



**Generation Interconnection
Feasibility Study Report
for
Queue Project AF2-189
GREENTOWN 138 KV
90 MW Capacity / 150 MW Energy**

July 2020

Table of Contents

1	Introduction.....	4
2	Preface.....	4
3	General	5
4	Point of Interconnection.....	6
5	Cost Summary	6
6	Transmission Owner Scope of Work	8
6.1	Attachment Facilities.....	8
6.2	Direct Connection Cost Estimate	8
6.3	Non-Direct Connection Cost Estimate	8
7	Incremental Capacity Transfer Rights (ICTRs)	9
8	Interconnection Customer Requirements.....	9
9	Revenue Metering and SCADA Requirements	9
9.1	PJM Requirements	9
9.2	Meteorological Data Reporting Requirements	9
9.3	Interconnected Transmission Owner Requirements.....	10
10	Summer Peak - Load Flow Analysis – Primary POI	11
10.1	Generation Deliverability	12
10.2	Multiple Facility Contingency	12
10.3	Contribution to Previously Identified Overloads.....	12
10.4	Potential Congestion due to Local Energy Deliverability	12
10.5	System Reinforcements - Summer Peak Load Flow - Primary POI.....	12
11	Light Load Analysis	13
12	Short Circuit Analysis – Primary POI.....	13
13	Stability and Reactive Power Assessment.....	13
14	Affected Systems	13
14.1	LG&E.....	13
14.2	MISO	13
14.3	TVA.....	13
14.4	Duke Energy Progress.....	13
15	Summer Peak – Load Flow Analysis – Secondary POI.....	14
15.1	Generation Deliverability	15

15.2	Multiple Facility Contingency	15
15.3	Contribution to Previously Identified Overloads.....	15
15.4	Potential Congestion due to Local Energy Deliverability	15
16	Light Load Analysis – Secondary POI	16
17	Short Circuit Analysis – Secondary POI	16
18	Stability and Reactive Power Assessment – Secondary POI	16
19	Affected Systems – Secondary POI.....	16
19.1	TVA.....	16
19.2	Duke Energy Progress.....	16
19.3	MISO	16
19.4	LG&E.....	16

1 Introduction

This Feasibility Study has been prepared in accordance with the PJM Open Access Transmission Tariff, 36.2, as well as the Feasibility Study Agreement between the Interconnection Customer (IC), and PJM Interconnection, LLC (PJM), Transmission Provider (TP). The Interconnected Transmission Owner (ITO) is AEP.

2 Preface

The intent of the feasibility study is to determine a plan, with ballpark cost and construction time estimates, to connect the subject generation to the PJM network at a location specified by the Interconnection Customer. The Interconnection Customer may request the interconnection of generation as a capacity resource or as an energy-only resource. As a requirement for interconnection, the Interconnection Customer may be responsible for the cost of constructing: (1) Direct Connections, which are new facilities and/or facilities upgrades needed to connect the generator to the PJM network, and (2) Network Upgrades, which are facility additions, or upgrades to existing facilities, that are needed to maintain the reliability of the PJM system.

In some instances a generator interconnection may not be responsible for 100% of the identified network upgrade cost because other transmission network uses, e.g. another generation interconnection, may also contribute to the need for the same network reinforcement. Cost allocation rules for network upgrades can be found in PJM Manual 14A, Attachment B. The possibility of sharing the reinforcement costs with other projects may be identified in the feasibility study, but the actual allocation will be deferred until the impact study is performed.

An Interconnection Customer with a proposed new Customer Facility that has a Maximum Facility Output equal to or greater than 100 MW shall install and maintain, at its expense, phasor measurement units (PMUs). See Section 8.5.3 of Appendix 2 to the Interconnection Service Agreement as well as section 4.3 of PJM Manual 14D for additional information.

The Feasibility Study estimates do not include the feasibility, cost, or time required to obtain property rights and permits for construction of the required facilities. The project developer is responsible for the right of way, real estate, and construction permit issues. For properties currently owned by Transmission Owners, the costs may be included in the study.

3 General

The Interconnection Customer (IC), has proposed a Solar generating facility located in Howard County, Indiana. The installed facilities will have a total capability of 150 MW with 90 MW of this output being recognized by PJM as Capacity. The proposed in-service date for this project is June 30, 2023. This study does not imply a TO commitment to this in-service date.

Queue Number	AF2-189
Project Name	GREENTOWN 138 KV
State	Indiana
County	Howard
Transmission Owner	AEP
MFO	150
MWE	150
MWC	90
Fuel	Solar
Basecase Study Year	2023

Any new service customers who can feasibly be commercially operable prior to June 1st of the basecase study year are required to request interim deliverability analysis.

4 Point of Interconnection

AF2-189 will interconnect with the AEP transmission system along one of the following Points of Interconnection:

Primary POI: Greentown 138 kV

To accommodate the interconnection to the Greentown 138 kV substation, two (2) new 138 kV circuit breakers will be installed (Attachment 1). Installation of associated protection and control equipment, 138 kV line risers, SCADA, and 138 kV revenue metering will also be required. AEP reserves the right to specify the final acceptable configuration considering design practices, future expansion, and compliance requirements.

