



**Generation Interconnection
Feasibility Study Report
for
Queue Project AG1-137
HARRISONBURG 230 KV
40 MW Capacity / 100 MW Energy**

January 2021

Table of Contents

1	Introduction.....	4
2	Preface.....	4
3	General.....	5
4	Point of Interconnection.....	6
5	Cost Summary	6
6	Transmission Owner Scope of Work.....	7
7	Schedule.....	9
8	Transmission Owner Analysis.....	9
8.1	Power Flow Analysis	9
9	Interconnection Customer Requirements.....	10
9.1	System Protection.....	10
9.2	Compliance Issues and Interconnection Customer Requirements	10
9.3	Power Factor Requirements.....	10
10	Revenue Metering and SCADA Requirements	11
10.1	PJM Requirements.....	11
10.2	Interconnected Transmission Owner Requirements.....	11
11	Summer Peak - Load Flow Analysis	12
11.1	Generation Deliverability	12
11.2	Multiple Facility Contingency	12
11.3	Contribution to Previously Identified Overloads.....	12
11.4	Potential Congestion due to Local Energy Deliverability.....	12
11.5	System Reinforcements - Summer Peak Load Flow - Primary POI.....	13
11.6	Queue Dependencies	14
11.7	Contingency Descriptions.....	15
12	Short Circuit Analysis.....	16
12.1	System Reinforcements - Short Circuit.....	16
13	Affected Systems	17
13.1	TVA.....	17
13.2	Duke Energy Progress.....	17
14	Attachment 1: One Line Diagram	18

1 Introduction

This Feasibility Study has been prepared in accordance with the PJM Open Access Transmission Tariff, 36.2, as well as the Feasibility Study Agreement between the Interconnection Customer (IC), and PJM Interconnection, LLC (PJM), Transmission Provider (TP). The Interconnected Transmission Owner (ITO) is Dominion.

2 Preface

The intent of the feasibility study is to determine a plan, with ballpark cost and construction time estimates, to connect the subject generation to the PJM network at a location specified by the Interconnection Customer. The Interconnection Customer may request the interconnection of generation as a capacity resource or as an energy-only resource. As a requirement for interconnection, the Interconnection Customer may be responsible for the cost of constructing: (1) Direct Connections, which are new facilities and/or facilities upgrades needed to connect the generator to the PJM network, and (2) Network Upgrades, which are facility additions, or upgrades to existing facilities, that are needed to maintain the reliability of the PJM system.

In some instances a generator interconnection may not be responsible for 100% of the identified network upgrade cost because other transmission network uses, e.g. another generation interconnection, may also contribute to the need for the same network reinforcement. Cost allocation rules for network upgrades can be found in PJM Manual 14A, Attachment B. The possibility of sharing the reinforcement costs with other projects may be identified in the feasibility study, but the actual allocation will be deferred until the impact study is performed.

An Interconnection Customer with a proposed new Customer Facility that has a Maximum Facility Output equal to or greater than 100 MW shall install and maintain, at its expense, phasor measurement units (PMUs). See Section 8.5.3 of Appendix 2 to the Interconnection Service Agreement as well as section 4.3 of PJM Manual 14D for additional information.

The Feasibility Study estimates do not include the feasibility, cost, or time required to obtain property rights and permits for construction of the required facilities. The project developer is responsible for the right of way, real estate, and construction permit issues. For properties currently owned by Transmission Owners, the costs may be included in the study.

3 General

The Interconnection Customer (IC), has proposed a Storage generating facility located in Rockingham County, Virginia. The installed facilities will have a total capability of 100 MW with 40 MW of this output being recognized by PJM as Capacity. The proposed in-service date for this project is October 22, 2023. This study does not imply a TO commitment to this in-service date.

Queue Number	AG1-137
Project Name	HARRISONBURG 230 KV
State	Virginia
County	Rockingham
Transmission Owner	Dominion
MFO	100
MWE	100
MWC	40
Fuel	Storage
Basecase Study Year	2024

Any new service customers who can feasibly be commercially operable prior to June 1st of the basecase study year are required to request interim deliverability analysis.

