



**Generation Interconnection
Feasibility Study Report
for
Queue Project AE2-220
LOSANTVILLE 345 KV
52.5 MW Capacity / 125 MW Energy**

July, 2019

Table Of Contents

1	Preface.....	3
2	General.....	4
2.1	Point of Interconnection	5
2.2	Cost Summary.....	5
3	Transmission Owner Scope of Work.....	6
4	Attachment Facilities	6
5	Direct Connection Cost Estimate.....	7
6	Non-Direct Connection Cost Estimate.....	8
7	Incremental Capacity Transfer Rights (ICTRs)	9
8	Schedule.....	10
9	Interconnection Customer Requirements.....	11
10	Revenue Metering and SCADA Requirements	12
10.1	PJM Requirements	12
10.2	AEP Requirements.....	12
11	Network Impacts.....	13
12	Generation Deliverability	15
13	Multiple Facility Contingency	15
14	Contribution to Previously Identified Overloads	15
Note: In the above table, the present SE Rating for 05Desoto – 05Jay 138 kV should be 409MVA.....		15
16	Potential Congestion due to Local Energy Deliverability.....	15
15	System Reinforcements.....	16
16	Flow Gate Details	17
16.1	Index 1	18
16.2	Index 2	19
17	Affected Systems	22
17.1	LG&E.....	22
17.2	MISO	22
17.3	TVA.....	22
17.4	Duke Energy Progress.....	22
17.5	NYISO	22
18	Short Circuit.....	25

19	Figure 1: AE2-220 Point of Interconnection (Losantville 345kV).....	Error! Bookmark not defined.
20	Figure 2: AE2-220 Point of Interconnection (Losantville 345kV).....	Error! Bookmark not defined.

1 Preface

The intent of the feasibility study is to determine a plan, with ballpark cost and construction time estimates, to connect the subject generation to the PJM network at a location specified by the Interconnection Customer. The Interconnection Customer may request the interconnection of generation as a capacity resource or as an energy-only resource. As a requirement for interconnection, the Interconnection Customer may be responsible for the cost of constructing: (1) Direct Connections, which are new facilities and/or facilities upgrades needed to connect the generator to the PJM network, and (2) Network Upgrades, which are facility additions, or upgrades to existing facilities, that are needed to maintain the reliability of the PJM system.

In some instances a generator interconnection may not be responsible for 100% of the identified network upgrade cost because other transmission network uses, e.g. another generation interconnection, may also contribute to the need for the same network reinforcement. Cost allocation rules for network upgrades can be found in PJM Manual 14A, Attachment B. The possibility of sharing the reinforcement costs with other projects may be identified in the feasibility study, but the actual allocation will be deferred until the impact study is performed.

The Interconnection Customer seeking to interconnect a solar generation facility shall maintain meteorological data facilities as well as provide that meteorological data which is required per Schedule H to the Interconnection Service Agreement and Section 8 of Manual 14D.

An Interconnection Customer with a proposed new Customer Facility that has a Maximum Facility Output equal to or greater than 100 MW shall install and maintain, at its expense, phasor measurement units (PMUs). See Section 8.5.3 of Appendix 2 to the Interconnection Service Agreement as well as section 4.3 of PJM Manual 14D for additional information.

The Feasibility Study estimates do not include the feasibility, cost, or time required to obtain property rights and permits for construction of the required facilities. The project developer is responsible for the right of way, real estate, and construction permit issues. For properties currently owned by Transmission Owners, the costs may be included in the study.

2 General

The Interconnection Customer has proposed a Solar generating facility located in Randolph County, Indiana. The installed facilities will have a total capability of 125 MW with 52.5 MW of this output being recognized by PJM as Capacity. The proposed in-service date for this project is 10/31/2022. This study does not imply a TO commitment to this in-service date.

The Feasibility Study includes Short Circuit and Peak Load steady state power flow analyses. The conduct of power flow studies at other load levels, stability analysis, and coordination with non-PJM Transmission Planners, as required under the PJM planning process, is not performed during the Generation Interconnection Feasibility Study phase of the PJM study process. Additional reinforcement requirements for this Interconnection Request may be defined during the conduct of these additional analyses which shall be performed following execution of the System Impact Study agreement.

The objective of this Feasibility Study is to determine budgetary cost estimates and approximate construction timelines for identified transmission facilities required to connect the proposed generating facilities to the AEP transmission system. These reinforcements include the Attachment Facilities, Local Upgrades, and Network Upgrades required maintaining the reliability of the AEP transmission system. Stability analysis is not included as part of this study.

