



# **Generation Interconnection**

## **Feasibility Study Report**

**for**

### **Queue Project AF2-236**

**JAMESTOWN-HARTSTOWN 69 KV**

**4.8 MW Capacity / 8 MW Energy**

July 2020

# Table of Contents

1	Introduction.....	3
2	Preface.....	3
3	General.....	4
4	Point of Interconnection.....	4
5	Cost Summary.....	5
6	Transmission Owner Scope of Work.....	5
7	Schedule.....	6
8	Transmission Owner Analysis.....	6
9	Interconnection Customer Requirements.....	8
9.1	System Protection.....	8
9.2	Compliance Issues and Interconnection Customer Requirements.....	9
9.3	Power Factor Requirements.....	9
10	Revenue Metering and SCADA Requirements.....	9
10.1	PJM Requirements.....	9
10.2	Meteorological Data Reporting Requirements.....	10
10.3	Interconnected Transmission Owner Requirements.....	10
11	Summer Peak - Load Flow Analysis.....	11
11.1	Generation Deliverability.....	11
11.2	Multiple Facility Contingency.....	11
11.3	Contribution to Previously Identified Overloads.....	11
11.4	Potential Congestion due to Local Energy Deliverability.....	11
11.5	System Reinforcements - Summer Peak Load Flow - Primary POI.....	12
11.6	Queue Dependencies.....	12
11.7	Contingency Descriptions.....	12
12	Short Circuit Analysis.....	12
13	Affected Systems.....	12
13.1	NYISO.....	12
13.2	MISO.....	13
14	Attachment 1: One Line Diagram.....	14

## 1 Introduction

This Feasibility Study has been prepared in accordance with the PJM Open Access Transmission Tariff, 36.2, as well as the Feasibility Study Agreement between the Interconnection Customer (IC), and PJM Interconnection, LLC (PJM), Transmission Provider (TP). The Interconnected Transmission Owner (ITO) is ATSI.

## 2 Preface

The intent of the feasibility study is to determine a plan, with ballpark cost and construction time estimates, to connect the subject generation to the PJM network at a location specified by the Interconnection Customer. The Interconnection Customer may request the interconnection of generation as a capacity resource or as an energy-only resource. As a requirement for interconnection, the Interconnection Customer may be responsible for the cost of constructing: (1) Direct Connections, which are new facilities and/or facilities upgrades needed to connect the generator to the PJM network, and (2) Network Upgrades, which are facility additions, or upgrades to existing facilities, that are needed to maintain the reliability of the PJM system.

In some instances a generator interconnection may not be responsible for 100% of the identified network upgrade cost because other transmission network uses, e.g. another generation interconnection, may also contribute to the need for the same network reinforcement. Cost allocation rules for network upgrades can be found in PJM Manual 14A, Attachment B. The possibility of sharing the reinforcement costs with other projects may be identified in the feasibility study, but the actual allocation will be deferred until the impact study is performed.

The Interconnection Customer seeking to interconnect a wind or solar generation facility shall maintain meteorological data facilities as well as provide that meteorological data which is required per Schedule H to the Interconnection Service Agreement and Section 8 of Manual 14D.

The Feasibility Study estimates do not include the feasibility, cost, or time required to obtain property rights and permits for construction of the required facilities. The project developer is responsible for the right of way, real estate, and construction permit issues. For properties currently owned by Transmission Owners, the costs may be included in the study.

### 3 General

The Interconnection Customer (IC) has proposed an uprate to a planned/existing Solar generating facility located in Crawford, Pennsylvania. This project is an increase to the Interconnection Customer's AF1-171 project, which will share the same point of interconnection. The AF2-236 queue position is an 8 MW uprate (4.8 MW Capacity uprate) to the previous project. The total installed facilities will have a capability of 28 MW with 16.8 MW of this output being recognized by PJM as Capacity. The proposed in-service date for this uprate project is February 15, 2023. This study does not imply a TO commitment to this in-service date.

<b>Queue Number</b>	<b>AF2-236</b>
<b>Project Name</b>	JAMESTOWN-HARTSTOWN 69 KV
<b>State</b>	Pennsylvania
<b>County</b>	Crawford
<b>Transmission Owner</b>	ATSI
<b>MFO</b>	28
<b>MWE</b>	8
<b>MWC</b>	4.8
<b>Fuel</b>	Solar
<b>Basecase Study Year</b>	2023

Any new service customers who can feasibly be commercially operable prior to June 1st of the basecase study year are required to request interim deliverability analysis.