4 Point of Interconnection

AG1-137 will interconnect with the Dominion transmission system at the Harrisonburg 230 kV substation.

5 Cost Summary

The AG1-137 project will be responsible for the following costs:

Description	Total Cost
Total Physical Interconnection Costs	\$3,300,000
Total System Network Upgrade Costs	\$0
Total Costs	\$3,300,000

This cost excludes a Federal Income Tax Gross Up charges. This tax may or may not be charged based on whether this project meets the eligibility requirements of IRS Notice 2016-36, 2016-25 I.R.B. (6/20/2016). If at a future date it is determined that the Federal Income Tax Gross charge is required, the Transmission Owner shall be reimbursed by the Interconnection Customer for such taxes.

Cost allocations for any System Upgrades will be provided in the System Impact Study Report.

6 Transmission Owner Scope of Work

The required Attachment Facilities, Direct Connection and Non-Direct Connection work for the interconnection of AG1-137 to the Dominion Transmission System is detailed in the following sections. The associated one-line showing the generation project attachment facilities and primary direct and non-direct connection is shown in Attachment 1.

Note that the ITO findings were made from a conceptual review of this project. A more detailed review of the connection facilities and their cost will be identified in a future study phase. Further note that the cost estimate data contained in this document should be considered high level estimates since it was produced without a detailed engineering review. The applicant will be responsible for the actual cost of construction. ITO herein reserves the right to return to any issues in this document and, upon appropriate justification, request additional monies to complete any reinforcements to the transmission systems.

The total physical interconnection costs are given in the table below:

The total physical interconnection costs is given in the table below:

Description	Total Cost
Attachment Facilities	\$2,100,000
New Breaker	\$1,200,000
Total Physical Interconnection Costs	\$3,300,000

AG1-137 "Harrisonburg 230 kV" will interconnect with the Dominion transmission system. The primary POI is a direct connect to the Harrisonburg 230 kV substation.

To accommodate the proposed Project, Dominion Energy will add one new 230 kV breaker to the existing Harrisonburg 230 kV substation to allow for the proposed interconnection. Dominion will install one span of overhead 230 kV line to the point of interconnection ("POI") including 230 kV interconnection metering.

It is estimated to take 18-30 months to complete this work upon execution of an Interconnection Construction Service Agreement (ICSA). These preliminary cost estimates are based on typical engineering costs. A more detailed engineering cost estimates are normally done when the IC provides an exact site plan location for the generation substation during the Facility Study phase.

Remote Terminal Work: During the Facilities Study, ITO's System Protection Engineering Department will review transmission line protection as well as anti-islanding required to accommodate the new generation and interconnection substation. System Protection Engineering will determine the minimal acceptable protection requirements to reliably interconnect the proposed generating facility with the transmission system. The review is based on maintaining system reliability by reviewing ITO's protection requirements with the known

transmission system configuration which includes generating facilities in the area. This review may determine that transmission line protection and communication upgrades are required at remote substations.

7 Schedule

The estimated schedule for the Attachment Facilities, Direct Connection and Non-Direct Connection work is identified in the “Transmission Owner Scope of Work” section of this report.

The estimated schedule for the required Network Impact Reinforcements is identified in the “System Reinforcements” section of this report.

These schedules will be more clearly identified in future study phases.

8 Transmission Owner Analysis

Dominion assessed the impact of the proposed AG1-137 for compliance with NERC Reliability Criteria on the Dominion Transmission System. The system was assessed using the summer 2024 AG1 case provided to Dominion by PJM.

When performing a generation analysis, Dominion’s main analysis includes load flow study results following a single contingency event for both normal and stressed system conditions. Dominion Criteria considers a transmission facility overloaded if it exceeds 94% of its emergency rating under normal and stressed system conditions. A full listing of Dominion’s Planning Criteria and interconnection requirements can be found in the Company’s Facility Connection Requirements which are publicly available at:

<http://www.dominionenergy.com>.

