



**Generation Interconnection
Combined Feasibility / Impact Study Report
for
Queue Project AG1-053
JACKSON-THREE MILE ISLAND 230 KV III
20 MW Capacity / 20 MW Energy**

January 2021

Table of Contents

1	Introduction.....	4
2	Preface.....	4
3	General.....	5
4	Point of Interconnection.....	6
5	Cost Summary.....	6
6	Transmission Owner Scope of Work.....	7
7	Schedule.....	7
8	Transmission Owner Analysis.....	7
8.1	Power Flow Analysis.....	7
9	Interconnection Customer Requirements.....	7
9.1	System Protection.....	7
9.2	Compliance Issues and Interconnection Customer Requirements.....	7
9.3	Power Factor Requirements.....	8
10	Revenue Metering and SCADA Requirements.....	8
10.1	PJM Requirements.....	8
10.2	Interconnected Transmission Owner Requirements.....	8
11	Summer Peak - Load Flow Analysis.....	9
11.1	Generation Deliverability.....	10
11.2	Multiple Facility Contingency.....	10
11.3	Contribution to Previously Identified Overloads.....	10
11.4	Potential Congestion due to Local Energy Deliverability.....	10
11.5	System Reinforcements - Summer Peak Load Flow - Primary POI.....	11
11.6	Flow Gate Details.....	12
11.7	Queue Dependencies.....	13
11.8	Contingency Descriptions.....	14
12	Short Circuit Analysis.....	15
12.1	System Reinforcements - Short Circuit.....	15
13	Light Load.....	16
14	Stability.....	16
15	Affected Systems.....	16
15.1	NYISO.....	16

16 Attachment 1: One Line Diagram17

1 Introduction

This Feasibility Study has been prepared in accordance with the PJM Open Access Transmission Tariff, 36.2, as well as the Feasibility Study Agreement between the Interconnection Customer (IC), and PJM Interconnection, LLC (PJM), Transmission Provider (TP). The Interconnected Transmission Owner (ITO) is Mid-Atlantic Interstate Transmission, LLC (MAIT) (Meted zone).

2 Preface

The intent of the feasibility study is to determine a plan, with ballpark cost and construction time estimates, to connect the subject generation to the PJM network at a location specified by the Interconnection Customer. The Interconnection Customer may request the interconnection of generation as a capacity resource or as an energy-only resource. As a requirement for interconnection, the Interconnection Customer may be responsible for the cost of constructing: (1) Direct Connections, which are new facilities and/or facilities upgrades needed to connect the generator to the PJM network, and (2) Network Upgrades, which are facility additions, or upgrades to existing facilities, that are needed to maintain the reliability of the PJM system.

In some instances a generator interconnection may not be responsible for 100% of the identified network upgrade cost because other transmission network uses, e.g. another generation interconnection, may also contribute to the need for the same network reinforcement. Cost allocation rules for network upgrades can be found in PJM Manual 14A, Attachment B. The possibility of sharing the reinforcement costs with other projects may be identified in the feasibility study, but the actual allocation will be deferred until the impact study is performed.

The Feasibility Study estimates do not include the feasibility, cost, or time required to obtain property rights and permits for construction of the required facilities. The project developer is responsible for the right of way, real estate, and construction permit issues. For properties currently owned by Transmission Owners, the costs may be included in the study.

3 General

The Interconnection Customer (IC) has proposed an uprate to a planned/existing Storage generating facility located in York, Pennsylvania. This project is an increase to the Interconnection Customer's AE2-211/AF1-057 project, which will share the same point of interconnection. The AG1-053 queue position is a 20 MW uprate (20 MW Capacity uprate) to the previous projects. The total installed facilities will have a capability of 95 MW with 51.5 MW of this output being recognized by PJM as Capacity. The proposed in-service date for this uprate project is June 30, 2022. This study does not imply a TO commitment to this in-service date.

Queue Number	AG1-053
Project Name	JACKSON-THREE MILE ISLAND 230 KV III
State	Pennsylvania
County	York
Transmission Owner	ME
MFO	95
MWE	20
MWC	20
Fuel	Storage
Basecase Study Year	2024

Any new service customers who can feasibly be commercially operable prior to June 1st of the basecase study year are required to request interim deliverability analysis.

4 Point of Interconnection

AG1-053 will interconnect with the ME transmission system as an uprate to AE2-211 & AF1-057 which is tapping the Jackson to Three Mile Island 230 kV line.

The interconnection of the project will be accomplished by constructing a new 230 kV three (3) breaker ring bus substation and looping the Jackson - TMI 230 kV line into the new station. This direct connection point will be established by the preceding AE2-211 project. It is anticipated that there will be no direct connect costs associated with this project.

Attachment 1 shows a one-line diagram of the proposed primary direct connection facilities for the AG1-053 generation project to connect to the FirstEnergy (“FE”) transmission system. IC will be responsible for constructing the facilities on its side of the POI, including the attachment facilities which connect the generator to the FE transmission system’s direct connection facilities.

