



**Generation Interconnection  
Feasibility Study Report  
for  
Queue Project AE2-346  
AHOSKIE 34.5 KV  
10.5 MW Capacity / 15 MW Energy**

July, 2019

## Table of Contents

1	Preface.....	4
2	General.....	5
2.1	Point of Interconnection .....	6
2.2	Cost Summary.....	6
3	Transmission Owner Scope of Work.....	7
4	Attachment Facilities .....	8
5	Direct Connection Cost Estimate.....	8
6	Non-Direct Connection Cost Estimate.....	8
7	System Reinforcements Cost Estimate .....	9
8	Schedule.....	10
9	Transmission Owner Analysis.....	11
9.1	Power Flow Analysis .....	11
9.2	Short Circuit Analysis.....	11
9.3	Stability Analysis.....	11
10	Interconnection Customer Requirements.....	12
10.1	System Protection.....	12
10.2	Compliance Issues and Interconnection Customer Requirements .....	12
10.3	Power Factor Requirements.....	12
11	Revenue Metering and SCADA Requirements .....	13
11.1	PJM Requirements .....	13
11.2	Dominion Requirements.....	13
12	Network Impacts.....	14
13	Generation Deliverability .....	16
14	Multiple Facility Contingency .....	16
15	Contribution to Previously Identified Overloads .....	16
16	Potential Congestion due to Local Energy Deliverability.....	16
17	System Reinforcements.....	18
18	Flow Gate Details .....	19
18.1	Index 1 .....	20
18.2	Index 2 .....	22
18.3	Index 3 .....	24

19	Affected Systems .....	27
19.1	LG&E.....	27
19.2	MISO .....	27
19.3	TVA.....	27
19.4	Duke Energy Progress.....	27
19.5	NYISO .....	27
20	Contingency Descriptions.....	28
21	Short Circuit.....	31
22	Attachment 1: One Line Diagram .....	32

## 1 Preface

The intent of the feasibility study is to determine a plan, with ballpark cost and construction time estimates, to connect the subject generation to the PJM network at a location specified by the Interconnection Customer. The Interconnection Customer may request the interconnection of generation as a capacity resource or as an energy-only resource. As a requirement for interconnection, the Interconnection Customer may be responsible for the cost of constructing: (1) Direct Connections, which are new facilities and/or facilities upgrades needed to connect the generator to the PJM network, and (2) Network Upgrades, which are facility additions, or upgrades to existing facilities, that are needed to maintain the reliability of the PJM system.

In some instances a generator interconnection may not be responsible for 100% of the identified network upgrade cost because other transmission network uses, e.g. another generation interconnection, may also contribute to the need for the same network reinforcement. Cost allocation rules for network upgrades can be found in PJM Manual 14A, Attachment B. The possibility of sharing the reinforcement costs with other projects may be identified in the feasibility study, but the actual allocation will be deferred until the impact study is performed.

The Interconnection Customer seeking to interconnect a wind or solar generation facility shall maintain meteorological data facilities as well as provide that meteorological data which is required per Schedule H to the Interconnection Service Agreement and Section 8 of Manual 14D.

PJM utilizes manufacturer models to ensure the performance of turbines is properly captured during the simulations performed for stability verification and, where applicable, for compliance with low voltage ride through requirements. Turbine manufacturers provide such models to their customers. The list of manufacturer models PJM has already validated is contained in Attachment B of Manual 14G. Manufacturer models may be updated from time to time, for various reasons such as to reflect changes to the control systems or to more accurately represent the capabilities turbines and controls which are currently available in the field. Additionally, as new turbine models are developed, turbine manufacturers provide such new models which must be used in the conduct of these studies. PJM needs adequate time to evaluate the new models in order to reduce delays to the System Impact Study process timeline for the Interconnection Customer as well as other Interconnection Customers in the study group. Therefore, PJM will require that any Interconnection Customer with a new manufacturer model must supply that model to PJM, along with a \$10,000 fully refundable deposit, no later than three (3) months prior to the starting date of the System Impact Study (See Section 4.3 for starting dates) for the Interconnection Request which shall specify the use of the new model. The Interconnection Customer will be required to submit a completed dynamic model study request form (Attachment B-1 of Manual 14G) in order to document the request for the study.

The Feasibility Study estimates do not include the feasibility, cost, or time required to obtain property rights and permits for construction of the required facilities. The project developer is responsible for the right of way, real estate, and construction permit issues. For properties currently owned by Transmission Owners, the costs may be included in the study.

