



Generation Interconnection

Feasibility Study Report

for

Queue Project AG1-292

MIDLAND 138 KV

21.5 MW Capacity / 36.6 MW Energy

January 2021

Table of Contents

1	Introduction.....	3
2	Preface.....	3
3	General.....	4
4	Point of Interconnection.....	5
5	Cost Summary.....	5
6	Transmission Owner Scope of Work.....	6
6.1	Attachment Facilities.....	6
6.2	Direct Connection Cost Estimate.....	6
6.3	Non-Direct Connection Cost Estimate.....	6
7	Transmission Owner Analysis.....	7
8	Interconnection Customer Requirements.....	7
9	Revenue Metering and SCADA Requirements.....	7
9.1	PJM Requirements.....	7
9.2	Meteorological Data Reporting Requirements.....	7
9.3	Interconnected Transmission Owner Requirements.....	7
10	Summer Peak - Load Flow Analysis.....	8
10.1	Generation Deliverability.....	9
10.2	Multiple Facility Contingency.....	9
10.3	Contribution to Previously Identified Overloads.....	9
10.4	Potential Congestion due to Local Energy Deliverability.....	9
10.5	System Reinforcements.....	9
11	Short Circuit Analysis.....	10
12	Affected Systems.....	11
12.1	TVA.....	11
12.2	Duke Energy Progress.....	11
12.3	MISO.....	11
12.4	LG&E.....	11

1 Introduction

This Feasibility Study has been prepared in accordance with the PJM Open Access Transmission Tariff, 36.2, as well as the Feasibility Study Agreement between the Interconnection Customer (IC), and PJM Interconnection, LLC (PJM), Transmission Provider (TP). The Interconnected Transmission Owner (ITO) is DL.

2 Preface

The intent of the feasibility study is to determine a plan, with ballpark cost and construction time estimates, to connect the subject generation to the PJM network at a location specified by the Interconnection Customer. The Interconnection Customer may request the interconnection of generation as a capacity resource or as an energy-only resource. As a requirement for interconnection, the Interconnection Customer may be responsible for the cost of constructing: (1) Direct Connections, which are new facilities and/or facilities upgrades needed to connect the generator to the PJM network, and (2) Network Upgrades, which are facility additions, or upgrades to existing facilities, that are needed to maintain the reliability of the PJM system.

In some instances a generator interconnection may not be responsible for 100% of the identified network upgrade cost because other transmission network uses, e.g. another generation interconnection, may also contribute to the need for the same network reinforcement. Cost allocation rules for network upgrades can be found in PJM Manual 14A, Attachment B. The possibility of sharing the reinforcement costs with other projects may be identified in the feasibility study, but the actual allocation will be deferred until the impact study is performed.

The Feasibility Study estimates do not include the feasibility, cost, or time required to obtain property rights and permits for construction of the required facilities. The project developer is responsible for the right of way, real estate, and construction permit issues. For properties currently owned by Transmission Owners, the costs may be included in the study.

3 General

The Interconnection Customer (IC), has proposed a Hydro generating facility located in Beaver County, Pennsylvania. The installed facilities will have a total capability of 36.6 MW with 21.5 MW of this output being recognized by PJM as Capacity. The proposed in-service date for this project is December 31, 2023. This study does not imply a TO commitment to this in-service date.

Queue Number	AG1-292
Project Name	MIDLAND 138 KV
State	Pennsylvania
County	Beaver
Transmission Owner	DL
MFO	36.6
MWE	36.6
MWC	21.5
Fuel	Hydro
Basecase Study Year	2024

Any new service customers who can feasibly be commercially operable prior to June 1st of the basecase study year are required to request interim deliverability analysis.

4 Point of Interconnection

AG1-292 will interconnect with the DL transmission system at the Midland 138 kV substation.

To accommodate the interconnection at the Midland 138 kV substation, the substation will have to be expanded requiring the installation of one (1) 138 kV circuit breaker (see Attachment 1). Installation of associated protection and control equipment will also be required.

Additionally, protection equipment, lightning arrestors, and metering equipment is required at the Montgomery Lock and Dam customer substation. The customer would own the 138 kV breaker at the customer substation.

5 Cost Summary

The AG1-292 project will be responsible for the following costs:

Description	Total Cost
Total Physical Interconnection Costs	\$39,500,000
Total System Network Upgrade Costs	\$0
Total Costs	\$39,500,000

This cost excludes a Federal Income Tax Gross Up charges. This tax may or may not be charged based on whether this project meets the eligibility requirements of IRS Notice 2016-36, 2016-25 I.R.B. (6/20/2016). If at a future date it is determined that the Federal Income Tax Gross charge is required, the Transmission Owner shall be reimbursed by the Interconnection Customer for such taxes.

