



**Generation Interconnection  
Feasibility Study Report  
for  
Queue Project AG1-398  
BROKAW-LANESVILLE 345 KV  
7.33 MW Capacity / 0 MW Energy**

January 2021

## Table of Contents

1	Introduction.....	3
2	Preface.....	3
3	General .....	4
4	Point of Interconnection.....	4
5	Cost Summary .....	4
6	Transmission Owner Scope of Work .....	5
7	Transmission Owner Analysis.....	5
8	Interconnection Customer Requirements.....	5
9	Revenue Metering and SCADA Requirements .....	5
9.1	PJM Requirements .....	5
9.2	Meteorological Data Reporting Requirements .....	5
9.3	Interconnected Transmission Owner Requirements.....	5
10	Summer Peak - Load Flow Analysis .....	6
10.1	Generation Deliverability .....	6
10.2	Multiple Facility Contingency .....	6
10.3	Contribution to Previously Identified Overloads.....	6
10.4	Potential Congestion due to Local Energy Deliverability.....	6
10.5	System Reinforcements - Summer Peak Load Flow - Primary POI.....	7
11	Short Circuit Analysis.....	8
12	Affected Systems .....	8
12.1	MISO .....	8

## 1 Introduction

This Feasibility Study has been prepared in accordance with the PJM Open Access Transmission Tariff, 36.2, as well as the Feasibility Study Agreement between the Interconnection Customer (IC), and PJM Interconnection, LLC (PJM), Transmission Provider (TP). The Interconnected Transmission Owner (ITO) is ComEd.

## 2 Preface

The intent of the feasibility study is to determine a plan, with ballpark cost and construction time estimates, to connect the subject generation to the PJM network at a location specified by the Interconnection Customer. The Interconnection Customer may request the interconnection of generation as a capacity resource or as an energy-only resource. As a requirement for interconnection, the Interconnection Customer may be responsible for the cost of constructing: (1) Direct Connections, which are new facilities and/or facilities upgrades needed to connect the generator to the PJM network, and (2) Network Upgrades, which are facility additions, or upgrades to existing facilities, that are needed to maintain the reliability of the PJM system.

In some instances a generator interconnection may not be responsible for 100% of the identified network upgrade cost because other transmission network uses, e.g. another generation interconnection, may also contribute to the need for the same network reinforcement. Cost allocation rules for network upgrades can be found in PJM Manual 14A, Attachment B. The possibility of sharing the reinforcement costs with other projects may be identified in the feasibility study, but the actual allocation will be deferred until the impact study is performed.

The Interconnection Customer seeking to interconnect a wind or solar generation facility shall maintain meteorological data facilities as well as provide that meteorological data which is required per Schedule H to the Interconnection Service Agreement and Section 8 of Manual 14D.

An Interconnection Customer with a proposed new Customer Facility that has a Maximum Facility Output equal to or greater than 100 MW shall install and maintain, at its expense, phasor measurement units (PMUs). See Section 8.5.3 of Appendix 2 to the Interconnection Service Agreement as well as section 4.3 of PJM Manual 14D for additional information.

The Feasibility Study estimates do not include the feasibility, cost, or time required to obtain property rights and permits for construction of the required facilities. The project developer is responsible for the right of way, real estate, and construction permit issues. For properties currently owned by Transmission Owners, the costs may be included in the study.

The conduct of light load analysis as required under the PJM planning process is not performed during the Generation Interconnection Feasibility Study phase of the PJM study process. Additional reinforcement requirements for this Interconnection Request may be defined during the conduct of the light load analysis which shall be performed following execution of the System Impact Study agreement.

### 3 General

The Interconnection Customer (IC) has proposed an uprate to a planned/existing Wind generating facility located in Fulton, Illinois. This project is an increase to the Interconnection Customer's [QUEUE NUMBER] project, which will share the same point of interconnection. The AG1-398 queue position is a 0 MW uprate (7.33 MW Capacity uprate) to the previous project. The total installed facilities will have a capability of 200 MW with 42.53 MW of this output being recognized by PJM as Capacity. The proposed in-service date for this uprate project is September 30, 2021. This study does not imply a TO commitment to this in-service date.

Queue Number	AG1-398
Project Name	BROKAW-LANESVILLE 345 KV
State	Illinois
County	Fulton
Transmission Owner	ComEd
MFO	200
MWE	0
MWC	7.33
Fuel	Wind
Basecase Study Year	2024

Any new service customers who can feasibly be commercially operable prior to June 1st of the basecase study year are required to request interim deliverability analysis.