## 2 General

The Interconnection Customer (IC) has proposed an uprate to the AB2-099 Solar generating facility to be located in Hertford, North Carolina. This project requests to increase the install capability of the AB2-099 project by 15 MW with 10.5 MW of this output being recognized by PJM as additional Capacity. The installed facilities will have a total capability of 20 MW with 14 MW of this output being recognized by PJM as Capacity. The proposed in-service date for this project is December 30, 2019. This study does not imply a TO commitment to this in-service date.

<b>Queue Number</b>	<b>AE2-346</b>
<b>Project Name</b>	AHOSKIE 34.5 KV
<b>Interconnection Customer</b>	
<b>State</b>	None
<b>County</b>	Hertford
<b>Transmission Owner</b>	Dominion
<b>MFO</b>	20
<b>MWE</b>	15
<b>MWC</b>	10.5
<b>Fuel</b>	Solar
<b>Basecase Study Year</b>	2022

## 2.1 Point of Interconnection

AE2-346 will interconnect with the Dominion distribution system on a feeder out of the Ahoskie 34.5 kV substation.

## 2.2 Cost Summary

The AE2-346 project will be responsible for the following costs:

Description	Total Cost
Attachment Facilities	\$ 0
Direct Connection Network Upgrade	\$ 0
Non Direct Connection Network Upgrades	\$ TBD in Facilities Study Phase
Total Costs	\$ Remote Terminal Work (TBD in Facilities Study phase)

In addition, the AE2-346 project may be responsible for a contribution to the following costs

Description	Total Cost
System Upgrades	\$12,000,000

Cost allocations for these upgrades will be provided in the System Impact Study Report.

### 3 Transmission Owner Scope of Work

Dominion assessed the impact of the proposed Queue Project AE2-346 was evaluated as a 10.5 MW Capacity (15.0 MW Energy) injection at the Ahoskie 34.5 kV substation in the Dominion Transmission System, for compliance with NERC Reliability Criteria on Dominion Transmission System. The system was assessed using the summer 2022 AE2 case provided to Dominion by PJM. When performing a generation analysis, Dominion's main analysis will be load flow study results under single contingency (both normal and stressed system conditions). Dominion Criteria considers a transmission facility overloaded if it exceeds 94% of its emergency rating under normal and stressed system conditions. A full listing of Dominion's Planning Criteria and interconnection requirements can be found in the Company's Facility Connection Requirements which are publicly available at: <http://www.dominionenergy.com>.

The results of these studies evaluate the system under a limited set of operating conditions and do not guarantee the full delivery of the capacity and associated energy of this proposed generation facility under all operating conditions. NERC Planning and Operating Reliability Criteria allow for the re-dispatch of generating units to resolve projected and actual deficiencies in real time and planning studies. Specifically in Planning Studies NERC Category C Contingency Conditions (Bus Fault, Tower Line, N-1-1, and Stuck Breaker scenarios) allow for re-dispatch of generating units to resolve potential reliability deficiencies. For Dominion Planning Criteria the re-dispatch of generating units for these contingency conditions is allowed as long as the projected loading does not exceed 100% of a facility Load Dump Rating.

The required Attachment Facilities, Direct Connection and Non-Direct Connection work for the interconnection of the AE2-346 generation project to the Dominion Transmission System is detailed in the following sections. The associated one-line with the generation project attachment facilities and primary direct and non-direct connection are shown in Attachment 1.

Note that the ITO findings were made from a conceptual review of this project. A more detailed review of the connection facilities and their cost will be identified in a future study phases. Further note that the cost estimate data contained in this document should be considered high level estimates since it was produced without a detailed engineering review. The applicant will be responsible for the actual cost of construction. ITO herein reserves the right to return to any issues in this document and, upon appropriate justification, request additional monies to complete any reinforcements to the transmission systems.

#### 4 Attachment Facilities

The total preliminary cost estimate for the Attachment work is given in the table below. These costs do not include CIAC Tax Gross-up.

Description	Total Cost
	\$0
<b>Total Attachment Facility Costs</b>	<b>\$0</b>

#### 5 Direct Connection Cost Estimate

The total preliminary cost estimate for the Direct Connection work is given in the table below. These costs do not include CIAC Tax Gross-up.

Description	Total Cost
	\$0
<b>Total Direct Connection Facility Costs</b>	<b>\$0</b>

#### 6 Non-Direct Connection Cost Estimate

The total preliminary cost estimate for the Non-Direct Connection work is given in the table below. These costs do not include CIAC Tax Gross-up.

