



**Generation Interconnection
Feasibility Study Report
for
Queue Project AG1-051
MAYSVILLE 69 KV III
20 MW Capacity / 20 MW Energy**

January 2021

Table of Contents

- 1 Introduction..... 4
- 2 Preface..... 4
- 3 General..... 5
- 4 Point of Interconnection..... 6
 - 4.1 Primary Point of Interconnection 6
 - 4.2 Secondary Point of Interconnection..... 6
- 5 Cost Summary 6
- 6 Transmission Owner Scope of Work..... 7
- 7 Schedule..... 8
- 8 Transmission Owner Analysis..... 8
 - 8.1 Transmission Owner Identified Network Impacts to Distribution Facilities..... 8
 - 8.2 Transmission Owner Identified Network Impacts to Sub-Regional Facilities 8
 - 8.3 System Reinforcements on Distribution Facilities..... 9
 - 8.4 System Reinforcements on Sub-Regional Facilities..... 9
- 9 Interconnection Customer Requirements..... 11
 - 9.1 System Protection..... 11
 - 9.2 Compliance Issues and Interconnection Customer Requirements 12
 - 9.3 Power Factor Requirements..... 12
- 10 Revenue Metering and SCADA Requirements 13
 - 10.1 PJM Requirements 13
 - 10.2 Interconnected Transmission Owner Requirements..... 13
- 11 Summer Peak - Load Flow Analysis 14
 - 11.1 Generation Deliverability 15
 - 11.2 Multiple Facility Contingency 15
 - 11.3 Contribution to Previously Identified Overloads..... 15
 - 11.4 Potential Congestion due to Local Energy Deliverability..... 15
 - 11.5 System Reinforcements - Summer Peak Load Flow - Primary POI..... 16
 - 11.6 Flow Gate Details..... 17
 - 11.7 Queue Dependencies 18
 - 11.8 Contingency Descriptions..... 19
- 12 Short Circuit Analysis..... 20

12.1 System Reinforcements - Short Circuit.....20
13 Affected Systems21
14 Attachment 1: One Line Diagram22

1 Introduction

This Feasibility Study has been prepared in accordance with the PJM Open Access Transmission Tariff, 36.2, as well as the Feasibility Study Agreement between the Interconnection Customer (IC), and PJM Interconnection, LLC (PJM), Transmission Provider (TP). The Interconnected Transmission Owner (ITO) is ATSI.

2 Preface

The intent of the feasibility study is to determine a plan, with ballpark cost and construction time estimates, to connect the subject generation to the PJM network at a location specified by the Interconnection Customer. The Interconnection Customer may request the interconnection of generation as a capacity resource or as an energy-only resource. As a requirement for interconnection, the Interconnection Customer may be responsible for the cost of constructing: (1) Direct Connections, which are new facilities and/or facilities upgrades needed to connect the generator to the PJM network, and (2) Network Upgrades, which are facility additions, or upgrades to existing facilities, that are needed to maintain the reliability of the PJM system.

In some instances a generator interconnection may not be responsible for 100% of the identified network upgrade cost because other transmission network uses, e.g. another generation interconnection, may also contribute to the need for the same network reinforcement. Cost allocation rules for network upgrades can be found in PJM Manual 14A, Attachment B. The possibility of sharing the reinforcement costs with other projects may be identified in the feasibility study, but the actual allocation will be deferred until the impact study is performed.

The Feasibility Study estimates do not include the feasibility, cost, or time required to obtain property rights and permits for construction of the required facilities. The project developer is responsible for the right of way, real estate, and construction permit issues. For properties currently owned by Transmission Owners, the costs may be included in the study.

3 General

The Interconnection Customer (IC) has proposed an uprate to a planned Storage generating facility located in Mercer, Pennsylvania. This project is an increase to the Interconnection Customer's AE2-285 and AF1-250 projects, which will share the same point of interconnection. The AG1-051 queue position is a 20 MW uprate (20 MW Capacity uprate) to the previous project. The total installed facilities will have a capability of 88 MW with 60.8 MW of this output being recognized by PJM as Capacity. The proposed in-service date for this uprate project is June 30, 2022. This study does not imply a TO commitment to this in-service date.