5 Cost Summary

The AG1-053 project will be responsible for the following costs:

Description	Total Cost
Total Physical Interconnection Costs	\$0 ¹
Total System Network Upgrade Costs	\$0
Total Costs	\$0

This cost excludes a Federal Income Tax Gross Up charges. This tax may or may not be charged based on whether this project meets the eligibility requirements of IRS Notice 2016-36, 2016-25 I.R.B. (6/20/2016). If at a future date it is determined that the Federal Income Tax Gross charge is required, the Transmission Owner shall be reimbursed by the Interconnection Customer for such taxes.

Cost allocations for any System Upgrades will be provided in the System Impact Study Report.

¹ Note that in the System Impact Study for AF1-057 the solar generating facility (AF1-057 combined with AE2-211) did not meet the reactive power requirement. The estimated required additional capacitive reactive power was 15.198 Mvar. This deficiency will be further evaluated in the AG1-053 stability analysis which will take place in the Facilities Study phase. The customer is required to compensate for any reactive deficiency.

6 Transmission Owner Scope of Work

The interconnection of the project will be accomplished by constructing a new 230 kV three (3) breaker ring bus substation and looping the Jackson - TMI 230 kV line into the new station. This direct connection point will be established by the preceding AE2-211 project. It is anticipated that there will be no direct connect costs associated with this project.

Attachment 1 shows a one-line diagram of the proposed primary direct connection facilities for the AG1-053 generation project to connect to the FirstEnergy ("FE") transmission system. IC will be responsible for constructing the facilities on its side of the POI, including the attachment facilities which connect the generator to the FE transmission system's direct connection facilities.

There is no physical interconnection scope of work required.

7 Schedule

AG1-053 is an increase to the AE2-211 project. Therefore, there are no Attachment Facilities, Direct, and Non-Direct Connection facilities work to be completed outside of the scope of the AE2-211 project.

8 Transmission Owner Analysis

8.1 Power Flow Analysis

FE performed an analysis of its underlying transmission <100 kV system. The AG1-053 project did not contribute to any overloads on the FE transmission <100 kV system.

9 Interconnection Customer Requirements

9.1 System Protection

The IC must design its Customer Facilities in accordance with all applicable standards, including the standards in FE's "Requirements for Transmission Connected Facilities" document located at: <http://www.pjm.com/planning/design-engineering/to-tech-standards/private-firstenergy.aspx>.

Preliminary Protection requirements will be provided as part of the Facilities Study. Detailed Protection Requirements will be provided once the project enters the construction phase.

9.2 Compliance Issues and Interconnection Customer Requirements

The proposed Customer Facilities must be designed in accordance with FE's "Requirements for Transmission Connected Facilities" document located at: <http://www.pjm.com/planning/design-engineering/to-tech-standards/private-firstenergy.aspx>. In particular, the IC is responsible for the following:

1. The purchase and installation of a fully rated 230 kV circuit breaker to protect the AG1-053 generator lead line. A single circuit breaker must be used to protect this line; if the project has several GSU transformers, the individual GSU transformer breakers cannot be used to protect this line.
2. The purchase and installation of the minimum required FE generation interconnection relaying and control facilities. This includes over/under voltage protection, over/under frequency protection, and zero sequence voltage protection relays.
3. The purchase and installation of supervisory control and data acquisition (“SCADA”) equipment to provide information in a compatible format to the FE Transmission System Control Center.
4. Compliance with the FE and PJM generator power factor and voltage control requirements.
5. The execution of a back-up service agreement to serve the customer load supplied from the AG1-053 generation project metering point when the units are out-of-service. This assumes the intent of the IC is to net the generation with the load.

The IC will also be required to meet all PJM, ReliabilityFirst, and NERC reliability criteria and operating procedures for standards compliance. For example, the IC will need to properly locate and report the over and under voltage and over and under frequency system protection elements for its units as well as the submission of the generator model and protection data required to satisfy the PJM and ReliabilityFirst audits. Failure to comply with these requirements may result in a disconnection of service if the violation is found to compromise the reliability of the FE system.

9.3 Power Factor Requirements

The IC shall design its solar-powered non-synchronous Customer Facility with the ability to maintain a power factor of at least 0.95 leading (absorbing VARs) to 0.95 lagging (supplying VARs) measured at the high-side of the facility substation transformer(s) connected to the FE transmission system.

10 Revenue Metering and SCADA Requirements

10.1 PJM Requirements

The Interconnection Customer will be required to install equipment necessary to provide Revenue Metering (KWH, KVARH) and real time data (KW, KVAR) for IC's generating Resource. See PJM Manuals M-01 and M-14D, and PJM Tariff Section 8 of Attachment O.