Description	Total Cost
Remote Terminal Work	\$ TBD in Facilities Study Phase
<b>Total Non-Direct Connection Facility Costs</b>	<b>\$ TBD in Facilities Study Phase</b>

Remote Terminal Work: During the Facilities Study phase, ITO's System Protection Engineering Department will review transmission line protection as well as anti-islanding required to accommodate the uprate. System Protection Engineering will determine the minimal acceptable protection requirements to reliably interconnect the proposed generating facility with the transmission system. The review is based on maintaining system reliability by reviewing ITO's protection requirements with the known transmission system configuration which includes generating facilities in the area. This review may determine that transmission line protection and communication upgrades are required at remote substations.

## 7 System Reinforcements Cost Estimate

Upgrade Description	Cost
dom-016 (143) : Add additional 230/115 kV transformer at Earleys substation. Project Type : CON Cost : \$6,000,000 Time Estimate : 16-18 Months	\$6,000,000
dom-020 (149) : Add additional 230/115 kV transformer at Carolina substation. Project Type : CON Cost : \$6,000,000 Time Estimate : 16-18 Months	\$6,000,000
<b>TOTAL COST</b>	<b>\$12,000,000</b>

## 8 Schedule

The schedule for the required Network Impact Reinforcements will be more clearly identified in future study phases. The estimate elapsed time to complete each of the required reinforcements is identified in the “System Reinforcements” section of the report.

## **9 Transmission Owner Analysis**

### **9.1 Power Flow Analysis**

PJM performed a power flow analysis of the transmission system using a 2022 summer peak load flow model and the results were verified by Dominion. Additionally, Dominion performed an analysis of its transmission system. At the Primary POI, the AE2-346 project contributes to overloads on the Dominion transmission system as shown in the “Network Impacts” section of the report. The estimated cost of system reinforcements necessary to mitigate these overloads is also provided.

### **9.2 Short Circuit Analysis**

PJM performed a short circuit analysis and the results were verified by Dominion. The connection of AE2-346 project to the system does not result in any newly overdutied circuit breakers on the Dominion transmission system and does not have a significant fault current contribution to existing overdutied circuit breakers

### **9.3 Stability Analysis**

PJM will complete a dynamic stability analysis, if necessary, as part of the System Impact Study. The results of this analysis will be reviewed by Dominion. Should stability concerns be identified in PJM’s study, Dominion will develop appropriate system reinforcement(s) and included the estimated cost of any reinforcement(s) in Dominion’s System Impact Study report.

## 10 Interconnection Customer Requirements

### 10.1 System Protection

The IC must design its Customer Facilities in accordance with all applicable standards, including the standards in Dominion's "Dominion Energy Electric Transmission Generator Interconnection Requirements" documented in Dominion's Facility Interconnection Requirements "Exhibit C" located at: <https://www.dominionenergy.com/company/moving-energy/electric-transmission-access>. Preliminary Protection requirements will be provided as part of the Facilities Study. Detailed Protection Requirements will be provided once the project enters the construction phase.

### 10.2 Compliance Issues and Interconnection Customer Requirements

The proposed Customer Facilities must be designed in accordance with Dominion's "Dominion's Facility Interconnection Requirements" document located at: <https://www.dominionenergy.com/company/moving-energy/electric-transmission-access>. In particular, the IC is responsible for the following:

1. The purchase and installation of a fully rated protection device (circuit breaker, circuit switcher, fuse) to protect the IC's GSU transformer(s).
2. The purchase and installation of the minimum required Dominion generation interconnection relaying and control facilities as described in the System Protection noted above. This includes over/under voltage protection, over/under frequency protection, and zero sequence voltage protection relays.
3. The purchase and installation of supervisory control and data acquisition ("SCADA") equipment to provide information in a compatible format to the Dominion Transmission System Control Center.
4. Compliance with the Dominion and PJM generator power factor and voltage control requirements.

The GSU(s) associated with the IC queue request shall meet the grounding requirements as noted in Dominion's "Dominion's Facility Interconnection Requirements" document located at: <https://www.dominionenergy.com/company/moving-energy/electric-transmission-access>.

The IC will also be required to meet all PJM, SERC, and NERC reliability criteria and operating procedures for standards compliance. For example, the IC will need to properly locate and report the over and under voltage and over and under frequency system protection elements for its units as well as the submission of the generator model and protection data required to satisfy the PJM and SERC audits. Failure to comply with these requirements may result in a disconnection of service if the violation is found to compromise the reliability of the Dominion system.