Queue Number	AG1-051
Project Name	MAYSVILLE 69 KV III
State	Pennsylvania
County	Mercer
Transmission Owner	ATSI
MFO	88
MWE	20
MWC	20
Fuel	Storage
Basecase Study Year	2024

Any new service customers who can feasibly be commercially operable prior to June 1st of the basecase study year are required to request interim deliverability analysis.

4 Point of Interconnection

4.1 Primary Point of Interconnection

The AG1-051 project is an uprate to the AE2-285/AF1-250 projects. For the AE2-285/AF1-250 projects, the interconnection of the project at the Primary POI will be accomplished by extending the Maysville 69 kV bus, installing one (1) 69 kV circuit breaker, and extending a new line exit to the Primary POI. The IC will be responsible for acquiring all easements, properties, and permits that may be required to expand the Maysville substation and associated attachment facilities.

Attachment 1 shows a one-line diagram of the proposed primary direct connection facilities for the AG1-051 generation project to connect to the FirstEnergy (“FE”) transmission system. IC will be responsible for constructing all of the facilities on its side of the POI, including the attachment facilities which connect the generator to the FE transmission system’s direct connection facilities.

4.2 Secondary Point of Interconnection

There is no secondary point of interconnection specified for AG1-051.

5 Cost Summary

The AG1-051 project will be responsible for the following costs:

Description	Total Cost
Total Physical Interconnection Costs	\$0
Total System Network Upgrade Costs (TO identified)	\$104,061,673 ¹
Total System Network Upgrade Costs (PJM identified)	\$0
Total Costs	\$104,061,673

This cost excludes a Federal Income Tax Gross Up charges. This tax may or may not be charged based on whether this project meets the eligibility requirements of IRS Notice 2016-36, 2016-25 I.R.B. (6/20/2016). If at a future date it is determined that the Federal Income Tax Gross charge is required, the Transmission Owner shall be reimbursed by the Interconnection Customer for such taxes.

Cost allocations for any System Upgrades will be provided in the System Impact Study Report.

¹ This project currently causes and contributes to overloads of the TO system (see Transmission Owner Analysis section below) and therefore has potential to have cost allocation for the system reinforcements listed in the report. This will be re-evaluated in the System Impact phase. The results may vary with queue customers withdrawing from the queue and other generators deactivating over time. If a customer is the first to cause the need for a project (causes loading to exceed 100% of rating), then the customer is responsible. If a customer contributes to a facility that is already overloaded by a prior queue, then they may receive cost allocation.

6 Transmission Owner Scope of Work

The AG1-051 project is an uprate to the AE2-285/AF1-250 projects. For the AE2-285/AF1-250 projects, the interconnection of the project at the Primary POI will be accomplished by extending the Maysville 69 kV bus, installing one (1) 69 kV circuit breaker, and extending a new line exit to the Primary POI. The IC will be responsible for acquiring all easements, properties, and permits that may be required to expand the Maysville substation and associated attachment facilities.

The total physical interconnection costs for AG1-051 was covered under the AE2-285/AF1-250 projects. There is no physical interconnection scope of work required for AG1-051.

7 Schedule

Based on the scope of work for the Attachment Facilities and the Direct and/or Non-Direct Connection facilities, it is expected to take a minimum of one (1) month after the signing of an Interconnection Construction Service Agreement to complete the installation. This includes the requirement for the IC to make a preliminary payment that compensates FE for the first three months of the engineering design work that is related to the construction of the interconnection substation. This assumes that there will be no environmental issues with any of the new properties associated with this project, that there will be no delays in acquiring the necessary permits for implementing the defined Direct Connection and network upgrades, and that all transmission system outages will be allowed when requested.

If the customer is ultimately responsible for network upgrades, then the schedule for those upgrades will be refined in future study phases. The customer would need to wait for those upgrades to be completed prior to commercial operation unless determined deliverable by an interim deliverability study. The elapsed time to complete any network upgrades is provided in the System Reinforcements table of this report.

