

# Generation Interconnection Feasibility Study Report for

Queue Project AG1-076

FOSTORIA CENTRAL 138 KV

46 MW Capacity / 0 MW Energy

# **Table of Contents**

1	Int	troduction	3
2	Pro	eface	3
3	Ge	neral	4
4	Po	int of Interconnection	5
5	Со	st Summary	5
6	Tra	ansmission Owner Scope of Work	6
7	Int	terconnection Customer Requirements	7
8	Re	venue Metering and SCADA Requirements	7
	8.1	PJM Requirements	7
	8.2	Meteorological Data Reporting Requirements	7
	8.3	Interconnected Transmission Owner Requirements	8
9	Su	mmer Peak - Load Flow Analysis	9
	9.1	Generation Deliverability	10
	9.2	Multiple Facility Contingency	10
	9.3	Contribution to Previously Identified Overloads	10
	9.4	Potential Congestion due to Local Energy Deliverability	10
	9.5	System Reinforcements	12
	9.6	Flow Gate Details	13
	9.7	Queue Dependencies	14
	9.8	Contingency Descriptions	16
10	:	Short Circuit Analysis	18
11		Affected Systems	19
	11.1	TVA	19
	11.2	Duke Energy Progress	19
	11.3	MISO	19
	11.4	LG&E	19
12	4	Attachment 1: One Line Diagram and Project Site Location	20

#### 1 Introduction

This Feasibility Study has been prepared in accordance with the PJM Open Access Transmission Tariff, 36.2, as well as the Feasibility Study Agreement between the Interconnection Customer (IC), and PJM Interconnection, LLC (PJM), Transmission Provider (TP). The Interconnected Transmission Owner (ITO) is AEP.

#### 2 Preface

The intent of the feasibility study is to determine a plan, with ballpark cost and construction time estimates, to connect the subject generation to the PJM network at a location specified by the Interconnection Customer. The Interconnection Customer may request the interconnection of generation as a capacity resource or as an energy-only resource. As a requirement for interconnection, the Interconnection Customer may be responsible for the cost of constructing: (1) Direct Connections, which are new facilities and/or facilities upgrades needed to connect the generator to the PJM network, and (2) Network Upgrades, which are facility additions, or upgrades to existing facilities, that are needed to maintain the reliability of the PJM system.

In some instances a generator interconnection may not be responsible for 100% of the identified network upgrade cost because other transmission network uses, e.g. another generation interconnection, may also contribute to the need for the same network reinforcement. Cost allocation rules for network upgrades can be found in PJM Manual 14A, Attachment B. The possibility of sharing the reinforcement costs with other projects may be identified in the feasibility study, but the actual allocation will be deferred until the impact study is performed.

The Interconnection Customer seeking to interconnect a wind or solar generation facility shall maintain meteorological data facilities as well as provide that meteorological data which is required per Schedule H to the Interconnection Service Agreement and Section 8 of Manual 14D.

An Interconnection Customer with a proposed new Customer Facility that has a Maximum Facility Output equal to or greater than 100 MW shall install and maintain, at its expense, phasor measurement units (PMUs). See Section 8.5.3 of Appendix 2 to the Interconnection Service Agreement as well as section 4.3 of PJM Manual 14D for additional information.

The Feasibility Study estimates do not include the feasibility, cost, or time required to obtain property rights and permits for construction of the required facilities. The project developer is responsible for the right of way, real estate, and construction permit issues. For properties currently owned by Transmission Owners, the costs may be included in the study.

#### 3 General

The Interconnection Customer (IC) has proposed an uprate to a planned Solar generating facility located in Hancock, Ohio. This project is an increase to the Interconnection Customer's AD1-070 project, which will share the same point of interconnection. The AG1-076 queue position is a 0 MW uprate (46 MW Capacity uprate) to the previous project. The total installed facilities will have a capability of 205 MW with 82 MW of this output being recognized by PJM as Capacity. The proposed in-service date for this uprate project is December 29, 2023. This study does not imply a TO commitment to this in-service date.

Queue Number	AG1-076
Project Name	FOSTORIA CENTRAL 138 KV
State	Ohio
County	Hancock
Transmission Owner	AEP
MFO	205
MWE	0
MWC	46
Fuel	Solar
Basecase Study Year	2024

Any new service customers who can feasibly be commercially operable prior to June 1st of the basecase study year are required to request interim deliverability analysis.

