



**Generation Interconnection
Combined Feasibility / Impact Study Report
for
Queue Project AG1-468
MARTINSVILLE-FIGSBORO 34.5 KV
8.4 MW Capacity / 14 MW Energy**

January 2021

Table of Contents

- 1 Introduction..... 3
- 2 Preface..... 3
- 3 General 4
- 4 Point of Interconnection..... 5
- 5 Cost Summary 5
- 6 Transmission Owner Scope of Work..... 6
- 7 Interconnection Customer Requirements..... 7
- 8 Revenue Metering and SCADA Requirements 7
 - 8.1 PJM Requirements 7
 - 8.2 Meteorological Data Reporting Requirements 7
 - 8.3 Interconnected Transmission Owner Requirements..... 8
- 9 Summer Peak - Load Flow Analysis 9
 - 9.1 Generation Deliverability10
 - 9.2 Multiple Facility Contingency10
 - 9.3 Contribution to Previously Identified Overloads.....10
 - 9.4 Potential Congestion due to Local Energy Deliverability.....10
 - 9.5 System Reinforcements.....11
 - 9.6 Flow Gate Details.....12
 - 9.7 Queue Dependencies13
 - 9.8 Contingency Descriptions.....14
- 10 Short Circuit Analysis.....15
- 11 Light Load Analysis.....15
- 12 Stability and Reactive Power Assessment.....15
- 13 Affected Systems15
 - None.....15
- 14 Attachment 1: One Line Diagram and Project Site Location.....16

1 Introduction

This Feasibility Study has been prepared in accordance with the PJM Open Access Transmission Tariff, 36.2, as well as the Feasibility Study Agreement between the Interconnection Customer (IC), and PJM Interconnection, LLC (PJM), Transmission Provider (TP). The Interconnected Transmission Owner (ITO) is AEP.

2 Preface

The intent of the feasibility study is to determine a plan, with ballpark cost and construction time estimates, to connect the subject generation to the PJM network at a location specified by the Interconnection Customer. The Interconnection Customer may request the interconnection of generation as a capacity resource or as an energy-only resource. As a requirement for interconnection, the Interconnection Customer may be responsible for the cost of constructing: (1) Direct Connections, which are new facilities and/or facilities upgrades needed to connect the generator to the PJM network, and (2) Network Upgrades, which are facility additions, or upgrades to existing facilities, that are needed to maintain the reliability of the PJM system.

In some instances a generator interconnection may not be responsible for 100% of the identified network upgrade cost because other transmission network uses, e.g. another generation interconnection, may also contribute to the need for the same network reinforcement. Cost allocation rules for network upgrades can be found in PJM Manual 14A, Attachment B. The possibility of sharing the reinforcement costs with other projects may be identified in the feasibility study, but the actual allocation will be deferred until the impact study is performed.

The Interconnection Customer seeking to interconnect a wind or solar generation facility shall maintain meteorological data facilities as well as provide that meteorological data which is required per Schedule H to the Interconnection Service Agreement and Section 8 of Manual 14D.

The Feasibility Study estimates do not include the feasibility, cost, or time required to obtain property rights and permits for construction of the required facilities. The project developer is responsible for the right of way, real estate, and construction permit issues. For properties currently owned by Transmission Owners, the costs may be included in the study.

3 General

The Interconnection Customer (IC), has proposed a Solar generating facility located in Henry County, Virginia. The installed facilities will have a total capability of 14 MW with 8.4 MW of this output being recognized by PJM as Capacity. The proposed in-service date for this project is October 31, 2022. This study does not imply a TO commitment to this in-service date.

Attachment facilities and local upgrades (if required) along with terms and conditions to interconnect AG1-468 will be specified in a separate two party Interconnection Agreement (IA) between AEP and the Interconnection Customer as this project is considered FERC non-jurisdictional per the PJM Open Access Transmission Tariff (OATT). From the transmission system perspective, no network impacts were identified in the Combined Feasibility/Impact Study.

Queue Number	AG1-468
Project Name	MARTINSVILLE-FIGSBORO 34.5 KV
State	Virginia
County	Henry
Transmission Owner	AEP
MFO	14
MWE	14
MWC	8.4
Fuel	Solar
Basecase Study Year	2024

Any new service customers who can feasibly be commercially operable prior to June 1st of the basecase study year are required to request interim deliverability analysis.