8 Transmission Owner Analysis²

8.1 Transmission Owner Identified Network Impacts to Distribution Facilities

Potential TO identified network impacts to Transmission Owner distribution facilities were as follows:

None

8.2 Transmission Owner Identified Network Impacts to Sub-Regional Facilities

Potential TO identified network impacts to Transmission Owner Sub-Regional facilities were as follows:

Idx	Overloaded Element	Contingency	Rating [MVA]	Loading Before %	Loading After %	Contribution [MW]
80	238949 02MAYSVL 69.0 239869 02GRNVLY80 69.0 Ckt 1	ATSI-P1-2-OEE-138-012-A	77	84.98%	89.74%	3.67
33	238949 02MAYSVL 69.0 239893 02Y299+ 69.0 Ckt 1	ATSI-P1-2-OEE-138-012-A	53	188.05%	203.42%	8.14
47	238949 02MAYSVL 69.0 239893 02Y299+ 69.0 Ckt 1	ATSI-P1-2-OEE-69-022-A	53	109.37%	122.35%	6.87
44	238949 02MAYSVL 69.0 239893 02Y299+ 69.0 Ckt 1	ATSI-P1-2-OEE-69-022-B	53	118.22%	131.20%	6.87
51	238949 02MAYSVL 69.0 239893 02Y299+ 69.0 Ckt 1	ATSI-P1-2-OEE-69-027-A	53	100.71%	110.89%	5.39
39	238949 02MAYSVL 69.0 239893 02Y299+ 69.0 Ckt 1	Base Case	32	125.36%	140.25%	4.77
30	238949 02MAYSVL 69.0 938580 AE1- 079 TAP 69.0 Ckt 1	ATSI-P1-2-OEE-138-012-A	43	244.70%	263.74%	8.19
42	238949 02MAYSVL 69.0 938580 AE1- 079 TAP 69.0 Ckt 1	ATSI-P1-2-OEE-138-012-B	43	92.38%	111.42%	8.19
35	238949 02MAYSVL 69.0 938580 AE1- 079 TAP 69.0 Ckt 1	ATSI-P1-2-OEE-69-024	43	150.78%	166.81%	6.90

² For TO Distribution Facilities that need upgrades, the TO has applied their cost allocation rules. For TO Sub-Regional Facilities in need of upgrades, PJM Cost Allocation Criteria has been applied.