Cost allocations for any System Upgrades will be provided in the System Impact Study Report.

The estimates provided in this report are preliminary in nature, as they were determined without the benefit of detailed engineering studies. Final estimates will require an on-site review and coordination to determine final construction requirements. In addition, Stability analysis will be completed during the Facilities Study stage. It is possible that a need for additional upgrades could be identified by these studies.

6 Transmission Owner Scope of Work

The total physical interconnection costs is given in the table below:

6.1 Attachment Facilities

The total preliminary cost estimate for the Attachment work is given in the table below. These costs do not include CIAC Tax Gross-up.

Description	Total Cost
Disconnect switch, protection equipment and metering at customer station	\$400,000
Total Attachment Facility Costs	\$400,000

6.2 Direct Connection Cost Estimate

The total preliminary cost estimate for the Direct Connection work is given in the table below. These costs do not include CIAC Tax Gross-up.

Description	Total Cost
~ 4 mile 138 transmission circuit installation	\$38,000,000
Substation expansion at Midland 138 kV station	\$1,000,000
Total Direct Connection Facility Costs	\$39,000,000

6.3 Non-Direct Connection Cost Estimate

The total preliminary cost estimate for the Non-Direct Connection work is given in the table below. These costs do not include CIAC Tax Gross-up.

Description	Total Cost
Review and Revise the P&C relay settings at Midland 138 kV station	\$100,000
Total Non-Direct Connection Facility Costs	\$100,000

7 Transmission Owner Analysis

None

8 Interconnection Customer Requirements

Duquesne Light Company's "Facility Interconnection Requirements" document dated 12/30/2015:
<http://www.pjm.com/planning/design-engineering/to-tech-standards/private-duquesne.aspx>

9 Revenue Metering and SCADA Requirements

9.1 PJM Requirements

The Interconnection Customer will be required to install equipment necessary to provide Revenue Metering (KWH, KVARH) and real time data (KW, KVAR) for IC's generating Resource. See PJM Manuals M-01 and M-14D, and PJM Tariff Section 8 of Attachment O.

9.2 Meteorological Data Reporting Requirements

9.3 Interconnected Transmission Owner Requirements

The IC will be required to comply with all Interconnected Transmission Owner's revenue metering requirements for generation interconnection customers located at the following link:

<http://www.pjm.com/planning/design-engineering/to-tech-standards/>

10 Summer Peak - Load Flow Analysis

The Queue Project AG1-292 was evaluated as a 36.6 MW (Capacity 21.5 MW) injection at the Midland 138 kV substation in the DL area. Project AG1-292 was evaluated for compliance with applicable reliability planning criteria (PJM, NERC, NERC Regional Reliability Councils, and Transmission Owners). Project AG1-292 was studied with a commercial probability of 53.0 %. Potential network impacts were as follows:

10.1 Generation Deliverability

(Single or N-1 contingencies for the Capacity portion only of the interconnection)

None

10.2 Multiple Facility Contingency

(Double Circuit Tower Line, Fault with a Stuck Breaker, and Bus Fault contingencies for the full energy output)

None

10.3 Contribution to Previously Identified Overloads

(This project contributes to the following contingency overloads, i.e. "Network Impacts", identified for earlier generation or transmission interconnection projects in the PJM Queue)

None

10.4 Potential Congestion due to Local Energy Deliverability

PJM also studied the delivery of the energy portion of this interconnection request. Any problems identified below are likely to result in operational restrictions to the project under study. The developer can proceed with network upgrades to eliminate the operational restriction at their discretion by submitting a Merchant Transmission Interconnection request.

Note: Only the most severely overloaded conditions are listed below. There is no guarantee of full delivery of energy for this project by fixing only the conditions listed in this section. With a Transmission Interconnection Request, a subsequent analysis will be performed which shall study all overload conditions associated with the overloaded element(s) identified.

None

10.5 System Reinforcements

None

11 Short Circuit Analysis

The following Breakers are overdutied

None

12 Affected Systems

12.1 TVA

TVA Impacts to be determined during later study phases (as applicable).

12.2 Duke Energy Progress

Duke Energy Progress Impacts to be determined during later study phases (as applicable).

12.3 MISO

MISO Impacts to be determined during later study phases (as applicable).

12.4 LG&E

LG&E Impacts to be determined during later study phases (as applicable).