### 4 Point of Interconnection

AF2-236 will interconnect with the ATSI transmission system.

The AF2-236 project is an uprate to the AF1-171 project. The interconnection of the AF1-171 project will be accomplished by tapping the Dilworth-Maysville 69 kV Line and constructing a one span tap. The transmission line tap will be located approximately 12.3 miles from Maysville substation.

Due to the increased Maximum Facility Output under AF2-236, the interconnection of the project at the Primary POI will be accomplished by constructing a new 69 kV three (3) breaker ring bus substation and looping the Dilworth-Maysville 69 kV line into the new station. The new substation will be located approximately 12.3 miles from Maysville Substation. The IC will be responsible for acquiring all easements, properties, and permits that may be required to construct both the new interconnection switching station and the associated facilities. The IC will also be responsible for the rough grade of the property and an access road to the proposed three-breaker ring bus site. The project will also require Non-Direct Connection upgrades at Maysville Substation.

Attachment 1 shows a one-line diagram of the proposed primary direct connection facilities for the AF2-236 generation project to connect to the FirstEnergy (“FE”) transmission system. IC will be responsible for constructing the facilities on its side of the POI, including the attachment facilities which connect the generator to the FE transmission system’s direct connection facilities.

## 5 Cost Summary

The AF2-236 project will be responsible for the following costs:

Description	Total Cost
<b>Total Physical Interconnection Costs</b>	\$ 13,850,000
<b>Total Transmission Owner Analysis Impacts (&lt;100 kV/Non-BES)</b>	\$ 117,997,270 <sup>1</sup>
<b>Total System Network Upgrade Costs</b>	\$ 0
<b>Total Costs</b>	\$ 131,847,270

This cost excludes a Federal Income Tax Gross Up charges. This tax may or may not be charged based on whether this project meets the eligibility requirements of IRS Notice 88-129. If at a future date it is determined that the Federal Income Tax Gross charge is required, the Transmission Owner shall be reimbursed by the Interconnection Customer for such taxes.

Cost allocations for any System Upgrades will be provided in the System Impact Study Report.

## 6 Transmission Owner Scope of Work

Due to the increased Maximum Facility Output under AF2-236, the interconnection of the project at the Primary POI will be accomplished by constructing a new 69 kV three (3) breaker ring bus substation and looping the Dilworth-Maysville 69 kV line into the new station. The new substation will be located approximately 12.3 miles from Maysville Substation. The IC will be responsible for acquiring all easements, properties, and permits that may be required to construct both the new interconnection switching station and the associated facilities. The IC will also be responsible for the rough grade of the property and an access road to the proposed three-breaker ring bus site. The project will also require Non-Direct Connection upgrades at Maysville Substation.

The total physical interconnection costs is given in the table below:

<sup>1</sup> The transmission owner identified overloads will be reviewed by PJM and FirstEnergy in the Impact Study phase to determine whether the queue customer has a cost responsibility to these overloads.

Description	Total Cost
Install disconnect switch, dead-end structure, and associated facilities for generator lead line exit at interconnection substation.	\$ 260,000
Construct 69 kV three breaker ring bus interconnection substation.	\$ 4,780,000
Loop the Dilworth-Maysville 69 kV line into the new substation.	\$ 570,000
Upgrade relaying at Maysville.	\$ 380,000
*Install ~12.5 miles of OPGW for fiber relaying from Maysville to FE owned 3-breaker ring for AF2-236.	\$ 7,860,000
<b>Total Physical Interconnection Costs</b>	<b>\$13,850,000</b>

\* This scope of work may not be required if the inverters comply with IEEE-1547 and are UL1741 certified

## 7 Schedule

Based on the scope of work for the Attachment Facilities and the Direct and/or Non-Direct Connection facilities, it is expected to take a minimum of 24 months after the signing of an Interconnection Construction Service Agreement to complete the installation. This includes the requirement for the IC to make a preliminary payment that compensates FE for the first three months of the engineering design work that is related to the construction of the interconnection substation. This assumes that there will be no environmental issues with any of the new properties associated with this project, that there will be no delays in acquiring the necessary permits for implementing the defined Direct Connection and network upgrades, and that all transmission system outages will be allowed when requested.