### 10.3 Power Factor Requirements

The IC shall design its non-synchronous Customer Facility with the ability to maintain a power factor of at least 0.95 leading (absorbing VARs) to 0.95 lagging (supplying VARs) measured at the high-side of the facility substation transformer(s) connected to the Dominion transmission system.

## **11 Revenue Metering and SCADA Requirements**

### **11.1 PJM Requirements**

The Interconnection Customer will be required to install equipment necessary to provide Revenue Metering (KWH, KVARH) and real time data (KW, KVAR) for IC's generating Resource. See PJM Manuals M-01 and M-14D, and PJM Tariff Section 8 of Attachment O.

### **11.2 Dominion Requirements**

See Section 3.4.6 "Metering and Telecommunications" of Dominion's "Dominion's Facility Interconnection Requirements" document located at: <https://www.dominionenergy.com/company/moving-energy/electric-transmission-access>

## 12 Network Impacts

The Queue Project AE2-346 was evaluated as a 15.0 MW (Capacity 10.5 MW) injection at the Ahokie 115 kV substation in the Dominion area. Project AE2-346 was evaluated for compliance with applicable reliability planning criteria (PJM, NERC, NERC Regional Reliability Councils, and Transmission Owners). Project AE2-346 was studied with a commercial probability of 53%. Potential network impacts were as follows:

## Summer Peak Load Flow

### 13 Generation Deliverability

(Single or N-1 contingencies for the Capacity portion only of the interconnection)

ID	FROM BUS#	FROM BUS	FROM BUS AREA	TO BUS#	TO BUS	TO BUS AREA	CKT ID	CONT NAME	Type	Rating MVA	PRE PROJECT LOADING %	POST PROJECT LOADING %	AC DC	MW IMPACT
8154084	314559	3CAROLNA	DVP	314561	6CAROLNA	DVP	1	DVP_P1-2: LN 68	single	239.89	99.75	100.23	DC	1.16

### 14 Multiple Facility Contingency

(Double Circuit Tower Line, Fault with a Stuck Breaker, and Bus Fault contingencies for the full energy output)

None

### 15 Contribution to Previously Identified Overloads

(This project contributes to the following contingency overloads, i.e. "Network Impacts", identified for earlier generation or transmission interconnection projects in the PJM Queue)

ID	FROM BUS#	FROM BUS	FROM BUS AREA	TO BUS#	TO BUS	TO BUS AREA	CKT ID	CONT NAME	Type	Rating MVA	PRE PROJECT LOADING %	POST PROJECT LOADING %	AC DC	MW IMPACT
7752846	314568	3EARLEYS	DVP	314569	6EARLEYS	DVP	2	DVP_P4-2: 201262	breaker	208.0	169.34	172.97	DC	7.55
7752847	314568	3EARLEYS	DVP	314569	6EARLEYS	DVP	2	DVP_P4-2: WT2171	breaker	208.0	143.12	146.82	DC	7.71
7753011	314568	3EARLEYS	DVP	314569	6EARLEYS	DVP	1	DVP_P4-2: 217162	breaker	208.0	143.31	147.01	DC	7.71
8154124	314568	3EARLEYS	DVP	314569	6EARLEYS	DVP	1	DVP_P1-3: 6EARLEYS-TX#4	single	175.78	106.28	109.35	DC	5.4
8154131	314568	3EARLEYS	DVP	314569	6EARLEYS	DVP	2	DVP_P1-3: 6EARLEYS-TX#3	single	175.78	106.05	109.12	DC	5.4

### 16 Potential Congestion due to Local Energy Deliverability

PJM also studied the delivery of the energy portion of this interconnection request. Any problems identified below are likely to result in operational restrictions to the project under study. The developer can proceed with network upgrades to eliminate the operational restriction at their discretion by submitting a Merchant Transmission Interconnection request.

Note: Only the most severely overloaded conditions are listed below. There is no guarantee of full delivery of energy for this project by fixing only the conditions listed in this section. With a Transmission Interconnection Request, a subsequent analysis will be performed which shall study all overload conditions associated with the overloaded element(s) identified.