10.2 Interconnected Transmission Owner Requirements

The IC will be required to comply with all Interconnected Transmission Owner's revenue metering requirements for generation interconnection customers located at the following link:

<http://www.pjm.com/planning/design-engineering/to-tech-standards/>

11 Summer Peak - Load Flow Analysis

The Queue Project AG1-053 was evaluated as a 20.0 MW (Capacity 20.0 MW) injection as an uprate to AE2-211 & AF1-057 which is tapping the Jackson to Three Mile Island 230 kV line in the ME area. Project AG1-053 was evaluated for compliance with applicable reliability planning criteria (PJM, NERC, NERC Regional Reliability Councils, and Transmission Owners). Project AG1-053 was studied with a commercial probability of 100.0 %. Potential network impacts were as follows:

11.1 Generation Deliverability

(Single or N-1 contingencies for the Capacity portion only of the interconnection)

None

11.2 Multiple Facility Contingency

(Double Circuit Tower Line, Fault with a Stuck Breaker, and Bus Fault contingencies for the full energy output)

None

11.3 Contribution to Previously Identified Overloads

(This project contributes to the following contingency overloads, i.e. "Network Impacts", identified for earlier generation or transmission interconnection projects in the PJM Queue)

None

11.4 Potential Congestion due to Local Energy Deliverability

PJM also studied the delivery of the energy portion of this interconnection request. Any problems identified below are likely to result in operational restrictions to the project under study. The developer can proceed with network upgrades to eliminate the operational restriction at their discretion by submitting a Merchant Transmission Interconnection request.

Note: Only the most severely overloaded conditions are listed below. There is no guarantee of full delivery of energy for this project by fixing only the conditions listed in this section. With a Transmission Interconnection Request, a subsequent analysis will be performed which shall study all overload conditions associated with the overloaded element(s) identified.

ID	FROM BUS#	FROM BUS	kV	FROM BUS AREA	TO BUS#	TO BUS	kV	TO BUS AREA	CK T ID	CONT NAME	Type	Rating MVA	PRE PROJECT LOADIN G %	POST PROJECT LOADIN G %	AC D C	MW IMPAC T
16756457 1	20800 4	JUNI BU1	230. 0	PPL	20795 0	CUM B TR2	230. 0	PPL	1	PL:10:P13:00163 3	operatio n	618.0	99.94	100.28	DC	2.12

11.5 System Reinforcements - Summer Peak Load Flow - Primary POI

None

11.6 Flow Gate Details

The following indices contain additional information about each facility presented in the body of the report. For each index, a description of the flowgate and its contingency was included for convenience. The intent of the indices is to provide more details on which projects/generators have contributions to the flowgate in question. All New Service Queue Requests, through the end of the Queue under study, that are contributors to a flowgate will be listed in the indices. Please note that there may be contributors that are subsequently queued after the queue under study that are not listed in the indices. Although this information is not used "as is" for cost allocation purposes, it can be used to gage the impact of other projects/generators. It should be noted the project/generator MW contributions presented in the body of the report are Full MW Impact contributions which are also noted in the indices column named "Full MW Impact", whereas the loading percentages reported in the body of the report, take into consideration the PJM Generator Deliverability Test rules such as commercial probability of each project as well as the ramping impact of "Adder" contributions. The MW Impact found and used in the analysis is shown in the indices column named "Gendeliv MW Impact".

None

—

11.7 Queue Dependencies

The Queue Projects below are listed in one or more indices for the overloads identified in your report. These projects contribute to the loading of the overloaded facilities identified in your report. The percent overload of a facility and cost allocation you may have towards a particular reinforcement could vary depending on the action of these earlier projects. The status of each project at the time of the analysis is presented in the table. This list may change as earlier projects withdraw or modify their requests.

None

11.8 Contingency Descriptions

Contingency Name	Contingency Definition
PL:10:P13:001633	CONTINGENCY 'PL:10:P13:001633' /* DAUPHIN 230/69KV T2 DISCONNECT BRANCH FROM BUS 207953 TO BUS 207955 CKT 1 /* DAUP TR1-DAUP TR2 230 DISCONNECT BRANCH FROM BUS 207955 TO BUS 208005 CKT 1 /* DAUP TR2-JUNI BU2 230 DISCONNECT BRANCH FROM BUS 207955 TO BUS 209866 CKT 2 /* DAUP TR2-DAUP 230-69 END

12 Short Circuit Analysis

The following Breakers are overdutied:

None

12.1 System Reinforcements - Short Circuit

None

13 Light Load

Not Required

14 Stability²

To be performed in the Facilities Study phase.

15 Affected Systems

15.1 NYISO

NYISO Impacts to be determined during later study phases (as applicable).

² Note that in the System Impact Study for AF1-057 the solar generating facility (AF1-057 combined with AE2-211) did not meet the reactive power requirement. The estimated required additional capacitive reactive power was 15.198 Mvar. This deficiency will be further evaluated in the AG1-053 stability analysis which will take place in the Facilities Study phase. The customer is required to compensate for any reactive deficiency.

16 Attachment 1: One Line Diagram