The results of these studies evaluate the system under a limited set of operating conditions and do not guarantee the full delivery of the capacity and associated energy of this proposed generation facility under all operating conditions. NERC Planning and Operating Reliability Criteria allow for the re-dispatch of generating units to resolve projected and actual deficiencies in real time and planning studies. Specifically, in Planning Studies, NERC Planning Event 3 and 6 Contingency Conditions (Loss of generator, transmission circuit, transformer, shunt device, or Single Pole of a DC line followed by the loss of a generator, transmission circuit, transformer, shunt device or single pole of a DC line) allow for re-dispatch of generating units to resolve potential reliability deficiencies. For Dominion Planning Criteria the re-dispatch of generating units for these contingency conditions is allowed as long as the projected loading does not exceed 100% of a facility Load Dump Rating.

8.1 Power Flow Analysis

PJM performed a power flow analysis of the transmission system using a 2024 summer peak load flow model and the results were verified by Dominion. Additionally, Dominion performed an analysis of its transmission system and no further deficiencies were identified.

9 Interconnection Customer Requirements

9.1 System Protection

The IC must design its Customer Facilities in accordance with all applicable standards, including the standards in Dominion’s “Dominion Energy Electric Transmission Generator Interconnection Requirements” documented in Dominion’s Facility Interconnection Requirements “Exhibit C” located at:

<https://www.dominionenergy.com/company/moving-energy/electric-transmission-access>. Preliminary Protection requirements will be provided as part of the Facilities Study. Detailed Protection Requirements will be provided once the project enters the construction phase.

9.2 Compliance Issues and Interconnection Customer Requirements

The proposed Customer Facilities must be designed in accordance with Dominion’s “Dominion’s Facility Interconnection Requirements” document located at: <https://www.dominionenergy.com/company/moving-energy/electric-transmission-access>. In particular, the IC is responsible for the following:

1. The purchase and installation of a fully rated protection device (circuit breaker, circuit switcher, fuse) to protect the IC’s GSU transformer(s).
1. The purchase and installation of the minimum required Dominion generation interconnection relaying and control facilities as described in the System Protection section noted above. This includes over/under voltage protection, over/under frequency protection, and zero sequence voltage protection relays.
2. The purchase and installation of supervisory control and data acquisition (“SCADA”) equipment to provide information in a compatible format to the Dominion Transmission System Control Center.
3. Compliance with the Dominion and PJM generator power factor and voltage control requirements.

The GSU(s) associated with the IC queue request shall meet the grounding requirements as noted in Dominion’s “Dominion’s Facility Interconnection Requirements” document located at: <https://www.dominionenergy.com/company/moving-energy/electric-transmission-access>.

The IC will also be required to meet all PJM, SERC, and NERC reliability criteria and operating procedures for standards compliance. For example, the IC will need to properly locate and report the over and under voltage and over and under frequency system protection elements for its units as well as the submission of the generator model and protection data required to satisfy the PJM and SERC audits. Failure to comply with these requirements may result in a disconnection of service if the violation is found to compromise the reliability of the Dominion system.

9.3 Power Factor Requirements

The IC shall design its non-synchronous Customer Facility with the ability to maintain a power factor of at least 0.95 leading (absorbing VARs) to 0.95 lagging (supplying VARs) measured at the high-side of the facility substation transformer(s) connected to the Dominion transmission system.

10 Revenue Metering and SCADA Requirements

10.1 PJM Requirements

The Interconnection Customer will be required to install equipment necessary to provide Revenue Metering (KWH, KVARH) and real time data (KW, KVAR) for IC's generating Resource. See PJM Manuals M-01 and M-14D, and PJM Tariff Section 8 of Attachment O.