ID	FROM BUS#	FROM BUS	FROM BUS AREA	TO BUS#	TO BUS	TO BUS AREA	CKT ID	CONT NAME	Type	Rating MVA	PRE PROJECT LOADING %	POST PROJECT LOADING %	AC DC	MW IMPACT
8154783	314539	3UNCAMP	DVP	314527	3HOLLAND	DVP	1	DVP_P1-2: LN 56	operation	224.66	114.88	116.0	DC	2.5
8154959	314551	3AHOSKIE	DVP	314568	3EARLEYS	DVP	1	DVP_P1-2: LN 68	operation	141.0	92.29	101.15	DC	12.5
8154119	314568	3EARLEYS	DVP	314569	6EARLEYS	DVP	1	DVP_P1-3: 6EARLEYS-TX#4	operation	175.78	167.81	172.19	DC	7.71
8154125	314568	3EARLEYS	DVP	314569	6EARLEYS	DVP	1	Base Case	operation	167.41	98.18	100.96	DC	4.65
8154126	314568	3EARLEYS	DVP	314569	6EARLEYS	DVP	2	DVP_P1-3: 6EARLEYS-TX#3	operation	175.78	167.58	171.96	DC	7.71
8154132	314568	3EARLEYS	DVP	314569	6EARLEYS	DVP	2	Base Case	operation	167.41	97.52	100.3	DC	4.65

## 17 System Reinforcements

ID	Index	Facility	Upgrade Description	Cost
8154131,7752846,7752847	2	3EARLEYS 115.0 kV - 6EARLEYS 230.0 kV Ckt 2	dom-016 (143) : Add additional 230/115 kV transformer at Earleys substation. Project Type : CON Cost : \$6,000,000 Time Estimate : 16-18 Months	\$6,000,000
8154124,7753011	3	3EARLEYS 115.0 kV - 6EARLEYS 230.0 kV Ckt 1		
8154084	1	3CAROLNA 115.0 kV - 6CAROLNA 230.0 kV Ckt 1	dom-020 (149) : Add additional 230/115 kV transformer at Carolina substation. Project Type : CON Cost : \$6,000,000 Time Estimate : 16-18 Months	\$6,000,000
			TOTAL COST	\$12,000,000

## 18 Flow Gate Details

The following appendices contain additional information about each flowgate presented in the body of the report. For each appendix, a description of the flowgate and its contingency was included for convenience. However, the intent of the appendix section is to provide more information on which projects/generators have contributions to the flowgate in question. Although this information is not used "as is" for cost allocation purposes, it can be used to gage other generators impact. It should be noted the generator contributions presented in the appendices sections are full contributions, whereas in the body of the report, those contributions take into consideration the commercial probability of each project.

---

## 18.1 Index 1

ID	FROM BUS#	FROM BUS	FROM BUS AREA	TO BUS#	TO BUS	TO BUS AREA	CKT ID	CONT NAME	Type	Rating MVA	PRE PROJECT LOADING %	POST PROJECT LOADING %	AC DC	MW IMPACT
8154084	314559	3CAROLNA	DVP	314561	6CAROLNA	DVP	1	DVP_P1-2: LN 68	single	239.89	99.75	100.23	DC	1.16

Bus #	Bus	MW Impact
314429	3JTRSVLE	0.1
314589	3MURPHYS	0.09
314704	3LAWRENC	0.13
315115	1S HAMPT1	1.88
315126	1ROARAP2	2.45
315128	1ROARAP4	2.36
315150	1BUGGS 1	7.03
315151	1BUGGS 2	7.03
315158	1KERR 1	0.24
315159	1KERR 2	0.97
315160	1KERR 3	0.96
315161	1KERR 4	0.96
315162	1KERR 5	0.96
315163	1KERR 6	0.96
315164	1KERR 7	0.96
917331	Z2-043 C	0.17
917341	Z2-044 C	0.06
918491	AA1-063AC OP	1.68
918561	AA1-072 C	0.03
919691	AA2-053 C	2.11
919701	AA2-057 C	4.27
920041	AA2-088 C OP	1.35
920591	AA2-165 C	0.07
920671	AA2-174 C	0.1
923801	AB2-015 C O1	14.54
923911	AB2-031 C O1	3.02
923991	AB2-040 C O1	1.21
924021	AB2-043 C O1	0.21
924161	AB2-060 C O1	0.61
924301	AB2-077 C O1	0.14
924311	AB2-078 C O1	0.14
924321	AB2-079 C O1	0.14
924401	AB2-089 C	2.52
924501	AB2-099 C	0.39
925171	AB2-174 C O1	7.84
925611	AC1-036 C	0.05
925781	AC1-054 C O1	9.54
925831	AC1-062	0.01
926201	AC1-098 C	5.3
926211	AC1-099 C	1.77
927141	AC1-208 C	10.19
931231	AB1-173 C	0.37