### 4 Point of Interconnection

AG1-398 will interconnect with the ComEd transmission system as an uprate to Alta Farms II Wind Farm TSS 972 under project AB2-070 which taps the Brokaw to Lanesville 345 kV line.

### 5 Cost Summary

The AG1-398 project will be responsible for the following costs:

Description	Total Cost
Total Physical Interconnection Costs	\$ 0
Total System Network Upgrade Costs	\$ 0
<b>Total Costs</b>	<b>\$ 0</b>

This cost excludes a Federal Income Tax Gross Up charges. This tax may or may not be charged based on whether this project meets the eligibility requirements of IRS Notice 2016-36, 2016-25 I.R.B. (6/20/2016). If at

a future date it is determined that the Federal Income Tax Gross charge is required, the Transmission Owner shall be reimbursed by the Interconnection Customer for such taxes.

Cost allocations for any System Upgrades will be provided in the System Impact Study Report.

## **6 Transmission Owner Scope of Work**

The physical interconnection facilities identified during the study process for the AB2-070 project are sufficient to support the AG1-398 project.

## **7 Transmission Owner Analysis**

See Section 10.

## **8 Interconnection Customer Requirements**

The Interconnection Customer is responsible for all design and construction related activities on the Interconnection Customer's side of the Point of Interconnection.

## **9 Revenue Metering and SCADA Requirements**

### **9.1 PJM Requirements**

The Interconnection Customer will be required to install equipment necessary to provide Revenue Metering (KWH, KVARH) and real time data (KW, KVAR) for IC's generating Resource. See PJM Manuals M-01 and M-14D, and PJM Tariff Section 8 of Attachment O.

### **9.2 Meteorological Data Reporting Requirements**

The wind generation facility shall provide the Transmission Provider with site-specific meteorological data including:

- Wind speed (meters/second) - (Required)
- Wind direction (decimal degrees from true north) - (Required)
- Ambient air temperature (Fahrenheit) - (Required)
- Air Pressure (Hectopascals) - (Required)
- Humidity (Percent) (Accepted, not required)

### **9.3 Interconnected Transmission Owner Requirements**

The IC will be required to comply with all Interconnected Transmission Owner's revenue metering requirements for generation interconnection customers located at the following link:

ComEd interconnection requirements can be found at <https://www.pjm.com/planning/design-engineering/to-tech-standards/private-comed.aspx>

## 10 Summer Peak - Load Flow Analysis

The Queue Project AG1-398 was evaluated as a 0 MW (Capacity 7.33 MW) injection as an uprate to AB2-070 tapping the Brokaw to Lanesville 345 kV line in the ComEd area. Project AG1-398 was evaluated for compliance with applicable reliability planning criteria (PJM, NERC, NERC Regional Reliability Councils, and Transmission Owners). Project AG1-398 was studied with a commercial probability of 53%. Potential network impacts were as follows:

### 10.1 Generation Deliverability

(Single or N-1 contingencies for the Capacity portion only of the interconnection)

None

### 10.2 Multiple Facility Contingency

(Double Circuit Tower Line, Fault with a Stuck Breaker, and Bus Fault contingencies for the full energy output)

None

### 10.3 Contribution to Previously Identified Overloads

(This project contributes to the following contingency overloads, i.e. "Network Impacts", identified for earlier generation or transmission interconnection projects in the PJM Queue)

None

### 10.4 Potential Congestion due to Local Energy Deliverability

PJM also studied the delivery of the energy portion of this interconnection request. Any problems identified below are likely to result in operational restrictions to the project under study. The developer can proceed with network upgrades to eliminate the operational restriction at their discretion by submitting a Merchant Transmission Interconnection request.

Note: Only the most severely overloaded conditions are listed below. There is no guarantee of full delivery of energy for this project by fixing only the conditions listed in this section. With a Transmission Interconnection Request, a subsequent analysis will be performed which shall study all overload conditions associated with the overloaded element(s) identified.

None

## 10.5 System Reinforcements - Summer Peak Load Flow - Primary POI

None

## **11 Short Circuit Analysis**

No breakers were identified as overdutied.

## **12 Affected Systems**

### **12.1 MISO**

MISO Impacts to be determined during later study phases (as applicable).