If the customer is ultimately responsible for any network upgrades, then the schedule for those upgrades will be refined in future study phases. The customer would need to wait for those upgrades to be completed prior to commercial operation unless determined deliverable by an interim deliverability study.

## 8 Transmission Owner Analysis

At the Primary POI, the AF2-236 project contributes to overloads on the FE Transmission <100 kV System as shown below. The estimated cost of system reinforcements necessary to mitigate these overloads is shown below.

These Transmission Owner identified overloads will be reviewed by PJM and FirstEnergy in the Impact Study phase to determine whether the queue customer has a cost responsibility to these overloads.

Contingency Description	Overloaded Element	Rating (MVA)	AF2-236 MW Contrib.	FE Comments /Reinforcements
ATSI-P1-2-OEE-138-012-A	AE1-079 Tap-Camp Reynolds 69 kV Line	43	3.28	OEE-011B
ATSI-P1-2-OEE-138-012-A	Maysville-AE1-079 Tap 69 kV Line	43	3.28	OEE-012B
ATSI-P1-2-OEE-138-012-A	Maysville-Y299 Tap 69 kV Line	53	3.26	OEE-014A
Maysville-AF1-305 Tap 138 kV Line	AE1-079 Tap-Camp Reynolds 69 kV Line	43	3.28	OEE-011B
Base Case	AE1-079 Tap-Camp Reynolds 69 kV Line	27	1.91	OEE-011B
Maysville-AF1-305 Tap 138 kV Line	Maysville-AE1-079 Tap 69 kV Line	43	3.28	OEE-012B
Base Case	Maysville-AE1-079 Tap 69 kV Line	27	1.91	OEE-012B
ATSI-P1-2-OEE-69-024	AE1-079 Tap-Camp Reynolds 69 kV Line	43	2.75	OEE-011A
ATSI-P1-2-OEE-138-012-A	Camp Reynolds-Sharon 69 kV Line	72	3.28	OEE-013B
ATSI-P1-2-OEE-69-024	Maysville-AE1-079 Tap 69 kV Line	43	2.75	OEE-012A
Maysville-AF1-305 Tap 138 kV Line	Maysville-Y299 Tap 69 kV Line	53	3.26	OEE-014A
ATSI-P1-2-OEE-138-012-A	Y299 Tap-Sharon 69 kV Line	76	3.26	OEE-015A
ATSI-P1-2-OEE-138-012-A	AE1-183 Tap-Henderson 69 kV Line	46	1.46	OEE-016A
ATSI-P1-2-OEE-138-012-A	Greenville-Hempfield 69 kV Line	46	1.46	OEE-017A
Base Case	Maysville-Y299 Tap 69 kV Line	32	1.90	OEE-014A
ATSI-P1-2-OEE-138-012-A	Henderson-McDowell 69 kV Line	46	1.46	OEE-018B
Camp Reynolds-AE1-079 Tap 69 kV Line	Maysville-Y299 Tap 69 kV Line	53	2.74	OEE-014A
ATSI-P1-2-OEE-138-012-A	Hempfield-Werner Tap 69 kV Line	46	1.46	OEE-019A
Maysville-AF1-305 Tap 138 kV Line	AE1-183 Tap-Henderson 69 kV Line	46	1.46	OEE-016A
Maysville-AF1-305 Tap 138 kV Line	Camp Reynolds-Sharon 69 kV Line	72	3.28	OEE-013A
Maysville-AF1-305 Tap 138 kV Line	Greenville-Hempfield 69 kV Line	46	1.46	OEE-017A
Maysville-AF1-305 Tap 138 kV Line	Henderson-McDowell 69 kV Line	46	1.46	OEE-018A