<b>Bus #</b>	<b>Bus</b>	<b>MW Impact</b>
931241	AB1-173AC	0.37
932631	AC2-084 C	7.55
934201	AD1-047 C	10.82
934231	AD1-050 C	5.56
935221	AD1-157 C	0.06
935231	AD1-160 C	0.33
936261	AD2-033 C	6.02
936361	AD2-046 C O1	7.77
936401	AD2-051 C O1	3.83
936481	AD2-063 C O1	7.7
936711	AD2-090 C O1	12.22
937571	AD2-169 C	16.06
938371	AE1-056 C	1.96
938771	AE1-103 C O1	6.11
939181	AE1-148 C O1	7.51
939371	AE1-168 C	5.62
940241	AE2-006	0.21
940521	AE2-037 C O1	3.83
940661	AE2-053	2.79
941541	AE2-151 C	0.47
942451	AE2-258	1.31
942711	AE2-287 C O1	6.84
943171	AE2-346 C	1.16
CARR	CARR	0.04
CBM-S1	CBM-S1	0.27
CBM-S2	CBM-S2	0.24
CBM-W1	CBM-W1	0.28
CBM-W2	CBM-W2	1.74
CIN	CIN	0.13
CPL	CPL	0.08
IPL	IPL	0.09
LGEE	LGEE	0.04
MEC	MEC	0.28
MECS	MECS	0.1
RENSSELAER	RENSSELAER	0.03
WEC	WEC	0.03

## 18.2 Index 2

ID	FROM BUS#	FROM BUS	FROM BUS AREA	TO BUS#	TO BUS	TO BUS AREA	CKT ID	CONT NAME	Type	Rating MVA	PRE PROJECT LOADING %	POST PROJECT LOADING %	AC DC	MW IMPACT
7752846	314568	3EARLEYS	DVP	314569	6EARLEYS	DVP	2	DVP_P4-2: 201262	breaker	208.0	169.34	172.97	DC	7.55

Bus #	Bus	MW Impact
314539	3UNCAMP	1.46
314541	3WATKINS	0.52
314572	3EMPORIA	0.18
314578	3HORNRTN	2.81
314582	3KELFORD	9.44
314589	3MURPHYS	0.12
314603	3SCOT NK	6.48
314617	3TUNIS	2.15
314623	3WITAKRS	0.69
315115	1S HAMPT1	0.87
315126	1ROARAP2	0.82
315128	1ROARAP4	0.79
900672	V4-068 E	0.55
907092	X1-038 E	3.65
917331	Z2-043 C	0.76
917332	Z2-043 E	2.68
917342	Z2-044 E	0.3
918491	AA1-063AC OP	1.92
918492	AA1-063AE OP	7.42
918561	AA1-072 C	0.11
918562	AA1-072 E	0.45
919691	AA2-053 C	1.48
919692	AA2-053 E	5.21
919701	AA2-057 C	5.22
919702	AA2-057 E	2.61
920041	AA2-088 C OP	0.86
920042	AA2-088 E OP	11.54
920591	AA2-165 C	0.09
920592	AA2-165 E	0.34
920671	AA2-174 C	0.07
920672	AA2-174 E	0.6
923801	AB2-015 C O1	6.0
923802	AB2-015 E O1	4.92
923911	AB2-031 C O1	1.29
923912	AB2-031 E O1	0.63
923992	AB2-040 E O1	3.46
924501	AB2-099 C	1.76
924502	AB2-099 E	0.76
925171	AB2-174 C O1	3.68
925172	AB2-174 E O1	3.33
925781	AC1-054 C O1	3.34

Bus #	Bus	MW Impact
925782	AC1-054 E O1	1.54
926201	AC1-098 C	9.29
926202	AC1-098 E	5.53
926211	AC1-099 C	3.11
926212	AC1-099 E	1.83
927141	AC1-208 C	9.21
927142	AC1-208 E	4.09
931232	AB1-173 E	0.61
931242	AB1-173AE	0.61
932631	AC2-084 C	13.24
932632	AC2-084 E	6.52
934201	AD1-047 C	4.61
934202	AD1-047 E	3.07
936401	AD2-051 C O1	29.7
936402	AD2-051 E O1	12.75
936711	AD2-090 C O1	6.31
936712	AD2-090 E O1	4.2
937571	AD2-169 C	6.32
937572	AD2-169 E	4.21
938174	AE1-026 CBAT	1.16
938175	AE1-026 EBAT	4.65
938661	AE1-088	0.77
938771	AE1-103 C O1	2.19
938772	AE1-103 E O1	3.03
940521	AE2-037 C O1	25.85
940522	AE2-037 E O1	12.49
941541	AE2-151 C	3.68
941542	AE2-151 E	1.98
943171	AE2-346 C	5.29
943172	AE2-346 E	2.27
CARR	CARR	0.02
CBM-S1	CBM-S1	0.06
CBM-S2	CBM-S2	0.03
CBM-W1	CBM-W1	0.02
CBM-W2	CBM-W2	0.35
CIN	CIN	0.02
G-007	G-007	0.08
IPL	IPL	0.01
LGEE	LGEE	0.01
MEC	MEC	0.05
O-066	O-066	0.48
RENSSELAER	RENSSELAER	0.02
WEC	WEC	0.0