Idx	Overloaded Element	Contingency	Rating [MVA]	Loading Before %	Loading After %	Contribution [MW]
36	238949 02MAYSVL 69.0 938580 AE1-079 TAP 69.0 Ckt 1	ATSI-P1-2-OEE-69-027-A	43	143.57%	156.19%	5.42
40	238949 02MAYSVL 69.0 938580 AE1-079 TAP 69.0 Ckt 1	ATSI-P1-2-OEE-69-027-B	43	136.22%	148.83%	5.42
32	238949 02MAYSVL 69.0 938580 AE1-079 TAP 69.0 Ckt 1	Base Case	27	181.83%	199.58%	4.79
43	239861 02CP.REYN+ 69.0 239104 02SHARON 69.0 Ckt 1	ATSI-P1-2-OEE-138-012-A	72	130.81%	142.18%	8.19
64	239869 02GRNVLY80 69.0 239870 02HEMPFIEL 69.0 Ckt 1	ATSI-P1-2-OEE-138-005-B-A	46	97.95%	102.66%	2.17
46	239869 02GRNVLY80 69.0 239870 02HEMPFIEL 69.0 Ckt 1	ATSI-P1-2-OEE-138-012-A	46	142.11%	150.08%	3.67
66	239869 02GRNVLY80 69.0 239870 02HEMPFIEL 69.0 Ckt 1	ATSI-P1-2-OEE-69-022-A	46	94.60%	100.70%	2.80
59	239869 02GRNVLY80 69.0 239870 02HEMPFIEL 69.0 Ckt 1	ATSI-P1-2-OEE-69-022-B	46	101.29%	107.39%	2.80
62	239869 02GRNVLY80 69.0 239870 02HEMPFIEL 69.0 Ckt 1	ATSI-P1-2-OEE-69-024	46	97.66%	103.74%	2.80
58	239869 02GRNVLY80 69.0 239870 02HEMPFIEL 69.0 Ckt 1	Base Case	37	102.15%	108.12%	2.21
50	239870 02HEMPFIEL 69.0 239871 02HART.TAP 69.0 Ckt 1	ATSI-P1-2-OEE-138-012-A	46	123.58%	131.55%	3.67
48	239893 02Y299+ 69.0 239104 02SHARON 69.0 Ckt 1	ATSI-P1-2-OEE-138-012-A	76	117.74%	128.46%	8.14
41	239941 02HNDERSN 69.0 238955 02MCDOWL 69.0 Ckt 1	ATSI-P1-2-OEE-138-012-A	48	125.77%	133.40%	3.67
29	938580 AE1-079 TAP 69.0 239861 02CP.REYN+ 69.0 Ckt 1	ATSI-P1-2-OEE-138-012-A	43	261.30%	280.34%	8.19
37	938580 AE1-079 TAP 69.0 239861 02CP.REYN+ 69.0 Ckt 1	ATSI-P1-2-OEE-138-012-B	43	108.98%	128.02%	8.19
34	938580 AE1-079 TAP 69.0 239861 02CP.REYN+ 69.0 Ckt 1	ATSI-P1-2-OEE-69-024	43	163.72%	179.75%	6.90
45	938580 AE1-079 TAP 69.0 239861 02CP.REYN+ 69.0 Ckt 1	ATSI-P1-2-OEE-69-026	43	129.86%	141.01%	4.79
38	938580 AE1-079 TAP 69.0 239861 02CP.REYN+ 69.0 Ckt 1	ATSI-P1-2-OEE-69-027-B	43	145.68%	158.29%	5.42
31	938580 AE1-079 TAP 69.0 239861 02CP.REYN+ 69.0 Ckt 1	Base Case	27	194.33%	212.08%	4.79
49	939540 AE1-183 TAP 69.0 239941 02HNDERSN 69.0 Ckt 1	ATSI-P1-2-OEE-138-012-A	56	119.97%	126.51%	3.67

8.3 System Reinforcements on Distribution Facilities

None

8.4 System Reinforcements on Sub-Regional Facilities

Idx	Facility	Upgrade ID	Upgrade Description	Cost
33,47,44,51,39	238949 02MAYSVL 69.0 239893 02Y299+ 69.0 Ckt 1	OEE-014B	<p>ATSI OEE-014B: Reconductor the Maysville-Sharon Tap 69 kV Line segment (9.4 miles). Upgrade remote ends so that the TL is the most limiting element of the circuit.</p> <p>Time Estimate: 48 Cost: \$23,707,472 Ratings: 177.0/203.0/203.0 MVA</p>	\$23,707,472