#### 4 Point of Interconnection

AG1-076 will interconnect with the AEP transmission system as an uprate to AD1-070 at the Fostoria Central 138 kV substation.

#### **5** Cost Summary

The AG1-076 project will be responsible for the following costs:

Description	Total Cost
Total Physical Interconnection Costs	\$0
Total System Network Upgrade Costs	\$0
Total Costs	\$0

This cost excludes a Federal Income Tax Gross Up charges. This tax may or may not be charged based on whether this project meets the eligibility requirements of IRS Notice 2016-36, 2016-25 I.R.B. (6/20/2016). If at a future date it is determined that the Federal Income Tax Gross charge is required, the Transmission Owner shall be reimbursed by the Interconnection Customer for such taxes.

Cost allocations for any System Upgrades will be provided in the System Impact Study Report.

Note: These cost estimates assume that no relaying upgrades are required to accommodate this project. During later study phases, AEP/PJM may determine that relaying upgrades may be required depending on final project schedules for the existing project.

# 6 Transmission Owner Scope of Work

The total physical interconnection costs is given in the table below:

Description	Total Cost
<b>Total Physical Interconnection Costs</b>	\$0

#### 7 Interconnection Customer Requirements

It is understood that the Interconnection Customer (IC) is responsible for all costs associated with this interconnection. The costs above are reimbursable to the Transmission Owner. The cost of the IC's generating plant and the costs for the line connecting the generating plant to the Point of Interconnection are not included in this report; these are assumed to be the IC's responsibility.

The Generation Interconnection Agreement does not in or by itself establish a requirement for the Transmission Owner to provide power for consumption at the developer's facilities. A separate agreement may be reached with the local utility that provides service in the area to ensure that infrastructure is in place to meet this demand and proper metering equipment is installed. It is the responsibility of the developer to contact the local service provider to determine if a local service agreement is required.

- An Interconnection Customer entering the New Services Queue on or after October 1, 2012 with a
  proposed new Customer Facility that has a Maximum Facility Output equal to or greater than 100 MW
  shall install and maintain, at its expense, phasor measurement units (PMUs). See Section 8.5.3 of
  Appendix 2 to the Interconnection Service Agreement as well as section 4.3 of PJM Manual 14D for
  additional information.
- 2. The Interconnection Customer may be required to install and/or pay for metering as necessary to properly track real time output of the facility as well as installing metering which shall be used for billing purposes. See Section 8 of Appendix 2 to the Interconnection Service Agreement as well as Section 4 of PJM Manual 14D for additional information.

#### 8 Revenue Metering and SCADA Requirements

#### 8.1 PJM Requirements

The Interconnection Customer will be required to install equipment necessary to provide Revenue Metering (KWH, KVARH) and real time data (KW, KVAR) for IC's generating Resource. See PJM Manuals M-01 and M-14D, and PJM Tariff Section 8 of Attachment O.

#### 8.2 Meteorological Data Reporting Requirements

The solar generation facility shall provide the Transmission Provider with site-specific meteorological data including:

- Back Panel temperature (Fahrenheit) (Required for plants with Maximum Facility Output of 3 MW or higher)
- Irradiance (Watts/meter2) (Required for plants with Maximum Facility Output of 3 MW or higher)
- Ambient air temperature (Fahrenheit) (Accepted, not required)
- Wind speed (meters/second) (Accepted, not required)
- Wind direction (decimal degrees from true north) (Accepted, not required)

## **8.3** Interconnected Transmission Owner Requirements

The IC will be required to comply with all Interconnected Transmission Owner's revenue metering requirements for generation interconnection customers located at the following link:

http://www.pjm.com/planning/design-engineering/to-tech-standards/

## 9 Summer Peak - Load Flow Analysis

The Queue Project AG1-076 was evaluated as a 0.0 MW (Capacity 46.0 MW) injection tapping the Fostoria Central 138 kV line in the AEP area. Project AG1-076 was evaluated for compliance with applicable reliability planning criteria (PJM, NERC, NERC Regional Reliability Councils, and Transmission Owners). Project AG1-076 was studied with a commercial probability of 53.0 %. Potential network impacts were as follows:

#### 9.1 Generation Deliverability

(Single or N-1 contingencies for the Capacity portion only of the interconnection)

None

#### 9.2 Multiple Facility Contingency

(Double Circuit Tower Line, Fault with a Stuck Breaker, and Bus Fault contingencies for the full energy output)

None

#### 9.3 Contribution to Previously Identified Overloads

(This project contributes to the following contingency overloads, i.e. "Network Impacts", identified for earlier generation or transmission interconnection projects in the PJM Queue)

None

### 9.4 Potential Congestion due to Local Energy Deliverability

PJM also studied the delivery of the energy portion of this interconnection request. Any problems identified below are likely to result in operational restrictions to the project under study. The developer can proceed with network upgrades to eliminate the operational restriction at their discretion by submitting a Merchant Transmission Interconnection request.

Note: Only the most severely overloaded conditions are listed below. There is no guarantee of full delivery of energy for this project by fixing only the conditions listed in this section. With a Transmission Interconnection Request, a subsequent analysis will be performed which shall study all overload conditions associated with the overloaded element(s) identified.

ID	FROM BUS#	FROM BUS	kV	FRO M BUS ARE A	TO BUS#	TO BUS	kV	TO BUS ARE A	CK T ID	CONT NAME	Type	Ratin g MVA	PRE PROJEC T LOADIN G %	POST PROJEC T LOADIN G %	AC D C	MW IMPAC T
1680877 83	24293 5	05E LIMA	345. 0	AEP	24294 5	05SW LIM	345. 0	AEP	1	AEP_P2- 1_242939 05MARYSV 345 945620 AF1-227 TAP 345 1-A	operatio n	971.0	112.79	115.12	DC	22.58
1680879 00	24293 6	05FOSTO R	345. 0	AEP	24293 5	05E LIMA	345. 0	AEP	1	Base Case	operatio n	1025. 0	107.1	108.49	DC	31.41
1680879 01	24293 6	05FOSTO R	345. 0	AEP	24293 5	05E LIMA	345. 0	AEP	1	AEP_P1- 2_#2749_554-A	operatio n	1318. 0	105.91	107.31	DC	40.61
1680877 88	24298 4	05CHATFL	138. 0	AEP	93205 0	AC2-015 TAP	138. 0	AEP	1	AEP_P1- 2_#7105_890070 7	operatio n	167.0	129.03	132.24	DC	11.89
1680877 62	24300 6	05FOSTO R	138. 0	AEP	93916 0	AE1-146 TAP	138. 0	AEP	2	AEP_P1- 2_#7757_115007 05-B	operatio n	204.0	121.52	138.19	DC	34.01
1680878 62	24300 6	05FOSTO R	138. 0	AEP	96084 0	AF2-375 TAP	138. 0	AEP	1	AEP_P1- 2_#7761_20858- B	operatio n	245.0	104.57	119.01	DC	35.39
1680878 35	24300 8	05FREMC T	138. 0	AEP	24300 9	05FRMNT	138. 0	AEP	1	PJM_PLANT FREMONT	operatio n	251.0	116.76	119.18	DC	13.48