Installation of the generator lead first span exiting the POI station, including the first structure outside the AEP fence, will also be included in AEP's scope. In the case where the generator lead is a single span, the structure in the customer station will be the customer's responsibility.

Secondary POI: Tap - Greentown to Grant 138 kV line

To accommodate the interconnection on the Greentown – Grant 138 kV circuit, a new three (3) circuit breaker 138 kV switching station physically configured in a breaker and half bus arrangement but operated as a ring-bus will be constructed (see Attachment 2). Installation of associated protection and control equipment, 138 kV line risers, SCADA, and 138 kV revenue metering will also be required. AEP reserves the right to specify the final acceptable configuration considering design practices, future expansion, and compliance requirements.

5 Cost Summary

The AF2-189 project will be responsible for the following costs:

Description	Total Cost
Total Physical Interconnection Costs	\$2,363,000
Total System Network Upgrade Costs	\$0
Total Costs	\$2,363,000

The estimates provided in this report are preliminary in nature, as they were determined without the benefit of detailed engineering studies. Final estimates will require an on-site review and coordination to determine final construction requirements. In addition, Stability analysis will be completed during the Facilities Study stage. It is possible that a need for additional upgrades could be identified by these studies.

This cost excludes a Federal Income Tax Gross Up charges. This tax may or may not be charged based on whether this project meets the eligibility requirements of IRS Notice 88-129. If at a future date it is determined

that the Federal Income Tax Gross charge is required, the Transmission Owner shall be reimbursed by the Interconnection Customer for such taxes.

Cost allocations for any System Upgrades will be provided in the System Impact Study Report.

6 Transmission Owner Scope of Work

The total physical interconnection costs is given in the tables below:

6.1 Attachment Facilities

The total preliminary cost estimate for the Attachment work is given in the table below. These costs do not include CIAC Tax Gross-up.

Description	Total Cost
138kV Revenue Metering	\$ 388,000
Generator lead first span exiting the POI station, including the first structure outside the fence	\$ 400,000
Total Attachment Facility Costs	\$ 788,000

6.2 Direct Connection Cost Estimate

The total preliminary cost estimate for the Direct Connection work is given in the table below. These costs do not include CIAC Tax Gross-up.

Description	Total Cost
Two (2) new 138 kV circuit breakers will be installed (Attachment 1). Installation of associated protection and control equipment, 138 kV line risers, and SCADA will be required.	\$1,530,000
Total Direct Connection Facility Costs	\$1,530,000

6.3 Non-Direct Connection Cost Estimate

The total preliminary cost estimate for the Non-Direct Connection work is given in the table below. These costs do not include CIAC Tax Gross-up.

Description	Total Cost
Review Protection and Control Settings at the Greentown 138 kV substation	\$45,000*
Total Non-Direct Connection Facility Costs	\$45,000*

*As shown in Attachment 1, Greentown station is jointly owned and controlled by AEP(PJM) and Duke Indiana(MISO). Duke Indiana may also need to review settings in their portion of the station. Scope and Estimates for Duke Indiana are not included here.

7 Incremental Capacity Transfer Rights (ICTRs)

Will be determined at a later study phase

8 Interconnection Customer Requirements

It is understood that the Interconnection Customer (IC) is responsible for all costs associated with this interconnection. The costs above are reimbursable to the Transmission Owner. The cost of the IC's generating plant and the costs for the line connecting the generating plant to the Point of Interconnection are not included in this report; these are assumed to be the IC's responsibility.

The Generation Interconnection Agreement does not in or by itself establish a requirement for the Transmission Owner to provide power for consumption at the developer's facilities. A separate agreement may be reached with the local utility that provides service in the area to ensure that infrastructure is in place to meet this demand and proper metering equipment is installed. It is the responsibility of the developer to contact the local service provider to determine if a local service agreement is required.

1. An Interconnection Customer entering the New Services Queue on or after October 1, 2012 with a proposed new Customer Facility that has a Maximum Facility Output equal to or greater than 100 MW shall install and maintain, at its expense, phasor measurement units (PMUs). See Section 8.5.3 of Appendix 2 to the Interconnection Service Agreement as well as section 4.3 of PJM Manual 14D for additional information.
2. The Interconnection Customer may be required to install and/or pay for metering as necessary to properly track real time output of the facility as well as installing metering which shall be used for billing purposes. See Section 8 of Appendix 2 to the Interconnection Service Agreement as well as Section 4 of PJM Manual 14D for additional information.

9 Revenue Metering and SCADA Requirements

9.1 PJM Requirements

The Interconnection Customer will be required to install equipment necessary to provide Revenue Metering (KWH, KVARH) and real time data (KW, KVAR) for IC's generating Resource. See PJM Manuals M-01 and M-14D, and PJM Tariff Section 8 of Attachment O.