Idx	Facility	Upgrade ID	Upgrade Description	Cost
30,42,35, 36,40,32	238949 02MAYSVL 69.0 938580 AE1-079 TAP 69.0 Ckt 1	OEE-012A, OEE-012B, OEE-012C	<p>ATSI OEE-012A: Reconductor the portion of the Maysville-AE1-079 Tap 69 kV Line segment. The AE1-079 generator is roughly 1.25 miles from Maysville and 2.35 from Camp Reynolds (near structure 62 of the Maysville-Sharon Y-301 69 kV Line).</p> <p>Time Estimate: 30 Cost: \$3,234,264 Ratings: 69.0/83.0/83.0 MVA</p> <p>OEE-012B: Reconductor the Maysville-AE1-079 Tap 69 kV Line segment (1.25 miles). The AE1-079 generator is roughly 1.25 miles from Maysville and 2.35 from Camp Reynolds (near structure 62 of the Maysville-Sharon Y-301 69 kV Line).</p> <p>Time Estimate: 30 Cost: \$3,140,063 Ratings: 111.0/134.0/134.0 MVA</p> <p>OEE-012C: Reconductor the Maysville-AE1-079 Tap 69 kV Line segment (1.25 miles). The AE1-079 generator is roughly 1.25 miles from Maysville and 2.35 from Camp Reynolds (near structure 62 of the Maysville-Sharon Y-301 69 kV Line)</p> <p>Time Estimate: 30 Cost: \$3,140,063 Ratings: 177.0/203.0/203.0 MVA</p>	\$9,514,390
43	239861 02CP.REYN+ 69.0 239104 02SHARON 69.0 Ckt 1	OEE-013A, OEE-013C	<p>ATSI OEE-013A: Upgrade the RT at Sharon so that the TL is limiting the circuit.</p> <p>Time Estimate: 12 Cost: \$502,410 Ratings: 69.0/83.0/83.0 MVA</p> <p>OEE-013C: Reconductor the Camp Reynolds-Sharon 69 kV Line segment.</p> <p>Time Estimate: 54 Cost: \$27,381,345 Ratings: 121.0/146.0/146.0 MVA</p>	\$27,883,755
64,46,66, 59,62,58	239869 02GRNVLY80 69.0 239870 02HEMPFIEL 69.0 Ckt 1	OEE-017A	<p>ATSI OEE-017A: Reconductor the Greenville-Hempfield 69 kV Line segment (2.1 miles). Upgrade remote ends so that the TL is the most limiting element of the circuit.</p>	\$5,997,519
50	239870 02HEMPFIEL 69.0 239871 02HART.TAP 69.0 Ckt		<p>Time Estimate: 30 Cost: \$5,997,519 Ratings: 80.0/96.0/96.0 MVA</p>	

Idx	Facility	Upgrade ID	Upgrade Description	Cost
48	239893 02Y299+ 69.0 239104 02SHARON 69.0 Ckt 1	OEE-015AA, OEE-015A	ATSI OEE-015AA: Upgrade RT at Sharon to exceed TL ratings Time Estimate: 12 Cost: \$502,410 Ratings: 72.0/91.0/91.0 MVA OEE-015A: Reconductor the Sharon-Sharon Tap 69 kV Line segment (3.3 miles). Upgrade remote ends so that the TL is the most limiting element of the circuit. Time Estimate: 36 Cost: \$9,074,781 Ratings: 111.0/134.0/134.0 MVA	\$9,577,191
41,57,56, 53,54,68	239941 02HNDERSN 69.0 238955 02MCDOWL 69.0 Ckt 1	OEE-018A, OEE-018C	ATSI OEE-018A: Replace the metering ay McDowell so that the TL is the most limiting element Time Estimate: 12 Cost: \$376,808 Ratings: 47.0/56.0/56.0 MVA OEE-018C: Reconductor the Henderson-McDowell 69 kV Line segment. Upgrade remote ends so that the TL is the most limiting element of the circuit. Time Estimate: 42 Cost: \$16,077,120 Ratings: 80.0/96.0/96.0 MVA	\$16,453,928
29,37,34, 45,38,31	938580 AE1-079 TAP 69.0 239861 02CP.REYN+ 69.0 Ckt 1	OEE-011C	ATSI OEE-011C: Reconductor the AE1-079 Tap-Camp Reynolds 69 kV Line segment (2.35 miles). The AE1-079 generator is roughly 1.25 miles from Maysville and 2.35 from Camp Reynolds (near structure 62 of the Maysville-Sharon Y-301 69 kV Line) Time Estimate: 30 Cost: \$5,903,318 Ratings: 177.0/203.0/203.0 MVA	\$5,903,318
49	939540 AE1-183 TAP 69.0 239941 02HNDERSN 69.0 Ckt 1	OEE-016B	ATSI OEE-016B: Reconductor the AE1-183 Tap-Henderson Tap 69 kV Line segment. The AE1-183 generator is roughly 8.1 miles from McDowell (near structure 108 of the Maysville-McDowell 69 kV Line) . Time Estimate: 30 Cost: \$5,024,100 Ratings: 111.0/134.0/134.0 MVA	\$5,024,100
			TOTAL COST	\$104,061,673

9 Interconnection Customer Requirements

9.1 System Protection

The IC must design its Customer Facilities in accordance with all applicable standards, including the standards in FE's "Requirements for Transmission Connected Facilities" document located at: <http://www.pjm.com/planning/design-engineering/to-tech-standards/private-firstenergy.aspx>. Preliminary

Protection requirements will be provided as part of the Facilities Study. Detailed Protection Requirements will be provided once the project enters the construction phase.

