# Generation Interconnection Feasibility Study Report

## For

## PJM Generation Interconnection Request Queue Position AD1-102

Wurno-Claytor 138 kV

## **Preface**

The intent of the feasibility study is to determine a plan, with ballpark cost and construction time estimates, to connect the subject generation to the PJM network at a location specified by the Interconnection Customer. The Interconnection Customer may request the interconnection of generation as a capacity resource or as an energy-only resource. As a requirement for interconnection, the Interconnection Customer may be responsible for the cost of constructing: (1) Direct Connections, which are new facilities and/or facilities upgrades needed to connect the generator to the PJM network, and (2) Network Upgrades, which are facility additions, or upgrades to existing facilities, that are needed to maintain the reliability of the PJM system.

In some instances a generator interconnection may not be responsible for 100% of the identified network upgrade cost because other transmission network uses, e.g. another generation interconnection, may also contribute to the need for the same network reinforcement. The possibility of sharing the reinforcement costs with other projects may be identified in the feasibility study, but the actual allocation will be deferred until the impact study is performed.

The Feasibility Study estimates do not include the feasibility, cost, or time required to obtain property rights and permits for construction of the required facilities. The project developer is responsible for the right of way, real estate, and construction permit issues. For properties currently owned by Transmission Owners, the costs may be included in the study.

## General

The Interconnection Customer (IC) proposes to interconnect PJM Project #AD1-102, a 180.01 MW (23.40 MW Capacity) wind generating facility in Pulaski County, VA (see Figure 2). The point of interconnection is to AEP's Wurno – Claytor 138 kV section of the Claytor – Peak Creek 138 kV circuit approximately 5.48 miles east of the Wurno 138 kV substation (see Figure 1).

The requested in service date is December 18, 2020.

## **Attachment Facilities**

#### Point of Interconnection (Wurno – Claytor 138 kV)

To accommodate the interconnection on the Wurno – Claytor 138 kV section of line, a new three (3) circuit breaker 138 kV switching station physically configured in a breaker and half bus arrangement but operated as a ring-bus will be constructed (see Figure 1). Installation of associated protection and control equipment, 138 kV line risers, SCADA, and 138 kV revenue metering will also be required. AEP reserves the right to specify the final acceptable configuration considering design practices, future expansion, and compliance requirements.

#### New Switching Station Work:

- Construct a new three (3) circuit breaker 138 kV switching station physically configured in a breaker and half bus arrangement but operated as a ring-bus. Installation of associated protection and control equipment, 138 kV line risers, SCADA, and 138 kV revenue metering will also be required (see Figure 1).
  - Estimated Station Cost: \$6,000,000

#### **Non-Direct Connection Cost Estimate**

The total preliminary cost estimate for Non-Direct Connection work is given in the following tables below:

For AEP building Direct Connection cost estimates:

Description	<b>Estimated Cost</b>
Wurno-Claytor 138 kV T-Line Cut In	\$1,000,000
138 kV Revenue Metering	\$250,000
Install line protection and controls at the New 138 kV Switching Station.	\$800,000
Upgrade line protection and controls at the Peak Creek 138 kV substation to coordinate with the new 138 kV switching station.	\$250,000
Upgrade line protection and controls at the Claytor 138 kV substation to coordinate with the new 138 kV switching station.	\$250,000

Description	<b>Estimated Cost</b>
Total	\$2,550,000

#### Table 1

It is understood that the IC is responsible for all costs associated with this interconnection. The costs above are reimbursable to AEP. The cost of the IC's generating plant and the costs for the line connecting the generating plant to The IC's switching station are not included in this report; these are assumed to be the IC's responsibility.

## **Interconnection Customer Requirements**

The Generation Interconnection Agreement does not in or by itself establish a requirement for American Electric Power to provide power for consumption at the developer's facilities. A separate agreement may be reached with the local utility that provides service in the area to ensure that infrastructure is in place to meet this demand and proper metering equipment is installed. It is the responsibility of the developer to contact the local service provider to determine if a local service agreement is required.

#### **Requirement from the PJM Open Access Transmission Tariff:**

- 1. An Interconnection Customer entering the New Services Queue on or after October 1, 2012 with a proposed new Customer Facility that has a Maximum Facility Output equal to or greater than 100 MW shall install and maintain, at its expense, phasor measurement units (PMUs). See Section 8.5.3 of Appendix 2 to the Interconnection Service Agreement as well as section 4.3 of PJM Manual 14D for additional information.
- 2. The Interconnection Customer may be required to install and/or pay for metering as necessary to properly track real time output of the facility as well as installing metering which shall be used for billing purposes. See Section 8 of Appendix 2 to the Interconnection Service Agreement as well as Section 4 of PJM Manual 14D for additional information.

## **Revenue Metering and SCADA Requirements**

## **PJM Requirements**

The Interconnection Customer will be required to install equipment necessary to provide Revenue Metering (KWH, KVARH) and real time data (KW, KVAR) for IC's generating Resource. See PJM Manuals M-01 and M-14D, and PJM Tariff Sections 24.1 and 24.2.