Queue Number	AE2-220
Project Name	LOSANTVILLE 345 KV
State	Indiana
County	Randolph
Transmission Owner	AEP
MFO	125
MWE	125
MWC	52.5
Fuel	Solar
Basecase Study Year	2022

2.1 Point of Interconnection

AE2-220 will interconnect with the AEP transmission system at the Losantville 345kV station. This project taps on the generator lead line constructed for #U2-090, a 200MW Wind project.

Note: It is assumed that the 345 kV revenue metering system, gen lead and Protection & Control Equipment installed for #U2-090 will be adequate for the additional solar facility connection requested at the U2-090 generator lead line. However, the conversion of the existing U2-090 two-terminal generator lead to a three-terminal line will require changes to the protective relay settings and communications connections.

2.2 Cost Summary

The AE2-220 project will be responsible for the following costs:

Description	Total Cost
Attachment Facilities	\$0
Direct Connection Network Upgrade	\$0
Non Direct Connection Network Upgrades	\$70,000
Total Costs	\$70,000

In addition, the AE2-220 project may be responsible for a contribution to the following costs

Description	Total Cost
System Upgrades	\$19,015,000

Cost allocations for these upgrades will be provided in the System Impact Study Report.

3 Transmission Owner Scope of Work

4 Attachment Facilities

The total preliminary cost estimate for the Attachment work is given in the table below. These costs do not include CIAC Tax Gross-up.

Description	Total Cost
	\$0
Total Attachment Facility Costs	\$0

5 Direct Connection Cost Estimate

The total preliminary cost estimate for the Direct Connection work is given in the table below. These costs do not include CIAC Tax Gross-up.

Description	Total Cost
	\$0
Total Direct Connection Facility Costs	\$0

6 Non-Direct Connection Cost Estimate

The total preliminary cost estimate for the Non-Direct Connection work is given in the table below. These costs do not include CIAC Tax Gross-up.

Description	Total Cost
Modify Fiber connections from the Losantville 345 kV switching station to the U2-090 collector substation to accommodate the new three terminal line configuration, and Update/Modify relay settings/scheme at the Losantville 345 kV switching station to convert the two terminal generator lead to a three terminal generator lead.	\$70,000
Total Non-Direct Connection Facility Costs	\$70,000

7 Incremental Capacity Transfer Rights (ICTRs)

Will be determined at a later study phase

8 Schedule

It is anticipated that the time between receipt of executed Agreements and Commercial Operation may range from 12 to 18 months if no line work is required. If line work is required, construction time would generally be between 24 to 36 months after signing Agreement execution.

9 Interconnection Customer Requirements

It is understood that the Interconnection Customer is responsible for all costs associated with this interconnection. The costs above are reimbursable to AEP. The cost of the Interconnection Customer's generating plant and the costs for the line connecting the generating plant to the Losantville 345kV circuit are not included in this report; these are assumed to be the Interconnection Customer's responsibility.

The Generation Interconnection Agreement does not in or by itself establish a requirement for American Electric Power to provide power for consumption at the developer's facilities. A separate agreement may be reached with the local utility that provides service in the area to ensure that infrastructure is in place to meet this demand and proper metering equipment is installed. It is the responsibility of the developer to contact the local service provider to determine if a local service agreement is required.

Requirement from the PJM Open Access Transmission Tariff:

1. An Interconnection Customer entering the New Services Queue on or after October 1, 2012 with a proposed new Customer Facility that has a Maximum Facility Output equal to or greater than 100 MW shall install and maintain, at its expense, phasor measurement units (PMUs). See Section 8.5.3 of Appendix 2 to the Interconnection Service Agreement as well as section 4.3 of PJM Manual 14D for additional information.
2. The Interconnection Customer may be required to install and/or pay for metering as necessary to properly track real time output of the facility as well as installing metering which shall be used for billing purposes. See Section 8 of Appendix 2 to the Interconnection Service Agreement as well as Section 4 of PJM Manual 14D for additional information.

10 Revenue Metering and SCADA Requirements

10.1 PJM Requirements

The Interconnection Customer will be required to install equipment necessary to provide Revenue Metering (KWH, KVARH) and real time data (KW, KVAR) for IC's generating Resource. See PJM Manuals M-01 and M-14D, and PJM Tariff Section 8 of Attachment O.