9.2 Compliance Issues and Interconnection Customer Requirements

The proposed Customer Facilities must be designed in accordance with FE's "Requirements for Transmission Connected Facilities" document located at: <http://www.pjm.com/planning/design-engineering/to-tech-standards/private-firstenergy.aspx>. In particular, the IC is responsible for the following:

1. The purchase and installation of a fully rated 69 kV circuit breaker to protect the AG1-051 generator lead line. A single circuit breaker must be used to protect this line; if the project has several GSU transformers, the individual GSU transformer breakers cannot be used to protect this line.
2. The purchase and installation of the minimum required FE generation interconnection relaying and control facilities. This includes over/under voltage protection, over/under frequency protection, and zero sequence voltage protection relays.
3. The purchase and installation of supervisory control and data acquisition ("SCADA") equipment to provide information in a compatible format to the FE Transmission System Control Center.
4. Compliance with the FE and PJM generator power factor and voltage control requirements.
5. The execution of a back-up service agreement to serve the customer load supplied from the AG1-051 generation project metering point when the units are out-of-service. This assumes the intent of the IC is to net the generation with the load.

The IC will also be required to meet all PJM, ReliabilityFirst, and NERC reliability criteria and operating procedures for standards compliance. For example, the IC will need to properly locate and report the over and under voltage and over and under frequency system protection elements for its units as well as the submission of the generator model and protection data required to satisfy the PJM and ReliabilityFirst audits. Failure to comply with these requirements may result in a disconnection of service if the violation is found to compromise the reliability of the FE Transmission System.

9.3 Power Factor Requirements

For the existing proposed AE2-285/AF1-250 solar Customer Facility 68 MW portion of the Customer Facility shall retain the ability to maintain a power factor of at least 0.95 leading (absorbing VARs) to 0.95 lagging (supplying VARs) measured at the high-side of the facility substation transformer(s) connected to the FE transmission system. The increase of 20 MWs to the solar Customer Facility associated with AG1-051 project shall be designed with the ability to maintain a power factor of at least 0.95 leading (absorbing VARs) to 0.95 lagging (supplying VARs).

10 Revenue Metering and SCADA Requirements

10.1 PJM Requirements

The Interconnection Customer will be required to install equipment necessary to provide Revenue Metering (KWH, KVARH) and real time data (KW, KVAR) for IC's generating Resource. See PJM Manuals M-01 and M-14D, and PJM Tariff Section 8 of Attachment O.

10.2 Interconnected Transmission Owner Requirements

The IC will be required to comply with all Interconnected Transmission Owner's revenue metering requirements for generation interconnection customers located at the following link:

<http://www.pjm.com/planning/design-engineering/to-tech-standards/>

11 Summer Peak - Load Flow Analysis

The Queue Project AG1-051 was evaluated as a 20.0 MW (Capacity 20.0 MW) injection as an uprate to AE2-285 & AF1-250 which is a direct connection at the Maysville 69 kV substation in the ATSI area. Project AG1-051 was evaluated for compliance with applicable reliability planning criteria (PJM, NERC, NERC Regional Reliability Councils, and Transmission Owners). Project AG1-051 was studied with a commercial probability of 53.0 %. Potential network impacts were as follows:

11.1 Generation Deliverability

(Single or N-1 contingencies for the Capacity portion only of the interconnection)

None

11.2 Multiple Facility Contingency

(Double Circuit Tower Line, Fault with a Stuck Breaker, and Bus Fault contingencies for the full energy output)

None

11.3 Contribution to Previously Identified Overloads

(This project contributes to the following contingency overloads, i.e. "Network Impacts", identified for earlier generation or transmission interconnection projects in the PJM Queue)

None

11.4 Potential Congestion due to Local Energy Deliverability

PJM also studied the delivery of the energy portion of this interconnection request. Any problems identified below are likely to result in operational restrictions to the project under study. The developer can proceed with network upgrades to eliminate the operational restriction at their discretion by submitting a Merchant Transmission Interconnection request.