## **AEP Requirements**

The Interconnection Customer will be required to comply with all AEP Revenue Metering Requirements for Generation Interconnection Customers. The Revenue Metering Requirements may be found within the "Requirements for Connection of New Facilities or Changes to Existing Facilities Connected to the AEP Transmission System" document located at the following link:

http://www.pjm.com/~/media/planning/plan-standards/private-aep/aep-interconnection-requirements.ashx

#### **Network Impacts**

The Queue Project AD1-102 was evaluated as a 180.0 MW (Capacity 23.4 MW) injection tapping the Wurno to Claytor 138kV line in the AEP area. Project AD1-102 was evaluated for compliance with applicable reliability planning criteria (PJM, NERC, NERC Regional Reliability Councils, and Transmission Owners). Project AD1-102 was studied with a commercial probability of 53%. Potential network impacts were as follows:

#### **Base Case Used**

Summer Peak Analysis – 2021 Case

## **Contingency Descriptions**

The following contingencies resulted in overloads:

Contingency Name	Description						
AED D1 0 #011	CONTINGENCY 'AEP_P1-2_#311'						
AEP_P1-2_#311	OPEN BRANCH FROM BUS 242512 TO BUS 242514 CKT 1	/ 242512 05CLOVRD 765 242514 05J.FERR 765 1					
	END						

#### Table 2

## **Generator Deliverability**

(Single or N-1 contingencies for the Capacity portion only of the interconnection)

None

## **Multiple Facility Contingency**

(Double Circuit Tower Line, Fault with a Stuck Breaker, and Bus Fault contingencies for the full energy output)

None

## **Contribution to Previously Identified Overloads**

(This project contributes to the following contingency overloads, i.e. "Network Impacts", identified for earlier generation or transmission interconnection projects in the PJM Queue)

None

## **Steady-State Voltage Requirements**

None

## **Short Circuit**

(Summary of impacted circuit breakers)

New circuit breakers found to be over-duty:

## **Affected System Analysis & Mitigation**

#### **LGEE Impacts:**

LGEE Impacts to be determined during later study phases (as applicable).

#### **MISO Impacts:**

MISO Impacts to be determined during later study phases (as applicable).

#### **Duke, Progress & TVA Impacts:**

Duke Carolina, Progress, & TVA Impacts to be determined during later study phases (as applicable).

#### **OVEC Impacts:**

OVEC Impacts to be determined during later study phases (as applicable).

## **Delivery of Energy Portion of Interconnection Request**

PJM also studied the delivery of the energy portion of this interconnection request. Any problems identified below are likely to result in operational restrictions to the project under study. The developer can proceed with network upgrades to eliminate the operational restriction at their discretion by submitting a Merchant Transmission Interconnection request.

Note: Only the most severely overloaded conditions are listed below. There is no guarantee of full delivery of energy for this project by fixing only the conditions listed in this section. With a Transmission Interconnection Request, a subsequent analysis will be performed which shall study all overload conditions associated with the overloaded element(s) identified.

		Contingency	Affected	Facility	В	us	C:- DE		C:- DE	C:	Loa	Loading		Rating	
#	Type	Name	Area	Description	From	To	Cir.	PF	Initial	Final	Type	MVA	Con.		
1	N-1	AEP_P1-2_#311	AEP - AEP	AD1-102 Tap- 05Claytor 138 kV line	934750	242609	1	DC	96.37	143.57	ER	251	118.47		

Table 2

## **System Reinforcements**

#### **New System Reinforcements**

(Upgrades required to mitigate reliability criteria violations, i.e. Network Impacts, initially caused by the addition of this project generation)

None

#### **Contribution to Previously Identified System Reinforcements**

(Overloads initially caused by prior Queue positions with additional contribution to overloading by this project. This project may have a % allocation cost responsibility which will be calculated and reported for the Impact Study)

(Summary form of Cost allocation for transmission lines and transformers will be inserted here if any)

None

#### **Schedule**

It is anticipated that the time between receipt of executed agreements and Commercial Operation may range from 12 to 18 months if no line work is required. If line work is required, construction time would be between 24 to 36 months after signing an interconnection agreement.

**Note:** The time provided between anticipated normal completion of System Impact, Facilities Studies, subsequent execution of ISA and ICSA documents, and the proposed In-Service Date is shorter than usual and may be difficult to achieve.

### Conclusion

Based upon the results of this Feasibility Study, the construction of the IC's 180.01 MW (23.40 MW Capacity) wind generating facility (PJM Project #AD1-102) will require the following additional interconnection charges. This plan of service will interconnect the proposed wind generating facility in a manner that will provide operational reliability and flexibility to both the AEP system and the IC's generating facility.

Cost I	Breakdown for Point of Interconnection (Wurno-Claytor 138 kV)			
<b>Attachment Cost</b>	New 138 kV Switching Station	\$6,000,000		
	Wurno-Claytor 138 kV T-Line Cut In	\$1,000,000		
	138 kV Revenue Metering	\$250,000		
Non-Direct Connection Cost	Install line protection and controls at the New 138 kV Switching Station.	\$800,000		
Estimate	Upgrade line protection and controls at the Claytor 138 kV substation to coordinate with the new 138 kV switching station.	\$250,000		
	Upgrade line protection and controls at the Peak Creek 138 kV substation to coordinate with the new 138 kV switching station.	\$250,000		
	<b>Total Estimated Cost for Project AD1-102</b>	\$8,550,000		

#### Table 3

The estimates are preliminary in nature, as they were determined without the benefit of detailed engineering studies. Final estimates will require an on-site review and coordination to determine final construction requirements.

Figure 1: Point of Interconnection (Wurno - Claytor 138 kV)

Single-Line Diagram