### 18.3 Index 3

ID	FROM BUS#	FROM BUS	FROM BUS AREA	TO BUS#	TO BUS	TO BUS AREA	CKT ID	CONT NAME	Type	Rating MVA	PRE PROJECT LOADING %	POST PROJECT LOADING %	AC DC	MW IMPACT
7753011	314568	3EARLEYS	DVP	314569	6EARLEYS	DVP	1	DVP_P4-2: 217162	breaker	208.0	143.31	147.01	DC	7.71

Bus #	Bus	MW Impact
314539	3UNCAMP	1.46
314541	3WATKINS	0.52
314578	3HORNRTN	2.4
314582	3KELFORD	9.59
314589	3MURPHYS	0.12
314603	3SCOT NK	6.48
314617	3TUNIS	2.18
314623	3WITAKRS	0.63
315115	1S HAMPT1	0.86
315126	1ROARAP2	0.69
315128	1ROARAP4	0.66
900672	V4-068 E	0.55
907092	X1-038 E	3.64
917331	Z2-043 C	0.78
917332	Z2-043 E	2.73
917342	Z2-044 E	0.27
918491	AA1-063AC OP	1.87
918492	AA1-063AE OP	7.23
918561	AA1-072 C	0.12
918562	AA1-072 E	0.45
919691	AA2-053 C	1.39
919692	AA2-053 E	4.89
919701	AA2-057 C	4.87
919702	AA2-057 E	2.43
920041	AA2-088 C OP	0.84
920042	AA2-088 E OP	11.24
920591	AA2-165 C	0.08
920592	AA2-165 E	0.32
920671	AA2-174 C	0.06
920672	AA2-174 E	0.56
923801	AB2-015 C O1	5.93
923802	AB2-015 E O1	4.86
923911	AB2-031 C O1	0.99
923912	AB2-031 E O1	0.49
923992	AB2-040 E O1	2.66
924501	AB2-099 C	1.8
924502	AB2-099 E	0.77
926201	AC1-098 C	9.12
926202	AC1-098 E	5.44
926211	AC1-099 C	3.06
926212	AC1-099 E	1.8

Bus #	Bus	MW Impact
927141	AC1-208 C	8.65
927142	AC1-208 E	3.84
931232	AB1-173 E	0.47
931242	AB1-173AE	0.47
932631	AC2-084 C	13.01
932632	AC2-084 E	6.41
934201	AD1-047 C	3.55
934202	AD1-047 E	2.37
936401	AD2-051 C O1	30.42
936402	AD2-051 E O1	13.06
936711	AD2-090 C O1	6.19
936712	AD2-090 E O1	4.12
937571	AD2-169 C	4.99
937572	AD2-169 E	3.33
938771	AE1-103 C O1	2.18
938772	AE1-103 E O1	3.01
940521	AE2-037 C O1	26.42
940522	AE2-037 E O1	12.77
941541	AE2-151 C	3.77
941542	AE2-151 E	2.03
943171	AE2-346 C	5.4
943172	AE2-346 E	2.31
CARR	CARR	0.02
CBM-S1	CBM-S1	0.03
CBM-S2	CBM-S2	0.01
CBM-W2	CBM-W2	0.12
EDWARDS	EDWARDS	0.0
G-007	G-007	0.06
LGEE	LGEE	0.0
MEC	MEC	0.01
O-066	O-066	0.4
RENSSELAER	RENSSELAER	0.02

## Affected Systems

## **19 Affected Systems**

### **19.1 LG&E**

LG&E Impacts to be determined during later study phases (as applicable).

### **19.2 MISO**

MISO Impacts to be determined during later study phases (as applicable).

### **19.3 TVA**

TVA Impacts to be determined during later study phases (as applicable).