Note: Only the most severely overloaded conditions are listed below. There is no guarantee of full delivery of energy for this project by fixing only the conditions listed in this section. With a Transmission Interconnection Request, a subsequent analysis will be performed which shall study all overload conditions associated with the overloaded element(s) identified.

ID	FROM BUS#	FROM BUS	kV	FROM BUS AREA	TO BUS#	TO BUS	kV	TO BUS AREA	CK T ID	CONT NAME	Type	Rating MVA	PRE PROJECT LOADIN G %	POST PROJECT LOADIN G %	AC D C	MW IMPAC T
167803493	238944	02MASURY	138.0	ATSI	239120	02SSPRNG	138.0	ATSI	1	ATSI-P1-2-OEE-345-874	operation	165.0	101.38	103.76	DC	3.92
167803438	238948	02MAYSVL	138.0	ATSI	958850	AF2-176 TAP	138.0	ATSI	1	ATSI-P1-2-OEE-69-022-B	operation	124.0	96.03	104.35	DC	10.32
169846413	958850	AF2-176 TAP	138.0	ATSI	238944	02MASURY	138.0	ATSI	1	ATSI-P1-2-OEE-69-022-B	operation	124.0	134.79	143.11	DC	10.32
169846415	958850	AF2-176 TAP	138.0	ATSI	238944	02MASURY	138.0	ATSI	1	Base Case	operation	124.0	116.4	123.04	DC	8.23

11.5 System Reinforcements - Summer Peak Load Flow - Primary POI

None.

11.6 Flow Gate Details

The following indices contain additional information about each facility presented in the body of the report. For each index, a description of the flowgate and its contingency was included for convenience. The intent of the indices is to provide more details on which projects/generators have contributions to the flowgate in question. All New Service Queue Requests, through the end of the Queue under study, that are contributors to a flowgate will be listed in the indices. Please note that there may be contributors that are subsequently queued after the queue under study that are not listed in the indices. Although this information is not used "as is" for cost allocation purposes, it can be used to gage the impact of other projects/generators. It should be noted the project/generator MW contributions presented in the body of the report are Full MW Impact contributions which are also noted in the indices column named "Full MW Impact", whereas the loading percentages reported in the body of the report, take into consideration the PJM Generator Deliverability Test rules such as commercial probability of each project as well as the ramping impact of "Adder" contributions. The MW Impact found and used in the analysis is shown in the indices column named "Gendeliv MW Impact".

None

11.7 Queue Dependencies

The Queue Projects below are listed in one or more indices for the overloads identified in your report. These projects contribute to the loading of the overloaded facilities identified in your report. The percent overload of a facility and cost allocation you may have towards a particular reinforcement could vary depending on the action of these earlier projects. The status of each project at the time of the analysis is presented in the table. This list may change as earlier projects withdraw or modify their requests.

None

11.8 Contingency Descriptions

Contingency Name	Contingency Definition
Base Case	
ATSI-P1-2-OEE-69-022-B	CONTINGENCY 'ATSI-P1-2-OEE-69-022-B' /* MAYSVILLE - SHARON 69 [Y-301] DISCONNECT BRANCH FROM BUS 938580 TO BUS 239861 CKT 1 /* AE1-079 TAP 69 02CP.REYN+ 69 DISCONNECT BRANCH FROM BUS 239104 TO BUS 239861 CKT 1 /* 02SHARON 69 02CP.REYN+ 69 DISCONNECT BUS 239894 /* 02CP.REYNL 69 DISCONNECT BUS 239890 /* 02GRNV MTL 69 END
ATSI-P1-2-OEE-345-874	CONTINGENCY 'ATSI-P1-2-OEE-345-874' /* LINE 02SHNAGO TO 02NILES 345 CK 1 DISCONNECT BRANCH FROM BUS 239106 TO BUS 239303 CKT 1 /* 02SHNAGO 345 02NILES 345 END

12 Short Circuit Analysis

The following Breakers are overdutied:

None

12.1 System Reinforcements - Short Circuit

None

13 Affected Systems

None

14 Attachment 1: One Line Diagram