<b>Project ID</b>	<b>Facility Description</b>	<b>Cost</b>
OEE-011A	938580 AE1-079 TAP - 239681 02CP.REYN+ 69.0 kV Ckt Id 1	\$5,997,519
OEE-011B	938580 AE1-079 TAP - 239681 02CP.REYN+ 69.0 kV Ckt Id 1	\$5,903,318
OEE-012A	238949 02MAYSVL - 938580 AE1- 079 TAP 69.0 kV Ckt 1	\$3,234,264
OEE-012B	238949 02MAYSVL - 938580 AE1- 079 TAP 69.0 kV Ckt 1	\$3,140,063
OEE-013A	239861 02CP.REYN+ - 239104 02SHARON 69.0 kV Ckt Id 1	\$376,808
OEE-013B	239861 02CP.REYN+ - 239104 02SHARON 69.0 kV Ckt Id 1	\$23,644,671
OEE-014A	238949 02MAYSVL - 239893 02Y299+ 69.0 kV Ckt Id 1	\$23,707,472
OEE-015A	239893 02Y299+ - 239104 02SHARON 69.0 kV Ckt Id 1	\$9,074,781
OEE-016A	939540 AE1-183 TAP - 239941 02HNDERSN 69.0 kV Ckt Id 1	\$5,024,100
OEE-017A	239869 02GRNVLY80 - 239870 02HEMPFIEL 69.0 kV Ckt Id 1	\$5,997,519
OEE-018A	239941 02HNDERSN - 238955 02MCDOWL 69.0 kV Ckt Id 1	\$376,808
OEE-018B	239941 02HNDERSN - 238955 02MCDOWL 69.0 kV Ckt Id 1	\$29,007,897
OEE-019A	239870 02HEMPFIEL - 239871 02HART.TAP 69.0 kV Ckt Id 1	\$2,512,050
<b>TOTAL</b>		<b>\$117,997,270</b>

## 9 Interconnection Customer Requirements

### 9.1 System Protection

The IC must design its Customer Facilities in accordance with all applicable standards, including the standards in FE's "Requirements for Transmission Connected Facilities" document located at:

<http://www.pjm.com/planning/design-engineering/to-tech-standards/private-firstenergy.aspx>. Preliminary

Protection requirements will be provided as part of the Facilities Study. Detailed Protection Requirements will be provided once the project enters the construction phase.

## 9.2 Compliance Issues and Interconnection Customer Requirements

The proposed Customer Facilities must be designed in accordance with FE's "Requirements for Transmission Connected Facilities" document located at: <http://www.pjm.com/planning/design-engineering/to-tech-standards/private-firstenergy.aspx>. In particular, the IC is responsible for the following:

1. The purchase and installation of a fully rated 69 kV circuit breaker to protect the AF2-236 generator lead line. A single circuit breaker must be used to protect this line; if the project has several GSU transformers, the individual GSU transformer breakers cannot be used to protect this line.
2. The purchase and installation of the minimum required FE generation interconnection relaying and control facilities. This includes over/under voltage protection, over/under frequency protection, and zero sequence voltage protection relays.
3. The purchase and installation of supervisory control and data acquisition ("SCADA") equipment to provide information in a compatible format to the FE Transmission System Control Center.
4. Compliance with the FE and PJM generator power factor and voltage control requirements.
5. The execution of a back-up service agreement to serve the customer load supplied from the AF2-236 generation project metering point when the units are out-of-service. This assumes the intent of the IC is to net the generation with the load.

The IC will also be required to meet all PJM, ReliabilityFirst, and NERC reliability criteria and operating procedures for standards compliance. For example, the IC will need to properly locate and report the over and under voltage and over and under frequency system protection elements for its units as well as the submission of the generator model and protection data required to satisfy the PJM and ReliabilityFirst audits. Failure to comply with these requirements may result in a disconnection of service if the violation is found to compromise the reliability of the FE Transmission System.

## 9.3 Power Factor Requirements

The IC shall design its non-synchronous Customer Facility with the ability to maintain a power factor of at least 0.95 leading (absorbing VARs) to 0.95 lagging (supplying VARs) measured at the high-side of the facility substation transformer(s) connected to the FE transmission system.

# 10 Revenue Metering and SCADA Requirements

## 10.1 PJM Requirements

The Interconnection Customer will be required to install equipment necessary to provide Revenue Metering (KWH, KVARH) and real time data (KW, KVAR) for IC's generating Resource. See PJM Manuals M-01 and M-14D, and PJM Tariff Section 8 of Attachment O.