### **19.4 Duke Energy Progress**

Duke Energy Progress Impacts to be determined during later study phases (as applicable).

### **19.5 NYISO**

NYISO Impacts to be determined during later study phases (as applicable).

## 20 Contingency Descriptions

Contingency Name	Contingency Definition
<b>DVP_P1-3: 6EARLEYS-TX#3</b>	CONTINGENCY 'DVP_P1-3: 6EARLEYS-TX#3' OPEN BRANCH FROM BUS 314568 TO BUS 314569 CKT 1 /* 3EARLEYS 115.00 - 6EARLEYS 230.00 END
<b>DVP_P1-3: 6EARLEYS-TX#4</b>	CONTINGENCY 'DVP_P1-3: 6EARLEYS-TX#4' OPEN BRANCH FROM BUS 314568 TO BUS 314569 CKT 2 /* 3EARLEYS 115.00 - 6EARLEYS 230.00 END
<b>DVP_P4-2: 201262</b>	CONTINGENCY 'DVP_P4-2: 201262' /* EARLEYS 230 KV OPEN BRANCH FROM BUS 314266 TO BUS 314569 CKT 1 /* 6NORTHAMPTON230.00 - 6EARLEYS 230.00 OPEN BRANCH FROM BUS 314266 TO BUS 314599 CKT 1 /* 6NORTHAMPTON230.00 - 6ROA VAL 230.00 OPEN BUS 314266 /* ISLAND: 6NORTHAMPTON230.00 OPEN BRANCH FROM BUS 314568 TO BUS 314569 CKT 1 /* 3EARLEYS 115.00 - 6EARLEYS 230.00 END
<b>DVP_P1-2: LN 68</b>	CONTINGENCY 'DVP_P1-2: LN 68' OPEN BRANCH FROM BUS 314527 TO BUS 314536 CKT 1 /* 3HOLLAND 115.00 - 3SUFFOLK 115.00 OPEN BRANCH FROM BUS 314527 TO BUS 314539 CKT 1 /* 3HOLLAND 115.00 - 3UNCAMP 115.00 OPEN BUS 314527 /* ISLAND: 3HOLLAND 115.00 END
<b>DVP_P1-2: LN 56</b>	CONTINGENCY 'DVP_P1-2: LN 56' OPEN BRANCH FROM BUS 314259 TO BUS 314604 CKT 1 /* 3CAROL56_1 115.00 - 3SEABORD 115.00 OPEN BRANCH FROM BUS 314558 TO BUS 314587 CKT 1 /* 3BOYKINS 115.00 - 3MARGTSV 115.00 OPEN BRANCH FROM BUS 314587 TO BUS 314604 CKT 1 /* 3MARGTSV 115.00 - 3SEABORD 115.00 OPEN BUS 314587 /* ISLAND: 3MARGTSV 115.00 OPEN BUS 314604 /* ISLAND: 3SEABORD 115.00 END
<b>DVP_P4-2: WT2171</b>	CONTINGENCY 'DVP_P4-2: WT2171' /* EARLEYS 230 KV OPEN BRANCH FROM BUS 313709 TO BUS 314569 CKT Z1 /* 6HOLLOMAN 230.00 - 6EARLEYS 230.00 OPEN BUS 313709 /* ISLAND: 6HOLLOMAN 230.00 OPEN BUS 918511 /* ISLAND: AA1-065 C OP230.00 OPEN BUS 918512 /* ISLAND: AA1-065 E OP230.00 OPEN BRANCH FROM BUS 314568 TO BUS 314569 CKT 1 /* 3EARLEYS 115.00 - 6EARLEYS 230.00 END
<b>Base Case</b>	

Contingency Name	Contingency Definition
DVP_P4-2: 217162	CONTINGENCY 'DVP_P4-2: 217162' /* EARLEYS 230 KV OPEN BRANCH FROM BUS 313709 TO BUS 314569 CKT Z1 /* 6HOLLOMAN 230.00 - 6EARLEYS 230.00 OPEN BUS 313709 /* ISLAND: 6HOLLOMAN 230.00 OPEN BUS 918511 /* ISLAND: AA1-065 C OP230.00 OPEN BUS 918512 /* ISLAND: AA1-065 E OP230.00 OPEN BRANCH FROM BUS 314568 TO BUS 314569 CKT 2 /* 3EARLEYS 115.00 - 6EARLEYS 230.00 END

## Short Circuit

## 21 Short Circuit

The following Breakers are overduty:

None

## 22 Attachment 1: One Line Diagram