4 Point of Interconnection

AG1-468 will interconnect with the AEP-APCo distribution system on the Martinsville – Figsboro 34.5 kV Distribution circuit.

A Distribution-level Impact Study was completed in early 2020 for this project. That study identified facilities which will need to be added or upgraded to interconnect the generation at the requested location. The AEP work identified in the Distribution study and associated costs will be addressed in an Interconnection Agreement between AEP-subsiary Appalachian Power Company (APCO) and the Generation Owner.

The PJM study documented here was undertaken to determine if additional upgrades would be required to reliably deliver the output to the PJM market.

5 Cost Summary

The AG1-468 project will be responsible for the following costs:

Description	Total Cost
Total Physical Interconnection Costs	Provided by AEP in the Distribution Impact Study
Total System Network Upgrade Costs	\$0
Total Costs	Provided by AEP in the Distribution Impact Study

This cost excludes a Federal Income Tax Gross Up charges. This tax may or may not be charged based on whether this project meets the eligibility requirements of IRS Notice 2016-36, 2016-25 I.R.B. (6/20/2016). If at a future date it is determined that the Federal Income Tax Gross charge is required, the Transmission Owner shall be reimbursed by the Interconnection Customer for such taxes.

Cost allocations for any System Upgrades will be provided in the System Impact Study Report.

6 Transmission Owner Scope of Work

The total physical interconnection costs is given in the table below:

Description	Total Cost
Total Physical Interconnection Costs	Provided by AEP in the Distribution Impact Study
Total System Network Upgrade Costs	\$0
Total Costs	Provided by AEP in the Distribution Impact Study

7 Interconnection Customer Requirements

It is understood that the Interconnection Customer (IC) is responsible for all costs associated with this interconnection. The costs above are reimbursable to the Transmission Owner. The cost of the IC's generating plant and the costs for the line connecting the generating plant to the Point of Interconnection are not included in this report; these are assumed to be the IC's responsibility.

The Generation Interconnection Agreement does not in or by itself establish a requirement for the Transmission Owner to provide power for consumption at the developer's facilities. A separate agreement may be reached with the local utility that provides service in the area to ensure that infrastructure is in place to meet this demand and proper metering equipment is installed. It is the responsibility of the developer to contact the local service provider to determine if a local service agreement is required.

1. An Interconnection Customer entering the New Services Queue on or after October 1, 2012 with a proposed new Customer Facility that has a Maximum Facility Output equal to or greater than 100 MW shall install and maintain, at its expense, phasor measurement units (PMUs). See Section 8.5.3 of Appendix 2 to the Interconnection Service Agreement as well as section 4.3 of PJM Manual 14D for additional information.
2. The Interconnection Customer may be required to install and/or pay for metering as necessary to properly track real time output of the facility as well as installing metering which shall be used for billing purposes. See Section 8 of Appendix 2 to the Interconnection Service Agreement as well as Section 4 of PJM Manual 14D for additional information.

8 Revenue Metering and SCADA Requirements

8.1 PJM Requirements

The Interconnection Customer will be required to install equipment necessary to provide Revenue Metering (KWH, KVARH) and real time data (KW, KVAR) for IC's generating Resource. See PJM Manuals M-01 and M-14D, and PJM Tariff Section 8 of Attachment O.

8.2 Meteorological Data Reporting Requirements

The solar generation facility shall provide the Transmission Provider with site-specific meteorological data including:

- Back Panel temperature (Fahrenheit) - (Required for plants with Maximum Facility Output of 3 MW or higher)
- Irradiance (Watts/meter²) - (Required for plants with Maximum Facility Output of 3 MW or higher)
- Ambient air temperature (Fahrenheit) - (Accepted, not required)
- Wind speed (meters/second) - (Accepted, not required)
- Wind direction (decimal degrees from true north) - (Accepted, not required)

8.3 Interconnected Transmission Owner Requirements

The IC will be required to comply with all Interconnected Transmission Owner's revenue metering requirements for generation interconnection customers located at the following link:

<http://www.pjm.com/planning/design-engineering/to-tech-standards/>

9 Summer Peak - Load Flow Analysis

The Queue Project AG1-468 was evaluated as a 14.0 MW (Capacity 8.4 MW) injection at the Martinsville 34.5 kV substation in the AEP area. Project AG1-468 was evaluated for compliance with applicable reliability planning criteria (PJM, NERC, NERC Regional Reliability Councils, and Transmission Owners). Project AG1-468 was studied with a commercial probability of 53.0 %. Potential network impacts were as follows:

9.1 Generation Deliverability

(Single or N-1 contingencies for the Capacity portion only of the interconnection)

None

9.2 Multiple Facility Contingency

(Double Circuit Tower Line, Fault with a Stuck Breaker, and Bus Fault contingencies for the full energy output)

None

9.3 Contribution to Previously Identified Overloads

(This project contributes to the following contingency overloads, i.e. "Network Impacts", identified for earlier generation or transmission interconnection projects in the PJM Queue)

None

9.4 Potential Congestion due to Local Energy Deliverability

PJM also studied the delivery of the energy portion of this interconnection request. Any problems identified below are likely to result in operational restrictions to the project under study. The developer can proceed with network upgrades to eliminate the operational restriction at their discretion by submitting a Merchant Transmission Interconnection request.

Note: Only the most severely overloaded conditions are listed below. There is no guarantee of full delivery of energy for this project by fixing only the conditions listed in this section. With a Transmission Interconnection Request, a subsequent analysis will be performed which shall study all overload conditions associated with the overloaded element(s) identified.

ID	FROM BUS#	FROM BUS	kV	FROM BUS AREA	TO BUS#	TO BUS	kV	TO BUS AREA	CKT ID	CONT NAME	Type	Rating MVA	PRE PROJECT LOADING %	POST PROJECT LOADING %	AC DC	MW IMPACT
168252678	242620	05DANVL2	138.0	AEP	242631	05EDANN1	138.0	AEP	1	AEP_P1-2_#1370_7	operation	402.0	108.56	109.93	DC	5.5

9.5 System Reinforcements

None

9.6 Flow Gate Details

The following indices contain additional information about each facility presented in the body of the report. For each index, a description of the flowgate and its contingency was included for convenience. The intent of the indices is to provide more details on which projects/generators have contributions to the flowgate in question. All New Service Queue Requests, through the end of the Queue under study, that are contributors to a flowgate will be listed in the indices. Please note that there may be contributors that are subsequently queued after the queue under study that are not listed in the indices. Although this information is not used "as is" for cost allocation purposes, it can be used to gage the impact of other projects/generators. It should be noted the project/generator MW contributions presented in the body of the report are Full MW Impact contributions which are also noted in the indices column named "Full MW Impact", whereas the loading percentages reported in the body of the report, take into consideration the PJM Generator Deliverability Test rules such as commercial probability of each project as well as the ramping impact of "Adder" contributions. The MW Impact found and used in the analysis is shown in the indices column named "Gendeliv MW Impact".

9.7 Queue Dependencies

The Queue Projects below are listed in one or more indices for the overloads identified in your report. These projects contribute to the loading of the overloaded facilities identified in your report. The percent overload of a facility and cost allocation you may have towards a particular reinforcement could vary depending on the action of these earlier projects. The status of each project at the time of the analysis is presented in the table. This list may change as earlier projects withdraw or modify their requests.

None

9.8 Contingency Descriptions

Contingency Name	Contingency Definition
AEP_P1-2_#1370_7	CONTINGENCY 'AEP_P1-2_#1370_7' OPEN BRANCH FROM BUS 242509 TO BUS 242514 CKT 1 / 242509 05AXTON 765 242514 05J.FERR 765 1 OPEN BRANCH FROM BUS 242509 TO BUS 242545 CKT 1 / 242509 05AXTON 765 242545 05AXTONX 138 1 OPEN BRANCH FROM BUS 242544 TO BUS 242545 CKT SR / 242544 05AXTON 138 242545 05AXTONX 138 SR OPEN BRANCH FROM BUS 242544 TO BUS 242545 CKT ZB / 242544 05AXTON 138 242545 05AXTONX 138 ZB END

10 Short Circuit Analysis

The following Breakers are overdutied

None

11 Light Load Analysis

Light Load Studies (As applicable).

Not required

12 Stability and Reactive Power Assessment

(Summary of the VAR requirements based upon the results of the dynamic studies)

To be determined during later study phases.

13 Affected Systems

None

14 Attachment 1: One Line Diagram and Project Site Location

AG1-468 Point of Interconnection Martinsville – Figsboro Rd 34.5 kV

*Remote Stations not completely depicted.