## 10.2 Meteorological Data Reporting Requirements

The solar generation facility shall provide the Transmission Provider with site-specific meteorological data including:

- Back panel temperature (Fahrenheit)
- Irradiance (Watts/meter<sup>2</sup>)
- Ambient air temperature (Fahrenheit) - (Accepted, not required)
- Wind speed (meters/second) – (Accepted, not required)
- Wind direction (decimal degrees from true north) – (Accepted, not required)

## 10.3 Interconnected Transmission Owner Requirements

The IC will be required to comply with all FE revenue metering requirements for generation interconnection customers which can be found in FE's "Requirements for Transmission Connected Facilities" document located at: <http://www.pjm.com/planning/design-engineering/to-tech-standards/private-firstenergy.aspx>

## 11 Summer Peak - Load Flow Analysis

The Queue Project AF2-236 was evaluated as an 8.0 MW (Capacity 4.8 MW) uprate to AF1-171 which is tapping the Jamestown to Hartstown 69 kV line in the ATSI area. Project AF2-236 was evaluated for compliance with applicable reliability planning criteria (PJM, NERC, NERC Regional Reliability Councils, and Transmission Owners). Project AF2-236 was studied with a commercial probability of 53.0 %. Potential network impacts were as follows:

### 11.1 Generation Deliverability

(Single or N-1 contingencies for the Capacity portion only of the interconnection)

None

### 11.2 Multiple Facility Contingency

(Double Circuit Tower Line, Fault with a Stuck Breaker, and Bus Fault contingencies for the full energy output)

None

### 11.3 Contribution to Previously Identified Overloads

(This project contributes to the following contingency overloads, i.e. "Network Impacts", identified for earlier generation or transmission interconnection projects in the PJM Queue)

None

### 11.4 Potential Congestion due to Local Energy Deliverability

PJM also studied the delivery of the energy portion of this interconnection request. Any problems identified below are likely to result in operational restrictions to the project under study. The developer can proceed with network upgrades to eliminate the operational restriction at their discretion by submitting a Merchant Transmission Interconnection request.

Note: Only the most severely overloaded conditions are listed below. There is no guarantee of full delivery of energy for this project by fixing only the conditions listed in this section. With a Transmission Interconnection Request, a subsequent analysis will be performed which shall study all overload conditions associated with the overloaded element(s) identified.

ID	FROM BUS#	FROM BUS	kV	FROM BUS AREA	TO BUS#	TO BUS	kV	TO BUS AREA	CK T ID	CONT NAME	Type	Rating MVA	PRE PROJECT LOADIN G %	POST PROJECT LOADIN G %	AC DC	MW IMPACT
100661344	946410	AF1-305 TAP	138.0	ATSI	238944	02MASURY	138.0	ATSI	1	ATSI-P1-2-OEE-69-022-B	operation	110.0	117.91	121.68	DC	4.15
100661349	946410	AF1-305 TAP	138.0	ATSI	238944	02MASURY	138.0	ATSI	1	Base Case	operation	110.0	101.56	104.57	DC	3.32

## 11.5 System Reinforcements - Summer Peak Load Flow - Primary POI

None

## 11.6 Queue Dependencies

The Queue Projects below are listed in one or more indices for the overloads identified in your report. These projects contribute to the loading of the overloaded facilities identified in your report. The percent overload of a facility and cost allocation you may have towards a particular reinforcement could vary depending on the action of these earlier projects. The status of each project at the time of the analysis is presented in the table. This list may change as earlier projects withdraw or modify their requests.

None

## 11.7 Contingency Descriptions

Contingency Name	Contingency Definition
Base Case	
ATSI-P1-2-OEE-69-022-B	CONTINGENCY 'ATSI-P1-2-OEE-69-022-B' /* MAYSVILLE - SHARON 69 [Y-301] DISCONNECT BRANCH FROM BUS 938580 TO BUS 239861 CKT 1 /* AE1-079 TAP 69 02CP.REYN+ 69 DISCONNECT BRANCH FROM BUS 239104 TO BUS 239861 CKT 1 /* 02SHARON 69 02CP.REYN+ 69 DISCONNECT BUS 239894 /* 02CP.REYNL 69 DISCONNECT BUS 239890 /* 02GRNV MTL 69 END

## 12 Short Circuit Analysis

Short circuit analysis will be provided in the System Impact Study report.

## 13 Affected Systems

### 13.1 NYISO

NYISO Impacts to be determined during later study phases (as applicable).

## 13.2 MISO

MISO Impacts to be determined during later study phases (as applicable).

## 14 Attachment 1: One Line Diagram