10.2 AEP Requirements

The Interconnection Customer will be required to comply with all AEP Revenue Metering Requirements for Generation Interconnection Customers. The Revenue Metering Requirements may be found within the "Requirements for Connection of New Facilities or Changes to Existing Facilities Connected to the AEP Transmission System" document located at the following link:

<http://www.pjm.com/~media/planning/plan-standards/private-aep/aep-interconnection-requirements.ashx>

11 Network Impacts

The Queue Project AE2-220 was evaluated as a 125 MW (Capacity 52.5 MW) injection at the Losantville 345kV in the AEP area. Project AE2-220 was evaluated for compliance with applicable reliability planning criteria (PJM, NERC, NERC Regional Reliability Councils, and Transmission Owners). Project AE2-220 was studied with a commercial probability of 53%. Potential network impacts were as follows:

Summer Peak Load Flow

12 Generation Deliverability

(Single or N-1 contingencies for the Capacity portion only of the interconnection)

None

13 Multiple Facility Contingency

(Double Circuit Tower Line, Fault with a Stuck Breaker, and Bus Fault contingencies for the full energy output)

None

14 Contribution to Previously Identified Overloads

(This project contributes to the following contingency overloads, i.e. "Network Impacts", identified for earlier generation or transmission interconnection projects in the PJM Queue)

ID	FROM BUS#	FROM BUS	FROM BUS AREA	TO BUS#	TO BUS	TO BUS AREA	CKT ID	CONT NAME	Type	Rating MVA	PRE PROJECT LOADING %	POST PROJECT LOADING %	AC/DC	MW IMPACT
7209797	243278	05DESOTO	AEP	243319	05JAY	AEP	1	AEP_P7-1_#11019	tower	393.0	105.9	106.99	DC	9.5
7209798	243278	05DESOTO	AEP	243319	05JAY	AEP	1	AEP_P7-1_#11087	tower	393.0	100.79	101.89	DC	9.52
8851325	248001	06DEARB	OVEC	248013	06PIERCE	OVEC	1	.345.DEO&K-AEP.C5 4504MFTANNERS4512EBTANNERS	tower	972.0	108.56	110.56	DC	19.28

Note: In the above table, the present SE Rating for 05Desoto – 05Jay 138 kV should be 409MVA.

16 Potential Congestion due to Local Energy Deliverability

PJM also studied the delivery of the energy portion of this interconnection request. Any problems identified below are likely to result in operational restrictions to the project under study. The developer can proceed with network upgrades to eliminate the operational restriction at their discretion by submitting a Merchant Transmission Interconnection request.

Note: Only the most severely overloaded conditions are listed below. There is no guarantee of full delivery of energy for this project by fixing only the conditions listed in this section. With a Transmission Interconnection Request, a subsequent analysis will be performed which shall study all overload conditions associated with the overloaded element(s) identified.

ID	FROM BUS#	FROM BUS	FROM BUS AREA	TO BUS#	TO BUS	TO BUS AREA	CKT ID	CONT NAME	Type	Rating MVA	PRE PROJECT LOADING %	POST PROJECT LOADING %	AC/DC	MW IMPACT
7209413	243218	05DESOTO	AEP	243232	05SORENS	AEP	2	AEP_P1-2_#4817	operation	971.0	98.63	101.47	DC	28.03

15 System Reinforcements

ID	Index	Facility	Upgrade Description	Cost
7209797,7209798	1	05DESOTO 138.0 kV - 05JAY 138.0 kV Ckt 1	<p><u>AEP</u> AEP_AE1_REF_r0041b (160) : Current AEP End Ratings are S/N :335MVA S/E: 392 MVA 1) Replace 2 risers(Sub cond 1590 AAC 61 Str) at Jay Station,Estimated Cost : \$ 70,000. 2) Rebuild / reconductor 12.53 miles of ACSR ~ 556.5 ~ 26/7 ~ DOVE - Conductor section 1, Estimated Cost : 18.8 million 3) A Sag Study will be required on the 0.05 miles of ACSR ~ 1780 ~ 84/19 ~ CHUKAR @ 28 - Conductor section 2 to mitigate the overload. The new ratings after sag study will be: S/N: 418 MVA, S/E: 569 MVA, Depending on the sag study results, the cost for this upgrade is expected to be between \$20,000(no remediation required, just sag study) and \$75,000 million (complete line Reconductor/rebuild). Time Estimate: a) Sag Study: 6-12 months b) Rebuild: The standard time required for construction differs from state to state. An approximate construction time would be 24 to 36 months after signing an interconnection agreement. Project Type : FAC Cost : \$18,890,000 Time Estimate : 24-36 Months</p>	\$18,890,000
8851325	2	06DEARB1 345.0 kV - 06PIERCE 345.0 kV Ckt 1	<p><u>OVEC</u> OVEC_CPI_r0003 (790) : Perform a sag study. OVECs cost estimate for performing the sag study is \$125K. Project Type : FAC Cost : \$125,000 Time Estimate : 6-12 Months</p>	\$125,000
			TOTAL COST	\$19,015,000

