double Circuit Tower Line, Fault with a Stuck Breaker, and Bus Fault contingencies for the full energy output)

None

15.3 Contribution to Previously Identified Overloads

(This project contributes to the following contingency overloads, i.e. "Network Impacts", identified for earlier generation or transmission interconnection projects in the PJM Queue)

None

15.4 Potential Congestion due to Local Energy Deliverability

PJM also studied the delivery of the energy portion of this interconnection request. Any problems identified below are likely to result in operational restrictions to the project under study. The developer can proceed with network upgrades to eliminate the operational restriction at their discretion by submitting a Merchant Transmission Interconnection request.

Note: Only the most severely overloaded conditions are listed below. There is no guarantee of full delivery of energy for this project by fixing only the conditions listed in this section. With a Transmission Interconnection Request, a subsequent analysis will be performed which shall study all overload conditions associated with the overloaded element(s) identified.

None

—