9.2 Meteorological Data Reporting Requirements

Solar generation facilities shall provide the Transmission Provider with site-specific meteorological data including:

- Back Panel temperature (Fahrenheit)
- Irradiance (Watts/meter²)

- Ambient air temperature (Fahrenheit) – (Accepted, not required)
- Wind speed (meters/second) – (Accepted, not required)
- Wind direction (decimal degrees from true north) – (Accepted, not required)

9.3 Interconnected Transmission Owner Requirements

The IC will be required to comply with all Interconnected Transmission Owner's revenue metering requirements for generation interconnection customers located at the following link:

<http://www.pjm.com/planning/design-engineering/to-tech-standards/>

10 Summer Peak - Load Flow Analysis – Primary POI

The Queue Project AF2-189 was evaluated as a 150.0 MW (Capacity 90.0 MW) injection at the Greentown 138 kV substation in the AEP area. Project AF2-189 was evaluated for compliance with applicable reliability planning criteria (PJM, NERC, NERC Regional Reliability Councils, and Transmission Owners). Project AF2-189 was studied with a commercial probability of 53.0 %. Potential network impacts were as follows:

10.1 Generation Deliverability

(Single or N-1 contingencies for the Capacity portion only of the interconnection)

None

10.2 Multiple Facility Contingency

(Double Circuit Tower Line, Fault with a Stuck Breaker, and Bus Fault contingencies for the full energy output)

None

10.3 Contribution to Previously Identified Overloads

(This project contributes to the following contingency overloads, i.e. "Network Impacts", identified for earlier generation or transmission interconnection projects in the PJM Queue)

None

10.4 Potential Congestion due to Local Energy Deliverability

PJM also studied the delivery of the energy portion of this interconnection request. Any problems identified below are likely to result in operational restrictions to the project under study. The developer can proceed with network upgrades to eliminate the operational restriction at their discretion by submitting a Merchant Transmission Interconnection request.

Note: Only the most severely overloaded conditions are listed below. There is no guarantee of full delivery of energy for this project by fixing only the conditions listed in this section. With a Transmission Interconnection Request, a subsequent analysis will be performed which shall study all overload conditions associated with the overloaded element(s) identified.

None

10.5 System Reinforcements - Summer Peak Load Flow - Primary POI

None

11 Light Load Analysis

Light Load Studies (As applicable)

Not applicable.

12 Short Circuit Analysis – Primary POI

The following Breakers are overdutied:

To be determined during later study phases.

13 Stability and Reactive Power Assessment

(Summary of the VAR requirements based upon the results of the dynamic studies)

To be determined during later study phases.

14 Affected Systems

14.1 LG&E

LG&E Impacts to be determined during later study phases (as applicable).

14.2 MISO

MISO Impacts to be determined during later study phases (as applicable).

14.3 TVA

TVA Impacts to be determined during later study phases (as applicable).

14.4 Duke Energy Progress

Duke Energy Progress Impacts to be determined during later study phases (as applicable).

15 Summer Peak – Load Flow Analysis – Secondary POI

The Queue Project AF2-189 was evaluated as a 150.0 MW (Capacity 90.0 MW) injection tapping the Greentown to Grant 138 kV line in the AEP area. Project AF2-189 was evaluated for compliance with applicable reliability planning criteria (PJM, NERC, NERC Regional Reliability Councils, and Transmission Owners). Project AF2-189 was studied with a commercial probability of 53.0 %. Potential network impacts were as follows:

15.1 Generation Deliverability

(Single or N-1 contingencies for the Capacity portion only of the interconnection)

None

15.2 Multiple Facility Contingency

(Double Circuit Tower Line, Fault with a Stuck Breaker, and Bus Fault contingencies for the full energy output)

None

15.3 Contribution to Previously Identified Overloads

(This project contributes to the following contingency overloads, i.e. "Network Impacts", identified for earlier generation or transmission interconnection projects in the PJM Queue)

None

15.4 Potential Congestion due to Local Energy Deliverability

PJM also studied the delivery of the energy portion of this interconnection request. Any problems identified below are likely to result in operational restrictions to the project under study. The developer can proceed with network upgrades to eliminate the operational restriction at their discretion by submitting a Merchant Transmission Interconnection request.

Note: Only the most severely overloaded conditions are listed below. There is no guarantee of full delivery of energy for this project by fixing only the conditions listed in this section. With a Transmission Interconnection Request, a subsequent analysis will be performed which shall study all overload conditions associated with the overloaded element(s) identified.

None

16 Light Load Analysis – Secondary POI

Light Load Studies (As applicable).

To be determined during later study phases.

17 Short Circuit Analysis – Secondary POI

The following Breakers are overdutied

To be determined during later study phases.

18 Stability and Reactive Power Assessment – Secondary POI

(Summary of the VAR requirements based upon the results of the dynamic studies)

To be determined during later study phases.

19 Affected Systems – Secondary POI

19.1 TVA

TVA Impacts to be determined during later study phases (as applicable).

19.2 Duke Energy Progress

Duke Energy Progress Impacts to be determined during later study phases (as applicable).

19.3 MISO

MISO Impacts to be determined during later study phases (as applicable).

19.4 LG&E

LG&E Impacts to be determined during later study phases (as applicable).