1680876	24303	05MELM	138.	AEP	24298	05CHATFL	138.	AEP	1	AEP_P1-	operatio	167.0	165.71	169.19	DC	12.92
85	9	OR	0		4		0			2_#7105_890070 7	n					
1680877 27	24303 9	05MELM OR	138. 0	AEP	24302 4	05HOWA RD	138. 0	AEP	1	AEP_P1- 2_#15237	operatio n	167.0	151.06	154.48	DC	12.65
1680879 93	24717 2	05EBERS O	138. 0	AEP	24305 9	05NFINDL	138. 0	AEP	1	Base Case	operatio n	167.0	89.64	102.32	DC	21.19
1642328 10	90720 0	AD1-103 TAP	345. 0	ATSI	23856 9	02BEAVER	345. 0	ATSI	1	ATSI-P1-2-OEC- 345-810	operatio n	1742. 0	101.14	101.75	DC	23.77
1695514 88	93205 0	AC2-015 TAP	138. 0	AEP	24302 4	05HOWA RD	138. 0	AEP	1	AEP_P1- 2_#7105_890070 7	operatio n	167.0	162.29	165.5	DC	11.89
1695515 39	93916 0	AE1-146 TAP	138. 0	AEP	24717 2	05EBERSO	138. 0	AEP	2	AEP_P1- 2_#7757_115007 05-B	operatio n	204.0	145.54	162.21	DC	34.01
1695515 41	93916 0	AE1-146 TAP	138. 0	AEP	24717 2	05EBERSO	138. 0	AEP	2	Base Case	operatio n	150.0	102.38	107.87	DC	18.28
1698576 52	94562 0	AF1-227 TAP	345. 0	AEP	24293 9	05MARYS V	345. 0	AEP	1	Base Case	operatio n	897.0	108.19	109.18	DC	19.59
1698577 16	96084 0	AF2-375 TAP	138. 0	AEP	24717 2	05EBERSO	138. 0	AEP	1	AEP_P1- 2_#7761_20858- B	operatio n	245.0	118.47	132.92	DC	35.39
1698577 18	96084 0	AF2-375 TAP	138. 0	AEP	24717 2	05EBERSO	138. 0	AEP	1	Base Case	operatio n	167.0	105.96	118.79	DC	21.42

# 9.5 System Reinforcements

None

#### 9.6 Flow Gate Details

The following indices contain additional information about each facility presented in the body of the report. For each index, a description of the flowgate and its contingency was included for convenience. The intent of the indices is to provide more details on which projects/generators have contributions to the flowgate in question. All New Service Queue Requests, through the end of the Queue under study, that are contributors to a flowgate will be listed in the indices. Please note that there may be contributors that are subsequently queued after the queue under study that are not listed in the indices. Although this information is not used "as is" for cost allocation purposes, it can be used to gage the impact of other projects/generators. It should be noted the project/generator MW contributions presented in the body of the report are Full MW Impact contributions which are also noted in the indices column named "Full MW Impact", whereas the loading percentages reported in the body of the report, take into consideration the PJM Generator Deliverability Test rules such as commercial probability of each project as well as the ramping impact of "Adder" contributions. The MW Impact found and used in the analysis is shown in the indices column named "Gendeliv MW Impact".

#### 9.7 Queue Dependencies

The Queue Projects below are listed in one or more indices for the overloads identified in your report. These projects contribute to the loading of the overloaded facilities identified in your report. The percent overload of a facility and cost allocation you may have towards a particular reinforcement could vary depending on the action of these earlier projects. The status of each project at the time of the analysis is presented in the table. This list may change as earlier projects withdraw or modify their requests.

Queue Number	Project Name	Status
AB1-107	Bayshore-GM Powertrain 138 kV & Lallendorf	Suspended
	345kV	
AC1-051	Willard-S. Greenwich 69kV	Withdrawn
AC2-015	Chatfield-Howard 138kV	Active
AC2-103	Beaver-Davis Besse 345 kV I	Engineering and Procurement
AD1-070	Fostoria Central 138 kV	Active
AD1-103	Beaver-Davis Besse 345 kV II	Active
AD1-118	Lemoyne	Active
AD2-136	Melmore Tap 138kV	Active
AE1-119	Lemoyne 345 kV	Active
AE1-146	Ebersole #2-Fostoria Central 138 kV	Active
AE2-072	East Leipsic-Richland 138 kV	Active
AE2-174	Seneca 138 kV	Active
AE2-176	Groton 138 kV Solar	Active
AE2-181	Snyder 69kV	Active
AE2-282	East Fayette 138 kV	Active
AF1-063	Lockwood Road 138 kV	Active
AF1-064	Weston 69 kV	Active
AF1-120	East Fayette 2 138 kV	Active
AF1-205	Napolean Muni 138 kV	Active
AF1-206	East Fayette 138 kV	Active
AF1-229	Galion-South Berwick 345 kV	Active
AF2-004	Beaver 345 kV	Active
AF2-005	Beaver 138 kV	Active
AF2-126	Weston 69 kV II	Active
AF2-127	Lockwood Road 138 kV	Active
AF2-321	Stryker-Ridgeville 138 kV	Active
AF2-375	Ebersole-Fostoria 138 kV	Active
AG1-056	Stryker-Ridgeville 138 kV	Active
AG1-076	Fostoria Central 138 kV	Active
AG1-199	Allen Junction 345 kV	Active
AG1-319	Northside 138 kV	Active
AG1-358	Howard-Melmore 138 kV	Active
AG1-425	Groton 138 kV	Active
AG1-500	Beaver 345 kV	Active
AG1-501	Beaver 138 kV	Active
U4-028	Fostoria Central-Greenlawn-Howard 138kV	Suspended
U4-029	Fostoria Central-Greenlawn-Howard 138kV	Suspended
V4-010	Tiffin Center 138kV	Engineering and Procurement