16 Flow Gate Details

The following appendices contain additional information about each flowgate presented in the body of the report. For each appendix, a description of the flowgate and its contingency was included for convenience. However, the intent of the appendix section is to provide more information on which projects/generators have contributions to the flowgate in question. Although this information is not used "as is" for cost allocation purposes, it can be used to gage other generators impact. It should be noted the generator contributions presented in the appendices sections are full contributions, whereas in the body of the report, those contributions take into consideration the commercial probability of each project.

16.1 Index 1

ID	FROM BUS#	FROM BUS	FROM BUS AREA	TO BUS#	TO BUS	TO BUS AREA	CKT ID	CONT NAME	Type	Rating MVA	PRE PROJECT LOADING %	POST PROJECT LOADING %	AC DC	MW IMPACT
7209797	243278	05DESOTO	AEP	243319	05JAY	AEP	1	AEP_P7-1_#11019	tower	393.0	105.9	106.99	DC	9.5

Bus #	Bus	MW Impact
247935	V3-007 E	13.22
247963	05HDWTR1G E	4.76
923881	AB2-028 C	1.66
923882	AB2-028 E	11.08
926881	AC1-175 C	5.78
926882	AC1-175 E	9.42
927182	AC1-212 E	1.35
932681	AC2-090 C	2.89
932682	AC2-090 E	4.71
933601	AC2-177 C O1	1.98
933602	AC2-177 E O1	13.22
939761	AE1-207 C	4.01
939762	AE1-207 E	5.54
939791	AE1-210 C O1	1.13
939792	AE1-210 E O1	7.53
939811	AE1-217 C O1	6.37
939812	AE1-217 E O1	8.79
941701	AE2-170	3.8
941721	AE2-172	2.39
942081	AE2-220 C	3.99
942082	AE2-220 E	5.51
CARR	CARR	0.04
CBM-S1	CBM-S1	2.29
CBM-S2	CBM-S2	0.45
CBM-W2	CBM-W2	20.3
CIN	CIN	4.37
CPL	CPL	0.14
G-007	G-007	0.09
IPL	IPL	3.77
LGEE	LGEE	0.8
MEC	MEC	1.65
O-066	O-066	0.61
RENSSELAER	RENSSELAER	0.03
WEC	WEC	0.06

16.2 Index 2

ID	FROM BUS#	FROM BUS	FROM BUS AREA	TO BUS#	TO BUS	TO BUS AREA	CK T ID	CONT NAME	Type	Rating MVA	PRE PROJECT LOADING %	POST PROJECT LOADING %	AC DC	MW IMPACT
8851325	248001	06DEARB1	OVEC	248013	06PIERCE	OVEC	1	.345.DEO&K-AEP.C5 4504MFTANNERS4512EBTANNERS	tower	972.0	108.56	110.56	DC	19.28

Bus #	Bus	MW Impact
243795	05HDWTR1G C	0.49
247264	05LAWG1A	6.07
247265	05LAWG1B	6.07
247266	05LAWG1S	9.7
247267	05LAWG2A	6.07
247268	05LAWG2B	6.07
247269	05LAWG2S	9.7
247543	V3-007 C	0.49
247914	05WLD G1 E	8.0
247929	S-071 E	8.56
247935	V3-007 E	26.84
247958	05WLD G2 E	8.4
247963	05HDWTR1G E	9.66
247968	Z2-115 E	0.16
915662	Y3-099 E	0.2
915672	Y3-100 E	0.2
916182	Z1-065 E	0.38
920501	AA2-148 C O1	3.54
920502	AA2-148 E O1	23.67
923881	AB2-028 C	2.91
923882	AB2-028 E	19.46
925242	AB2-178 E	1.98
926691	AC1-152	15.72
926851	AC1-172	15.72
926881	AC1-175 C	11.72
926882	AC1-175 E	19.13
932681	AC2-090 C	5.86
932682	AC2-090 E	9.56
932841	AC2-111 C O1	2.77
932842	AC2-111 E O1	4.52
933591	AC2-176 C O1	1.52
933592	AC2-176 E O1	10.15
933601	AC2-177 C O1	4.01
933602	AC2-177 E O1	26.84
934161	AD1-043 C O1	4.47
934162	AD1-043 E O1	7.29
934961	AD1-128 C O1	5.62
934962	AD1-128 E O1	9.17
936561	AD2-071 C	5.94
936562	AD2-071 E	2.93
936681	AD2-087 C O1	3.17