10.2 Interconnected Transmission Owner Requirements

The IC will be required to comply with all Interconnected Transmission Owner's revenue metering requirements for generation interconnection customers located at the following link:

<http://www.pjm.com/planning/design-engineering/to-tech-standards/>

11 Summer Peak - Load Flow Analysis

The Queue Project AG1-137 was evaluated as a 100.0 MW (Capacity 40.0 MW) injection at the Harrisonburg 230 kV substation in the Dominion area. Project AG1-137 was evaluated for compliance with applicable reliability planning criteria (PJM, NERC, NERC Regional Reliability Councils, and Transmission Owners). Project AG1-137 was studied with a commercial probability of 53.0 %. Potential network impacts were as follows:

11.1 Generation Deliverability

(Single or N-1 contingencies for the Capacity portion only of the interconnection)

None

11.2 Multiple Facility Contingency

(Double Circuit Tower Line, Fault with a Stuck Breaker, and Bus Fault contingencies for the full energy output)

None

11.3 Contribution to Previously Identified Overloads

(This project contributes to the following contingency overloads, i.e. "Network Impacts", identified for earlier generation or transmission interconnection projects in the PJM Queue)

None

11.4 Potential Congestion due to Local Energy Deliverability

PJM also studied the delivery of the energy portion of this interconnection request. Any problems identified below are likely to result in operational restrictions to the project under study. The developer can proceed with network upgrades to eliminate the operational restriction at their discretion by submitting a Merchant Transmission Interconnection request.

Note: Only the most severely overloaded conditions are listed below. There is no guarantee of full delivery of energy for this project by fixing only the conditions listed in this section. With a Transmission Interconnection Request, a subsequent analysis will be performed which shall study all overload conditions associated with the overloaded element(s) identified.

ID	FROM BUS#	FROM BUS	kV	FROM BUS AREA	TO BUS#	TO BUS	kV	TO BUS AREA	CK T ID	CONT NAME	Type	Rating MVA	PRE PROJE CT LOADIN G %	POST PROJE CT LOADIN G %	AC D C	MW IMPAC T
169348547	314749	6CHARLVL	230.0	DVP	314772	6PROFFIT	230.0	DVP	1	DVP_P1-2:LN553	operation	550.840026855	100.01	100.81	DC	9.84

11.5 System Reinforcements - Summer Peak Load Flow - Primary POI

None

11.6 Queue Dependencies

The Queue Projects below are listed in one or more indices for the overloads identified in your report. These projects contribute to the loading of the overloaded facilities identified in your report. The percent overload of a facility and cost allocation you may have towards a particular reinforcement could vary depending on the action of these earlier projects. The status of each project at the time of the analysis is presented in the table. This list may change as earlier projects withdraw or modify their requests.

None

11.7 Contingency Descriptions

Contingency Name	Contingency Definition
DVP_P1-2: LN 553	CONTINGENCY 'DVP_P1-2: LN 553' OPEN BRANCH FROM BUS 314908 TO BUS 314910 CKT 1 /* 8ELMONT 500.00 - 8CUNINGHAM 500.00 END

12 Short Circuit Analysis

The following Breakers are overdutied

Bus Number	Bus Name	BREAKER	Type	Capacity (Amps)	Duty Percentage Post Queue	Duty Percentage Pre Queue
314012	BULL RUN 230.kV	244T295	S	40000	100.0013%	99.9995%

12.1 System Reinforcements - Short Circuit

Reinforcement: b3110.2

Description: Replace the Bull Run 230 kV breakers “200T244” and “200T295” with 50 kA breakers.

Cost: \$540,000

ISD: 12/31/21

Note: Although Queue Project AG1-137 may not have cost responsibility for this upgrade, Queue Project AG1-137 may need this upgrade in-service to be deliverable to the PJM system. If Queue Project AG1-137 comes into service prior to completion of the upgrade, Queue Project AG1-137 will need an interim study.

13 Affected Systems

13.1 TVA

TVA Impacts to be determined during later study phases (as applicable).

13.2 Duke Energy Progress

Duke Energy Progress Impacts to be determined during later study phases (as applicable).

14 Attachment 1: One Line Diagram