Y1-069	Bay Shore-Fostoria Central 345kV &	In Service
	Bavshore-Monroe 345kV	

# 9.8 Contingency Descriptions

Contingency Name	Contingency Definition
AEP_P1-2_#7105_8900707	CONTINGENCY 'AEP_P1-2_#7105_8900707'  OPEN BRANCH FROM BUS 243024 TO BUS 243039 CKT 1 / 243024 05HOWARD 138 243039 05MELMOR 138 1 END
AEP_P1-2_#7757_11500705-B	CONTINGENCY 'AEP_P1-2_#7757_11500705-B'  OPEN BRANCH FROM BUS 960840 TO BUS 247172 CKT 1 / 960840 AF2-375 TAP 138 247172 05EBERSO 138 1 END
ATSI-P1-2-OEC-345-810	CONTINGENCY 'ATSI-P1-2-OEC-345-810' /* LINE 02HAYES TO 02DAV-BE 345 CK 1 DISCONNECT BRANCH FROM BUS 239289 TO BUS 238654 CKT 1 /* 02HAYES 345 02DAV-BE 345 END
AEP_P1-2_#7761_20858-B	CONTINGENCY 'AEP_P1-2_#7761_20858-B'  OPEN BRANCH FROM BUS 939160 TO BUS 247172 CKT 2 / 939160 AE1-146 TAP 138 247172 OSEBERSO 138 2 END
AEP_P2-1_242939 05MARYSV 345 945620 AF1-227 TAP 345 1-A	CONTINGENCY 'AEP_P2-1_242939 05MARYSV 345 945620 AF1-227 TAP 345 1-A' OPEN BRANCH FROM BUS 242939 TO BUS 945620 CKT 1 END
PJM_PLANT FREMONT	CONTINGENCY 'PJM_PLANT FREMONT' REMOVE MACHINE 1 FROM BUS 238601 REMOVE MACHINE 2 FROM BUS 238602 REMOVE MACHINE 3 FROM BUS 238603 END
AEP_P1-2_#15237	CONTINGENCY 'AEP_P1-2_#15237'  OPEN BRANCH FROM BUS 242984 TO BUS 243039 CKT 1

ATSI-P7-1-OEC-345-004_NON	CONTINGENCY 'ATSI-P7-1-OEC-345-004_NON'
ATSI-P2-3-OEC-345-002	CONTINGENCY 'ATSI-P2-3-OEC-345-002' /* BEAVER 345KV BRK B-121 DISCONNECT BRANCH FROM BUS 238569 TO BUS 239725 CKT 1 /* 02BEAVER 345 02LAKEAVE 345 DISCONNECT BRANCH FROM BUS 238569 TO BUS 238607 CKT 1 /* 02BEAVER 345 02CARLIL 345 END
Base Case	
AEP_P1-2_#2749_554-A	CONTINGENCY 'AEP_P1-2_#2749_554-A'  OPEN BRANCH FROM BUS 238745 TO BUS 945640 CKT 1 / 238745 02GALION 345 945640 AF1-229 TAP 345 1 END

# **10 Short Circuit Analysis**

The following Breakers are overdutied

None

## 11 Affected Systems

#### 11.1 TVA

TVA Impacts to be determined during later study phases (as applicable).

#### **11.2 Duke Energy Progress**

Duke Energy Progress Impacts to be determined during later study phases (as applicable).

#### 11.3 MISO

MISO Impacts to be determined during later study phases (as applicable).

#### 11.4 LG&E

LG&E Impacts to be determined during later study phases (as applicable).

# 12 Attachment 1: One Line Diagram and Project Site Location