Bus #	Bus	MW Impact
936682	AD2-087 E O1	14.84
938061	AE1-008 C	0.69
938062	AE1-008 E	1.13
939761	AE1-207 C	5.96
939762	AE1-207 E	8.22
939771	AE1-208 C	5.24
939772	AE1-208 E	7.15
939781	AE1-209 C O1	1.28
939782	AE1-209 E O1	8.58
939791	AE1-210 C O1	1.61
939792	AE1-210 E O1	10.76
939811	AE1-217 C O1	7.95
939812	AE1-217 E O1	10.98
940981	AE2-089 C O1	7.25
940982	AE2-089 E O1	4.83
940991	AE2-090 C	7.78
940992	AE2-090 E	5.19
941691	AE2-169	3.15
941701	AE2-170	4.74
941711	AE2-171	2.95
941721	AE2-172	3.55
942071	AE2-219 C	3.77
942072	AE2-219 E	5.2
942081	AE2-220 C	8.1
942082	AE2-220 E	11.18
942221	AE2-234 C O1	1.8
942222	AE2-234 E O1	0.81
942791	AE2-297 C O1	13.9
942792	AE2-297 E O1	9.27
950161	J401	1.31
CARR	CARR	0.32
CATAWBA	CATAWBA	0.09
CBM-S1	CBM-S1	4.3
CBM-W1	CBM-W1	17.09
CBM-W2	CBM-W2	72.04
CIN	CIN	13.66
G-007	G-007	0.94
HAMLET	HAMLET	0.19
IPL	IPL	12.55
LGEE	LGEE	1.08
MEC	MEC	15.38
MECS	MECS	7.24
O-066	O-066	6.02
RENSSELAER	RENSSELAER	0.26
WEC	WEC	2.43
Z1-043	Z1-043	9.76

Affected Systems

17 Affected Systems

17.1 LG&E

LG&E Impacts to be determined during later study phases (as applicable).

17.2 MISO

MISO Impacts to be determined during later study phases (as applicable).

17.3 TVA

TVA Impacts to be determined during later study phases (as applicable).

17.4 Duke Energy Progress

Duke Energy Progress Impacts to be determined during later study phases (as applicable).

17.5 NYISO

NYISO Impacts to be determined during later study phases (as applicable).

Contingency Name	Contingency Definition
AEP_P7-1_#11019	CONTINGENCY 'AEP_P7-1_#11019' OPEN BRANCH FROM BUS 243218 TO BUS 243232 CKT 2 / 243218 05DESOTO 345 243232 05SORENS 345 2 OPEN BRANCH FROM BUS 243225 TO BUS 243232 CKT 1 / 243225 05KEYSTN 345 243232 05SORENS 345 1 END
AEP_P7-1_#11087	CONTINGENCY 'AEP_P7-1_#11087' OPEN BRANCH FROM BUS 243218 TO BUS 243225 CKT 1 / 243218 05DESOTO 345 243225 05KEYSTN 345 1 OPEN BRANCH FROM BUS 243218 TO BUS 243232 CKT 2 / 243218 05DESOTO 345 243232 05SORENS 345 2 END
.345.DEO&K-AEP.C5 4504MFTANNERS4512EBTANNERS	CONTINGENCY '.345.DEO&K-AEP.C5 4504MFTANNERS4512EBTANNERS' OPEN BRANCH FROM BUS 243233 TO BUS 249567 CKT 1 OPEN BRANCH FROM BUS 243233 TO BUS 249565 CKT 1 END
AEP_P1-2_#4817	CONTINGENCY 'AEP_P1-2_#4817' OPEN BRANCH FROM BUS 243225 TO BUS 243232 CKT 1 / 243225 05KEYSTN 345 243232 05SORENS 345 1 END

Short Circuit

18 Short Circuit

The following Breakers are overduty:

None